ite property and the property of the property

PA 367 747

Cornell Aniversity Library

BOUGHT WITH THE INCOME

SAGE ENDOWMENT FUND

Henry W. Sage

1891

A. 150511

23/8/1901

PA 367.T47 Cornell University Library



3 1924 021 604 065

olin

All books are subject to recall after two weeks. Olin/Kroch Library

DATE DUE

4115			
1100 7	0 1995		
AUGI	1000		
FED	n -		
- (2-2-4)	3 2000		
1	JUL STEEL	1	
	1	1776	
		1	
	COCK DE		
DEC	2003		
- Sandara			

!			
	Digitized	by Microsoft®	
CAVIORD	21911204	2, 111101000110	PRINTED IN U.S.A

This book was digitized by Microsoft Corporation in cooperation with Cornell University Libraries, 2007.

You may use and print this copy in limited quantity for your personal purposes, but may not distribute or provide access to it (or modified or partial versions of it) for revenue-generating or other commercial purposes.

A SYNTAX

OF

ATTIC GREEK

BY

F. E. THOMPSON, M.A.

LATE ASSISTANT MASTER AT MARLBOROUGH COLLEGE
AUTHOR OF 'HOMERIC GRAMMAR'; 'ELEMENTARY GREEK SYNTAX,' ETC

NEW IMPRESSION

LONGMANS, GREEN, AND CO.

39 PATERNOSTER ROW, LONDON

NEW YORK AND BOMBAY

1898

Digitized by Microsoft®



CONTENTS:

§ I-I3. Introduction to the Simple and Compound Sentence, and Definitions of Terms.

The Statement, Question, and Petition, Subject, Copula, and Predicate, page 1—The Predicate and its Supplementary Adjuncts, or Supplementary Predicates, 2—The Object, Direct and Remote, 5—The Predicate, Attributive or Epithet, and Apposition, 5—Simple and Compound Sentences, 5—Principal and Subordinate Sentences, 6—Co-ordinate Sentences, 7—Classification of Subordinate Sentences into: A. Substantival. B. Adverbial. C. Relative, 7—Oratio Recta, 10—Oratio Obliqua, 10—Sub-direct and Sub-oblique, 11—Virtually Oblique, 11.

PART I.

SYNTAX OF THE SIMPLE SENTENCE.

CHAPTER I.

§ 14-34. Subject and Predicate—Attributive and Apposition.

The Subject, page 12—The Copula, 12—Omission of the Copula, 12—Subject and Predicate of the Infinitive in the Accusative, and in the Nominative, 13—Subject and Predicate of the Infinitive in the Genitive and Dative, 13—Omission of the Subject, 13—The Predicate, 14—Peculiarities in the Agreement of Subject and Predicate, 15—Neuter Plural and Verb Singular, 15—Neuter Plural and Verb Plural, 15—Adjective-Predicate in Neuter Singular with Plural Subject, 15—Schema Pindaricum, 16—Agreement of Predicate with several subjects, 16—δε, οδτος, ἐκεῖνος, as Subject and Predicate, 18—Peculiarities of Number, Singular, Dual, and Plural,

18—The Dual Number, 19—The Plural used for the Singular, 21—The First Person Plural used of a Singular Subject, 22—Peculiarities of Person, 22—Supplementary Predicates, 22—Peculiarities in the construction of the Attributive or Epithet, 23—Peculiarities of Apposition, 24.

CHAPTER II.

§ 35-66.

The Article.

Origin and Development of the Article, page 27—Survivals of the older usages of ò, h, 76, and ös, h, ö in Attic Greek, 28—The Article in Attic Greek, 29-The Article with Participles, 31-The Article with Numerals, 31—Fluctuating Use and Omission of the Article. 31-With Objects of external nature, 32-With material objects, 32-With familiar places, things, and persons, 32-With abstract and other words, 32-With names of arts, trades, and sciences, 33 -The Article with proper names of persons and places, 33-With geographical names, 33-The noun-making power of the Article, 34—The Article distinguishes the Subject from the Predicate, 36-The Article with the Predicate, 37-Position of the Article: A. The Predicative Position. E. The Attributive Position. 37—Position when a Genitive follows, 39—Predicative Position when used, 40-Attributive Position when used, 41-Words which vary their meaning according to the position of the Article, 41-Oblique or Dependent Predicates, 43-Idiomatic Phrases with the Article, 46.

CHAPTER III.

§ 67-77.

Pronouns.

Personal Pronouns, page 47—Possessive Pronouns, 48—Reflexive Pronouns, 49—Demonstrative Pronouns, 51—The Pronoun αὐτόs, 53—Interrogative Pronouns, 56—Relative Pronouns and Attraction, 57—Attraction, 58—Miscellaneous instances of Attraction, 59—Indefinite Pronouns, 61.

Digitized by Microsoft®

CHAPTER IV.

§ 78-126.

The Cases.

Preliminary note on the cases, page 64—The Nominative, 65—The Vocative, 66-The Accusative. Preliminary Note, 66-1. The Internal Accusative, 67-2. The External Accusative, 67-Conspectus of the Internal Accusative, 67-The Internal Accusative, 68, including: -(a.) Accusative of Respect, 69-(b.) Accusative of Space and Time, 70-(c.) Accusative of Motion, 71-(d.) Accusative of the Object and Predicate in agreement or in apposition with the Object, 71-Double Accusative, 71-The External Accusative, 74-Verbs which take an External Accusative, 75-The Genitive 78—Preliminary Note on the Genitive, 78—Possessive Genitive, 79 -Genitive of Material or Contents, 80-Genitive of Amount, 81-Genitive of Plenty or Want. 82-The Partitive Genitive (so called), 83—Genitive of Connection, 86—Subjective and Objective Genitive, 90—Genitive of Time and Place, 91—Genitive of Value, 92—Causal Genitive, 93—Genitive with Verbs of Judicial Proceedings. 95—Genitive Absolute. 96—Genitive with Comparatives. 97— Genitive with Verbs containing a Comparative Notion, 97 -Genitive of Separation, 98-Free and Miscellaneous Uses, 100—Genitive with Compound Verbs, 100—Double Genitive, 100— The Epexegetical Genitive, 100—Genitive of the Agent (so called), 101-Free use of the Genitive of Connection, 101-The Genitive with Adjectives and Adverbs, 102-Free use of the Genitive with Substantives, 104-Preliminary Note on the Dative Case, 104-Dative of the Indirect or Remoter Object, 105-Miscellaneous examples of the Dative of the Indirect or Remoter Object, 105-Dative of Interest, 106-Free use of the Dative of Interest, 106-Dative of the Possessor, 108-Ethic Dative, 108-Dative of Community or Contact, 108-Dative of the Instrument or Means, Agent, Cause, Measure of Difference, 110-Dative of Circumstance, 113-Dative of Time and Place, 114-List of Verbs which take a Dative, 116-List of Adjectives and Adverbs which take a Dative, 119.

CHAPTER V.

CHAPTER VI.

§ 128-134.

Voices and Moods.

The Active Voice, page 124—The Middle Voice, 125—The Passive Voice, 130—The Mood, 132—Introductory Note on the Subjunctive and Optative, 132—The Subjunctive in Independent Sentences, 134—The Optative in Independent Sentences, 135—The Imperative, 136.

CHAPTER VII.

§ 135-143.

The Tenses.

Classifications of Greek Tenses, page 138—Time how far observed throughout the Moods, 139—The Kind of Act or State denoted by the Tenses, 140—Ideal division of Tenses, 141—The Present and Imperfect Indicative, 142—The Perfect and Pluperfect Indicative, 144—The Aorist, 145—Note on the Aorist, 148—The Future, 149—Gnomic and Iterative Tenses, 151—The Tenses in the Moods, 152.

CHAPTER VIII.

§ 144-162. The Three Verbal Nouns.

1. The Infinitive (a Substantive), page 153—2. The Participle (an Adjective), 153—3. The Verbal Adjectives in ros and ress, 153—Note on the Infinitive, 153—The Infinitive, 153—The Supplementary Infinitive, 154—The Subject before and the Predicate after the Infinitive (commonly called the Accusative with the Infinitive), 157—The Infinitive as a Noun, 160—The Participle, 162—The Participle as an Attributive, 163—The Genitive Absolute, 165—The Genitive Absolute in Greek and Latin, 166—The Accusative Absolute, 167—Verbals in -ress, 168—Their personal construction, 168—Their impersonal construction, 169—The Supplementary Participle, 169—The Supplementary Participle in agreement with the subject of the Verb: A. With Verbs of Saying and of Perception, 169—B. With Verbs of Emotion, 170—C. With Verbs of Beginning, Continuing, and Ending an Action, 170—D. With Verbs of making Digitized by Microsoft®

or becoming Manifest, and of escaping Notice, 170—Note on Special Verbs which take this construction, e.g. $\ell\rho\chi c\mu\alpha\iota$, $\ell\rho\ell d\nu\omega$, $\lambda\alpha\nu\ell\ell d\nu\omega$, etc., 171—The Supplementary Participle in agreement with the Object of the Verb: A. With Verbs of making to cease, finding, detecting, overlooking, 174—B. With Verbs of Perception, 175—The Tenses of the Participle, and time in the Participles, 175—The Future Participle, 176.

PART II.

SYNTAX OF THE COMPOUND SENTENCE.

CHAPTER I.

§ 163-170.

Substantival Sentences.

The Indirect Statement, page 178—2. The Indirect Question, 178—3. The Indirect Petition, 178—The Indirect Statement, 178: A. The Infinitive in the Indirect Statement, 178—B. δτι and &s with the Indicative and Optative in the Indirect Statement, 181—C. The Participle in the Indirect Statement, 186—The Indirect Question, 188—Deliberative or Dubitative Indirect Questions, 190—The Indirect Petition, 191.

CHAPTER II.

§ 171-206.

Conditional Sentences.

The Particle &ν, page 193—Definite and Indefinite Sentences, 194—Conditional Sentences, 195—Distinction of Conditions, 196—Division of Conditional Sentences, 197—Ordinary Conditions, 197—Ordinary Conditions in Greek and Latin, 200—General or Frequentative Examples, 200—The Negatives in Conditional Sentences, 201—Relative Conditional Sentences, 201—Participles in the Protasis, 202—Position of &ν, 203—Repetition of &ν, 203—'Aν with the Future Indicative, 204—Ellipse of the Apodosis, and Ellipse of the Verb, 205—Ellipse of the Protasis, 205—El and &ν both in the Protasis, 206—Δϵ in Apodosis, 207—'Edν seemingly interrogative, 207—'Aν with the Participle seemingly in Protasis, 208—Conditional

Digitized by Microsoft®

Particles and their Combinations. 208-Examples of Conditional Sentences, el with Indicative in Protasis and Apodosis, 209—(A.) Ordinary Present Conditions, 209. (B.) Ordinary Past Conditions, 209. (C.) Present and Past in Combination, 210-Ordinary Future Conditions, ἐάν (ἤν) with Subjunctive, 210—Less Vivid Future Conditions, el with Optative, 211-Most Vivid Future Conditions, el with Future Indicative, 212-Mixed examples illustrating the connection between and interchangeability of the Subjunctive, Optative, and Future Indicative in Conditional Sentences, 213-The Optative and Indicative with a without a Protasis, 214-Unfulfilled Conditions, el with Historic Tenses of Indicative in Protasis, $d\nu$ with the same in Apodosis, 215—The omission of $d\nu$ in Apodosis with the Indicative, 217—'Εάν (ήν) with the Subjunctive, and el with the Optative in General or Frequentative Suppositions. 220-Mixed Examples, 222-Examples of the Conditional Participle in a Protasis, 224-Examples of a Conditional Relative Sentence, 225—Relative Conditional Sentences expressing General Suppositions, 226—Examples of Infinitive in Apodosis with av, 226—Examples of Participle in Apodosis with av, 227—Supplementary Note on éav with the Subjunctive, and el with the Optative, 227.

CHAPTER III.

§ 207-224.

Temporal Sentences.

"When" in Definite Time (Past), ἐπεί, ἐπειδή (ἡνίκα, ὅτε), page 233—"As soon as," "Directly," in Definite Time, ἐπεὶ (ἐπειδή), τάχιστα, ώς, 234—"Whenever," "As often as," in Indefinite Time, ἐπεί, ἐπειδή, ήνίκα, ότε, όπότε, (ἐπήν, ἐπειδάν, ὅταν, etc.), 235—"Since" in Definite Time, έξ οῦ, 236—"Whilst" in Definite Time, ἔως, ἔστε, ἐν ὧ, ἐν όσω, όσον χρόνον, ἡνίκα (μέχρι), 237—" Whilst" in Indefinite Time. εωs, etc., with αν and Subj., without αν and Opt., 237—"Until" in Definite Time, έως, ἔστε, μέχρι ἄχρι, 238—" Until" in Indefinite Time, $\xi \omega s$, etc., with $d\nu$ and Subj., without $d\nu$ an Opt., 239—The Conjunction $\pi \rho l \nu$, 240— $\Pi \rho l \nu$ with the Infinitive, 241— $\Pi \rho l \nu$ with the Indicative in Definite Time (Past), 242—Πρίν with the Subjunctive and Optative in Indefinite Time, 242— $\Pi \rho l \nu$ with the Infinitive after Negative Sentences, and with the other Moods after Affirmative Sentences, 243-Av omitted with the Subjunctive, in Temporal and other Subordinate Sentences, 245-Av retained with the Optative, 246-The Subjunctive instead of the Optative or co-ordinate with the Optative in Historic Sequence, 246-The Participle as a Substitute for a Temporal Sentence, 247.

Digitized by Microsoft®

CHAPTER IV.

§ 225-22**6**.

Concessive Sentences.

Concessive Sentences, page 249—Note on εl καl, καl εl, etc., 250.

CHAPTER V.

§ 227-239. (1) Final Sentences, (2) ὅπως with the Future Indicative, and (3) Verbs of Fearing with μή, etc.

Final Sentences, page 253—Final Particles with the Subjunctive and Optative, 253—Final Sentences with Past Tenses of the Indicative, 257—Final Sentences with the Future Participle, 258—Relative Final Sentences, 258—Final Sentences with the Infinitive, 259—"Οπως, ὅπως μή, modal with the Future Indicative, etc.: and Variant Constructions, 259—Elliptical use of ὅπως, ὅπως μή with the Future Indicative, 262—"Οπως, ὅπως μή with Verbs of Commanding and Forbidding, 262—Verbs of Fearing with μή and μή οὐ, 262—Verbs of Fearing, etc., with the Indicative, 266—Note on Dawes' Canon, 267.

CHAPTER VI.

§ 240-244. Consecutive and Limitative Sentences.

Ωστε with the Indicative and the Infinitive, page 270—Consecutive Sentences in Greek and Latin, 273—Relative Consecutive Sentences, 273—Limitative or Restrictive Sentences, 274.

CHAPTER VII.

§ 245.

Causal Sentences.

(a.) Causal Particles and a Finite Mood, page 276—(b.) Relative Sen tences, 278—(c.) Participles, 278—(d.) Miscellaneous ways, 279.
Digitized by Microsoft®

CHAPTER VIII.

§ 246.

Expressions of a Wish.

Page 280

CHAPTER IX.

§ 247.

Relative Sentences

Page 284

PART III.

PREPOSITIONS, NEGATIVES, ORATIO OBLIQUA, AND FIGURES.

CHAPTER I.

§ 248-252.

Prepositions.

Introductory Note on Prepositions, page 286—The Prepositions and their meanings with the three cases, 289—Collected Usages of Prepositions, 289—Prepositions and the cases they go with, 291.

I.—Prepositions with one Case only:

§ 253-255.

(a.) With Accusative only.

Aνά, page 291-Els or és, 292-ώs, 294.

§ 256-264.

(b.) With Genitive only.

άντι, page 294—άπο, 295—έκ, έξ, 297—προ, 300—ἄνευ, 301—ἔνεκα, ἕνεκεν, 301—ἔκατι, 301—χωριs, 301—Old Cases used as quasi-Prepositions with the Genitive, 302.

§ 265-268.

(c.) With Dative only.

έν, page 302—σύν, 305—Note on σύν and μετά, 306—ἄμα, ὅμον, etc., 306.

II.—Prepositions with two Cases.

§ 269-272. With Accusative and Genitive.

διά, page 307—κατά, 310—
ὑπέρ, 314—μετά, 316.

III—Prepositions with all three Cases.

§ 273-278.

άμφί, page 317—ἐπί, 319—παρά, 328—περί, 332—πρός, 336—ὑπό, 341.

Digitized by Microsoft®

CHAPTER II.

§ 279-310.

The Negatives.

Introductory Note, page 345—O' Privative, 346—O' and un with Adjectives, Participles used as Adjectives, Adverbs, and Substantives, 348-Oὐ and μή with Participles, 350-Oὐ and μή with the Infinitive, 351—0 o and μή with Direct and Indirect Statements, 354— $0\dot{v}$ and $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with Indirect Statements in the Participle, $354-0\dot{v}$ and $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with Direct Questions, 355—0 \dot{v} and $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with Deliberative Questions, 356-Ov and un with Indirect Questions, 356-Ov and un with Indirect Petitions, 357—Oύ and μή with Conditional Sentences, 358—Oὐ and μή with Concessive Sentences, 359—Oὐ and μή with Causal Sentences, 359—00 and un with Consecutive and Restrictive Sentences, 360—00 and $\mu\eta$ with Temporal and Local Sentences, 361 $-0\dot{v}$ and $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with Final Sentences, etc., 361-0 \dot{v} and $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with Relatives, 362—M $\dot{\eta}$ with Wishes, 364—M $\dot{\eta}$ and M $\dot{\eta}$ où with the Infinitive, 365-Mη ού with the Participle, 368-Mη and μη ού with the Subjunctive, 369—Oὐ μή with the Subjunctive and Future Indicative, 371—Further examples of οὐ μή with: A. Subjunctive, 372—B. Future Indicative, 373—Repetition of the Negative, 375—Οὐδείς, μηδείς, οὐδέν, μηδέν, etc., 376—Μή with Oaths and Assertions, 378 -Mń where où might have been expected, 378-Miscellaneous instances showing the power of ov to make a downright Negative Statement, 379—Note on μή, μὴ οὐ with the Infinitive and Participle, 380—Note on μή and μὴ οὐ with the Subjunctive, 382—Note on οὐ μὴ with the Subjunctive and the Future Indicative, 382.

CHAPTER III.

§ 311-327.

Oratio Obliqua.

Introductory, page 387—Rules for Sub-direct Clauses in Oratio Obliqua, 389—Types of Sub-direct Clauses in Historic Sequence, 390—Rules for Sub-oblique Clauses in Oratio Obliqua, 392—Types of Sub-direct and Sub-oblique Clauses in the Obliqua, 393—The Apodosis in the Participle in Oratio Obliqua, 395—Relative Sentences in Oratio Obliqua, 395—Some real examples of Oratio Obliqua analysed, 396
—The Infinitive, and ὅτι οι ὡς with Finite Moods in Sub-direct Sentences of Oratio Obliqua, 398—Assimilation of Optatives, 402
—Non-Assimilation, 403—Examples of Mixed Graphic and strict Obliqua, 404—Virtual Oratio Obliqua, 404—Past Tenses of the Indicative in Oratio Obliqua, 405—Apparently Abnormal Obliqua, 406—Long Speeches in Obliqua, 407.

Digitized by Microsoft®

CHAPTER IV.

Ş	328-347.	Figures	of	Rhetoric,	etc.
Ð	J-9 J4/1	2 05 000 00	ν,	121100000000	CDD

Alliteration, page 410—Anakoluthia, 411—Antiptosis, 412—Asyndeton, 413—Binary Structure, 413—Brachylogy, 414—Zeugma and Syllepsis, 415—Constructio Praegnans, 415—Brachylogy of Comparison, 416—Catachresis, 416—Ellipse and Aposiopesis, 416—Euphemism, 417—Hypallage, 418—Hyperbaton, Chiasmus, Hysteron Proteron, 418—Litotes, 419—Oxymoron, 420—Periphrasis, 421—Pleonasm, 421—Prolepsis or Anticipation, 422—Puns, 422.

English Index, .	•	•	•	•	. F	'age	42
Greek Index,			٠		•,		428
Table of References,			•			ø	434

PREFACE.

Some explanation, perhaps apology, is necessary for publishing a new Greek Syntax, when so many similar books by really great Greek scholars are in use. My object has been to write a Greek Syntax arranged on the analytical method, i.e. by sentences, simple and compound; to attempt for Greek what Dr. Kennedy's invaluable Grammars have done for Latin. Dr. Donaldson's once well-known Greek Grammars are so arranged: they are the works of a very able man and a ripe scholar, but no one would now accept the local theory of the Cases, or the treatment of Conditionals as set forth in them.

I may perhaps be allowed to explain how I came to write this Syntax, and the plan adopted in it, as the book, such as it is, is not a mere compilation from other Grammars. I have for many years been in the habit of jotting down marginal references to constructions. When three years ago it was suggested to me that I should draw up a Greek Syntax, I began by writing out these examples, under their different headings. I thus had an outline of rules with many hundred examples, an outline which has not been materially departed from. I then read or re-read several books bearing on the subject. I

need hardly say that my views had to be modified on several points of principle, and still more of detail. With regard to the examples I do not suppose that I have used or referred to one quarter of the original supply. For several of my own, again, I have substituted others, either because these latter were so familiar as to have acquired vested rights with teachers and learners or because they were handier. My original outline began with Homeric instances, but, as I proceeded, I cut these out, and confined myself solely to Attic, chiefly because it was represented to me that, when boys write Greek Prose or Iambics, they have such a fatal perversity for bringing in an Epic word or construction. I need hardly say that, when I read Mr. Monro's masterly Homeric Grammar, I felt exceedingly glad that I had suppressed my own attempt to deal with so difficult a subject. A monumental Greek Grammar should of course begin with Homer, and end certainly not before the Hellenistic period. proceeding with the grand march of the historic method. In a book meant for boys or undergraduates the object would, I think, be most practically secured by adding appendices, Homeric, Hellenistic, and so forth. But this suggestion need not be discussed here.

The analysis which I have followed is, with some variations, the logical method made familiar by Dr. Kennedy's Latin Grammars. For instance, I begin the Introduction with a piece of formal logic: the Proposi-

tion contains three parts, Subject, Copula, and Predicate. Had I introduced Homeric examples, I should have probably commenced with the Verb ($l\sigma\eta_{1}$ - $\sigma\iota$, $\delta l\delta\omega_{0}$ - $\sigma\iota$, Predicate + Subject), connecting the thought with the form, and have attempted to trace thence the gradual growth and development of the Sentence. Indeed I might have begun earlier with the blunt, but perfectly intelligible expression of judgment: $\nu\dot{\eta}\pi\iota_{0}$, fool (Predicate only). But though the historical method is unquestionably more scientific, yet I deliberately adopted the logical for several reasons, chiefly because teachers and boys are already familiar with it in learning Latin: a double advantage, for there is thus no new method to acquire, and Greek and Latin can be worked together.

But, whichever method we pursue, it is equally unwise and impossible to be rigidly consistent. Take the Cases, for instance. The Nominative is used both as Subject and as Predicate. The Accusative and Dative qualify a Verb, and so may be regarded as supplementary Predicates. The Genitive qualifies a Substantive, and thus is Adjectival or Attributive: but it may also qualify a Verb, and so becomes a supplementary Predicate. It would however be absurd to split up the Cases, and range their uses under different Chapters. When we come to the Compound Sentence one of two courses is open: either (1) to treat all the usages of the Moods together, giving one chapter to the Indicative, another to the Optative

and so on; or (2) to take the different kinds of Subordinate Sentences, and show how they are expressed by the different Moods. Most Grammars adopt the former method, and there is much to be said for it, the same, it might appear, as for the Cases. This method brings together the different usages which often vary so slightly. and shade off into one another; it makes the learner see that there are not so many distinct Optatives, but one Optative. But experience convinces me that the method of sentences is incomparably the most practical and easily remembered, while in the hands of a careful teacher the unity of each Mood may constantly be pointed out. It is far easier for a boy to learn how to express the different kinds of Temporal Sentences by treating them all together than by dividing them among the Moods. All grammars do this for Conditional Sentences, and why not for other kinds of Subordinate Sentences which are almost equally difficult? However, in order to supplement the plan adopted in the text, I have in the Index given a full register of the uses of each Mood. I have to a considerable extent adopted Dr. Donaldson's theory of Predicates with some change of nomenclature. His division into Primary, Secondary, and Tertiary suggests three progressive and co-ordinate stages, whereas a Secondary Predicate is simply an extension and part of the whole Predicate, and a Tertiary Predicate is nothing but an ordinary Predicate (Adjective or Participle),

not in the Nominative Case. I prefer therefore the terms Supplementary, and Oblique (or Dependent). But whatever names we use, I believe that there is nothing which gives the learner a greater grasp of a Greek passage than a thorough assimilation of this doctrine of Predication. Take the Participle for instance, one of the commonest forms of supplementary Predicates, in a Platonic paragraph, e.g., the Carpenter who is out of sorts and calls in the Doctor, or the Parable of the Captain and the mutinous Crew: a knowledge of the exact force of the Participle in qualifying the main Predication is essential towards picking our way through the paragraph, and rendering the Greek into adequate English.

To come to details. The treatment of the Cases must be unsatisfactory, in far abler hands than mine. The usages of the Accusative and Dative fall easily enough under fairly distinct heads. But the Genitive seems a wilderness of cross-divisions. I do not see how it is possible to assign its usages to the two distinct heads of Connexion (Genitive), and Separation (Ablative). To take only one case: who shall decide whether the Genitive of Value and Price is the true Genitive denoting Connexion, or an Ablative denoting Exchange, i.e. Separation? Comparative Syntax often is quite powerless to help us. Thus the Greek Genitive Absolute seems unquestionably to be, as Krüger pointed out long ago, a real Genitive denoting "the sphere within which,"

and so the Class, (e.g. $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \delta \iota \delta \delta \prime \nu \tau o s$, within the sphere of divine providence); but in Sanskrit the Absolute Case is the Locative, in Latin it is Circumstantial, i.e. Instrumental, in Old English it was originally a Dative, and subsequently a Nominative, in German a Genitive. I have with misgivings retained the familiar but unsatisfactory "Accusative of Respect;" it is anyhow as intelligible as Professor Goodwin's "Accusative of Specification."

The Aorist requires more courageous treatment than it usually receives, if we are anxious to render Greek into correct and idiomatic English, and vice versa. Aorist-Stem denotes an act single, complete, and summed In the Indicative this act belongs to the past, whether occurring a thousand years ago, or a moment ago. When the past is not recent, the Aorist is translated by the English past tense, $^{2}\eta \lambda \theta o \nu$, I came. But, when the act is recent and bears on the present, the Greeks could use the Aorist where we use a Perfect, e.g. Od. v. 172, νῦν δ' ἐνθάδε κάββαλε δαίμων, but now a god hath cast me on this shore: Luke v. 26, εἴδαμεν παράδοξα $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \rho o \nu$, we have seen strange things to-day. Again, where the act has occurred a moment ago, the Greeks often used the Aorist where we use a Present. Familiar instances occur in the Tragedians, $\epsilon \pi \eta \nu \epsilon \sigma a$, I commend; $\eta \sigma \theta \eta \nu$, I am pleased; ἐδεξάμην, I hail. Once more the gnomic

¹ SOPH. Ai. 536.

² Phil. 1314.

³ Elektr. 668. Similarly ἀπέπτυσα, ἄμωξα, ξυνῆκα, etc., etc. Digitized by Microsoft®

or iterative Aorist is represented by the English Past, Perfect, or $Present\ Tenses$. And lastly in similes we must translate the Aorist by a Present, e.g. Il. v. 161, $\dot{\omega}_{S}$ $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \omega \nu \ \theta o \rho \dot{\omega} \nu \ \overset{\circ}{a} \xi \eta$, as a lion springeth and breaketh. So far with regard to Principal Sentences. In Subordinate Sentences our rendering must be still more elastic, as we shall see if we have to turn into Greek the following: when I come, have (am) come, shall come, shall have come, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \nu \ \overset{\circ}{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \omega$: if I had known, $\dot{\epsilon} \iota \overset{\circ}{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \omega \nu$: when they had gone, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\gamma} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\lambda} \theta o \nu$: I do not believe what you have said, $\overset{\circ}{\alpha} \overset{\circ}{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \xi a_{S}$, not necessarily $\overset{\circ}{\alpha} \overset{\circ}{\epsilon} \iota \rho \gamma \kappa a_{S}$ (in past Obliqua what he had said, the Pluperfect in English, but the Aorist still in Greek).

Thus, startling as the statement seems, the Greek Aorist is translateable into almost every English tense except the Imperfect.¹ Mr. M. Arnold's dictum is as wise as it is witty: "the Aorist was made for man, and not man for the Aorist."

¹ Our English narrative Past Tense is by no means parallel with the Greek Aorist. It often is the idiomatic and correct equivalent for a Greek (or Latin) Imperfect, i.e. it is descriptive as well as narrative. A few minutes' attention to any ordinary conversation, or almost any page of a standard author, would prove this, e.g. MACAULAY, History of England, vol. i. ch. 2. (fin.):—"Still, however, the contest continued. He [Charles] assured the Duke of York that Halifax should be dismissed from office, and Halifax that the Duke should be sent to Scotland. In public he affected implacable resentment against Mommouth, and in private conveyed to Mommouth assurances of unalterable affection." A boy set down to translate these tenses into Greek would probably use the Aorist, because he has been required to translate the Greek Imperfect by a clumsy, often unnecessary and

In dealing with the Moods I have probably (p. 133) expressed myself too unhesitatingly that the Optative cannot be a past form. Its Secondary endings, and the possible loss of the separable augment, make it at least conceivable that the Optative was originally past. If this were so, a past form would (as in Hebrew, I believe) be used to denote a wish.

I could not treat the Prepositions briefly, and did not wish to do so. Nothing seems to me more conventional than to pick out two or three uses of $\pi\rho\delta$ for instance, and to make the learner believe that these are the dominant and typical usages. Such a course seems to me a great snare. I do not believe that the use of the Prepositions can be taught in a few formal lessons, they must be gradually acquired, like those of the Particles, by constant observation.

In the Oratio Obliqua I have introduced the two technical terms, Sub-direct and Sub-oblique. Personally, I prefer names to periphrases when dealing with facts of constant recurrence, and I do not find the pupil puzzled. But the teacher need not employ the terms if he objects to them: the treatment of the chapter does not depend on the terms.

I had prepared a chapter on Particles, but have sup-

unidiomatic, periphrasis, "he was affecting," "kept on conveying," etc. Much more correctly T. K. Arnold of old taught us on p. 1 that "the dog howled all night" required an Imperfect in Latin.

pressed it, as the book already has outrun its intended length.

It only remains for me to acknowledge my special obligations, to authors and to friends.

Of books, besides old guides such as Jelf and Donaldson, Liddell and Scott, I have found the following most useful:—

- (1) Krüger's Griechische Sprachlehre. This is, all round, the most useful Greek Grammar I know. It is a vast treasury of well-chosen instances covering the whole range of so-called classical Greek Literature. Even if you do not always agree with his arrangement or conclusions, Krüger always furnishes ample materials for induction. In substituting a better example for the one which I had originally noted, I have found Krüger incomparable.
- (2) Madvig's Syntax of the Greek Language, especially of the Attic dialect. It would be impertinent in me to praise this well-known work by the great scholar. There seems to be nothing at second-hand in it.
- (3) Goodwin's Moods and Tenses, and Greek Grammar. The first-named book by this accomplished scholar is of the greatest value. He has revolutionised the treatment of the Conditionals; his treatment of Final and semi-Final Sentences is hardly less striking. I have ventured to embody the substance of two of his papers in the Journal of Philology in a note on èau and el.

- (4) Curtius's Student's Greek Grammar, and Elucidations to the Greek Grammar. Both books are most instructive; the great philologer's Syntax is singularly vigorous, fresh, and suggestive.
- (5) Notes on Constructions in Mr. A. Sidgwick's *Introduction to Greek Prose Composition*. Most practical and incisive
- (6) Riddell's *Digest of Platonic Idioms*, in his edition of the *Apology*. I must record my great gratitude to this lamented author. No book that I know of bearing on Greek Syntax is so suggestive: no Greek scholar of our time seems to me to combine, in so marked a degree, fine taste, subtlety, and sound judgment.

I wish also to express my gratitude for the help afforded in Professor Jebb's editions of the plays of Sophocles and selections from the Attic Orators.

I have sparingly alluded to books of reference, and then only to such as are easily procurable, such as Professor M. Müller's Essays. It would be useless to refer boys, or most undergraduates (I suppose), to Delbrück's Syntaktische Forschungen for the comparative treatment of Greek Syntax, and the probable evolution of usages and constructions. But while the latter part of this Grammar was in the press, Mr. D. B. Monro published his long looked-for Homeric Grammar. It is a book with which every teacher of Greek should be thoroughly familiar, and to parts of which he may con-

veniently refer his pupils for the origin and explanation of Greek constructions. Mr. Monro has kindly permitted me, in my Index, to refer to certain paragraphs in his work.

I have to thank several friends for much help. Mr. A. Sidgwick has been kind enough to go through the whole of the proofs. I am deeply sensible that in so doing he has most materially added to any value which this book may possess. I have also to thank two old friends for reading through some chapters with me, and giving me the advantage of their views,—Professor Butcher of Edinburgh, and Mr. F. Storr of Merchant Taylors. And, lastly, I am much indebted to several of my colleagues here for help and sympathy.

Some little slips, such as wrong accents, have inevitably escaped several pairs of eyes. They, however, can be easily rectified, and will cause no serious harm. If any one who may use this book cares to point out more important errors, I shall be extremely grateful.

F. E. THOMPSON.

COTTON HOUSE, MARLBOROUGH.

August 1883.

NOTE.

The book is practically divided into-

I. An Elementary Syntax.

II. An Advanced Syntax.

The following distinctions have (with a few unimportant exceptions) been observed:—

In I. 1stly, The type is large.

2ndly, The headings are in Italics.

3rdly, The name of the author is given without reference to line or chapter, etc.

II. 1stly, The type is small.

2ndly, The headings are in thick black type.

3rdly, Reference is given to the line or chapter, etc., of the author quoted.

INTRODUCTION TO THE SIMPLE AND COMPOUND SENTENCE, AND DEFINITIONS OF TERMS

§ I. THE STATEMENT, QUESTION, AND PETITION.

A SENTENCE assumes three forms—(1) the Statement (*Enuntiatio*); (2) the Question (*Interrogatio*); (3) the Petition, *i.e.* a command, request, prayer, or wish (*Petitio*).

A sentence, logically considered, connects (positively or negatively) two distinct conceptions. Thus in the sentence, "The rose is sweet," the conceptions of a certain flower and a certain quality are connected positively. Two conceptions are connected negatively in the sentence, "The nightshade is not wholesome." A sentence, logically and fully expressed, may be represented by the formulas—A is B; A is not B. A sentence therefore necessarily consists of three parts, neither more nor less:—

(1) The Subject, i.e. that of which the statement is made;

(2) the Predicate, i.e. the statement made of the Subject;

(3) the Copula, i.e. the connecting or disconnecting link,—is (when the sentence is positive), is not (when it is negative).

These three parts are not always present in language. The finite verb contains in itself a complete sentence—στρατηγῶ, I am general. The Copula is frequently omitted, being contained in the verb, or understood—Ξέρξης βασιλεύει, Xerxes is king; ἀγαθὸς ὁ ἀνήρ, The man is good. Logically expressed, these sentences would be—Ξέρξης ἐστι βασιλεύς, ὁ ἀνήρ ἐστιν ἀγαθός.

Digitized by Μίςrosoft®

The Statement, Question, and Petition differ from one another simply in the relation of the Predicate to the Subject. Thus we may say, "The door is shut" (Statement); "Is the door shut?" (Question); "Shut the door" (Petition). In the Statement we say that the Predicate is applicable to the Subject; in the Question we ask if the Predicate is applicable to the Subject; in the Petition we request or command that the Predicate may be applicable to the Subject. Whatever remarks are here made with regard to a sentence apply equally to each of its three forms, the Statement, the Question, and the Petition.

§ 2. THE PREDICATE AND ITS SUPPLE-MENTARY ADJUNCTS.

The Predicate is the whole statement made of the Subject, whether that statement is short and simple, or long and composite. It may be short and simple, consisting of one word, as in the examples given above—

Subject. Predicate. Έφξης βασιλεύει, ὁ ἀνῆρ (sc. ἐστιν) ἀγαθός,

or it may be a composite expression made up of many words—

SUBJECT.	PREDICATE.		
δ παῖς The boy	χαίρει rejoices	ύπερφυῶς. exceedingly.	
οί 'Αθηναῖοι The Athenians	$\stackrel{.}{a}\pi \hat{\eta} \lambda heta$ ον $departed$	τριταΐοι. on the third day.	

SUBJECT.	PREDICATE.
(You.)	τίνος διδάσκαλοι ήκετε; Το be whose teachers are you come?
(Thou.)	ίλεως αὐτῶν κλύε. Do thou hear them mercifully ἐμοὶ πικρὸς τέθνηκεν. is dead, a source of grief to me (i.e. his death is grievous to me).
αύτη ή στρατία This army	έξεισι ὅνειδος τῆ πόλει. will march out (so as to be, or, and will be), a disgrace to the state.
Thou	ἐπεξέρχει θρασύς. advancest in boldness. ἀγανακτῶ ἀναμνησθεὶς ἐμαν-
I	τοῦ. am vexed when I recollect my own conduct.
κρύσταλλος Ice	èπεπήγει οὐ βέβαιος. had frozen not so as to be solid.
ή δύσμορος	εἰς θανόντων ἔρχομαι κατασκαφάς ἔρημος πρὸς φίλων, ζῶσα.
I, most unhappy maid,	am descending to the cavernous

Compare two Latin instances—

Corpora infinita | iactantur.

Atoms are tossed about | in unlimited

chambers of the dead, | forlorn of friends, | while living still.

quantity.
Digitized by Microsoft®

SUBJECT.	PREDICATE.
Saxa	paullatim anima mollia caluerunt.
Stones	gradually waxed warm with the softness of life (ita ut mollia fierent, so as to become soft).

Instances may be found on any page of a book in any The first point to notice is that the whole expression constitutes the Predicate. The second point to notice is that, on analysing such compound Predicates as the above, there is a word (generally a verb, but it may be a noun or participle), which by itself, if all the rest were away, might stand as a simple Predicate, and that this simple Predicate is further extended or qualified by an adjunct or adjuncts. Thus in the sentence, of 'Abnualor $a\pi\eta\lambda\theta$ ον τριταΐοι, $a\pi\eta\lambda\theta$ ον τριταΐοι is the Predicate: άπηλθον alone as a Predicate would, with its Subject, have made a complete sentence; but $a\pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu$ is extended by stating the time of departure. There may be many supplementary adjuncts which swell out the Predicate. It is most important in Greek to notice them, and discover their special force, for they often convey the real pith and gist of the predication, denoting manner, degree cause, time, condition, purpose, the anticipated result, etc. etc.

In Greek, adverbs, adjectives, and participles (sometimes substantives) constantly occur as supplementary adjuncts, or, as they will be called throughout this book, Supplementary Predicates.

^{&#}x27; Dr. Donaldson called these supplementary adjuncts secondary Predicates. Thus he would have said that $\hat{a}\pi\hat{\eta}\lambda\theta\sigma\nu$ was the primary Predicate, and $\tau\rho\iota\tau\alpha\bar{\iota}\sigma$ the secondary Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 3. THE OBJECT, DIRECT AND REMOTE,

The direct Object is that which is immediately acted on by a transitive verb. The remote or remoter Object is that to which the direct object is transferred, or that which is interested and concerned in the verbal action. $\Delta \omega \sigma \omega$ $\delta \epsilon \kappa a \mu \nu \hat{a}_{S}$, I will give ten minae. Here $\mu \nu \hat{a}_{S}$ is the direct object. $\Delta \omega \sigma \omega$ $\delta \epsilon \kappa a \mu \nu \hat{a}_{S}$ $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \acute{a} \lambda \varphi$, I will give ten minae to the teacher. Here $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \acute{a} \lambda \varphi$ is the remoter object.

§ 4. PREDICATE, ATTRIBUTIVE OR EPITHET, AND APPOSITION.

The following instances will show the difference between a Predicate and an Attributive:—ὁ ἀνηρ (ἐστιν) ἀγαθός, the man is good—ἀγαθός, good, is a Predicate: ὁ ἀγαθὸς ἀνηρ, the good man—ἀγαθός, good, is an Attributive. The Predicate gives new information of the Subject, the Attributive uses information assumed to be known already, and thus forms one notion with a Substantive. Apposition does not form one notion with a Substantive, but is a further description appended to a Substantive, e.g. χρόνος, ὁ κοινὸς ἰατρός, σε θεραπεύσει, Time, the common physician, will heal thee.

§ 5. SIMPLE AND COMPOUND SENTENCES.

Αὐτὸς στρατηγῶ, I myself am general, is a Simple Sentence. Νικίας ἔφη, Nikias made a statement, again, is a Simple Sentence. But if we join the two together, thus—

Nikias eφη αὐτὸς στρατηγεῖν, Nikias stated that he himself was general, we have a Compound Sentence. A Compound Sentence is thus a sentence consisting of two (or more) sentences compounded into one. Logically, i.e. so far as thought goes, there is no difference between a Simple and a Compound Sentence. Each is an expression containing the three necessary elements of a sentence, i.e. Subject, Copula, and Predicate; e.g.—

Nικίας (Subject) ἔφη (Copula and Predicate).

αὐτὸς (Subject) στρατηγῶ (Copula and Predicate).

Νικίας (Subject) ἔφη αὐτὸς στρατηγεῖν (Copula and Predicate).

For the last sentence, so far as thought goes, simply amounts to this: Nikias | stated something.

Χαιρεφών, είς Δελφούς έλθών, ήρετο εί τις είη έμοῦ σοφώτερος.

Chaerephon, going to Delphi, asked if any one were wiser than I.

Here we have three sentences compounded into one, of which $Xa\iota\rho\epsilon\phi\hat{\omega}\nu$ is the Subject, and the rest is the Predicate, with the Copula contained in $\mathring{\eta}\rho\epsilon\tau o$. So far as thought goes, it amounts to saying, Chaerephon | asked a question on a certain occasion.

§ 6. PRINCIPAL AND SUBORDINATE SENTENCES.

In every Compound Sentence there must be one on which the rest depend in construction. Such a sentence is called the *Principal Sentence*. Those which depend

in construction on it are called Subordinate Sentences. Thus, in the first example above, $N\iota\kappa\iota\alpha$ s έφη is the Principal Sentence, $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\rho}s$ στρατηγε $\hat{\iota}\nu$ is the Subordinate Sentence. The dependence of the latter on the former is easily shown; if we remove $N\iota\kappa\iota\alpha$ s έφη, then $\alpha\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\rho}s$ στρατηγε $\hat{\iota}\nu$ cannot stand alone as a sentence. In the second sentence, $X\alpha\iota\rho\epsilon\phi\hat{\omega}\nu$ ήρετο is the Principal Sentence; the dependent question, ε $\hat{\iota}$ τις ε $\hat{\iota}$ η έμο $\hat{\nu}$ σοφώτερος, and the temporal participial sentence, ε $\hat{\iota}$ ς Δελφο $\hat{\nu}$ ς ελθώ ν , are the Subordinate Sentences.

§ 7. CO-ORDINATE SENTENCES.

Co-ordinate Sentences are of the same rank, i.e. construction, as those to which they are joined. Thus, if a sentence is co-ordinate with a Principal Sentence, it is a second Principal Sentence: if with a Subordinate Sentence, it is Subordinate, and of the same nature (whether an Indirect Statement, Question, Petition, Adverbial or Relative Sentence). (See below.)

δέομαι καὶ παρίεμαι ὑμῶν μήτε θαυμάζειν μήτε θορυβείν. I beg, and entreat you, neither to wonder, nor to interrupt.

Here καὶ παρίεμαι is co-ordinate with the Principal Sentence δέομαι, and therefore is a second Principal Sentence: while μήτε θορυβεῖν is co-ordinate with the Subordinate Sentence θαυμάζειν (an Indirect Petition), and therefore is Subordinate, and an Indirect Petition.

§ 8. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBORDINATE SENTENCES.

Subordinate Sentences are classified according to the relation in which they stand to the Principal Sentence.

Digitized by Microsoft®

Let the three following groups be taken.

A. PRINCIPAL.

- (a) 1. ου ράδιον έστι It is not easu
 - 2. Νικίας ἔφη Nikias said
- (β) 1. ἄδηλόν ἐστιν It is uncertain
 - 2. ούκ οίδα I do not know
- (γ) 1. περιηγγέλλετο
 - 2. δέομαί σου I beg you
- В. κατέγνωκάς μου You have condemned me κατέγνωκάς μου You have condemned me εὐτυχήσεις You will be fortunate
- C. ανέλαβον τα ποιήματα I took up the poems

SUBORDINATE.

ταθτα μαθείν. to learn this.

στρατηγείν. that he was general.

εί ταῦτα ξυνίης. whether you understand this.

מתוב במדו who he is.

πανστρατιά βοηθείν. Orderswere being sent round to march in full force.

> ταθτα μαθείν. to learn this.

άδίκως. unjustly.

δίοτι χρυσον έλαβες. because you took a bribe.

ήν ταθτα ποιήσης. if you do this.

α εποίησε Σοφοκλής. which Sophocles composed.

In group A it will be seen that the Subordinate Sentence supplies (1.) the Subject, (2.) the Object of the Compound Sentence. Now the chief function of a Substantive is to express the Subject or the Object. Such Subordinate Sentences as those in group A are therefore called Substantinal Sentences.

In group **B** the Subordinate Sentence is a supplementary Predicate to the Principal Sentence (see above, § 2). Now an Adverb is the type of a Supplementary Predicate. Subordinate Sentences of this group are therefore called *Adverbial*. They are Conditional (the Protasis or Condition), Concessive, Final, Modal, Consecutive, Limitative, Temporal, Comparative.

In group \mathbf{C} the Subordinate Sentence stands like an Attributive or Epithet to the noun $(\pi o \iota \eta \mu a \tau a)$ in the Principal Sentence. That noun is the antecedent to the relative, and the relative sentence is used like an adjective used attributively. Subordinate Sentences of this group therefore are generally called Adjectival Sentences. This, however, as we shall soon see, is too narrow a use of the term, and too inadequate a name for Relative Sentences. It would be better simply to call them Relative Sentences.

For with regard to Relative Sentences a fundamental distinction must be noticed. Some are (1) Attributive, others again are (2) virtually Adverbial.

- Attributive (or really Adjectival):—
 ανέλαβον τὰ ποιήματα ἃ ἐποίησε Σοφοκλῆς.
 I took up the poems which Sophocles wrote (or the Sophoclean poems).
- (2) Virtually Adverbial: τίς οὕτως εὐήθης ὅστις ἀγνοεῖ; Who is so simple that he does not know?

Here the Relative Sentence ὅστις ἀγνοεῖ = ὥστε ἀγνοεῖν;

§ 9. We thus arrive at the following Classification and Table of Subordinate Sentences :-

A. SUBSTANTIVAL

The Subordinate ject or Object of the Principal Sentence, whether

- Sentence is the Sub- a. Indirect Statement.
 - β. Indirect Question.
 - y. Indirect Petition.

B. ADVERBIAL.

Sentence like an Adverb is an adjunct of the Predicate.

The Subordinate (1. Introduced by a Subordinate Conjunction (such as εἰ, ἐπειδή, ώστε, etc. etc.).

2. Introduced by a Relative.

C. RELATIVE.

The Subordinate Sentence is either an Attributive, or is equivalent to an Adverbial Sentence (see B Adverbial, 2).

§ 10.

ORATIO RECTA.

By Oratio Recta is meant the words of a person given at first-hand, as from his own lips.

> δώσω ά έχω. I will give what I have. τί λέγεις; What do you mean? κόπτε την θύραν. Knock at the door.

§ II.

ORATIO OBLIOUA.

By Oratio Obliqua is meant the words or thoughts of a person given at second-hand, by some one else.

> έφη δώσειν ἃ έχοι. He said he would give what he had.

ηρόμην αὐτὸν τί λέγοι.

I asked him what he meant.
εἶπε τῷ παιδὶ κόπτειν τὴν θύραν.

He told the boy to knock at the door.

§ 12. SUBDIRECT AND SUBOBLIQUE.

A Subordinate Sentence is Subdirect when it depends on a Principal Sentence in the Recta.

Principal. Subdirect, δώσω ἃ ἔχω.

It is Suboblique when it depends on a Principal Sentence which itself is Subordinate.

Principal. Subdirect. Suboblique. $\mathring{\epsilon}\phi\eta$ δώσειν \mathring{a} έχοι.

In this last example $\delta\omega\sigma\epsilon\iota\nu$ is subordinate to its Principal Sentence $\epsilon\phi\eta$, but it is the Principal Sentence to \hat{a} $\epsilon\chi\sigma\iota$. See further under *Oratio Obliqua*.

§ 13. VIRTUALLY OBLIQUE.

A Subordinate Sentence is said to be virtually Oblique when it alludes to the words or thoughts of another, the actual verb of saying or thinking on which it depends having to be mentally supplied from the context.

ἐκάκιζον τὸν Περικλέα ὅτι οὐκ ἐπεξάγοι.
They were abusing Pericles because (so they said) he did not lead them out.

A verb like ἔλεγον is contained in ἐκάκιζον.

CHAPTER L

SUBJECT AND PREDICATE.

ATTRIBUTIVE AND APPOSITION.

- § 14. The Subject is (a) a noun, or pronoun, or (β) the equivalent of a noun:
- (β) οἱ ἀγαθοί, the good; τὸ δίκαιον, justice; τὸ δεδιέναι, fear; ὁ φεύγων, the defendant; τὸ δεδιός, fear; οἱ νῦν, the present generation.
- § 15. The Copula is a verb which merely serves as a link to join the Subject and Predicate, without containing in itself the predication.

The commonest Copulas are εἰμί and γίγνομαι. Many others, however, serve as Copulas, ὑπάρχω, πέφυκα, καθίσταμαι.

Note. Other Copulas are ὀνομάζομαι, καλοῦμαι, φαίνομαι, τυγχάνω, and κυρῶ (even without a participle), κλύω and ἀκούω (I am called, or, spoken of), πέλω in poetry.

εἰμί, expressing existence, may be more than a copula; it

may be a predicative verb, e.g. $\alpha \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \delta s$, there is a God.

§ 16. OMISSION OF THE COPULA.

The Copula is often omitted in Greek, in fact, whereever the distinction between Subject and Predicate is clearly marked without it:

τό μαντικον γένος φιλάργυρον. SOPH. Antig. The tribe of seers is covetous.

έχθρων ἄδωρα δωρα κοὐκ ὀνήσιμα. Sopn. Antig. Giftless the gifts of foes, and profitless.

And sometimes the Copula, in a freer way, is omitted in dependent clauses where we might have expected it to be expressed:

> έως έτ' έν ἀσφαλεῖ, φυλάξασθε. DEM. 19. 26. While you are still in safety, be on your guard. έως sub. έστε.

Cf. Eur. Hipp. 659. Thuc. i. 91. 1.

SUBJECT AND PREDICATE OF § 17. AN INFINITIVE.

The Subject and the Predicate of an Infinitive are in the Accusative.

But the Subject and Predicate of an Infinitive are in the Nominative when they refer to the Subject of the Principal Verb.

The same two rules apply to the Subject and Predicate of a Participle.

For further rules, and for examples, see Compound Sentence, Indirect Statement,

§ 18. The Subject and Predicate of the Infinitive (or Participle) may be in the Genitive or Dative, if the principal verb governs either of those cases.

ήσθόμην αὐτῶν οἰομένων σοφωτάτων είναι. Plat. Ap. vi. I noticed that they fancied they were the wisest of mankind.

The subject to είναι is omitted, σοφωτάτων is the Predicate. παντί προσήκει ἄρχοντι φρονίμω είναι. ΧΕΝ. Hip. 7. 1.

It behaves every ruler to be prudent.

The Subject and Predicate, however, in such a construction may stand in the Accusative.

ξυμφέρει αὐτοῖς φίλους είναι. ΧΕΝ. Oik. ii. 23. It is expedient for them to be friendly.

Cf. XEN. Hell. iv. 8. 4. Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 19. Omission of the Subject.

The Subject is omitted in the third person in a great number of indefinite phrases and impersonal verbs.

(a) In terms of the weather, or natural phenomena. The Subject is a vague indefinite agent (e.g. $Z\epsilon \acute{v}_{S}$, \acute{o} $\theta \acute{e}\acute{o}_{S}$). $\mathring{v}_{\epsilon \iota}$, it rains; $\imath \acute{v} \acute{\phi} \acute{e}_{\iota}$, it snows; $\beta \rho o \nu \tau \acute{q}$, it thunders; $\mathring{a} \sigma \tau \rho \acute{a} \pi \tau \epsilon \iota$, it lightens; $\chi \epsilon \iota \mu \acute{a} \acute{\xi} \epsilon \iota$, it is stormy; $\sigma \nu \sigma \kappa o \tau \acute{a} \acute{\xi} \epsilon \iota$, it grows dark; $\mathring{e} \sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \epsilon$, there was an earthquake.

Sometimes the agent is expressed—ὕει μὲν ὁ Ζεύς. Alcaeus,

Fragm.

- (b) The Subject is not expressed when the action alone is worth noticing, and the Subject is well known. σαλπίζει, the trumpet sounds (i.e. ὁ σαλπιγκτής σαλπίζει, the trumpeter sounds the trumpet); σημαίνει (sc. ὁ κῆρυξ, or ὁ σαλπιγκτής), the signal is given; κηρύσσει (ὁ κῆρυξ), proclamation is made; ἀναγνώσεται (ὁ γραμματεύς), the reading will follow.
- (c) Passive Verbs—λέγεται, it is said; εἴρηται, do.; παρεσκεύασται, preparation has been made. Cf. Latin, itur, ventum est.

Active Verbs—ως λέγουσιν, as men say, as they say; φασί,

it is said; οἴονται, people think. Cf. Latin, ferunt, tradunt.

τίς, τίνες, ἄνθρωποι, in phrases like the last, may be

expressed (like the French on)—e.g. $\eta \nu \tau \iota s$ d $\delta \iota \kappa \hat{\eta}$, if one commits injustice.

(d) Ordinary impersonal verbs and expressions— $\epsilon \hat{v}$ $\check{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota$, $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \iota$, etc.

Note. The Latin rule that only transitive verbs which are followed by an accusative in the active can be personal in the passive does not hold in Greek. Thus we may say—

κατηγορώ Σωκράτους, I accuse Socrates; and Σωκράτης κατηγορείται, Socrates is accused.

πιστεύομεν Σωκράτει, we believe Socrates (Socrati credimus); and

Σωκράτης πιστεύεται, Socrates is believed (Socrati creditur).

§ 20. THE PREDICATE.

The Predicate is usually contained either (1) in a Verb, or (2) an Adjective or Participle. In the former case the

Predicate agrees with the Subject in number and person; in the latter case in number, gender, and case.

- (1) ἐνικήθησαν οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι.
 The Athenians were defeated.
- (2) ή ἀλήθεια ἐστιν ὀρθή.
 Truth is straightforward.

In the former case the Copula is contained in the inflection of the verb. In the latter the Copula is expressed or understood.

§ 21. Peculiarities in the Agreement of Subject and Predicate.

A neuter plural Subject takes a verb singular.
τὰ ἀνδράποδα ἀπέφυγε, the slaves escaped.
τὰ καλὰ τὴν ψυχὴν εὐφραίνει, good deeds gladden the soul.

§ 22. A plural verb with neuter plural Subject occurs rarely (chiefly in Thucydides, Xenophon, and Plato). In such cases (often when persons are implied) the distributive character of the noun is brought out. Thus in Thuc. i. 58, there are two readings,—τὰ τέλη ὑπέσχετο and ὑπέσχοντο. If ὑπέσχετο, Thucydides is following ordinary usage: if ὑπέσχοντο, he is thinking of the persons (the magistrates promised).

ένταῦθα ἦσαν τὰ Συεννέσιος βασίλεια. ΧΕΝ. Απ. i. 2. 23.

There were the (several) palaces of Syennesis.

Obs. The phrase $\delta \delta \xi a \nu \tau a \hat{\nu} \tau a$, When it had been thus resolved, which occurs as well as $\delta \delta \xi a \nu \tau a \tau a \hat{\nu} \tau a$, follows in the participle the construction of $\delta \delta \kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota} \tau a \hat{\nu} \tau a$.

§ 23. An Adjective-Predicate in the neuter singular may be used with a plural Subject. The Predicate sums up collectively the character of the Subject.

καλον ή ἀλήθεια καὶ μόνιμον. Plat. Truth is noble and abiding. ἔρωτες κακον μέγα. Eurip. Loves are a great curse.

Digitized by Microsoft® ...

Note. Cf. Eur. El. 1035; Ar. Ecc. 236; Plat. Phaed. 242 (μαντικόν τι ἡ ψυχή). The stock quotation is from Hom. Il. ii. 204, οὐκ ἀγαθὸν πολυκοιρανίη, εἶς κοίρανος ἔστω, No good thing the rule of the many, one ruler be there.

Compare with this the use of the phrases, πάντα εἶναι, to be all in all (i.e. of prime importance); τὰ πρῶτα εἶναι, to be the head and front of; πάντ' ἦν 'Αλέξανδρος, Alexander was every-

thing, all in all. DEM. 23. 120.

§ 24. In the poets, and in Plato, a singular verb is occasionally found with a plural Subject. From the occurrence of this construction in Pindar it is called the Schema Pindaricum.

ἔστι γὰρ ἔμοιγε βωμοί. PLAT. Euthyd. 302. I have altars.

The verb generally comes first in this construction.

Cf. Pind. Frag. 344; Pyth. x. 7; Eurip. Ion, 1146; Helen. 1358; Aesch. Pers. 49. Compare in French, "il est cent usages;" and Bacon, Advancement of Learning, II. ii. 7, "a portion of the time wherein there hath been the greatest varieties." Shakspere, Macbeth, v. iii. "Serv. There is ten thousand — Mac. Geese, villain? Serv. Soldiers, sir." In some cases, however, the apparent singular in English is a real dialectic plural.

§ 25. Agreement of the Predicate when there are several Subjects.

The Dual Subject is considered separately.

(1) The first case is where the Subjects are *persons*. Here (a) with regard to number, the Predicate may be either correctly plural, or singular in agreement with one prominent subject; (b) with regard to gender, the masculine is preferred to the feminine; (c) with regard to person, the first is preferred to the second, the second to the third.

καὶ ἡ γυνὴ καὶ ὁ ἀνὴρ ἀγαθοί εἰσιν. PLAT. Men. 73. Both the wife and the husband are good.

ἴσως ἀναβήσεται Φίλιππος καὶ ᾿Αντιγένης καὶ ὁ ἀντιγραφεύς. DEM. 22. 38.

Perhaps there will appear Philip, and Antigenes, and the controller.

Digitized by Microsoft®

είδον νέους καὶ νέας ὁμιλοῦντας ἀλλήλοις. Plat. Leg. 835. I saw young men and women associating together.

έγω και οι άλλοι πρέσβεις περιήλθομεν. ΕΕΜ. 129. 72.

I and the other envoys went round.

σύ τε "Ελλην εί καὶ ἡμείς. ΧΕΝ. Anab. ii. 1. 16.

You and we are Greeks.

You are Greek, and (so are) we.

Observe the emphatic position of the verb when it is in the singular.

(2) The second case is where the several Subjects are things. Here (a) with regard to number, we frequently find the Predicate in the singular, in agreement with one prominent Subject; frequently also in the plural; (b) with regard to gender, the Predicate, when plural, is generally neuter, when singular it agrees with the prominent Subject.

τῶν κακῶν ἡ στάσις καὶ ὁ πόλεμος αἴτιός ἐστιν. Dem. Sedition and war are the cause of our troubles.

λήθη καὶ δυσκολία καὶ μανία πολλάκις εἰς τὴν διάνοιαν ἐμπίπτουσιν. ΧΕΝ. Αρ. iii. 12. 6.

Forgetfulness, and discontent, and madness often attack the mind.

τὸ ὑγιαίνειν καὶ τὸ νοσεῖν ἀγαθὰ ἄν εἴη.

XEN. Ap. iv. 2. 36.

Health and sickness might be blessings.

The singular Predicate is not unknown in English:

Destruction and unhappiness is in their ways. Ps. xiv. 7. So great an affinity hath fiction and belief. Bacon, Advancement of Learning, i. 4. 8.

(3) The third case is where, in the Subjects, there is a combination of persons and things. Here the person will generally in gender over-ride the thing; in number, as before, both singular and plural are used.

έπύθετο τὸν Στρομβιχίδην καὶ τὰς ναῦς ἀπεληλυθότα.

THUC. viii. 63. 1.

He heard that Strombichides and his fleet had sailed away.

ή τύχη καὶ Φίλιππος ήσαν τῶν ἔργων κύριοι.

AESCHIN. 12. 181.

Fortune and Philip were masters of circumstances.

Great variety is allowable where there is a plurality of Subjects. The leading principles only have been indicated in the above rules.

With disjunctives, $\ddot{\eta} - \ddot{\eta}$, $o\ddot{v}\tau\epsilon - o\ddot{v}\tau\epsilon$, the Predicate generally

agrees with the nearest Subject.

- § 26. The demonstrative pronouns ὅδε, οδτος, ἐκεῖνος, when used as Subjects to a Predicate, or as Predicates to a Subject, either (1) are assimilated to the gender and number of their subject or predicate, or (2) are in the neuter singular or plural.
 - ἐκεῖνός ἐστιν ἔλεγχος μέγιστος. Lys. 16. 6.
 This is the strongest proof.
 οἶμαι ἐμὴν ταύτην πατρίδα εἶναι. XEN. Anab. iv. 8. 4.
 I think that this is my country.
 - Cf. VERG. Aen. vi. 129, hoc opus, hic labor est.
 - (2) τοῦτ' εἰσὶν οἱ λόγοι. DEM. 8. 7. The statements are these (come to this). οὐ λόγων κόμπος τάδε. Thuc. ii. 41. 1. This is no boastful talk.

Cf. the use of τάδε: οὐκ Ἰωνες τάδε εἰσιν, Thuc. vi. 77. 1. These are not Ionians, we have no Ionians here. Cf. Eur. Androm. 168.

Also cf. τί, ὅτι, (interrogative):—δημοκρατίαν οἶσθα τί ἐστιν; Do you know what democracy is? δουλεύομεν θεοῖς ὅ τι ποτ' εἰσίν οἱ θεοί. Eur. Or. 418, we are slaves to gods, whate'er these gods may be.

So the phrase $\tau \circ \hat{v} \tau \circ d\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \acute{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \iota s$, what you say is true.

§ 27. Peculiarities of Number—Singular, Dual, and Plural.

- (a) The singular is used for the plural (a) with collective nouns, (b) with nouns of material, (c) with nouns denoting nationality, (d) in several military expressions, etc.
 - (a) $\delta \in \chi \theta \rho \delta s$, the enemy; $\delta \pi \in \lambda \alpha s$, one's neighbour.
 - (b) κέραμος, tiles; πλίνθος, bricks; ἄμπελος, vines; ἐσθής, clothes.

- (c) ὁ Ἰλλύριος, the Illyrians, ὁ Χαλκιδεύς, the Chalcidians (cf. the Latin Poenus, Romanus). But sometimes, as in Latin, of the general, king, or prince.
- (d) ὁ ἴππος, the cavalry ; ἀσπίς (=ὁπλῖται), hoplites, heavyarmed infantry.
- (β) A collective noun singular $(\pi\lambda\hat{\eta}\theta$ os, γένοs, στράτευμα, etc.) may agree with a plural predicate. Often there is a mixture of singular and plural.

μέρος τι ἀνθρώπων οὐχ ἡγοῦνται θεούς. Plat. Ley. 948. A portion of mankind do not believe in gods.

τὸ στράτευμα ἐπορίζετο σῖτον, κόπτοντες τοὺς βοῦς καὶ ὄνους. ΧΕΝ. Αnab. ii. 1. 6.

The army provided itself with food by cutting up the oxen and asses.

§ 28. The Dual Number.

1. The Dual is a kind of plural, an unnecessary kind. It is not used in Aeolic, and it has disappeared in Modern Greek. The agreement between a dual subject and its verb or adjective is irregular. We may say that the strict dual agreement is adhered to only where the idea of duality (of there being a pair of things) is prominent.

The first person dual does not exist in the active voice. It is very doubtful whether it occurs in the middle. Il. xxiii. 485, SOPH. Phil. 1079, SOPH. El. 950, seem to be about the only three places, and in all of them the plural may be the

correct reading.

νω θεασωμεσθα. AR. Av. 664 Let us two see.

(2.) Dual of the Article and of Pronouns.—The feminine dual is defective, especially in the nominative and accusative forms. (See Krüger, p. 235.)

 $\tau \dot{\omega}$ is the regular prose form for all genders, $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ is rare in poetry, $\tau o \hat{\imath} \nu$ is much commoner than $\tau a \hat{\imath} \nu$.

τώδε is used, not τάδε, but ταῖνδε is used.

τούτω not ταύτα. Both τούτοιν and ταύταιν for the feminine. αὐτώ and αὐτά are both used for the feminine, also αὐτοῦν and αὐταῖν.

Digitized by Microsoft®

άλλήλω and άλλήλα, άλλήλοιν and άλλήλαιν ere found equally with feminine nouns.

Similarly ἐμώ, μόνω, ματαίω, ἀξίω occur with feminine nouns.

(3) The strict dual agreement is shown in the following examples:

τὼ ἀδελφὼ αὐτὼ ὥπερ ἐγενέσθην ἄμφω ἄπαιδε ἐτελευτησάτην. ISAEUS, 6. 6.

The two brothers themselves who were born both died childless.

Similarly when there are two Subjects:-

ήδονη καὶ λύπη ἐν τῷ πόλει βασιλεύσετον. Plat. Rep. 607. Pleasure and pain shall reign in the State.

A good instance occurs in SOPH. El. 977-985, where the effect is heightened by the dual form.

δύο ἐξ ἐνὸς ἀγῶνος γεγένησθον. Antiph. Herod. 85. Two trials have been made out of one.

(4) The following miscellaneous instances show the irregularity of agreement both in *gender*, and in *number*:—

αμφω τούτω τὼ ἡμέρα. Xen. Cyr. i. 2. 11. Both these days.

κατηγόρησεν ἀμφοῖν τοῖν πολέοιν. Is. xii. 9. 7. He accused both the states.

τὼ χεῖρε ἄς ὁ Θεὸς ἐποίησεν. XEN. Ap. ii. 3. 18. The hands which God made.

τῶν αὐτῶν δέονται καὶ ἡ γυνή καὶ ὁ ἀνήρ. PLAT. Men. 73. The wife and the husband need the same things.

N.B.—δύο agrees with a dual or plural noun, or verb—äμφω and ἀμφοῖν, generally with the dual; ἀμφότεροs, more commonly with plural than dual.

έβούλετο οἱ τὰ παῖδε ἀμφοτέρω παρεῖναι. XEN. An. i. 1. 1. He wished both his sons to be present.

ἀπέθανον οἱ στρατηγοὶ ἀμφότεροι. THUC. v. 74. 2. Both the generals were slain.

(5) A dual verb is found joined to a plural subject, or several subjects, when the subjects are arranged or contrasted

Digitized by Microsoft®

singly or in pairs. This construction occurs several times in Homer. (See Jelf, § 388. 1.)

δυνάμεις άμφότεραί έστον δόξα καὶ έπιστήμη.

PL. Rep. 478, B.

Both are faculties, opinion and certain knowledge.

So AESCH. Eum. 256, λεύσσετον, of the chorus divided into ἡμιχόρια.

§ 29. The Plural for the Singular is used-

- (1) With proper names—οἱ Ἡρακλέες τε καὶ Θησέες, PLAT. Theaet. 169, B. Cf. Latin, Scipiones et Laelii; English, Our Burkes and Chathams. Cf. Aesch. Ag. 1439; Xen. An. iii. 2. 31.
- (2) Very freely with abstract nouns, i.e. names of qualities, denoting (as in Latin) instances or kinds of the quality—e.g. uavíai, fits of madness; εὖνοιαι, instances of benevolence; στάσεις, instances of revolution; ἀνδρίαι, deeds of valour.

Some words are repeatedly used in this way—βίοι, θάνατοι, modes or forms of life, death: ἀκμαί, prime of life (flos actatis):

υπνοι, sleep, etc.

τοις μετρίοις ζηλοί τε και φθόνοι οὐκ ἐγγίγνονται

PLAT. Leg. 679.

Self-controlled persons are not subject to rivalry and envy (or fits of rivalry, etc.).

- (3) Terms of weather and time— $\theta \acute{a} \lambda \pi \eta$, $\theta \acute{e} \rho \mu \acute{o} \tau \eta \tau \acute{e} s$, heat; $\psi \acute{v} \acute{e} \acute{e} i s$, cold; $\chi \acute{a} \lambda a \acute{e} a i$, hail; $\ddot{e} \mu \beta \rho o i$, rain; $a \acute{v} \chi \mu o \acute{e} i$, drought; $\pi \acute{a} \chi \nu a i$, frost; $\acute{e} \rho v o \acute{e} \beta a i$, mildew; $\mu \acute{e} \sigma a i$ $v \acute{v} \kappa \tau \acute{e} s$, midnight, $v \acute{v} \kappa \tau \acute{e} s$, hours or watches of the night.
 - (4) Material Nouns—πυροί καὶ κριθαί, wheat and barley.

But here a distinction is commonly made between singular and plural, e.g. κρέαs, a piece of meat, κρέα, meat; ξύλον, a piece of wood, stick, cudgel, ξύλα, timber; ήλιος, the sun, ήλιοι, rays of the sun; ἄλς, salt, ἄλες, salt-works; λογισμός, a reckoning, λογισμοί (also λογισμός), arithmetic.

(5) The plural is often used for the singular in poetry to heighten the effect by the vagueness of the expression—alματα, φόνοι, blood or bloodshed: πλοῦτοι riches; θρόνοι, royalty, royal by Microsoft (19) μη ποροίτης στο μεταξέντα του μετ

commands; δώματα, a house; πύλαι, a gate; αὐλαῖ, a dwelling; γλῶσσαι, the tongue.

(6) The neuter plural of verbals in -τεοs, ἐπιχειρητέα, ἀδύνατα, and πότερα, and many adjectives. See Verbal Adjectives.

§ 30. The First Person Plural is used of a Singular Subject

when (a) the author of a book refers to himself; (b) especially in the poets, often when a person speaks of himself as acting with or for others.

- (a) τοῦτο πειρασόμεθα διηγήσασθαι. XEN. Cyr. viii. 1. 48.
 This we will endeavour to describe.
- (b) οὐκ ἄν γυναικῶν ἤσσονες καλοίμεθ' ἄν. SOPH. Ant. 680.
 We should not be called inferior to women.

In Plat. Sympos. 186, B, one physician speaks in the plural as representing the profession.

In tragedy a woman may speak of herself in the plural masculine, sometimes in the singular masculine.

πεσούμεθ', εἰ χρή, πατρὶ τιμωρούμενοι. SOPH. El. 399. We will fall, if fall we must, avenging a father. (Elektra is speaking).

In Eur. Hippol. 1103, the female Coryphaeus speaks of her self in masculine singular.

§ 31. Peculiarities of Person.

The second person singular is used, as in Latin, in an indefinite way like an impersonal expression.

είδες αν, you might have seen; ήγήσω αν, you would (or might)

have thought; ἡγήσαιο αν, you would think (credideris).

For peculiarities of the third person, see Omission of the Subject.

§ 32. Supplementary Predicates (See Introduction).

Certain adjectives are constantly so used. Such are (a) πολὺς, ἄσμενος, ἐκὼν, ἐκούσιος, ἄκων (invitus); (b) adjectives of number, πρότερος, πρῶτος, δεύτερος, ὕστερος, ὕστατος, δευτεραίος Digitized by Microsoft

(on the second day), $\tau \rho \iota \tau \alpha \hat{\iota} os$ (on the third day); (c) words referring to time or place, $\ddot{o} \rho \theta \rho \iota os$, in the morning; $\chi \rho \acute{o} \iota \iota os$, late; $a \dot{\iota} \phi \iota \iota \acute{o} s$, suddenly; $\sigma \kappa \sigma \tau \alpha \hat{\iota} os$, $\sigma \kappa \sigma \tau \iota \alpha \hat{\iota} os$, in the dark; $\sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \hat{\iota} os$, in how many days?

ό 'Ασωπός ποταμός έρρύη μέγας. Thuc. ii. 5. 2. The river Asopus flowed with a strong stream.

άφικνοῦνται αἰφνίδιοι. Thuc. viii. 14. 1. They arrive suddenly.

κακὸς ἐκὼν οὐδείς. Plat. Tim. 86. No one is deliberately wicked.

σπονδάς λύουσιν οἱ πρότεροι ἐπιόντες. Thuc. i. 123. 3. The breakers of treaties are the first aggressors.

Observe the following distinctions (Krüger, p. 229):-

 $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \circ s$ $M \eta \theta \dot{\iota} \mu \nu \eta \pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\epsilon} \beta a \lambda \dot{\epsilon}$. He was the first who attacked Methymne.

πρώτη Μηθύμνη προσέβαλε. Methymne was the first place he attacked.

πρῶτον Μηθύμνη προσέβαλε. His first act was to attack Methymne.

The Greek adjective is more freely used in this way than the Latin, but see Roby, Lat. Gr., 1069.

§ 33. Peculiarities in the Construction of the Attributive or Epithet.

A Substantive is sometimes used as an attributive to another Substantive—

- (1) Commonly with ἀνήρ, ἄνθρωπος, γυνή, e.g. ἀνὴρ ὁπλίτης, a heavy-armed soldier; ἀνὴρ τόραννος, a despot; γραῦς γυνή, an old woman; ἄνθρωπος πολίτης, a citizen; ἀνὴρ Σπαρτιάτης, a Spartan citizen. So ἄνδρες ᾿Αθηναῖοι, ἄνδρες δικασταί, Athenians, jurymen.
- (2) Many miscellaneous Substantives are thus used as Adjectives, especially in the poets, but some in prose also: ὅλεθρος Μακεδών (γραμματεύς), DEM. 9. 31. 18. 127, a scoundrel of a Macedonian, or a pestilent Macedonian, a pestilent scribe; ὁπλίτης στρατός, κόσμος, Eur. Her. 699, 800.; γέρων ὀφθαλμός, Eur. Or. 529; παρθένος χείρ, Eur. Phoen. 838; λόγος ἔπαινος, Plat. Phaedr. 260, B.

"Ελλην for Έλληνικός is often found, e.g. οι "Ελληνες πελτασταί, Xen. An. vi. 5. 26. Eur. Her. 130, στολὴν "Ελληνα.

καὶ ζη τύραννον σχημ' ἔχων. Soph. Ant. 1169.

(3) When there are several attributives to one Substantive the adjectives may be added one after another without conjunctions (Asyndeton).

ἄλλα δόρατα εἶχον παχέα, μακρά, ὅσα ἀνὴρ ἄν φέροι μόλις. ΧΕΝ. Απ. v. 4. 24.

They had other spears, stout, long, such as a man could with difficulty carry.

καί, however, often joins two adjectives, especially πολύς with another adjective, where in English we omit the conjunction, as one combined notion is formed: πολλὰ καὶ χαλεπά, πολλὰ καὶ δεινά, many difficult things, many dangers; ἀγαθοὶ καὶ παλαιοὶ νομοθεταί, good lawgivers of old, Plat. Pro. 326. Cf. καλὸς κἀγαθός (καλοκαγαθός), an aristocrat (in a political sense), a perfect character (in a moral sense).

(4) An adjective or participle may agree with the sense rather than the form of the word.

δ περισσὰ τιμηθεὶς τέκνον. Eur. Tro. 735. Oh son, honoured exceedingly.

τὰ μειράκια πρὸς ἀλλήλους διαλεγόμενοι. PL Lach. 180. The lads conversing together.

Cf. Dem. 21. 117, $\kappa\epsilon\phi\alpha\lambda\dot{\eta}$, $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\epsilon\lambda\eta\lambda\nu\theta\dot{\omega}s$. Is. 6. 49, $\phi\dot{\nu}\sigma\epsilon\omega s$, δs .

§ 34. Peculiarities of Apposition.

1. Partitive Apposition (or Σχημα καθ' ὅλον καὶ μέρη, i.e. the

figure, or construction, of the whole and its parts).

In this construction the whole comes first, and afterwards in apposition with it are its parts. The noun which contains the whole should strictly be in the genitive, but it is desirable at once to state it as the Subject or the Object of the sentence.

The really logical construction with the whole in the

Genitive is seen here—

τῶν πόλεων αἱ μεν τυραννοῦνται, αἱ δὲ δημοκρατοῦνται, αἱ δὲ ἀριστοκρατοῦνται.

PLAT. Rep. 338.

Of states, some are despotic, others democratic, others aristo cratic.

λύπαι αι μèν χρησταί εἰσιν, αι δè κακαί. PLAT. Gorg. 499. With regard to pains, some are good, others bad.

οὖτοι ἄλλος ἄλλα λέγει. XEN. Anab. ii. 1. 15. These men say some one thing, some another.

πᾶσιν ἔργον τι ἐκάστφ προστέτακται. PLAT. Rep. 406. To all and each some task is appointed.

καθήμεθ' ἄκρων ἐκ πάγων ἐγερτὶ κινῶν ἄνδρ' ἀνὴρ ἐπιρρόθοις κακοῖσιν.

SOPH. Ant. 411.

We were seated on the hill-top . . . eagerly provoking each his fellow with bandied threats.

With a singular whole:—λέγεται ψυχὴ ἡ μὲν νοῦν ἔχειν, ἡ δὲ ἄνοιαν. Plat. Phaedr. 93.

2. A Substantive (with adjuncts) either in the Nominative or Accusative may be in apposition to the verbal action. This is known as the Nominative or Accusative in Apposition to the Sentence.

κείνται πεσόντες, πίστις οὐ σμικρὰ πόλει. Eur. Rhes. 415. (Some) have fallen and lie buried, no slight proof of loyalty to the realm.

εὐδαιμονοίης, μισθὸν ἡδίστων λόγων. Eur. El. 231. Blest be thou, the reward of sweetest tidings.

The stock example is-

Έλένην κτάνωμεν, Μενελέφ λύπην πικράν. Eur. Or. 1098. Let us slay Helen, sharp pain to Menelaus (i.e the death of Helen will be a cruel blow to Menelaus).

- 3. A substantive is very often found in apposition to a preceding pronoun, or pronominal phrase, which draws attention to what follows. Certain idioms of this kind are of the commonest occurrence:
 - (a) τοῦτο, ἐκείνο, αὐτὸ τοῦτο, αὖτό preceding a substantive. ἐκείνο κερδαίνειν ἡγεῖται, τὴν ἡδονήν. Plat. Rep. 606. This it regards as gain (namely) pleasure.

τουτό γε αὐτό, ἡ εὐβουλία. Plat. Rep. 428. This particular quality, prudence.

In the same way must be explained the usage of ἄλλο τι, ταῦτ' ἄρα, τοῦτο μέν, ἴνα τί, and many other expressions of constant recurrence in Plato by Microsoft®

Some constantly recurring Platonic phrases with αὐτό.

- αὐτὸ δικαιοσύνη, ideal justice, or justice in the abstract; αὐτὸ μέγεθος, abstract greatness as opposed to τὸ ἐν ἡμῖν μέγεθος, concrete greatness.
- (b) The numerals, ἔν, δύο, τρία, δυοῖν θάτερον (one of two), δυοῖν τὰ ἔτερα, δυοῖν δεῖ θάτερον (one of two things is necessary).

δυοῦν δεῖ θάτερον, ἢ πρωτεύειν ἢ ἀνηρῆσθαι. Is. 6. 89. One of two things we must do, either be first, or perish.

- (c) τὸ λεγόμενον (quod dicunt, quod dicitur), as the saying is; τὸ τῆς παροιμίας (quod aiunt), according to the proverb; κεφάλαιον (denique, ad summam) to sum up. σημεῖον δέ, τεκμήριον δέ, as an instance, in proof of this; and many others.
 - τὸ λεγόμενον, κάτοπιν τῆς ἐορτῆς ἥκομεν. Plat. Gorg. 477. We are come too late for the feast, as the saying is.
 - 'Αθηναΐοι περὶ δόξης μᾶλλον ἐσπούδαζον ἢ περὶ χρημάτων. τεκμήριον δέ' χρήματα γὰρ πλείστα ὑπὲρ φιλοτιμίας ἀνήλωσαν. DEM. 20. 10.
 - The Athenians used to care more for reputation than money.

 As a proof of this assertion, they spent vast sums of money for a noble ambition.
- - å ἄρωτε ἀνδρῶν, 'Αθηναῖος ἄν, πόλεως τῆς μεγίστης, etc. (πόλεως in apposition to 'Αθηνῶν implied in 'Αθηναῖος).

 Plat. Αρ. xviii.

My excellent friend, you an Athenian, a citizen of the greatest city, etc.

Cf. Aesch. *Pers.* 162, where a genitive and a possessive are combined.

CHAPTER II.

THE ARTICLE.

§ 35. Origin and Development of the Article.

'O, $\dot{\eta}$, $\tau \dot{o}$ (as well as \dot{o} s, $\ddot{\eta}$, \ddot{o}) was originally a demonstrative. Besides being a demonstrative it supplied the place of the third personal pronoun, the relative, and the definite article.

The first point to bear in mind about δ , $\dot{\eta}$, $\tau \delta$, is its

essentially demonstrative character.

In Homer δ , $\dot{\eta}$, $\tau \dot{\phi}$ is a demonstrative, both substantive and adjective:

- (a) Substantive: τῶν νῦν μιν μνήσασα, of those things now putting him in mind.
- (b) Adjective: φθίσει σε τὸ σὸν μένος, that thy courage will mar thee.

It also takes the place of the third personal pronoun.

τὴν δ' ἐγὼ οὐ λύσω, but that one (her) I will not free.
τοῦ δὲ κλύε Φοῦβος ᾿Απόλλων, and Phoebus Apollo heard
that one (him).

It also does the work of the relative.

ανακτι, τὸν ἠύκομος τέκε Λητώ, to the king, whom fairhaired Leto bare.

The following examples show the transition in Homer from the demonstrative to the definite article.

ο δ' ἔβραχε χάλκεος "Αρης. And he, brazen Ares, roared.

Here the noun is in apposition to the demonstrative ô.

αὐτὰρ ὁ τοῖσι γέρων ὁδὸν ἡγομόνευεν. But he, the old man (or the old man), was leading the way $d\lambda\lambda'$ ὅτε δὴ τὴν νῆσον ἀφίκετο. But when now he came to that (the) island.

τό τε σθένος 'Ωρίωνος.

And the might of Orion.

Digitized by Microsoft®

So of $\tilde{a}\lambda\lambda\omega$, the rest; $\tau\acute{a}$ τ' $\acute{\epsilon}\acute{o}\nu\tau a$ $\tau\acute{a}$ τ' $\acute{\epsilon}\sigma\acute{o}u\epsilon\nu a$, the present and the future.

The last examples show that the use of δ , η , $\tau \delta$ as the

definite article is to be found as early as Homer.

It must be borne in mind however that such a use of δ , $\dot{\eta}$, $\tau \dot{\delta}$ in Homer is exceptional. According to old Greek (Homeric) use, nouns stand without the article as in Latin.

δεινη δε κλαγγη γένετ' άργυρεοιο βιοίο.

And terrible arose the twang of the silver bow.

In Attic Greek prose $\dot{\eta}$ $\kappa\lambda\alpha\gamma\gamma\dot{\eta}$, $\tau o\hat{v}$ $\beta \iota o\hat{v}$ would be required. To sum up therefore—

- (a) ős, ŋ, ő, originally demonstrative, became the relative (with occasional traces in Attic of its older use).
- (b) δ , $\dot{\eta}$, $\tau \dot{\epsilon}$, originally demonstrative, became the definite article (though instances occur in Attic of its use as a demonstrative and as a relative).
- (c) οὖτος, ὄδε, ἐκεῖνος took the place of ὁ, ἡ, τό, as demonstratives in Attic. The third personal pronoun was in Attic expressed by
- (d) the oblique cases of αὐτός and (when necessary) in the nominative by the demonstratives.

Obs. The origin and development of the definite article from the demonstrative may be illustrated by English, German, and French.

Thus in English the relatives who, what, which were originally interrogatives only. The demonstrative still is constantly used by us as a relative, e.g. I know the person that you speak of.

In German der is still demonstrative, definite article, and

relative.

In French the personal pronoun il and the definite article le both come from the demonstrative ille.

§ 36. Survivals of the older usages of δ , $\dot{\eta}$, $\tau \dot{\delta}$, and $\ddot{\delta}$ s, $\ddot{\eta}$, $\ddot{\delta}$, in Attic Greek.

I. δ , $\dot{\eta}$, $\tau \dot{\phi}$ as a demonstrative:

With μέν and δέ, δ, ή, τό is freely used in all its cases. δ μέν—δ δέ, the one, the other; οἱ μὲν—οἱ δέ, some, others; with Digitized by Microsoft®

τις, ὁ μέν τις—ὁ δέ; τὸ (τὰ) μὲν—τὸ (τὰ) δέ, τὸ δέ τι, partly; τŷ μὲν—τŷ δέ, this way, that way; τὸ δέ, whereas; τὸν καὶ τόν, this one and that one; τὸ καὶ τό, this and that.

έδει γὰρ τὸ καὶ τὸ ποιήσαι καὶ τὸ μὴ ποιήσαι. DEM. 9. 68. We ought to have done this and that, and not to have done the other.

Cf. SOPH. Ant. 557.

Observe the constant use of \dot{o} (oi) $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ at the beginning of a sentence, instead of repeating the noun.

'Ινάρως 'Αθηναίους ἐπηγάγετο. οἱ δὲ ἦλθον. THUC. i. 104. Inarus invited the Athenians. So they came.

II. δ, ή, τό as a personal pronoun (a rare use). καὶ τὸν κελεῦσαι δοῦναι (λέγεται). XEN. Cyr. i. 3. 9. And it is said that he ordered.

Cf. SOPH. Ant. 1199.

III. As a relative (a not uncommon use in the tragedians). $\delta\iota\pi\lambda\hat{\eta}$ $\mu\acute{a}\sigma\tau\iota\gamma\iota$ $\tau\grave{\eta}\nu$ "A $\rho\eta$ s $\phi\iota\lambda\epsilon\hat{\iota}$. AESCH. Ag. 642. With the twofold scourge that Ares loves.

IV. ὅς, η, ὅ used as a personal pronoun with καί. οὐδεὶς ἀντέλεγε καὶ ὅς ἡγεῖτο. ΧΕΝ. No one opposed, and so he acted as guide.

It is rare, except in the common phrase η δ' ο's.

ἐστι τις, ἔφην ἐγώ; πάνυ γε, η δ' ο's. Plat. Ap. iv.

Is there any one ? said I. Certainly, said he.

THE ARTICLE IN ATTIC GREEK.

§ 37. Two points must be remembered:

1. The Article is essentially demonstrative.

2. The old usage was to omit the Article with definite objects (see § 35). This old usage survived in many instances, and hence to a great extent the fluctuating use of the Article in Attic.

The Article corresponds generally to the English definite article the. It marks off objects as known and definite whether (A) individuals or (B) classes.

- (A) The Article denotes individual persons or things which are definite, because—
 - (a) Already known;
 - (b) Already mentioned;
 - (c) Distinguished from other objects, often by some accompanying description;
 - (a) τῶν ἔπτα σοφώτατος ἢν Σόλων. Plat.
 Of the seven sages Solon was the wisest.
 - (b) δουλεύομεν θεοίς ὅ τι ποτ' εἰσὶν οἱ θεοί. Eurip. We are slaves to gods, whate'er these gods may be.
 - (c) ὁ πρεσβύτερος ἀδελφός.
 The elder brother.

 $\dot{\eta}$ πόλις $\dot{\eta}$ ν πολιορκοῦμεν.

The city which we are investing.

ἔλαβον τῆς ζώνης τὸν 'Ορόντην. XEN. They seized Orontes by the girdle.

This last example shows how the Article is used where in English we employ a possessive pronoun.

έκαστος τῶν δημιουργῶν τὴν τέχυην καλῶς ἐξειργάζετο. Plat.

Each one of the artisans (just mentioned) used to practise his art well.

Obs. The English article the was so used for the possessive in old English. See Bacon's Advancement of Learning, ed. Wright; Glossary—The.

- (B) The Article denotes the whole of a class, with substantives or adjectives, in singular or plural.
 - ὁ ἡήτωρ, the (professional) speaker; οἱ ἱππεῖς, the knights; οἱ σοφοὶ ἄνδρες, wise men; ὁ φρόνιμος, the prudent man; οἱ πονηροί, bad men.

ό παις πάντων θηρίων δυσμεταχειριστότατον.

PLAT.

A boy (i.e. boys) is of all creatures the most difficult to manage.

§ 38. The Article with Participles.

The article used in this way with a participle has the force of a general statement, e.g. δ β ov λ δ μ evos, any one who wishes (quicunque vult); δ τ v χ δ ν , any chance comer or person.

ἄτανθ' ὁ τοῦ ζητοῦντος εὐρίσκει πόνος. The toil of one who seeketh findeth all.

It is synonymous with the use of $\pi \hat{a}s$ δ (with adjective or participle).

πâs ὁ μὴ φρονῶν ἁλίσκεται. MEN. 714. Every one who does not think is exposed.

§ 39. The Article with Numerals.

The article may be used with cardinal numerals either to mark a definite whole, or the definite parts of a whole, e.g. $\tau \grave{a}$ $\delta \acute{vo} \mu \acute{e} \rho \eta$, two-thirds (cf. Thuc. i. 10 and iii. 15); $\mathring{a} \mu \phi \iota \tau o \grave{vs}$ $\epsilon \check{\iota} ko \sigma \iota$, about twenty in all.

τῶν πασῶν τριήρων τὰς διακοσίας ἡ πόλις παρέσχετο. The state furnished two hundred of the whole number of ships.

§ 40. Fluctuating use and omission of the Article.

Either (a) The ancient usage has survived when the use of the article had not become established.

Or (b) The word is sufficiently definite by itself from familiar reference, so that it does not need the article.

Or (c) The article is omitted because the vague and general conception of a word, the mere idea of a thing, is entertained apart from its manifestation in a person or event, or its relation to persons, things, and facts.

The equally fluctuating use of the article in English will go far to explain and illustrate the Greek usage.

Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 41. The Article with Objects of external nature.

ό οὐρανός, ἡ γῆ, ὁ ἥλιος, ἡ θάλασσα, ὁ ἀκεανός. But also οὐρανός, γῆ, ἀκεανός.

So ἐπὶ θαλάττη, on sea (sur mer); ὕδωρ ἐξ οὐρανοῦ, rain from

heaven; περὶ ἡλίου δυσμάς, at sunset.

§ 42. The Article with Material objects.

τὸ γάλα, ὁ χρυσός, also γάλα, χρυσός (καθαίρειν χρυσόν, Plat. Polit. 303).

§ 43. The Article with Familiar places, things, and persons.

Here the article is generally omitted according to ancient usage.

έκ πόλεως, πρὸς ἄστυ, to town (but also πρὸς τὸ ἄστυ); έξω

Ίσθμοῦ (and ἐν τῷ Ἱσθμῷ), ἐν ἀκροπόλει.

ἀπὸ δεξιῶς, εξ ἀριστερῶς, on the right, on the left; ἀρχή, τελευτή, εὖρος, μῆκος, βάθος, μῆκος, μέγεθος, ὕψος.

Many military phrases:

ἐπὶ δόρυ, to the right (spearwards); ἐπί οι παρ' ἀσπίδα, to the left (shieldwards); ἐπί πόδα, backwards, facing the enemy. So στρατός, στράτευμα, στρατόπεδον, κέρας εὐώνυμον, δέξιον, left wing, right wing.

βασιλένς is the (Persian) king; βασιλένς δ μέγας, οἱ πρόγονοι, οἱ βασιλέως. So πρυτάνεις, the Presidents of the

Council.

and

§ 44. The Article with Abstract and other words.

Here the use is very fluctuating.

ή ἀρετή, virtue; ή ἀνδρεία, courage; ή δικαιοσύνη, justice; ή σωφροσύνη, temperance; ή ἐπιείκεια, equity.

But abstract terms often occur without the article. Remark (c) above especially applies. In the same section in Plat. Rep. i. 354. we have

οὐδέποτ' ἄρα λυσιτελέστερον ἀδικία δικαιοσύνης, Never, therefore, is injustice more profitable than justice,

λυσιτελέστερον ἄρα ἡ άδικία τῆς δικαιοσύνης.

Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 45. The Article with Concrete Words.

So with concrete words, the article being omitted either because of the mere idea of the thing or its familiarity: $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$, $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$, body, soul; $\theta \epsilon \dot{o}s$, God (no special divinity); $\dot{\alpha}v \theta \rho \omega \pi o s$, man; $\pi a i \delta e s$ kal $\gamma v v a i k e s$, women and children; $\pi a \tau \rho l s$, fatherland; $\pi \dot{o} \lambda \iota s$, state or country.

§ 46. The Article with names of Arts, etc.

Names of arts, trades, and sciences do not take the article:
μουσική, γυμναστική, education, mental and physical;
δητορική, rhetoric; ἀριθμητική, λογισμοί, arithmetic,
numeration.

Similarly, δόξα, νοῦς, τέχνη, νόμος, opinion, mind, art, law.

§ 47. The Article with Proper names of persons and places.

Names of persons and towns do not require the article unless

previously mentioned, or spoken of as well known.

Σωκράτης, but ὁ Σωκράτης, either Socrates already mentioned, or the well-known Socrates, Socrates ille. So $Θ\hat{\eta}\beta\alpha\iota$, at $Θ\hat{\eta}\beta\alpha\iota$. 'Αλέξανδος ὁ Μακεδών, 'Αλέξανδος ὁ Φιλίππου, Alexander son of Philip. Also in short business-like notices, Σωκράτης Σωφρονίσκου, Socrates, son of Sophroniscus.

The same rule applies to names of nations, but of 'E $\lambda\lambda\eta\nu$ s always when opposed to of Βάρβαροι. When nationalities are opposed (as we say "French and English") the article is not used, e.g. ' $\lambda\theta\eta\nu$ aιοι, $\lambda\alpha\kappa$ εδαιμόνιοι (so repeatedly in Thucydides).

οί Δημοσθένεις, orators like Demosthenes (as we say, our

Burkes, our Chathams).

§ 48. The Article with Geographical names.

With geographical names the use and position of the article are extremely fluctuating. The following collocations are generally given as the rule, and may safely be employed.

ό Εὐφράτης ποταμός, the river Euphrates; τὸ Σούνιον ἄκρον, the promontory of Sunium; ἡ Θεσπρωτὶς γῆ, the land of Thresprotis; ἡ $\Delta \hat{\eta} \lambda$ os, $\hat{\eta}$ oos, the island of Delos; ἡ Μένδη πόλις, the city of Mende.

Digitized by Microsoft®

But the following are given as a caution against dogmatism:

ό ποταμός ὁ Εὐφράτης, "Αλυς ποταμός. ΤΗυС.

τὸ Αἰγαλέων ὄρος (the hill of Aegaleum); Πάρνης τὸ ὄρος, ἡ Αἴτνη τὸ ὅρος, τὸ ὅρος ἡ Ἰστώνη, τὸ ὅρος τῆς Ἰστώνης, Πίνδος ὅρος (all in Thucydides).

So ή Τηθὺς τὸ ὄνομα, τὸ ὄνομα οἱ δαίμονες (PLATO); ὅνομα

Ζάγκλη, ΤΗυς.

 $\dot{C}f$. $\dot{\eta}$ Βουλ $\dot{\eta}$ οἱ πεντακόσιοι, the Council of the Five Hundred.

THUC. viii. 86.

Note. The preposition seems to exercise an influence on the omission of the article. Thus $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\hat{\iota}$ $\sigma\kappa\eta\nu\acute{\eta}\nu$ $\Hat{\eta}\epsilon\sigma a\nu$, Xen. An. vi. 4. 19. $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\hat{\iota}$ $\beta\lambda\acute{\alpha}\beta\eta$ $\tau\mathring{\eta}s$ $\pi\acute{o}\lambda\epsilon\omega s$, Thuc. viii. 72. $\pi\epsilon\rho\hat{\iota}$ $\mathring{\alpha}\rho\acute{\alpha}\tau\sigma\nu$ $\Hat{\omega}\rho\alpha\nu$, Thuc. vii. 81. $\hat{\epsilon}\nu$ $\mathring{\alpha}\rho\chi\mathring{\eta}$ $\tauo\mathring{\nu}$ $\lambda\acute{o}\gamma\nu\nu$, Dem. 37. 28.

§ 49. THE NOUN-MAKING POWER OF THE ARTICLE.

The Article, when prefixed to any word or set of words, makes a noun of the word or words thus brought within its grasp.

- (a) Adjectives;
 - οί ἀγαθοί, good men, τὸ ἀγαθόν, the highest good, summum bonum.
 - οί πολλοί, the popular party, populares.
 - οἱ ολίγοι, the oligarchical party, optimates, optimus quisque.
- (b) Participles;
 - οἱ βουλόμενοι, all who will, quicunque vult; οἱ πρῶτοι ἐρχόμενοι, first-comers.

Observe the indefinite force which the Article gives to a Participle.

- (c) Preposition and case;
 - οἱ ἐπὶ τῶν πραγμάτων, the government.
 - τὰ εἰς τὸν πόλεμον, preparations for war.
 - τὰ ἐφ' ἡμῖν, what is in our power.
- (d) Genitive;

τὰ τῆς Τύχης, the dispensations of fortune; τὰ τῆς Digitized by Microsoft®

πόλεως (without πράγματα), the affairs of the state, politics.

τό τοῦ Θεμιστοκλέουs, the words of Themistocles, Themistoclis illud.

(e) Infinitives;

τὸ μισεῖν, hatred; τὸ ταχὺ λαλεῖν, rapid talking (τοῦ ταχὺ λαλεῖν, etc.).

So with a sentence, To eue Toûto Tpakar.

(f) Adverbs;

οἱ ἐνθάδε, the living; οἱ ἐκεῖ, the dead; οἱ πάλαι, the ancients, οἱ τότε, οἱ νῦν, οἱ οἴκοι = οἱ ἐν οἴκον τότε.

ή παραυτίκα ήδονή, momentary pleasure; ή ἄγαν ἐλευθερία, excessive liberty.

Note 1. So with a word or even a letter used materialiter, $\tau \delta i \gamma \omega$, the word I (similarly in French—le moi est haïssable); $\tau \delta i \lambda \phi a$ (Plat. Crat. 405), the letter Alpha.

ύμεῖς, ἄ ἄνδρες 'Αθηναῖοι.—τὸ δ' ὑμεῖς ὅταν εἴπω τὴν πόλιν λέγω.

Dem. 255. 4.

You, Athenians, and whenever I say you, I mean the state.

υπερέβη τὸ καὶ ἐὰν άλφ φόνου. ΕΕΜ. 23. 220.

He omitted the words, "and if he be convicted of murder."

τὸ γνῶθι σαυτὸν πανταχοῦ 'στι χρήσιμον.

Menander, Fr. 730.

The adage, know thyself, is useful ever.

Note 2. Instead of repeating a noun it is enough to repeat the Article.

οἱ τῶν πολιτῶν παίδες καὶ οἱ τῶν ἄλλων (sc. παίδες).
The children of the citizens and those of the others.

Note 3. When two or more terms are joined so closely together as to form one notion, or when they may be brought under one head the article is put only once.

οί στρατηγοί καὶ λοχαγοί.

The chief officers, namely generals and captains of companies.

ό ήλιος καὶ σελήνη καὶ ἄστρα.

The heavenly bodies, sun, moon, and stars.

Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 50. THE ARTICLE DISTINGUISHES THE SUBJECT FROM THE PREDICATE.

The Subject takes the Article, the Predicate is without the Article.

 \dot{o} μèν δίκαιος εὐδαίμων, \dot{o} δ' ἄδικος ἄθλιος. Plat. The just man is happy, the unjust man is miserable.

This function of the Article belongs to it in consequence of its demonstrative character. All demonstratives mark the Subject.

έν Πέρσαις νόμος έστιν ούτος.

Among the Persians this is law (this Subject, law Predicate).

κίνησις γὰρ αὕτη μεγίστη δὴ τοῖς Έλλησιν έγένετο.

THUC. i. 1.

For this proved to be quite the greatest movement in the Greek world.

The Subject and Predicate, in whatever case they are, can always be detected immediately by the presence of the Article or Demonstrative with the Subject,

ό μάντις τους λόγους ψευδείς λέγει.
The words which the seer speaks are false.

τους λόγους, Subject; ψευδείς, Predicate.

ταύτη (Subject) ἀπολογία (Predicate) χρῆται. He makes use of this as an excuse.

τους δε λόγους μακροτέρους μηκυνοῦμεν. ΤΗυς. iv. 17. We will extend our speech to a greater length.

Obs. With a Superlative Predicate in English we use the Article where Greek does not.

οδτοι είσι πονηρότατοι ἀνθρώπων. These are the most worthless of mankind. Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 51. The Article with the Predicate.

Sometimes the Predicate itself is definite, or denotes a class, and in this case takes the Article.

οδτοι οἱ δεινοί εἰσί μου κατήγοροι. Plat. Apol. ii. These are those (really) formidable accusers of mine.

§ 52, POSITION OF THE ARTICLE.

A. THE PREDICATIVE POSITION.

B. THE ATTRIBUTIVE POSITION.

A. The Predicative Position. An Adjective or Participle placed *outside* the Article and its Noun, whether before or after, is a Predicate.

σοφὸς ὁ ἀνήρ or the man is wise. ὁ ἀνὴρ σοφός

B. The Attributive Position. Any word or set of words placed either (a) between the Article and the Noun, or (b) after the Noun, with the Article repeated, is an Attributive.

ό σοφὸς ἀνήρ, the wise man. ὁ ἀνὴρ ὁ σοφός, do.

The first form is the most natural, and the most common. In the second form the attributive is often used as a further explanation.

τὸ τείχος περιείλου τὸ καινόυ. Thuc.

They dismantled the wall, the new one I mean.

Any word or set of words thus placed becomes attributive to the Noun.

ό πάνυ Περικλής. XEN. Mem. iii. 5. 1 (cf. Thuc. viii. 1 and 89.)

The consummatgiti Periclesicrosoft®

ή παραυτίκα ήδονή. Momentary pleasure.

ή ἄγαν ἐλευθερία. Excessive liberty.

αί ἄνευ λυπῶν ἡδοναί.
Painless pleasure.

τὸ τεῖχος τὸ παρὰ τὸν ποταμόν.

The wall alongside the river (the river wall).

ό πάντων κάλλιστος καὶ πᾶσι φίλτατος ἀνήρ.
The handsomest and best-beloved man in the world.

Note 1. This attributive or epithet-making power of the Article is shown by the following examples:—

οί δμολογουμένως δούλοι. Dem. 29. 39.

Those who confessedly are slaves.

τὰ τὴς τῶν πολλῶν ψυχῆς ὄμματα. PLAT. Soph. 254. The eyes of the soul of the many.

Σόλων ἐμίσει τοὺς οἶος οὖτος ἀνθρώπους. DEM. 19. 254. Solon used to hate men such as this person.

έν τῷ πρὶν καὶ γενέσθαι ἡμᾶς χρόνφ. Plat. Phaed. 88 a. In the time before we came into being at all.

Note 2. When such collocations as the following are found, — ἄνθρωποι οἱ τότε, ἀδικία ἡ ἄκρατος, the Substantive, without the Article, is generally first used in an indefinite way and therefore without the Article; the Attributive follows with the Article as an explanation.

σκεπτέον πῶς πότε ἡ ἄκρατος δικαιοσύνη πρὸς ἀδικίαν τὴν ἄκρατον ἔχει. Pl. Rep. viii, 535.

We must consider how pure justice is related to an injustice which is pure (sheer, unmixed).

Note 3. A Predicate may occur inside an attributive phrase.

αὶ ἄρισται δοκοῦσαι είναι φύσεις. ΧΕΝ. Αρ. 4. 1. 3.

Those natures which appear to be the best, or which appear to be the best natures by Microsoft®

So especially with δ λεγόμενος, δνομαζόμενος, καλούμενος.

τὸ Κοτύλαιον ὀνομαζόμενον ὄρος. ΑΕSCHIN. 3. 86. The hill of Cotylaeum as it is called. Mons Cotylaeus qui dicitur.

And regularly οἱ 'Αθηναῖοι καλούμενοι.

§ 53. WHEN'A GENITIVE FOLLOWS, SEVERAL FORMS ARE USED.

- 1. $\acute{\eta}$ τοῦ πατρὸς οἰκία. 2. $\acute{\eta}$ οἰκία τοῦ πατρός. The commonest forms.
- 3. ή οἰκία ή τοῦ πατρός. Less common.

This form is used when the τοῦ πατρὸς ἡ οἰκία. Genitive has been used just before, or is emphasised.

In accordance with the last position,—τοῦ χωρίου ή ἀπορία, ΤΗυς, iv. 29 : περὶ τοῦ μισθοῦ τῆς ἀποδόσεως. ΤΗυς, viii. 85.

Note. Where there are two or more Attributives, some one or other of the above arrangements is employed.

- (a) According to the first position μεμνησθε της έν Σαλαμίνι πρὸς τὸν Πέρσην ναυμαχίας. AESCH. 2, 74.
- (b) According to the third position, which renders the Attributes more distinct-

ή σεμνή αθτη καὶ θαυμαστή ή της τραγωδίας ποίησις. PLAT. Gorg. 502.

One Attributive, however, is often put after the Article and Substantive.

> τὰ ἐκ τῆς Ἰάσου μεγάλα χρήματα διαρπασθέντα. THUC. viii. 36.

ό κατειληφώς κίνδυνος την πόλιν. DEM. 18. 220. αὶ πολλὰ βρουπαλίτεια τη λείτος SAPH. O.C. 1513.

§ 54. THE PREDICATIVE POSITION IS USED BY

 (α) οὖτος, ὅδε, ἐκείνος.

 $\left.\begin{array}{c} \text{o\'v} \text{ os \'a} \text{ \'a\'n\'p} \\ \text{ or } \\ \text{\'o \'a\'v\`n\'p} \text{ o\'v} \text{ this } man. \end{array}\right\} this \ man.$

κατὰ τοὺς νόμους ἐκείνους or according to these laws. κατ' ἐκείνους τοὺς νόμους.

έκάτερος, ἄμφω, ἀμφότερος. (b)

> έν έκατέρα τη πόλει, in each state. ἄμφω τῶ χεῖρε, both hands.

ἐπ' ἀμφοτέροις τοῖς λιμέσι, off both harbours.

Note. Where there is an Adjective also the usage varies.

ή στενη αυτη όδός. ΧΕΝ. Anab. iv. 2. 6. This narrow way.

But ἐκείνη ἡ ὑψηλοτάτη πλάτανος. PLAT. Phaed. 229. That most lofty plane.

(c) έκαστος is variable.

In each state.

έν εκάστη τη πόλει κατὰ την ημέραν εκάστην or εν εκάστη πόλει. or καθ' ήμεραν εκάστην. Day by day.

Note. The Demonstratives, especially ὅδε, are often used in the poets without the Article.

γυναικὸς τησοδε. ΑΕSCH. Ag. 1438. ξὺν τηθοε χερί. SOPH. Antig. 43.

And also in their deictic use.

Κρίτων Κριτοβούλου τοῦδε πατήρ. Plat. Apol. xxii Crito, father of Critobulus, here (in court). Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 55. THE ATTRIBUTIVE POSITION IS USED BY

(α) τοιοῦτος, τοιόςδε.

ή τοιαύτη ἐπιστήμη, such knowledge. ἐν τῆ τοιᾶδε ἀνάγκη, in such a difficulty.

Note. δ τοιοῦτος, such a person; $\dot{\epsilon}v$ τ $\hat{\varphi}$ τοιούτ φ , in such a case. The Article is also so used with ποίος: τ $\hat{\eta}$ ς ποίας μερίδος; DEM. 246, 10, of what division? Θέλω σοι τ $\hat{\eta}$ ς γυναικὸς έργα διηγήσασθαι. τὰ ποία; XEN. Oec. x. 1, I want to describe to you the deeds of the woman. What deeds?

So ὁ τοιοῦτος, ὁ τοιόςδε: ὁ τηλικοῦτος, ὁ τηλικόςδε: ὁ τοσοῦτος, ὁ τοσόςδε: e.g. ὁ τοιοῦτος ἀνήρ, such a man; λαβὲ τὸ

τοιόνδε, PLAT. Phil. 29, take a case of this kind.

(b) Possessives, ἐμός, σός, ἡμέτερος, ὑμέτερος, σφέτερος.

ό ἐμὸς δοῦλος or ό δοῦλός μου (μου ὁ δοῦλος when My slave, servus meus. [words have preceded).

ό ήμετερός πατήρ or ό πατηρ ήμῶν (ήμῶν ό πατήρ). Pater noster.

Note. ἐμὸς δοῦλος, a slave of mine, one of my slaves, unus ex servis meis.

For the position, $\mu o v \circ \delta o \hat{v} \lambda o s$, see Arist. Ach. 12, Neph. 1368, Antiph. Tetr. B. B. 2, Andok. de Myst. 50. ös $\tilde{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota \sigma o v \tau \dot{\gamma} v \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \dot{\gamma} v$, who is married to your sister.

(c) Reflexives.

δ $\epsilon μαυτοῦ πατήρ, my own father.$

όσα δη δέδηγμαι την έμαυτοῦ καρδίαν. ARIST. Ach. 1. How oft have I fretted this heart of mine.

Cf. Andok. de Redit. 10.

§ 56. Words which vary their meaning according to the position of the Article.

(a) πâs and őλos.

ο πας χρόνος σρετηγηίτης Apol. xxxii.

- πᾶσα πόλις, every state (but in plural, πάντες ἄνθρωποι, all men).
- 2. πâσα ἡ πόλις, all the state.
- ἡ πῶσα πόλις, the whole state, the state as a whole.
 ἡ πῶς ἀριθμός, the sum total.

There seems to be no appreciable difference between 2 and 3.

- 4. τὰ πάντα μέρη, all the parts together.
- τοὺς πάντας δισχιλίους, two thousand in all, or all told. ξύμπαντες ἐπτακόσιοι ὁπλῖται, seven hundred heavy-armed all told.
- 6. ἐν πάση πολεμία Σικελία, in Sicily altogether hostile.
- λύπη πῶς ἐλήλαται κακῆ, SOPH. Ai. 275 (cf. Π. xi. 65), he is altogether harassed (all vexed) with ill grief.

 $\begin{cases}
\delta \lambda \eta & \dot{\eta} & \pi \delta \lambda \iota s, \\
\dot{\eta} & \pi \delta \lambda \iota s & \delta \lambda \eta,
\end{cases}$ the whole state.

 $\dot{\eta}$ δλη πόλις, $\dot{\eta}$ δλη, $\dot{\eta}$ the whole state, the state as a whole. δλη πόλις, a whole state. δλαι πόλεις, whole states.

(b) μέσος, ἄκρος, ἔσχατος.

ἡ μέση ἀγορά, the central market. μέση ἡ ἀγορά, the centre of the market. Forum medium for both in Latin.

ἐπ' ἄκροις τοῖς κώλοις, at the extremities of the limbs. ὁ ἄκρος πολίτης, the perfect (tip-top) citizen. DONALDSON. ἡ ἐσχάτη νῆσος, the furthest island. ἐσχάτη ἡ νῆσος, the end (or verge) of the island.

(c) αὐτός.

αὐτὸς ὁ ἀνήρ, the man himself, ipse vir. ὁ αὐτὸς ἀνήρ, the same man, idem vir.

Note. $\[\delta \pi as, \sigma \acute{\nu} \mu \pi as, all, the whole; \sigma \acute{\nu} \mu \pi a \sigma a \pi \acute{\nu} \lambda \iota s, \] d\rho \epsilon r \acute{\eta}, the state, virtue as a whole, or the whole of, etc. (<math>\pi \acute{a}s$ is sometimes so used in poetry). Observe the predicative use of $\pi \acute{a}s$ —

οὐ πῶν ἀγαθόν ἡδονή ἐστι. PLAT. Phil. 27. 28. Pleasure is not altogether a blessing.

§ 57. Oblique or Dependent Predicates.

An Oblique or Dependent Predicate is simply a Predicate which is not in the Nominative case, but in the Genitive.

Dative, or Accusative, most often in the Accusative.

This is an exceedingly common construction in Greek, and one which has to be rendered in many different ways into English. The essential point to notice is that the Predicate, in whatever case, is the really important statement, or emphatic word.

The simplest case is where the Accusative is used with Transitive Verbs of making, naming, appointing, deeming,

etc.

οί κόλακες 'Αλέξανδρον θεον ώνόμαζον. Flatterers used to call Alexander a god.

οἱ Πέρσαι τὸν Κῦρον εἴλοντο βασιλέα.
The Persians chose Cyrus king (to be king).

δ τὸν ἥττω λόγον κρείττω ποιῶν. Plat. Who makes the worse reason (appear) the better.

§ 58. Very often the Greek language expresses a *Prolepsis* (i.e. an anticipation of the result) by this construction.

παρασκευάσαντες έντελη πάσαν την δύναμιν.

DEM. Phil. 1. 9.

Providing all your force so that it shall be complete.
(ὥστε ἐντελῆ εἶναι. Cf. Thuc. iv. 17, μακροτέρους.)

Cf. Latin—paullatimque anima caluerunt mollia saxa (=ita ut mollia fierent), Juv. i. 83.

So αὐξάνω τινὰ μέγαν. Cf. AESCH. Ch. 262, μέγαν. So διδάσκω τινὰ ἵππέα (sc. εἶναι), I teach one to be a horseman

§ 59. With the verb $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\omega$ by an idiomatic usage, similar to the French, the properties of persons or things are described by this construction.

καλοὺς ἔχει τοὺς ὀφθαλμούς. He has fine eyes, his eyes are fine. Il a les yeux beaux (so il a le front large, etc. etc.)

In such examples, ἔχω (like χρῶμαι with Dative) is merely an alternative for the consulative verb εἰμί. The use of habeo

in Latin is parallel; (e.g. cum haberet collegam in praetura Sophoclem, Cic. Off. 1, when S. was his colleague in command).

τὸ σῶμα θνητὸν ἄπαντες ἔχομεν. ISOCR. Phil. 134. We all have mortal bodies.

§ 60. Sometimes we must, in translating, make the Oblique Predicate the real Predicate, turning the rest of the sentence into a relative sentence, or using some such device.

άξιώσατε διττούς μου τοὺς κατηγόρους γεγονέναι.

PLAT. Apol. ii.

Consider that my accusers who have appeared fall under two heads.

οὐ γὰρ έμὸν έρῶ τὸν λόγον, ἀλλ' εἰς ἀξιόχρεων ὑμῖν τὸν λέγοντα ἀνοίσω.

PLAT. Apol. v.

The words which I shall use are not mine: the speaker to whom I shall refer you is trustworthy.

ϊκανὸν παρέχομαι τὸν μάρτυρα. Plat. Apol. xviii. Competent is the witness whom I produce.

οὐ γὰρ βάναυσον τὴν τέχνην ἐκτησάμην. Soph. Ai. 1121. Ay, for 'tis no mechanic art that I acquired. (Cf. Electra.)

τοὔργον οὖ μακράν λέγεις, SOPH. Phil. 26. The task thou settest is not far to seek.

§ 61. Very often, especially in the poets, δδε is the subject to an Oblique Predicate. The Predicate is often an interrogative. We may often translate this demonstrative in English by "here," "herein."

ἀπόρω γε τωδε ξυμπεπλέγμεθα ξένω. Eur. Bacch. 800. Truly an unmanageable stranger this we have come across. (ἀπόρω Predicate, ω ωδε Subject.)

ποίαισι τόλμαις ταῖςδε καὶ φρενῶν θράσει; Soph. Ai. 42. With what hardihood herein, and boldness of soul? (ποίαισι the Predicate, ταῖςδε the Subject.)

Cf. Ant. 1295, τόδε—δεύτερον.

So, like the last—

πόσον ἄγει τὸ στράτευμα ; How many battalions does he bring into the field ? ταύτη ἀπολογία χρῆται. DEM. 49. 63. He makes this an excuse by Microsoft®

Many excellent instances of the Oblique Predicate occur in ANTIPH. Tetr. B. B. 10. 11, 1. a. 2, Herod. 1, 9, 11, 16, 18, 84, 93; Ant. 1178.

§ 62. Oblique Predicates are found in Latin, but they can be detected only by the emphatic or artificial position of a word.

Omnem crede diem tibi diluxisse supremum.

HOR. Ep. I. iv. 13.

Live every day as though thy last.

Compare the example below, § 65, ἀφίεσαν τὴν δοκόν, with a line in Propertius-

Fidaque suggesta castra coronat humo. Prop. v. 4. 8. He enrings a trusty camp by throwing up the soil.

§ 63. Oblique Predicates in the Genitive and Dative.

ήγούμενοι αὐτονόμων τὸ πρῶτον ξυμμάχων. Thuc. i. 97. At the head of allies who at first were independent.

τούτων τισὶ φύλαξιν έχρητο.

Some of these he was using as guards.

Cf. SOPH. Antig. 556.

Free use of the Oblique Predicate. § 64.

Sometimes it expresses a mere emphasis.

μεγάλη τῆ φωνη έβόα.

He cried with a loud voice.

καταντλήσας πολύν τον λόγον. PLAT. Rep. 1. 344. Having deluged us with a long sermon.

§ 65. Sometimes we shall have to translate more freely.

ἀπ' ὀρθης καὶ δικαίας της ψυχης τὰ πάντα μοι πέπρακται. Dem. 18, 298.

With uprightness and integrity of heart I have done all (in the uprightness and integrity of my heart).

άφίεσαν την δοκου χαλαραίς ταις άλύσεσι. Thuc. ii. 76. They were lowering the beam by loosening the chains (with the chains loosened).

§ 66. Idiomatic Phrases with the Article.

 π ολλοί, many. of π ολλοί, the many, the people. Populares.

 $\pi\lambda$ éoves, more. of $\pi\lambda$ éoves, the majority. Maior pars.

όλίγοι, few. οἱ ὀλίγοι, the oligarchical party or faction. Optimates, optimus quisque (also οἱ ἀγαθοί, etc.)

αλλοι, others. of αλλοι, the others, the rest. Ceteri.

- τὸ (τὰ) νῦν, τό τήμερον, the present; τὸ αὐτίκα, the present.
- (ὁ αὖτίκα φόβος), momentary terror ; ή αὖριον, the morrow.
- τὸ μέλλον, τὸ ἔπειτα, the future ; ὁ ἔπειτα βίος (PLAT.), the life to come.
- τὸ λοιπὸν, τὰ λοιπά, for the future, for the rest.
- τὸ πρίν, τὸ πάρος, τὸ πρόσθεν, the past or former time (chiefly poetical phrases); τὸ ἀρχαῖον, τὸ παλαιόν, of old; τὰ παρελθόντα, τὰ παρεληλυθότα, the past (in prose).
- τὸ τότε, ἐν τῷ τότε, at that time; ἐν τῷ πρὸ τοῦ, previously.
- τὸ πρώτον, at first; τὸ τελευταῖον, at last (so τὸ δεύτερον, τὸ τρίτον).
- τὰ πολλά, for the most part (so τὸ πλέον, τὰ πλείω); τὸ μέγιστον, for the greatest part, or the chief point; τὰ μάλιστα, in the highest degree; ὡς ἐπὶ τὸ πολύ, for the most part; τὸ πᾶν, ἐς τὸ πᾶν, on the whole.
- τὰ τῆς Τύχης, Fortune and her dealings (a periphrasis for ἡ Τύχη).
- τὰ τῆς πόλεως, politics.
- δ (οἱ) πάνυ, the excellent, or famous; οἱ πάνυ τῶν στρατιωτῶν, the pick of the troops; ὁ πάνυ Περικλῆς, the admirable Pericles.
- έν τοις πρώτοι, first of all. Omnium primi.
- έκ τοῦ ἐπὶ θάτερα, from the opposite direction; ἐκ τοῦ ἐπ' ἀριστερά, from the left.
- οί περί, οἱ ἀμφί, οἱ ἀπό, ἐκ. See Prepositions.

CHAPTER IIL

PRONOUNS.

§ 67.

PERSONAL PRONOUNS.

1st pers., *I, we.*2d pers., *Thou, you.*3d pers., *He, she, it, they.* $\begin{cases} 1. & \text{èκεîvos, oῦτos, when emphatic.} \\ 2. & \text{ô μέν-ô δέ, oἱ μέν,-οἱ δέ, at beginning of sentence.} \\ 3. & \text{Oblique cases of αὐτόs.} \end{cases}$

The personal pronouns in the nominative are not generally used unless there is a contrast expressed or implied, or more or less of an emphasis.

έπεὶ θανόντας αὐτοχεὶρ ὑμᾶς ἐγὼ ἔλουσα. Soph

Seeing that when ye died, with my own hands I bathed you.

έγω σφ' ἀπείργω, SOPH.

I. even I, withhold him.

σὺ δέ μοι αὐτοὺς κάλει. ANDOK. Clerk, summon the witnesses.

Note 1.— $\sigma \phi \epsilon$ him, her, it, them (sing. and pl. accus.) is used in tragedy.

 $\nu i \nu$ (Doric), Do., do. $\mu i \nu$ (Ionic), Do., do., but only as accus. sing.

Note 2.— $\epsilon \mu o \hat{v}$ is more emphatic than $\mu o v$. When the pronoun is emphatic it is accented, e.g. $\epsilon \mu \hat{\epsilon}$ $\kappa a \hat{\iota}$ $\sigma \epsilon$, not $\epsilon \mu \hat{\epsilon}$ $\kappa a \hat{\iota}$ $\sigma \epsilon$.

§ 68. POSSESSIVE PRONOUNS.

1st pers. my, mine, ours. ἐμός, ἡμέτερος.
2d pers. thy, thine, yours. σός, ὑμέτερος.

3d pers. his, her, its. Wanting in Attic.

The possessive of the 3d pers. δς (ϵός) is Epic: σφετερός is reflexive (suus). The genitive of αὐτός supplies the possessive to the 3d person.

Besides the possessive pronouns there are the following synonymous usages:—(1) the genitives $\mu o v$, $\sigma o v$, $\alpha v \tau o \hat{v}$:

(2) the reflexive genitives ἐμαυτοῦ, σεαυτοῦ, ἑαυτοῦ:

(3) the Article.

Thus, for "I sent my slave," we may write-

- 1. ἔπεμψα τὸν ἐμὸν δοῦλον, οτ τὸν δοῦλον τὸν ἐμόν.
- 2. ἔπεμψα τὸν δοῦλόν μου.
- ἔπεμνμα τὸν ἐμαυτοῦ δοῦλον, οτ τὸν δοῦλον τὸν ἐμαυτοῦ.
- 4. ἔπεμψα τὸν δοῦλον.

And so with the other persons, e.g. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon \tau \delta \nu \delta \delta \delta \delta \lambda \delta \nu$ autoû.

After a preceding word the order may be $\eta\kappa\epsilon\iota$ μ ov δ δοῦλος, my slave is come; e.g. ἀποδέξασθέ μ ον τ η ν ἀπολογίαν, Antiph. Tetr. B. B. 2, listen to my defence.

Note 1. The personal pronoun is sometimes used for the reflexive.

έγὼ ὑμᾶς κελεύω ἐμὲ μιμεῖσθαι. XEN. Cyr. viii. 6. 13. I beg you to imitate me.

And as the subject of an Infinitive, with a reflexive object. δεῖ ἡμᾶς ἐξετάσαι ἡμᾶς αὐτούς. Plat. Gorg. 514, A. We must examine ourselves.

And often in the phrase: $\mu \circ \iota$ or $\epsilon \mu \circ \iota$ $\delta \circ \kappa \hat{\omega}$ ($\epsilon \delta \circ \xi a$).

Note 2. The possessives are sometimes used for a genitive, which is usually subjective, but occasionally objective. Thus $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\epsilon}$ \ddot{v} voia (= $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\epsilon}$ \ddot{v} voia μ ov), the good-will which I feel. But also εὐνοία τη ση, from good-will towards thee: ἡ διαβολη ἡ ἐμή,

the prejudice against me. Plat.

An adjective in the genitive may qualify a possessive agreeing with the personal genitive implied in the possessive; τάμὰ δυστήνου κακά, the woes of me, wretched man, mea miseri mala. Cf. Latin, mea ipsius culpa; nostros vidisti flentis ocellos. Ov. Her. v. 43; (cf. Hor. Sat. i. iv. 23).

§ 69. REFLEXIVE PRONOUNS.

1st pers. of myself, ourselves.

2d pers. of thyself, yourselves.

3d pers. of him-, her-, it-self, of themselves.

} ἐμαυτοῦ, ἡμῶν αὐτῶν.

σεαυτοῦ, σαυτοῦ, ὑμῶν αὐτῶν.

απὰ ἐαυτῶν.

Reciprocal pronoun: ἀλλήλων, of one another.

μάλιστα την σαυτού φρόνησιν ἄσκει. ISAEUS. Above all things cultivate self-knowledge.

καθ' έαυτους βουλευσάμενοι τὰ ὅπλα παρέδοσαν καὶ σφας αὐτούς. ΤΗυς.

After deliberating apart by themselves, they surrendered their arms and themselves (their persons).

Note 1. The separation of the word in the singular makes the expression stronger, especially if αὐτός comes first, e.g. αὐτόν με.

καὶ τοὺς παίδας τοὺς έμοὺς ἤσχυνε καὶ έμὲ αὐτὸν ὕβρισεν. Lys. i. 4.

He disgraced my sons and outraged me myself.

autós often strengthens the reflexives.

καταλέλυκε την αὐτὸς αὐτοῦ δυναστείαν. ΑΕSCHIN. 3. 233. He destroyed his own power.

Ipse suas evertit opes.

Digitized by Microsoft®

- Note 2. A reflexive pronoun in a subordinate clause may refer-
 - 1. To the subject of its own clause.
 - 2. To the subject of the principal clause. so used it is called an Indirect Reflexive.
 - 1. Κύρος πάσι παρήγγελλεν καθίστασθαι είς την έαυτοῦ τάξιν εκαστον. ΧΕΝ. Cyrus was issuing orders to all that each man should stand quietly in his own rank.
 - 2. ὁ τύραννος νομίζει τοὺς πολίτας ὑπηρετεῖν ἐαυτῷ. PLAT. The despot thinks that the citizens are his own
- Note 3. As indirect reflexives may also be used (1) the oblique cases of αὐτός; (2) the datives οἷ, σφίσι (οδ and ε are very rarely thus used: they are found chiefly in poetry, and in poetical passages of Plato).
 - (1) οὐχ ἔξειν ὑμᾶς ὅ,τι χρήσεσθε αὐτῷ νομίζει. ΕΕΜ. He thinks you won't know what to do with him.

servants.

(2) έφη είναι ἀνδράποδον οἱ ἐπὶ Λαυρίφ. ANDOK. de Myst. 38. He stated that he had a slave at Laurium.

οί 'Αθηναίοι οὐδεν σφίσιν έφασαν προσήκειν. ΤΗυς. The Athenians maintained that it was no concern of theirs.

Thucydides and Xenophon are partial to this use of of and σφίσι.

Note 4. ἐαυτοῦ, ἐαυτῶν are sometimes used of the first and of the second person.

τὰ αὐτῶν ἄμα ἐκποριζώμεθα. ΤΗυς.

Let us at the same time furnish all our own resources.

ούδε γαρ την έαυτοῦ σύ γε ψυχην όρως. ΧΕΝ.

Why you anyhow do not even see your own soul.

ANTIPH. Herod. 11.

Note 5. The reflexive is sometimes used for the reciprocal άλλήλων.

βούλεσθε περιϊόντες αύτων πυνθάνεσθαι; DEM, Do you wish to be running about and inquiring one of another?

Cf. S. Luke xxiii. 12, "for before they were at enmity between themselves."

Note. $-\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ a $\dot{\sigma} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ is also used like suus and not se, meaning their own men, their own side.

ωρμηντο οἱ ἐν Σάμφ ᾿Αθηναῖοι πλεῖν ἐπὶ σφᾶς αὐτούς.

THUC. viii. 86.

The Athenians in Samos were bent on sailing against their own countrymen.

So ἡμῶν (ὑμῶν) αὐτῶν partitively.

τὸ τρίτον μέρος ἡμῶν αὐτῶν. Thuc. iii. 54. 3. A third of our own numbers.

§ 70. DEMONSTRATIVE PRONOUNS.

This
$$\begin{cases} \circ \delta \tau \circ s, & So \ great, so \ many \\ \delta \delta \epsilon, & \end{cases}$$
 So $great, so \ many \begin{cases} \tau \circ \sigma \circ \delta \tau \circ s, \\ \tau \circ \sigma \circ s \delta \epsilon, \end{cases}$ That $\begin{cases} so \ old, so \ young \\ (so \ great) \end{cases}$ $\begin{cases} \tau \eta \lambda (\kappa \circ s), \\ \tau \eta \lambda (\kappa \circ s) \cdot \tau \eta \lambda$

Totos is Epic and poetical.

For exepos, see Indefinite pronouns.

όδί, οὐτοσί are emphatically deictic forms, this here; οὖτος and ὅδε point to something near in space or time; ἐκείνος, to something more distant; ὅδε points to something present; οὖτος, to something mentioned, though οὖτος also has the deictic force of ὅδε.

ή τραγφδία ἐστὶ τῆςδε τῆς πόλεως εὕρημα. Plat. Tragedy is the invention of this city.
τοῦτ' ἐκεῖν' οὑγὰ ἀλεγον. Ar.
This is that which I was speaking of.
οὖτος ὅπισθεν προσέρχεται. Plat.
Here he is coming behind.

τοῦτ' ἐστ' ἐκεῖνο, τοῦτ' ἐκεῖνο = as the saying is, illud quod dicitur.

Note 1.—οὖτος and ὅδε for first and second person. ὅδε is often used in poetry of the first person, ἀνὴρ ὅδε is common in Trag. for ἐγώ.

οδό εἴμ' Όρέστης, Μενέλεως, ον ἱστορείς. Eur. Or. 374. I am Orestes, Menelaus, whom thou seekest.

εὶ τὸν νεκρὸν ξὺν τῆδε κουφιεῖς χερί. SOPH. Ant. 43. (Consider) whether thou wilt uplift this corpse together with this my hand.

τίς οὐτοσὶ τὶς ούτοσί; AR. Ach. 1048. Who's this here? i.e. who are you?

Note 2.—τάδε, τάδε πάντα, ταῦτα πάντα are used in prose and verse of something near.

οὖκ Ἰωνες τάδε εἰσὶν οὐδὲ Ἑλλησπόντιοι. Thuc. vi. 77. 1
The people here are no Ionians or Hellespontines.

Cf. Eur. Androm. 168.

Note 3.—o $\delta\tau$ os and $\delta\delta\epsilon$ contrasted. o $\delta\tau$ os generally refers to what has preceded, $\delta\delta\epsilon$ to what follows. So with all demonstratives in $-\tau$ os and $-\delta\epsilon$. But the rule is by no means invariable.

τὸ δὲ οὖκ ἔστι τοιοῦτον ἀλλὰ τοιόνδε μᾶλλον. Plat. However, it is not so as you think, but rather as follows.

τοιάδε ἔλεξεν, $\begin{cases} he \ spoke \ as \ follows, \end{cases}$ τοσαῦτα $\begin{cases} \epsilon l\pi \acute{\omega} v, \ after \end{cases}$ speaking thus, are common phrases in Thucydides.

Note 4.—οδτος (not ὅδε) and αὐτός (the latter especially in Plato) are the usual antecedents to the relative, like is in Latin. οδτος and ἐκεῖνος contrasted. Like hic and ille in Latin, sometimes, but not always, οδτος means this nearer (i.e. the latter), ἐκεῖνος, that distant (i.e. the former), in space or time.

Note 5.— $0\delta\tau$ os and $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\hat{\nu}$ os in the neuter, like hoc, illud in Latin, often draw attention to and point the coming word or phrase. See Peculiarities of Apposition 3.

They also gather up and emphasise what has preceded.

ἄ ἄν εἴπης ἔμμενε τούτοις. PLAT. Whatever you say, keep to that.

Digitized by Microsoft®

Note 6.—οδτος, ὄδε, ἐκεῖνος, compared with Latin.

οδτος often denotes contempt like iste; ἐκεῖνος, praise (the famous or illustrious), like ille.

oῦτος is the opponent (plaintiff or defendant)=iste, hic being the client, οῦτοι, the judges, the court, or the opposite party.

οδτος, as antecedent to the relative = is (qui).

ούτοσί=hicce, celui-ci, this man here.

όδε is much like hic, this man here, marking simply the presence of something, e.g. ἀκτὴ μὲν ἥδε, here is the shore, voici la plage.

§ 71. THE PRONOUN Αὐτός.

 $A\dot{v}\tau \dot{o}s$ has three usages which must be very carefully distinguished.

- It is a definitive adjective pronoun, like ipse, meaning self.
- 2. With the article, ὁ αὐτός means the same, idem.
- 3. In its oblique cases it is the pronoun of the third person, him, her, it, them.
- 1. Avrós in all its cases may mean self, myself, thyself, himself, herself, itself, themselves. It has this meaning when it occurs:
 - a. In the nominative case.
 - b. In any case in agreement with a pronoun, or with a noun and article when placed outside the article. The pronoun or noun must often be supplied from the context, αὐτός occupying an emphatic position. The pronoun to be supplied may be the indefinite τις.

αὐτοὶ δ' ὅταν σφαλῶμεν οὐ γιγνώσκομεν. Eur. Whene er we tṛṣṇṣṇṣṣlṣṣṣ we mark it not

αὐτὸς ἔγωγε ἐτεταράγμην. ΡΙΑΤ.

I myself was quite upset.

αὐτὸν γάρ σε δεῖ Προμηθέως. ΑΕSCH.

Thou thyself needest a Prometheus.

αὐτῆ τῆ ψυχῆ θεατέον αὐτὰ τὰ πράγματα. Plat. With the soul itself (i.e. apart from the body) we must behold things in themselves (i.e. actual realities).

ό Βρασίδας τη Θεσσαλών γη καὶ αὐτοῖς φίλος ην. Brasidas was friendly to the land of the Thessalians, and to (the Thessalians) themselves.

Cf. XEN. Anab. vii. 8. 22.

ούχ οἶόν τέ ἐστιν άμελῆ αὐτὸν ὅντα ἄλλους ποιείν ἐπιμελείς. ΧΕΝ.

It is not possible that one who himself is careless should make others careful.

With a proper name the article is not necessary. αὐτὸς Σεύθης ἀνέκραγεν. XEN. Seuthes himself exclaimed.

So αὐτὸς βασιλεύς, the Great King himself.

2. ὁ αὐτός, ἡ αὐτή, τὸ αὐτό, and in Attic αὐτός, αὐτή, ταὐτό and ταὐτόν, genitive ταὐτοῦ, etc., means the same.
τοὺς αὐτοὺς περὶ τῶν αὐτῶν λόγους λέγουσι. ΑΝΤΙΡΗ.
They make the same statements about the same things.

3. Avrós in its oblique cases only, and never at the beginning of a sentence, is the third personal pronoun, him, her, it, them.

ἀποπέμπουσιν αὐτὸν πρὶν ἀκοῦσαι. Thuc.
They dismiss him before hearing him.
πολλοὺς αὐτῶν ἀπέκτειναν. ΧΕΝ.
They killed many of them.

πειράσομαι ξυμμαχείν αὐτῷ. ΧΕΝ. I will endeavour to help him.

So we should write Ευμμαχείν αὐτῆ, αὐταῖς.

Note 1.—Like the Latin is, $\alpha \dot{v}\tau \dot{o}s$ (1) recalls a noun which has been mentioned, and (2) it is used, instead of the more usual $o\hat{v}\tau os$ or $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa \epsilon \hat{v}v os$, as the antecedent to a relative sentence. This second usage is uncommon, except in Plato. In most instances the relative sentence precedes.

ἐτράποντο ἐπὶ τὸν Ξενοφῶντα. καὶ ἔλεγον αὐτῷ.
 XEN. Anab. vi. 1. 21.
 They turned to Xenophon, and said to him.

(2) αὐτὸ οὐκ εἴρηται ὃ μάλιστα ἔδει ἡηθῆναι.

PLAT. Rep. 362.

The very point, which above all ought to have been stated, has not been stated.

ἀνέλαβον αὐτὰ ὅσα ὑπῆρχεν ἐπιτήδεια. Thuc. vii. 74. They took with them just whatever was necessary.

Cf. Eur. Tro. 662, I. A. 1025.

Note 2.—Aởrós meaning self will have to be rendered in many different ways.

(a.) In or by oneself, unaided.

τὸ χωρίον αὐτὸ καρτερὸν ὑπῆρχε. Thuc.
The spot in itself was strong (i.e. without artificial fortification).

αὐτὸς ἐποίησα, I did it myself (without help).

(b) Voluntarily, sponte.

ἥξει γὰρ αὐτά. Soph. Words will come of themselves (unbidden).

(c) The great man himself, the Master.

τίς οὖτος; αὐτός. τίς αὐτός; Σωκράτης. Who's this? the Master. Who's the Master? Socrates. αὐτὸς ἔφη, Ipse dixit.

(d) With Ordinals.

ἐστρατήγει Περικλῆς τέταρτος αὐτός. Pericles was general with three others.

(e) The neuter αὐτό is used by the philosophers with substantives of all genders to express the abstract idea of a thing: αὐτὸ δικαιοσύνη, ideal justice, or justice in the abstract; αὐτὴ ἀδικία, αὐτὸ τὸ καλόν, αὐτὸ καλόν constantly in Plato.

In Aristotle αὐτό forms one compound word with the substantive αὐτοάνθρωπος, the ideal man; αὐτοαγαθόν, the highest

good, summum bonumbite by Microsoft®

§ 72. INTERROGATIVE PRONOUNS.

Who, what (Direct), Tis, Ti; (Indirect), Sotis, Sti.

Whether of two (uter) πότερος;

δπότερος.

How much, how great How many (quantus). } πόσος;

οπόσος.

Of what sort (qualis) motos;

όποῖος.

How old, how great πηλίκος;

δπηλίκος.

The pronouns in the second column are also relatives. The Direct pronouns are however constantly used instead of the Indirect (see Indirect Question).

σὺ εἶ τίς ἀνδρῶν; ὅστις εἴμ' ἐγώ; Μέτων. Arist. What man art thou? (ask you) what man am I? Meton. See A.ch. 106. 959.

Note 1.— π oîos; is often used in a sarcastic repetition.

οἱ πρέσ β εις οἱ παρὰ β ασιλέως. ποίου β ασιλέως; The envoys from the King! King quotha! (King indeed!)

So $\pi 6\theta \epsilon \nu$, Ar. Ran. 1455; Ach. 109; Nub. 366 (a good instance).

Observe that in asking a question the article is generally used with $\pi o \hat{i} o s$ when there is no noun.

οξμαί σε δμολογήσειν τὸ τοιόνδε. τὸ ποῖον;

PLAT. Rep. 475, E.

I think you will make the following admission. What admission?

So τὸ τί; Arist. Batr. 40. Cf. Ar. Ach. 418.

Note 2.—Observe the idiomatic use of double interrogatives.

τίς πόθεν μολών σοι μαρτυρήσει; SOPH. Tr. 421.

Who is he, whence comes he, who will bear thee record?

So πως τί τοῦτ' ϵἶπες; Plat. Soph. 261, C. Soph. Ant. 40'.

Rarely ős is used like őστις in a question. ἐγῷδό ὅς ἐστι, I know who he is, Ar. Ach. 118. The Greeks said οἶδα (αὐτὸν) ὅς ἐστι or οἶδα τίς (ὅστις) ἐστι.

Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 73. RELATIVE PRONOUNS AND ATTRACTION.

Who ss (qui)

Soτis \ \begin{array}{l} whosoever \ (quicunque). \\
Of what sort olos, όποιος (qualis)

Soτε \ \end{array} \ \begin{array}{l} (esp. poet.) \\
of such a \\
class, often \\
like δοτις. \\
How great, \\
how many \ \end{array} \begin{array}{l} δσος, όπόσος (quantus \\
now many \ \end{array} \begin{array}{l} δσος, όπόσος (quantus \\
now many \ \end{array} \begin{array}{l} δσος, όπόσος (quantus \\
now many \ \end{array} \begin{array}{l} δσος, όπόσος (quantus \\
now many \ \end{array} \begin{array}{l} δσος, όπόσος (quantus \\
now many \ \end{array} \begin{array}{l} δσος, όπόσος (quantus \\
now many \ \end{array} \begin{array}{l} δσος, όπος \\
now many \ \end{array} \begin{array}{l} δσος, \\
now many \\
now many \ \end{array} \begin{array}{l} δσος, \\
now many \\
now

What number in a series ὁπόστος (quotus).

 $How\ old\ or\ how\ great$ $}$ ήλίκος όπηλίκος όποτερος, which- soever of two.

Obs. ἄττα, Attic (ἄσσα, Ionic) for ἄτινα, neut. pl. of ὅστις. ἄττα, Attic (ἄσσα, Ionic) for τινά, neut. pl. of τίς.

§ 74. δς, δσπερ, δστις.

ős is definite.

έστιν δίκης ὀφθαλμός, δς τὰ πάνθ ὁρᾶ. MENANDER. There is an eye of justice which sees all things.

οστις is indefinite.

ανελεύθερος πᾶς ὅστις εἰς δόξαν βλέπει.

CLEANTHES, the Stoic.

Slavish the man whoever looks to fame.

For other usages of ootis see Index.

őσπερ is particularly definite (περ adds this force to other pronouns and adverbs, e.g. ὅσπερ, εἴπερ, ἐπειδή-περ, etc.).

ταυτον έχουσιν άμάρτημα όπερ καὶ οἱ ποιηταί.

PLAT.

They are making exactly the same mistake which the poets maked by Microsoft®

λυσιτελεί μοι ώσπερ έχω έχειν. Plat. It is good for me to be just as I am.

So $\tilde{\eta}\pi\epsilon\rho$ AR. Ach. 364. 474.

§ 75.

ATTRACTION.

A Relative which would be in the Accusative is often attracted into the case of its Antecedent, if that Antecedent is in the Genitive or Dative. This attraction sometimes, but rarely, takes place when the Relative would have been in the Dative.

χρώμαι βιβλίοις οις έχω. I use the books which I have.

For $\hat{\alpha} \notin \chi \omega$.

τοίς ἀγαθοίς οις ἔχομεν κτῶμεθα καὶ τὰς ἄλλας.

ISAEUS.

By means of the advantages which we possess we gain our other advantages also.

The Antecedent is often attracted into the clause of the Relative.

χρώμαι οἷς ἔχω βιβλίοις for χρώμαι βιβλίοις ἄ ἔχω. ἀμαθέστατοί ἐστε ὧν ἐγώ οἶδα Ἑλλήνων. Thuc. vi. 39. You are the most ignorant of the Greeks whom I know. For Ἑλλήνων οὖς οἶδα.

Note.—The attraction takes place even where the antecedent is omitted.

πρὸς ῷ εἶχε ξυνέλεγε στράτευμα. XEN. Hell. iv. 1. 41. He was collecting an army in addition to that which he already had.

For πρὸς τούτω ὅ εἶχε. Cf. Eurip. Med. 753. Digitized by Microsoft®

Adverbs of place are thus attracted.

διεκομίζοντο ὄθεν ὑπεξέθεντο παίδας. Thuc. i. 89.

They now conveyed across their children from the places where they had sent them for shelter.

For $\epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \theta \epsilon \nu$. . . ob.

§ 76. Miscellaneous instances of Attraction.

την οὐσίαν ην κατέλιπεν οὐ πλείονος ἄξιά ἐστιν.

Lys. 19. 49.

The property which he left is not worth more.

 ἡ οὖσία, the subject, is attracted into the case of the relative.

So δν οἱ θεοὶ φιλοῦσιν ἀποθνήσκει νέος. Men. 128 (He) whom the gods love dies young.

The demonstrative subject, obros, is omitted.

So πολλοὶ ἀναλίσκουσιν οὐκ εἰς ἄ δεῖ. XEN. Oik. 3. 5. Many spend money on objects which they ought not (to spend it on).

For είς ταῦτα είς α.

So ἄξω ὑμᾶς ἔνθα τὸ πρᾶγμα ἐγένετο. ΧΕΝ. Cyr. v. 4. 21.

I will bring you where the affair took place.

For ἐκεῖσε ἔνθα.

Several common idioms come under this head of Attraction.

 (a) οὐδεὶς ὅστις οὐ (i.e. οὐδείς ἐστιν ὅστις οὐ) is declined as one word in Acc. Gen. Dat.

Γοργίας οὐδενὶ ὅτῷ οὐκ ἀπεκρίνετο. Plat. Men. 70. Gorgias was replying to every single questioner.

In Soph. Ai. 725, o \ddot{v} τις $\ddot{\epsilon}\sigma\theta$ ' \ddot{o} s o \dot{v} .

 (b) θαυμαστὸς ὅσος, ὑπερφυὴς ὅσος are similarly declined, and their adverbs θαυμαστῶς, ὑπερφυῶς.

ώμολόγησε ταῦτα μετὰ ίδρῶτος θαυμαστοῦ ὅσου.

PLAT. Rep.

He made these admissions with an astonishing amount of perspiration.

ύπερφυῶς ὡς χαίρω. Plat.
I am surprisingly glad.

bigitizett populari essue us).

(c) οίος, and ἄλλος ὅσος, εἴ τις.

έλεγε πρὸς ἄνδρας τολμηρούς οίους καὶ 'Αθηναίους.

THUC. vii. 21.

He was speaking to bold men like the Athenians. For of of else kal 'A θ nyalos.

For oldi eldi kal Adqualdi.

άνίστη 'Αγριάνας καὶ ἄλλα ὅσα ἔθνη Παιονικά.

THUC. ii. 96.

He was raising the Agrianes and all the other Paeonian tribes.

έγγυώμεθα ήμεῖς, έγώ, Φορμίων, ἄλλον εἴ τινα βούλεται.

Dem. 20. 100.

Let us promise, I, Phormio, any one he likes. For ἄλλος τίς εἴ τινα ἄλλον βούλεται.

οίός τε for τοιούτος οίός τε in the sense of "able," like δυνατός, is exceedingly common.

οδός τε εἰμὶ ταῦτα ποιεῖν. I am able to do this. ούχ οδόν τε ἐστίν.

somehow.

It is not possible; it cannot be.

In the same way, by the omission of the Antecedent, are formed many indefinite pronouns and verbs.

ἔστιν οι, commoner than εἰσιν οι (sunt qui). ἔνιοι (ἔνι, there are . . . οι, those who); ἐνιότε, sometimes. ἔστιν οι, somewhere; ἔστιν η, in some way; ἔστιν ὅπως,

The Relative preceding the Demonstrative throws great emphasis on the Demonstrative (as in Latin).

ἄ ποιεῖν αἰσχρόν, ταῦτα νόμιζε μηδὲ λέγειν εἶναι καλόν. ΙςΑΕ. 1. 15.

Quae factu turpia sunt ea ne dictu quidem honesta habe.

Observe the phrases: δ λέγω, as I say, or as I was saying, ὅπερ, or δ ἄρτι ἔλεγον, ὅπερ εἶπον.

§ 77. INDEFINITE PRONOUNS.

Some, any, A kind or sort of, $\begin{cases} none, & nothing, \\ none, & nothing,$

Some (with $\begin{cases} \begin{subarray}{l} \begin{suba$

Any what-

Some . . . others, $\begin{cases} \text{ol } \mu \notin \nu \text{-ol } \delta \notin . \\ \text{dllo} - \text{dllo} . \end{cases}$

Each, every, all, εκαστος, πᾶς τις, πᾶς, ἄπας (stronger than πᾶς)

σύμπας (all together).

Other, another another alone (alius) of ällo, the rest, ceteri.

One of two (different), $\left. \begin{array}{c} \text{effens (alter)}; & \text{neither one} \\ \text{nor other} \end{array} \right\}$ ωδδέτερος.

Note 1. $\pi \acute{o} \tau \epsilon \rho o s$, and its indirect form, $\acute{o} \pi \acute{o} \tau \epsilon \rho o s$, = uter, whether, or which of two? But they may also = alteruter, one, or either of two. In this latter sense $\pi o \tau \epsilon \rho \acute{o} s$ is sometimes written oxytone.

 π oιόs, of some sort; π oσόs, of some size or number (observe the accents).

ἔτερος should perhaps be classed among Demonstratives, but it is put here in contrast to ἄλλος.

Note 2. Idiomatic uses of Tis.

(a) τις means sometimes many a one.
μισεί τις έκείνον, & ἄνδρες 'Αθηναίοι, καὶ δέδιεν.

There are those (there are many) who hate him and fear him. Athenians.

(b) It means, like aliquis, some great, some important person, or thing.

ηὔχεις τις εἶναι, τοῖσι χρήμασι σθένων. Eur. El. 939. Thou wast boasting thyself to be some great one, trusting to thy riches.

Cf. S. Paul, ad Gal. ii. 6.

So δρᾶν τι, to do some great thing. Soph. El. 305. Si vis esse aliquis. IUV. i. 74.

- (c) It is constantly joined to adjectives, numerals, and pronouns, sometimes to strengthen, sometimes to weaken the expression, in a way for which, in English, we have frequently no equivalent:
 - μέγας τις, πᾶς τις, ἔκαστος τις. οὐδείς τις, ὀλίγοι τινές. βραχύ τι, οὐδέν τι, σχεδόν τι, τρεῖς τινες, etc. etc. ποῖός τις; πόσος τις; σχεδόν τι, ἐγγύ τι, οὐ πολλοί τινες, τριάκοντά τινες, τίνες δύο νῆες, ΤΗυς. viii. 100.
- (d) $\ddot{\eta}$ $\tau \iota s$ $\ddot{\eta}$ où $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\iota} s \ddot{\eta}$ $\tau \iota$ $\ddot{\eta}$ où $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$, hardly any one, hardly anything.

οδτοι μέν οδν ή τι ή ούδεν άληθες είρήκασι.

PLAT. Ap. 1.

These men then have spoken hardly a word of truth.

(e) $\tau \iota s$ also covertly alludes to some known person.

ήδ' οδν θανείται καὶ θανούσ' όλεί τινα.

SOPH. Antig. 751.

She then must die, and dying slay another (hers will not be the only death.)

(f) $\tau \iota_s = here \ and \ there \ one.$

τῶν ἐν ὀλιγαρχία ἀποθανόντων ἴσως τις ἦν πονηρός.

Lys. 30. 13.

Note 3. ἄλλος must often be rendered adverbially, besides, moreover, as well, adding as well as opposing. This is very common in Attic.

πεμπτὸς ποταμὸς ἄλλος. HDT. v. 54. Yet a fifth river.

Cf. Soph. El. 707; Aesch. Sept. 481.

οὐ γὰρ ἦν χόρτος οὐδὲ ἄλλο δένδρον οὐδέν. XEN. An. i. 5. 5. There was no grass, no, nor any tree at all.

Cf. Plaustra iumentaque alia. Liv. iv. 41. Wagons and beasts of burden also.

And there were also two other malefactors led with him to be put to death. S. Luke, xxiii, 32.

All these (vices) are portable, with other graces weighed.

SHAKSPERE, Macbeth, iv. iii. 90.

δ ἄλλος may often be rendered in general or usual.
 παρὰ τὸν ἄλλον τρόπον. Antiph. Tetr. B. B. 1.
 Contrary to my general disposition.

Note 4. $\pi \hat{a} v$ like quidvis, quidlibet, may mean anything, no matter what.

οὐδένα δεῖ μηχανᾶσθαι ὅπως ἀποφεύξεται πᾶν ποιῶν θάνατον. ΡιΑΤ. Αp.

No man should endeavour to avoid death by every means. τοιαῦτα ἄλλα, cf. alter idem. Soph. El. 337.

Obs. τὸ ποιόν, quality; τὸ ποσόν, quantity.

CHAPTER IV.

THE CASES.

§ 78. Preliminary Note on the Cases.

Greek is developed from a language which had eight cases. or nine, if we regard the separate meanings of the last as belonging to two distinct cases. Of these eight or nine Greek retained only five, although comparative philology shows that traces of the others survived. The work of the lost cases was carried on by the remaining five, as the following table will explain.

Indo-European.	GREEK.
Nominative Vocative Accusative	Nominative Vocative Accusative
Genitive Ablative	Genitive
Dative Locative Instrumental Comitative or Sociative	Dative

The Greek Genitive and Dative have been called mixed cases because they have assumed the functions of the lost cases.1

Stem VAK (voice, Fom(s), voc(s) i.e. vox.) Singular Nom. VAK-s the voice Voc. VAK-O voice. Acc. VAK-am the voice. Gen. VAK-as of the voice. Abl. Vak-at from the voice. Dat. Vak-ai for the voice. at or by the voice. Inst. VAK-bhi } with the voice.

(and Com.) VAK-a

Diaitized by Microsoft®

¹ The following declension of an Indo-European noun, taken from Schleicher, will show the cases.

The Nominative, Vocative, and Accusative form one group, the Genitive and Ablative a second group, the Dative, Locative, Instrumental, and Comitative (the latter being perhaps another aspect or shade of the Instrumental) a third group.

The Nominative is the case of the subject.

The Vocative is the case of the person or thing addressed.

The Accusative is the case of the object.

The Genitive is the case of the class ($\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu os$, genus) to which a thing belongs.

The Ablative is the case of that from which another thing is

separated, the case of separation.

The Dative is the case of the person or thing remotely connected with an action (the remoter object), for whom or which anything is done.

The Locative is the case of the place where an action takes place.

The Instrumental is the case of the instrument by which an action is performed.

The Comitative (or Sociative) is the case of the accompanying

circumstances.

The details of each case will show that the five Greek cases retain their original meanings, while the mixed cases (Genitive and Dative) acquire in addition the meanings of the lost ones. But nearly all the cases, especially the mixed ones, have assumed other shades of meaning and other uses, from analogies which we cannot safely trace now. In treating of any case therefore we may distinguish between (1) its primary and distinct use, (2) its freer, looser use. Attempts to explain and classify the freer uses must necessarily be more or less arbitrary.

§ 79. THE NOMINATIVE.

The Nominative is the case of the Subject, and of the Predicate or Apposition in agreement with the Subject.

Φίλιππος καθίσταται βασιλεύς. Philip is appointed King.

The Nominative is often used for the Vocative.

ἴθι μὲν οὖν σύ, ὁ πρεσβύτατος. XEN. Come then, you, the filler of the forestern the state of th

THE CASES.

οῦτος especially is so used.

& οὖτος Aἴας. SOPH. Ai. 89. What ho! Aiax!

Cf. Oed. Col. 1627.

ό ᾿Απολλόδωρος οὖτος, οὖ περιμενεῖς; Plat. Symp. Apollodorus, you Sir! stop, won't you?

§ 8o.

THE VOCATIVE.

In Attic Prose & is generally added.

σκόπει τοίνυν, & Σώκρατες, έφη. PLAT. Consider therefore, Socrates, said he.

μη θορυβείτε, & ἄνδρες 'Αθηναίοι. Plat. Apol. Do not interrupt, Athenians.

The omission of the & makes the address curt, tart, or businesslike, as ἀκούεις Αἰσχίνη; α'ye hear, Aeschines?

THE ACCUSATIVE.

§ 81. Preliminary Note on the Accusative.

The Accusative, unlike the Genitive and Dative, is formed with no suffix which in itself gives the case a special application. But it came to denote the object of the sentence, as the Nominative denotes the subject. In speaking of the object, however, we must very carefully distinguish between two distinct significations of the Accusative. Thus Antiphon writes, τύπτει τὸν ἄνδρα πληγάς, he strikes the man blows. Here πληγάs, blows, is already contained in the meaning of the verb τύπτει. This Accusative has been called the Internal Accusative. On the other hand, τὸν ἄνδρα, the man, is not contained in the meaning of $\tau i \pi \tau \epsilon i$. This is called the External Accusative. The Internal Accusative is of much freer and wider application than the External, varying from the Cognate Accusative, μάχην μάχομαι, I fight a fight, to any word which is substituted for the Cognate Accusative, such as, σπονδάς ποιοθμαι, I make a treaty ; ἐπιστολὴν γράφω, I write a command ;
Digitized by Microsoft® πλέω θάλασσαν, I sail the sea; μέγαλα σφάλλομαι, I am greatly disappointed. The External Accusative is a natural extension, not of the meaning, but of the direction of the verb. The Accusative is naturally associated with a verb, and, when it is not an External Accusative, qualifies the verb almost as an adverb. Thus παΐσον διπλῆν (Soph. El. 1415), strike a double blow, strike twice; ἀκὴν ἔσαν, οτ ἐγένοντο (Hom. Il.) they were or became silence, i.e. silent. Hence the many quasi-adverbs of Accusative form, ἀρχήν, ἀκμήν, πρόφασιν, χάριν, προῖκα, etc., and the wide use of neuter adjectives used adverbially, πολλά, πυκνά, τὸ λοιπόν, etc. Πρῶτον, πρότερον, again are Accusative forms. Indeed we may say that all Accusatives fall under two heads, either—(1) the Internal Accusative, or (2) the External Accusative.

§ 82. Conspectus of the Internal Accusative.

The Internal Accusative denotes either the *state* or the operation of the verb (the state of neuter and passive verbs, the operation of active verbs).

It is either

A. A word kindred in stem or meaning to the verb (the Cognate Accusative), e.g.

μάχην μάχεσθαι. ζην βίον.

B. A word substituted for the Cognate Accusative, and limiting or defining the verbal notion.

ψήφισμα νικαν, to win, i.e. carry, a measure.

Accusatives of this class denote

(a) That with respect to which the state or operation occurs, often the part affected (Accusative of RESPECT).

θαυμαστὸς είναι τὸ κάλλος, to be remarkable in respect of beautu.

 $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta} v$ vo $\sigma \epsilon \hat{i} v$, to be ill in mind:

(b) The extent of the state or operation in degree, space, or time.

οὖτε μέγα οὖτε σμικρὸν σοφός εἰμι.
In no degree, greatime πραθημοπολισίε.

ἀπέχει σταδίους έβδομήκοντα. It is seventy stades distant. πολύν χρόνον παρέμεινα. I waited a long time.

Two further remarks may be made:

(1.) The Accusative follows Adjectives (and Adverbs) as well as verbs, e.g.

άγαθὸς πᾶσαν ἀρετήν. ἄτιμοι ἀτιμίαν τοιάνδε.

(2) Adjectives, especially neuter Adjectives and Pronouns in agreement with the Accusative, are freely used instead of the Accusative, which is unexpressed.

μέγαλα κινδυνεύει. τοῦτο κινδυνεύει.

§ 83. THE INTERNAL ACCUSATIVE.

The Internal Accusative is,

A. A word kindred in stem or meaning to the verb. This is called the COGNATE ACCUSATIVE.

τί μόχθον οὐδὲν οὖσα μοχθεῖς; Eur. And. 134. Why, being naught, toilest thou with toil?

ζήσεις βίον κράτιστον ἢν θυμοῦ κράτης. MENAND. 186. Thou wilt live the best life if thou wilt control thine anger.

ξυνέφυγε φυγήν, he shared the flight, Pl. Ap. v.; πόνους πονεῖν, to undergo labours, Pl. Ap. vii.; ἀρχὴν ἦρξα, I held office, Pl. Ap. xx.; ὅνειδος ὀνειδίσαι, to upbraid with reproaches, SOPH. Phil. 523.

νοσεις ἄλγος, thou art sick with grief, Soph. Phil. 1326; γραφὴν διώκειν, to bring an indictment against (cf. γραφὴν γράφεσθαι, cognate in stem); πόλεμον στρατεύειν, to engage in war, Thuc. i. 112 (cf. πόλεμον πολεμεῖν and στρατείαν στρατεύειν.)

Digitized by Microsoft®

B. A word substituted for the Cognate Accusative, and limiting or defining the verbal notion.

έτερον ψήφισμα νικậ Δημοσθένης. AESCHIN. Demosthenes carries a second decree (or measure).

ήγωνίζοντο στάδιον, πάλην, καὶ πυγμήν. XEN.

They were contending in the race-course, in wrestling, and in boxing.

δίκην όφλειν, to lose a law-suit; 'Ολύμπια νικαν, to win an Olympic victory; γάμους έστιαν, to give a wedding feast, Eur.; ψήφισμα νικα, he carries (or wins) a decree, Aeschin.

Note. An extension of this Accusative is found in the Poets. This Accusative denotes the *result* of the verbal operation. Practically the verb yields an epithet in agreement with the Accusative.

έλκος οὐτάσαι, Hom. Il. v. 361, to stab (and so make) a wound. Goodwin compares "to break a hole." τροπὰς καταρρήγνυσι, Soph. Ant. 675, breaks to pieces (and so causes) a rout; τάκεις οἰμωγάν, Soph. El. 123, thou meltest a (makest a melting) lamentation; πεῖραν ἐμώρανεν, AESCH. Pers. 715, he made a foolish attempt; τέγγειν δάκρυα, PIND. Nem. x. 141, he wetted (i.e. shed wet) tears.

In Soph. Ant. 973 the passive of this construction occurs. Elkos $\tau \nu \phi \lambda \omega \theta \acute{\epsilon} \nu$, a blinded wound, i.e. wound inflicted which caused blindness; the active form would be $\tau \nu \phi \lambda o \hat{\nu} \nu \tilde{\epsilon} \lambda \kappa o s$, to inflict a blinding wound.

§ 84. ACCUSATIVE OF RESPECT.

An Accusative is constantly joined to a verb, adjective, noun, or even a sentence, to denote that in respect to which the state or operation of the verb, etc., takes place. Very often the Accusative denotes the part affected, e.g.

κάμνω or ἀλγῶ τὴν κεφαλήν (τὰ ὅμματα, τοὺς πόδας, τοὺς δακτύλους).

I have a pain in the hand leggs, feet, fingers).

βέλτιον ἐστι σῶμά γ' ἢ ψυχὴν νοσεῖν. MENAND. Better to ail in body than in mind.

τυφλὸς τά τ' ὧτα, τόν τε νοῦν, τά τ' ὄμματ' εἶ. Soph. Blind art thou both in ears, and mind, and eyes.

'Εξακόσιοι τὸν ἀριθμόν, six hundred in number.

"Ελληνες τὸ γένος (τὸ ὄνομα), Greeks in race (in name).

Compare this Accusative with the Dative of Circumstance.

§ 85. ACCUSATIVE OF SPACE AND TIME.

The Accusative denotes extension of space and duration of time.

πλείν τὴν θάλασσαν, Andok., to sail the sea. πορεύεσθαι όδόν, γῆν, ὅρη, ΧΕΝ., to travel over a road, land, mountains.

αί σπονδαὶ ἐνιαυτον ἔσονται. Thuc. The truce shall be (i.e. last) for a year.

ἀπέχει ή Πλάταια τῶν Θηβῶν σταδίους έβδομήκοντα. ΤΗυC.

Plataea is seventy stades distant from Thebes.

την τρίτην ήμέραν εἰργάζοντο καὶ την τετάρτην. ΤΗυς.

They were working throughout the third day and the fourth.

κέλευθον ἔρπειν, to crawl along a road, Soph. Phil. 1224; δδὸν φανῆναι, to appear on a road, Soph. El. 1274; τὴν ὥραν τοῦ ἔτους, DEM. Phil. i. 8, during the season of the year.

Note. An Accusative with ordinal numbers means how long since or ago.

ἐξήλθομεν τρίτον ἔτος τουτί. Dem. 54. 3. We came out three years ago

Cf Plat. Protag. 309.

Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 86. ACCUSATIVE OF MOTION.

The Accusative denotes motion to a place, without a preposition in Poetry; but in Prose a preposition is required.

οὔπω νενόστηκ' οἶκον. Eur. I. T. 534. Not yet hath he returned home.

Cf. Soph. Ant. 152, Phil. 244; Eur. Bacch. 1, 5. Cf. Julius Caesar—Ere we could arrive the point proposed.

Very seldom motion to a person. Eur. Bacch. 847, 1353.

αὶ νῆες ἀφικνοῦνται ἐπὶ Πύλον. Thuc. The ships arrive against Pylos.

εντεύθεν εξελαύνει είς Κολοσσάς. XEN. From this place he marches to Colossae.

§ 87. ACCUSATIVE OF THE OBJECT AND PRE-DICATE IN AGREEMENT OR IN APPO-SITION WITH IT.

For this construction, a very common and easy one, see Oblique Predicate.

Verbs of naming (καλῶ, ὀνομάζω), addressing (προσαγορεύω), dividing and distributing (νέμω, κατανέμω, διαιρῶ, τέμνω), take this construction both in the active and passive.

καλοῦσί με τοῦτο τὸ ὄνομα. XEN. Oik. 7. 3. They call me (by) this name.

δ Κύρος τὸ στράτευμα κατένειμε δώδεκα μέρη. ΧΕΝ. Cyr. 7. 5. 13.

Cyrus divided the army into twelve parts.

 $\dot{\eta}$ γ $\dot{\eta}$ τὰ αὐτὰ μέρη διανέμεται. Pl. Leg. v. 737. The land is divided into the same parts.

§ 88. DOUBLE ACCUSATIVE.

Certain classes of Verbs in Greek regularly take a double Accusative ignitized by Microsoft®

Verbs of :-

asking, i.e. interrogating: ἐρωτῶ, ἠρόμην.

asking, i.e. petitioning: alτω (and comp

αἰτῶ (and compounds), πράσσω, εἰςπράσσω, πράσσομαι, I demand,

exact.

concealing: κρύπτω (ἀποκρύπτω).

teaching: διδάσκω.

putting on or off: ἐνδύω, ἐκδύω, ἀμφιέννυμι, περιβάλ-

λομαι.

depriving : ἀφαιροῦμαι, ἀποστερῶ, συλῶ.

saying or doing anything ἀγαθόν (κακόν, τι, τοῦτο, εὖ, καλῶς, good or ill: κακῶς), λέγω, ἐρῶ, εἶπον, δρῶ, ποιῶ, ἐργάζομαι (rarely πράσσω).

Similarly: εὐλογῶ, ἐπαινῶ, ψεύδομαι, διαβάλλω, ὑβρίζω, ἀδικῶ, ἀντιποιῶ, βλάπτω, κωλύω, ἀναγκάζω.

ου τοθτό σε έρωτῶ. ΑπΙΝΤΟΡΗ.

That's not the question I'm asking you.

πολλοί με σίτον αἰτοῦσι, πολλοί δὲ ἱμάτια. ΧΕΝ. Many are asking me for food, many for clothes.

ἄλλους ταὐτὰ ταῦτα διδάσκω. Plat. I teach others these self-same subjects.

οὐδέν σε κρύψω. SOPH.
Nayaht will I hide from thee

Naught will I hide from thee.

ίδοὺ δ' Απόλλων αὐτὸς ἐκδύων ἐμὲ χρηστηρίαν ἐσθῆτα. AESCH. And lo! Apollo's self divesting me

Of garb oracular.

άλλήλους τὰ ἔσχατα λέγουσιν. ΧΕΝ.
They say the most atrocious things of one another.

οί μεν πονηροί κακόν τι έργάζονται τοὺς ἀνθρώπους, οί δ' ἀγαθοί ἀγαθόν. Plat.

Bad men do harm to others, good men good.

Digitized by Microsoft®

Note 1. The construction of the Double Accusative is much commoner in Greek than in Latin. Almost any Greek transitive verb can take an Accusative of the External Object, and some one of the many varieties of the Internal Object. The Internal Accusative is often a neuter pronoun, or an adjective agreeing with a suppressed substantive.

Μέλητός με έγράψατο την γραφην ταύτην. Plat. Meletus brought this indictment against me.

In the Passive-

τὰς ἄλλας μάχας, ὅσας Πέρσαι ἡττήθησαν, ἐω̂. ISOCR.

I pass over all the other battles in which the Persians were defeated.

The poets, as might be expected, use great freedom with this construction, from Homer downwards.

χρόα νίζετο άλμην. Od. vi. 224. He was washing the brine off his skin.

(He was washing his skin: he was washing off the brine.)

άλλ' οὖκ ἐάσει τοῦτο γ' ἡ δίκη σε. Soph. Ant. 538. Nau. Justice will not suffer thee to do this.

τοιοῦτον θράσος

αὖτή θ' ὁπλίζει. SOPH. El. 996. Thou arm'st thyself in such boldness.

Note 2. In the passive Construction one accusative becomes the subject. E.g.—

πολλοὶ ἵππους ἀπεστέρηνται.

Many have been deprived of their horses.

The passive of $\epsilon \hat{v}$, κακῶς, ποιεῖν is not $\epsilon \hat{v}$ etc. ποιεῖσθαι but $\epsilon \hat{v}$ etc. πάσχειν, and of $\epsilon \hat{v}$ etc. λέγειν not λέγεσθαι but ἀκούειν (cf. bene, male audire), e.g. μέγαλα, $\epsilon \hat{v}$, παθεῖν, πολλὰ κακὰ ἀκούειν.

Note 3. Many of these verbs take other constructions, e.g. ἀναμιμνήσκω τινά τινος, αἰτῶ τι παρά τινος, ἀφαιροῦμαί τι τινος ἀγαθὸν ποιῶ σοι. λοιδορεῖν takes an accusative, λοιδορεῖσθαι a dative: μέμφομαι an accus. of thing and dative of person, τουτό σοι; also an accusative of person; also a dative alone of person.

Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 89. THE EXTERNAL ACCUSATIVE.

The Accusatives denote the direct object of a transitive Verb.

την μάχην τοὺς βαρβάρους ἐνίκησεν. Aeschin. He conquered the barbarians in battle.

μάχην internal Accus., βαρβάρους external Accus.

Note 1. The Accusative depends almost universally on a Verb. But there are few cases in which it depends on a noun (generally a verbal adjective, or a noun of verbal character).

καί σε φύξιμος οὐδεὶς ἀθανάτων. SOPH. Antig. 789. And none of the immortals is able to escape thee. πόλεμος ἄπορα πόριμος. AESCH. P. V. 904. τὸ πῶν μῆχαρ οὔριως Ζεύς. AESCH. Supp. 594.

Perhaps we may add ἔξαρνοι τὰ ὁμολογημένα, ISAEUS v. 26; ἐπιστήμονες τὰ προσήκοντα, ΧΕΝ. Cyr. 3. 3, 9; Σωκράτης τὰ μετέωρα φροντιστής, PL. Apol. II.; unless we regard the accusatives here as accusatives of respect.

The construction is not unknown to Latin-

Quid tibi hanc digito tactio est. Plaut. Poen. v. 5. 29. Reditum domum in patriam. Liv. xxx. 32.

On this construction see Peile, Primer of Philology, ch. vii. 5.

Note 2. An Accusative stands in apposition not to the object of the verb, but to the state or act jointly denoted by the verb and its object. Very often this Accusative in Apposition has a proleptic force. See Peculiarities of Apposition.

αἰαὶ, κακῶν τύμιστα δὴ κλύω τάδε, αἴσχη τε Πέμσαις καὶ λιγέα κωι ύματα. AESCH. Pers. 331. Woe! woe! the top of sorrow hear I now,

Shame to the Persians, and shrill lamentations.

Other instances occur, Aesch. Ag. 225, Cho. 200, Eur. Baech. 29, 250.

Cf. VERG. Aen. xi. 381, Proinde tona eloquium, solitum tibi-Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 90. VERBS WHICH TAKE AN EXTERNAL ACCUSATIVE.

The following classes of Verbs should be noticed as taking an Accusative of the External Object.

1. Many Verbs of Emotion:

aἰδοῦμαι, I revere. aἰσχύνομαι, I feel awe or shame in the presence of. θαρρῶ, I feel confidence in.

ἐκπλήσσομαι, I am alarmed καταπλήσσομαι, I at. φρίσσω, I shudder at. Soph. Ant. 997.

alσχύνομαι τὸν πολύυμνον θεόν. Eur. Ion, 1074. I am abashed in the presence of the god renowned in song.

τὸ τοιοῦτον σῶμα οἱ ἐχθροὶ θαρροῦσιν. Pl. Phaed. 239. The enemy feel confidence in such a body.

μὴ δύναμιν τῶν 'Αθηναίων καταπλαγῆτε. Thuc. vi. 76. Do not be dismayed at the power of the Athenians.

2. Many Verbs of Motion compounded with Prepositions, such verbs taking the meaning of their kindred transitives.

διαβαίνω, { I cross. διέρχομαι, { διάπλέω, I sail across. περιέρχομαι, I go about. περιπλέω, I coast along. ἐκδιδράσκω, } I run away from. ἀποδιδράσκω, } I run away from.

μέτειμι, | I pursue, go in μετέρχομαι, | quest of. | ἐκβαίνω, I exceed. | ἐξίστημι, I avoid. | ὑπερβάλλω, I cross over surpass. | ὑφίσταμαι, | I undertake (sus-ὑποδύομαι, | cipio). | ὑποφεύγω, I avoid, shirk.

τὰ ἐπιτήδεια ἐκ Σήστου μετιόντες. Xen. Hell. ii. 1. 25. Going in search of provisions from Sestos.

ὑπερέ β αλον τὰ ὅρη. Xen. An. iv. 4. 23. They crossed the mountains.

όταν οἱ ἄνδρες ἐκβῶσι τὴν ἡλικίαν, ἀφήσομέν που αὐτούς ἐλευθέρους. Pl. Rep. 461.

As soon as the men exceed the prescribed limit of age we shall, I presume, set them free.

οί πρόγονοι οὐδένα πώποτε κίνδυνον έξέστησαν. DEM. 20. 10. Our ancestors never shirked any danger.

Cf. Verg. Aen. v. 438, vim viribus exit (i.e. evitat).
τὸ τοὺς τυράννους εἰσιέναι. Dem. 418. 13.
Το act the part of interacts of Microsoft.

3. Many Compound Verbs, which in their composite form are equivalent to transitive Verbs:

δημαγωγεῖ τοὺς ἄνδρας. XEN. An. vii. 6. 4. He wins men by popular acts.

τὸν ἐαυτοῦ πατέρα γηροτροφεῖ. DEM. 24. 203.

He nurses his father in his old age.

μή τὰ χείρω φιλονεικήσαι. THUC. v. 111. Not through contentiousness to choose the worse.

Cf. SOPH. Ant. 994, Schneidewin, w. note.

So οἰκονομῶ (τὸν βίον), I manage, or regulate. συκοφαντῶ (τινά), I calumniate, or accuse falsely. λογοποιῶ (συμφοράs), I make up tales (of troubles). καταναυμαχῶ, I overpower in naval warfare. καταπολεμῶ, I overpower in war.

4. Special Verbs.

 γ ελῶ, I ridicule (τινά); δακρύω, I weep for (φίλους, friends), Eur. Frag.

 $\phi\theta$ άνω, I anticipate (τοὺς μέλλοντας, those who are purposing). ξηλῶ, I emulate (τοὺς ἀγαθούς, the good).

φυλάσσομαι, I beware of (τὸν κύνα, the dog).

εύλαβούμαι, \ 1 defend myself against (τοὺς πολεμίους).

τιμωροῦμαι, Ι take vengeance on (τὸν φονεύσαντα, the murderer).

βιάζομαι, I force, win by force (τὸν ἔσπλουν, the entrance, Thuc. vii. 22).

σιωπῶ, I pass over in silence (τὰ δίκαια, what is right). λανθάνω, I elude the notice of (τὸν διώκοντα, the pursuer). προθυμοῦμαι, I promote (τὴν ξύμβασιν, the treaty, Thuc. v. 17).

οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι τοὺς τῶν Συρακοσίων ἱππέας ἐφοβήθησαν. The Athenians were afraid of the Syracusan cavalry. ἀδύνατα ἢν τοὺς Λοκροὺς ἀμύνεσθαι. Thuc. iv. 1.

αδύνατα ήν τοὺς Λοκροὺς ἄμύνεσθαι. THUC. iv. 1. It was impossible to keep off the Locrians.

 τ ί φυλάξασθαί φημι δεῖν ήμᾶς; DEM. de Pace iv. What do I maintain we must guard against?

δ Κλεων ὑπέφευγε τὸν πλοῦν... ὑφίσταται τὸν πλοῦν. ΤΗυς. iv. 28.

Cleon was trying to back out of the expedition: he undertakes the expedition.

Digitized by Microsoft®

5. "Ομνυμι and expressions of swearing, μά, οὐ μά, ναὶ μά, νή.

 $\mu \hat{a} \Delta i a$, nay, by Zeus.

νη or ναὶ τὸν Δία, yea, by Zeus.

οὐ τὸν Δία, οὐ μὰ τὸν Δία, nay, by Zeus.

όμωμοκώς τους θεούς, having sworn by the gods, Dem. 301. 1.

ού μὰ τὸν Δι', ου (in answers), No, by Zeus, not, etc.

οὐ μ à τὸν $\Delta i'$, οὐ μ èν $\delta \dot{\eta}$, No, by Zeus, not so indeed.

Note 1. The poets use great freedom in making Verbs transitive.

τοὺς γὰρ εὖσεβεῖς θεοὶ

θνήσκοντας οὐ χαίρουσι.

At a good man's death

The gods rejoice not. See Peile, Primer of Philology, p. 131.

So $\hat{\eta}$ ξεν χέρα, Soph. Ai. 44 (Jebb's note); χορεύω θεόν, I celebrate the god in the dance, Pind. Isth. i. 7, Soph. Ant. 1152; χορεύειν γάμους, Eur. I. A. 1047. So βαίνειν (προβαίνειν) πόδα.

Note 2. An Accusative is found after a compound expression which is equivalent to a Verb. Many accusatives in the poets may be thus explained.

καὶ πάννυχοι δὴ διάπλοον καθίστασαν

ναῶν ἄνακτες πάντα ναυτικον λεών. AESCH. Pers. 384.

So all night long the captains of ships were keeping affoat (or sailing in and out) the whole naval host (διαπ.—καθίστασαν = one verb).

τίν' ἀεί τάκεις οἰμωγάν 'Αγαμέμνονα; SOPH. El.

With what melting lament bemoanest thou Agamemnon? $(\tau \acute{\alpha} \kappa \epsilon_{is} - o \acute{l} \mu \omega \gamma \acute{\alpha} \nu = \text{one verb.})$

εἰ δέ μ' δδ' ἀεὶ λόγους ἐξῆρχες (λόγους ἐξῆρχες (λόγους ἐξῆρχες=προσεφώνεις, Jebb).

SOPH. El. 556.

If thou hadst been ever accosting me thus.

Cf. AESCH. Ag. 788; SOPH. O.C. 583. See Schneidewin on SOPH. Ant. 212.

Cf. Terence, Hauton, Prol. 41, Mea causa causam hanc iustam esse animum-inducite.

Digitized by Microsoft®

Note 3. Poetical and comic use of the Accusative with verbs of looking (an Internal Accusative).

There are many Homeric phrases.

 π ῦρ ὀφθαλμοῖσι δεδορκώς, Od. xix. 446 (looking, i.e. flashing fire).

So "Αρην βλέπειν, δέρκεσθαι, άλκὴν δρᾶν,
"Αρη δεδορκότων. ΑΕSCH. Sept. c. Theb. 553.

Aristophanes is very fond of this idiom.

πρὸς τῶν θεῶν, ἄνθρωπε, ναύφρακτον βλέπεις.

ARIST. Ach. 95.

'fore heaven, fellow, thou look'st an ironclad.

So βλέπειν νᾶπυ (mustard), ὄμφακας (sour grapes).

Cf. "to look black," "look daggers," and Hamlet, "I will speak daggers." "He speaks holiday, he smells April and May," Merry Wives, iii. 2.

§ 91.

THE GENITIVE.

Preliminary note on the Genitive.

The Greek Genitive is the case of Connexion and its op posite, dis-Connexion or Separation. The Genitive proper denotes the class (yévos) to which a thing belongs. Thus νόμισμα ἀργυρίου, a coin of silver; the coin belongs to the class silver. Both in etymology and signification the Genitive is akin to an adjective. (See MAX MULLER, Lectures on the Science of Language, i. 105; second edition.) The Ablative, on the other hand, denotes that from which a thing is removed. The signification of the lost Ablative has passed into the Genitive. But in the Greek Genitive we can never. perhaps, be sure where we have a strictly Ablative meaning, for as the Genitive denotes Connexion, by a natural law of association, it also denotes the opposite, dis-Connexion, i.e. Separation. Further, Connexion (or Relation) is so elastic a conception, that the usages of the Genitive have, by a series of loose analogies, been almost indefinitely extended. This is what we should expect when we consider the popular and unscientific growth of Syntax. It is not possible to tabulate all the usages of the Genitive, or to avoid cross-divisions.

Digitized by Microsoft®

The Partitive Genitive so-called is a misnomer, due to a confusion of thought. The Genitive denotes the whole, that on which it depends denotes the part. Lastly, as the Accusative essentially depends on a Verb or Verbal notion, so the Genitive essentially depends on a Substantive or Substantival notion.

§ 92. POSSESSIVE GENITIVE.

The Genitive denotes the Possessor, that to which a thing belongs; with

A. Nouns and Adjectives, οἰκεῖος, ἔδιος (own, peculiar, or belonging to); ἱερός, consecrated to; and their opposite, ἀλλότριος. These also (ἱερός very rarely) take a Dative.

Nouns, etc.

ή τοῦ πατρὸς οἰκία or ή οἰκία τοῦ πατρός. The father's house.

ή πόλις άπάντων των πολιτων κοινή ἐστίν. Andok. The city is common to all the citizens.

ίρὸς γὰρ οὖτος τῶν κατὰ χθονὸς θεῶν. Eur. Consecrated is that one to the nether gods.

B. Verbs.

οὶ Πέρσαι τὴν ᾿Ασίαν ἑαυτῶν ποιοῦνται. XEN. The Persians are claiming Asia as their own.

τίς ἐσθ' ὁ χῶρος; τοῦ θεῶν νομίζεται; SOPH. O. C. 38. What spot is this? To which of the gods is it held sacred (considered to belong)?

Note 2. In certain familiar phrases there is an ellipse of the word on which the Genitive depends: ἐν Ἅλιδον, in Hades; ἐς Ἅλιδον, to Hades; ἐς Ἦλον, to the master's (sc. house).

Digitized by Microsoft®

Note 3. The Genitive denotes the person or thing to which something is suitable or becoming (it is a sign of, a mark of, it requires, etc.).

τοῦτο ἔστι παίζοντος. PLAT. Apol. 14.

This is (the conduct) of one who is jesting (this is mere banter).

ἔστιν ἄρα δικαίου ἀνδρὸς βλάπτειν καὶ ὁντινοῦν ἀνθρώπων;

PLAT. Rep. 1. 335.

Is it the part of a just man to (will a just man) injure any one whomsoever?

πολλής ἀνοίας καὶ τὸ θηρᾶσθαι κενά. SOPH. Εl. 1054.

• It shows (it is) great madness even to engage in an idle quest.

In expressions of sonship the substantive on which the Genitive depends may be omitted: Σωκράτης ὁ Σωφρονίσκου, Νικίας ὁ Νικηράτου, Θουκυδίδης ὁ Ὁλόρου. More briefly, Μιλτιάδης Κίμωνος. Poet., Διὸς "Αρτεμις, Artemis daughter of Zeus; "Οιλῆος ταχύς Αΐας, Aias swift son of Oileus. Hom.

πατρὸς λέγεται Κῦρος γενέσθαι Καμβύσου.

XEN. Cyr. 1. 2. 1.

It is said that Cyrus was the son of Cambyses.

So in poetry, φῦναι, βλαστείν, τραφῆναί τινος.

§ 93. GENITIVE OF MATERIAL OR CONTENTS.

The Genitive denotes the *Material* of which a thing consists, or the *Contents* of a thing.

With Nouns, etc.:-

νόμισμα ἀργυρίου (=νόμισμα ἀργυροῦν).

A coin of silver (Old Eng. adj. a silvern coin).

ορωσι σώρους σίτου, ξύλων, λίθων. ΧΕΝ. Hell. iv. 4.12. They see piles of grain, timber, stones.

σωμα δειλαίας σποδού. SOPH. Elect. 758.

A body of pitiable ashes.

Digitized by Microsoft®

B. With Verbs:—

οί στέφανοι ρόδων ήσαν άλλ' οὐ χρυσίου. Dem. The wreaths were of roses, and not of gold.

θεμέλιοι παυτοίων λίθων ὑπόκεινται. Thuc.

The substructions were made of stones of all sorts.

Free and poetical uses :-

φωνή ὀρθίων κωκυμάτων. Soph. Antig. 1206. A voice of shrill laments.

πνοή φοινίου σταλάγματος. SOPH. Antig. 1239. A gasp of bloody dew.

Expressions such as $^{\prime}A\theta\eta\nu\hat{\omega}\nu$ $\pi\delta\lambda\iota$ s, the city of Athens (contrast with "urbs Roma"); $T\rho oi\eta s$ $\pi\tau o\lambda i\epsilon\theta\rho o\nu$, the city of Troy, are poetical.

§ 94. GENITIVE OF AMOUNT.

Another aspect of the Genitive of Material is where it denotes the Amount of Space, Time, Money.

οκτω σταδίων τείχος. ΤΗυο.

A wall of eight stades.

τριῶν ἡμερῶν όδός. ΧΕΝ.

A journey of ten days (a ten days' journey).

τριάκοντα ταλάντων οὐσία.

A property of thirty talents.

έπιτήδεια τριών ήμερων έλαβον. ΧΕΝ. Cyr. v. 3. 35.

They took provisions for three days.

γαμεῖν δεῖ ἐπειδὰν ἐτῶν ἢ τις τριάκοντα. Plat. Legg. 721. A man should marry when he is thirty years old.

πυραμὶς λιθίνη τὸ μὲν εὖρος ένὸς πλέθρου, τὸ δὲ ὕψος δύο πλέθρων. ΧΕΝ. Απαb. 3. 4. 9.

A stone pyramid in breadth one plethron, in height two plethra.

χιλίων δραχμῶν δίκην φεύγω. DEM. 55. 25.

I am defendant in a suit involving a thousand drachmae.¹

¹ With the Genitive of Amount may be connected the Genitive of Value.

Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 95. GENITIVE OF PLENTY OR WANT.

With the Genitive of Material may be associated the Genitive after words of Plenty or Want.

A. Adjectives and Adverbs: μεστός, πλήρης, ἔμπλεως, πλούσιος, ἐνδεής, πένης, κενός, ἔρημος, γυμνός, καθαρός, ἄλις.

ποταμός πλήρης ἰχθύων. ΧΕΝ.

A river full of fishes.

τὸ τῆς Λήθης πεδίον κενόν ἐστι δένδρων.

PLAT.

The plain of Lethe is bare of trees.

τύραννος πολλών φόβων καὶ ἐρώτων μεστός.

PLAT. Rep. 579.

A despot filled with many fears and desires.

So with a Substantive: ἀπορία σίτου, scarcity of provisions.

B. Verbs : $\pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu \iota$, $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi l \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu \iota$, $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \hat{\omega}$, $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \omega$, $\gamma \dot{\epsilon} \mu \omega$, $\mu \epsilon \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}$, εἐπορ $\hat{\omega}$, δέομαι, δε $\hat{\iota}$, $\sigma \pi a \nu i \zeta \omega$ (κέχρημαι, κεχρημένος, Epic and poetical).

τὰ βιβλία 'Αναξαγόρου γέμει τούτων τῶν λόγων.

PLAT.

The books of Anaxagoras teem with these statements.

έσπάνιζον τροφής τοῖς πολλοῖς. ΤΗυς.

They were in want of provisions for the majority.

. παθσαι, πρὶν ὀργῆς κάμὲ μεστῶσαι. SOPH. Ant. 28. Peace! ere thou fill me too with wrath.

ὁ παρῶν καιρὸς πολλῆς φροντίδος καὶ βουλῆς δεῖται. The present occasion requires much thought and counsel οὐ πόνων κεχρήμεθα. Eur. Med. 334. We have no lack of troubles.

Obs. λέκτρα πίμπλαται δακρύμασιν. Aesch. Pers. 100. Their couches are filled (watered) with tears.

Here the dative of Instrument is used.

Digitized by Microsoft®

Note. The constructions of $\delta \epsilon \hat{i}$, $\delta \epsilon \omega :$

οὐ πολλοῦ πόνου με δεῖ,

I have no need of much trouble.

ποίας μοι δεῖ φροντίδος; SOPH. Elect. 612.

What care need I?

πολλοῦ δέω έμαυτόν γε άδικήσειν. PLAT. Apol. 17.

I am far from intending to wrong myself.

όκτω άποδέοντες τριακόσιοι = 292. THUC. iv. 38.

δυοίν δέοντες πεντήκοντα=48.

§ 96. THE PARTITIVE GENITIVE (SO CALLED).

The Partitive Genitive so called (the Genitive is the whole, the word on which it depends is the part) is used:—

A. With any part of speech denoting participation.

πολλοὶ τῶν 'Αθηναίων.

Many of the Athenians.

τὰ δύο μέρη τῆς στρατιᾶς.

Two-thirds of the army.

'Αθηναίων ὁ βουλόμενος.

Any one of the Athenians who wishes.

τῶν πολεμίων οἱ μὲν ἀπώλοντο, οἱ δὲ ἔφυγεν.

Of the enemy some fell, while others escaped.

τῶν πολιτῶν τις, οὖτις στρατοῦ. Soph. Ai. (twice.) One of the citizens, no one in the host.

άνηρ των βητόρων (ARIST. Eq. 423, ἀνήρ = τίς).

One of the speakers; so, δήμου ἀνήρ, a man of the people (XEN. Cyr. ii. 2. 22).

ή ναθε ἄριστα ἔπλει παντὸς τοῦ στρατοπέδου. Lys. The ship was the best sailer in the whole squadron.

Digitized by Microsoft®

B. Especially after adjectives denoting participation and their opposites: — μέτοχος, ἀμέτοχος, ἰσόμοιρος, ἄκληρος, ἄμοιρος, ἄγευστος.

μέτοχος ἃν εἴης τοῦ φόνου δράσας τάδε. Eur.

Thou wouldst this bloodshed share shouldst thou do this—(particeps sis caedis).

C. With Verbs, especially with those of giving or taking a share.

μετέχω, μετεστί (μοι), μεταλαμβάνω, I have, or take, a share; μεταποιοθμαι, I claim a share; μεταδίδωμί (τινι), κοινωνῶ (τίνι), I give a share (to a person, τινί), προσήκει μοι, I have a concern in.

ανθρώπου ψυχη τοῦ θείου μετέχει. ΡΙΑΤ.

The soul of man partakes of divinity.

ου μεταδώσουσι ήμιν της άρχης Λακεδαιμόνιοι.

HEROD.

The Lacedaemonians will not give us a share in the empire.

οὐδὲν προσήκει μοι τῆς αἰτίας ταύτης. Antiph.

I have nothing to do with this accusation (i.e. it does not concern me).

D. Also Verbs of eating, tasting, or drinking, or any Verb' denoting participation in a thing:—πίνω, ἐσθίω, γεύω, γεύωμαι.

πίνω τοῦ οἴνου, ἐσθίω κρεῶν.

I drink wine, I eat meat.

της γης ἔτεμον.

They ravaged (some of) the land.

πέμπει τῶν Λυδῶν.

He sends some (of the) Lydians.

ὄσοι ἔφαγον τῶν κηρίων πάντες ἄφρονες ἐγίγνοντο. XEN. All who ate of the honeycombs soon became mad. The partitive $\tau\iota$ may be inserted, cf. $d\pi o \lambda a \upsilon \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \iota \tau \iota \nu o s$, to enjoy some advantage from some source,

- Note 1. Many of these verbs are used transitively and take an Accusative (denoting "an object completely overpowered," J. GRIMM). $\pi i \nu \omega$ of $\nu o \nu$, $\lambda a \gamma \chi \dot{a} \nu \omega$ $\tau \iota$, I attain something, $\tau \iota \nu \dot{o} s$, a share of something; so $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau o \nu$ $\mu \epsilon \rho o s$ $\tau \iota \nu \dot{o} s$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{e} \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$, to have the greatest part of something (where $\mu \dot{e} \rho o s$ is a whole).
- Note 2. Instead of a neuter singular with a partitive Genitive the adjective of certain words ($\mathring{\eta}\mu\iota\sigma\nu$ s, $\piολύs$, $\piλείστοs$, λοιπόs) often agrees in gender with the genitive: $\mathring{\delta} \mathring{\eta}\mu\iota\sigma\nu$ s, or $\mathring{\delta} \lambdaοιπόs$, $\tauο\mathring{\iota} \chiρόνου$; $\tauο\mathring{\iota} \chiρόνου$ $\mathring{\delta} πλείστοs$, $πολλ\mathring{\eta} τ\mathring{\eta}$ s $\chi \acute{\omega} \rho as$ (XEN. Cyr. iii. 2. 2). Cf. Thuc. i. 2, $\tau\mathring{\eta}$ s $\gamma\mathring{\eta}$ s $\mathring{\eta}$ $\mathring{\delta} \rho \acute{\iota} \sigma \tau \eta$.
- Note 3. Many Adverbs of place are joined with a partitive Genitive: $\pi ο \hat{v} \gamma \hat{\eta} s$; ubi terrarum ? $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi o \hat{v} \gamma \hat{\eta} s$, $o \hat{v} \delta \alpha \mu o \hat{v} \gamma \hat{\eta} s$; $\mathring{a} \lambda \lambda o \mathring{a} \lambda \lambda \mathring{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\pi \acute{o} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$, Thuc. ii. 4; $\pi \eta \nu \acute{\iota} \kappa \alpha \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\mathring{\eta} \mu \acute{e} \rho \alpha s$; at what time of day? $\pi \acute{o} \rho \rho \omega \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\mathring{\eta} \mu \acute{e} \rho \hat{\alpha} s$, $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \nu \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, $\mathring{o} \psi \grave{e} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\mathring{\omega} \rho \alpha s$.

Many such phrases occur in the poets:-

ποῦ γνώμης ποτ' $\epsilon \hat{l}$; SOPH. Antig. 42. What is thy purpose?

w nat is thy purpose i

ποῦ ποτ' ϵ l φρ ϵ νῶν; SOPH. Elect. 390 (see 404). What is thy mysterious intent?

ποῖ λόγων ἔλθω; SOPH. Elect. 1174. What words shall I utter?

γαίας ὀρύξας ἔνθα, κ.τ.λ. SOPH. Aiax 659. Hiding it in the earth where, etc.

οἷ μ ' ἀτι μ ίας ἄγεις; SOPH. Elect. 1035. To what infamy art thou leading me?

Note 4. The neuter of a pronoun or adjective, or adverb, is not unknown to Greek, but not so common as in Latin (paullum sapientiae, parum sapientiae, aliquid divitiarum, quicquid deorum, etc.). τι στασιασμοῦ, ΤΗυC. iv. 130; ἀμήχανον εὐδαιμονίας, PLAT. Apol.; ἄτοπα τῆς σμικρολογίας, PLAT. Thaeaet. 175; ἐπὶ μέγα δυνάμεως χωρεῖν, ΤΗυC. i. 118; ἐπὶ πλεῖστον ἀνθρώπων, ΤΗυC. i. 1; ἐν παντὶ κακοῦ, PLAT. Rep. 579; ἐν τῷ συμφορῶς; ΔΡΕΚ ΜΑΝΕΙΜΑ 229.

Note 5. The word on which a partitive Genitive depends has often to be supplied:—

έμὲ θὲς τῶν πεπεισμένων. Plat. Rep. 424. Set me down as one of those who are convinced.

Σόλων τῶν ἐπτὰ σοφιστῶν ἐκλήθη. ISOCR. Antid. 235. Solon was called one of the Seven Sages.

§ 97. GENITIVE OF CONNEXION.

The following Verbs denoting Connexion take a Genitive:—

A. Verbs signifying to aim at, hit or attain, miss.

στοχάζομαι, aim at ; ὀρέγομαι, reach out towards, strive for ; τυγχάνω, κυρῶ, ἐφικνοῦμαι, hit, secure, obtain, attain ; ἀποτυγχάνω, ἁμαρτάνω, miss, lose ; ψεύδομαι, σφάλλομαι, I am disappointed, balked of. (N.B. κιχάνω generally takes an Accusative.)

B. Catch hold of, touch, cling to (be separated from, see Gen. of separation).

λαμβάνομαι (and compounds with ἐπί, ἀντί), ἄπτομαι, ἐφάπτομαι, θιγγάνω, I catch hold of, touch, grasp; ἔχομαι, I cling to, etc. (see examples), ἀντέχομαι, I cling to, uphold.

C. Make trial of, begin, have experience in.

πειρῶ, πειρῶμαι, I try, attempt; ἄρχω, ἄρχομαι, I begin; ἐμπείρως ἔχω, I am experienced in.

D. Verbs of the senses: hear, smell, taste, touch (see **B**.), enjoy. (But verbs of seeing take an Accusative.)

ἀκούω, I hear; ἀκροῶμαι, listen to, attend lectures; ὀσφραίνομαι, smell (trans.); ἄζω, smell (neut.); γεύω, give a taste of; γεύομαι, taste; ἀπολαύω, enjoy. (The last two verbs more often metaphorically than literally.)

E. Perceive, understand, remember, forget.

alσθάνομαι, I perceive; πυνθάνομαι, learn by inquiry; ξυνίημι, understand; μιμνήσκρμης τερμενημέρος ορξωλανθάνομαι, forget.

F. Care for, long for, desire, neglect, despise.

μέλει (μοι), ἐπιμελοῦμαι, I care for; πεινῶ, διψῶ, hunger, thirst after (literally and metaphorically); ἐρῶ, love, long for; ἐντρέπομαι, respect; ὀλιγωρῶ, ἀμελῶ, disregard, neglect; καταφρονῶ, despise.

A. Examples:-

δεῖ στοχάσασθαι διανοίας. ISAEUS. We ought to aim at intellect.

τοῦ σκοποῦ άμαρτὼν τοῦ παιδὸς ἔτυχεν. Antiph. Missing the mark, he hit the child.

έφικνείται της άρετης. ISOCR.

He attains unto virtue.

πάντες ὥστε τοξόται σκοποῦ τοξεύετ' ἀνδρὸς τοῦδε. SOPH. Antig. 1033. Cf. 1084. Ye all, as archers at a mark,

Are shooting at this heart.

τί μοι τῶν δυσφόρων ἐφίει; Soph. Elect. 141. Why art thou aiming at the insupportable?

φίλης γὰρ προξένου κατήνυσαν. SOPH. Elect. 1451. They met with a kind hostess.

στρατδς κυρήσει νοστίμου σωτηρίας. AESCH. Pers. 793. The host shall meet with returning deliverance (i.e. a safe return).

Obs. ἐπιτυγχάνω, προστυγχάνω, to come across, fall in with one, take a Dative.

B. Examples:---

N.B.—λαμβάνω, κρατώ take an Accusative of the person or thing seized, and a Genitive of the thing seized.

τον λύκον τῶν ἄτων κρατῶ.

I get hold of the wolf by the ears.

So ayew xeipos, to lead by the hand.

ελαβον της ζώνης τον 'Ορόντην. XEN. An. i. 6. 10.

They seized Orontes by his girdle.

C. Examples:

Κύρος ήρχε τοῦ λόγου ὧδε. ΧΕΝ.

Cyrus began the conversation as follows (i.e. was the first to speak).

Έενοφῶν τοῦ λόγου ἤρχετο ὧδε. ΧΕΝ.

Xenophon began his speech as follows.

N.B.—ἄρχειν λόγων, to be the first to speak; ἄρχεσθαι λόγων, to begin one's speech.

άποπειρώμενος ήμων έγράψω την γραφην ταύτην.

PLAT.

You were making trial of me when you brought this indictment.

D. Examples:—

βροντής ἀκούσας μηδαμῶς πόρρω φύγης. Philemon. When you hear thunder by no means run away.

τί δήτα κλάεις; κρομμύων ὀσφραίνομαι. AR. Why are you weeping then? I smell onions.

So ἐσφραίνεσθαι τῆς τυραννίδος. Ar. Lys. 619.

εὐθὺς δὲ δείσας ἐμπύρων ἐγευόμην. SOPH. Ant. 1065. Straightway in terror I made trial of burnt-sacrifice. ὅποι ἄν ἔλθω, λέγοντος ἐμοῦ ἀκροάσονται οἱ νέοι.

Plat. Ap. xxvii.

Wherever I go young men will listen to my words.

Note. With ἀκροῶμαι cf. the use of ἀποδέχομαι (I accept from, approve): μὴ ταῦτα ἀποδέχεσθε 'Αγυράτου (Lys. 13. 83), do not accept this statement from (a Genitive of Separation) Agyratus. So ἀποδέχεσθαί τινος λέγοντος. ἀπολαύω τινός (I enjoy, literally and figuratively).

ὄζω, I smell of.

αδται μὲν ὀζουσ' ἀμβροσίας καὶ νέκταρος. AR. Ach. 196. These smell of ambrosia and nectar.

Verbs of perception also mean to hear from, as well as to hear.
τοιαῦτα τοῦ παρόντος ἔκλυον. Soph. El. 424.
Thus much was I hearing from one who was present.
Digitized by Microsoft®

See Ai. 318. So—

εἶδέναι σου πρώτιστα χρήζω. Soph. El. 668. Fain would I first and foremost know from thee.

So with a sentence :-

τούτων ἄκουε τί λέγουσιν.

Hear from these men what they say.

But more usually a preposition $(\pi \alpha \rho \acute{a}, \pi \rho \acute{o}s, \acute{\epsilon} \xi)$ is added.

E. Examples:--

ἄνθρωπος ὧν μέμνησο της κοινης τύχης. MENAND. Being a man, remember the common lot.

όλίγου έμαυτοῦ έπελαθόμην. ΡΙΑΤ.

I almost forgot myself (who I was).

οὐκ ἢσθάνοντο προσιόντων τῶν πολεμίων. Xen. They were not aware of the approach of the enemy.

έπύθουτο της Πύλου κατειλημμένης. Thuc.

They heard of the capture of Pylos.

F. Examples:-

τί ἡμιν της των πολλων δόξης μέλει; PLAT.

What care we for the opinion of the world?

πείθω ύμας μήτε σωμάτων ἐπιμελεισθαι μήτε χρημάτων. PLAT.

I try to persuade you to care neither for the body nor for money.

πεινῶ χρημάτων, ἐπαίνου, ΧΕΝ. ἀγαθῶν, PLAT.

I hunger after (i.e. long for) money, praise, good things.
So διψῶ, PLAT. Rep. 562 C.

τοῦ θανάτου καὶ κινδύνου ώλιγώρησε. PLAT. He disregarded death and danger.

Note 1. μέλει and μεταμέλει take a genitive of thing, and dative of person.

μέλει μοι τούτου.

I care for this, hoc mihi curae est.

μεταμέλει μοι τούτου.

I repent of this; huius rei me poenitet.
Digitized by Microsoft®

Note 2. Adjectives with these significations are found with the Genitive:—

ἔδραν παντὸς εὐαγῆ στρατοῦ. AESCH. Pers. 465. A throne in full view of all the armada.

ἐπήβολος φρενῶν. SOPH. Antig. 492. Possessed of reason (compos mentis).

Note 3. In the following examples observe the various meanings of ἔχομαι:—

τῶν Ἐννέα ὁδῶν ἐκράτησαν.

They obtained possession of the Nine Roads. Thuc.

της μèν γνώμης, & ' $\Lambda\theta$ ηναῖοι, ἀεὶ της αὐτης ἔχομαι. Thuc. I hold, Athenians, to the same unchanged opinion ever.

ἔπονται ἐχόμενοι τῶν ἁρμάτων. XEN. They follow, coming next to the chariots.

ο πληγεὶς ἀεὶ τῆς πληγῆς ἔχεται. DEM. Phil. 1. 10. The boxer who has been struck ever follows the blow.

πάντες κοιν \hat{y} τ $\hat{\eta}$ ς σωτηρίας ἔχεσ θ ε. XEN. Anab. vi. 3. 17. All together provide for your safety.

With $\xi \chi \rho \mu a \iota$ compare the parallel meanings of $\epsilon \iota \mu \iota$. $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ a $\dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta s$ $\epsilon \bar{\iota} \nu a \iota$, Thuc. v. 46. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ a $\dot{\tau} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\lambda \dot{\delta} \gamma \omega \nu$ $\epsilon \bar{\iota} \nu a \iota$, Plat. Gorg. 489 (to keep to the same tale).

§ 98. SUBJECTIVE AND OBJECTIVE GENITIVE.

 \dot{o} φόβος τών πολεμίων. The fear of the enemy.

This Genitive of Connexion is naturally ambiguous. It may mean either (1) the fear which the enemy feels. Here $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$ is Subjective, being equivalent to the subject of the verb, and the sentence $= oi \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i o \nu$ $\phi o \beta o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a \iota (\hat{\eta} \mu \hat{a} \hat{s})$: or (2) the fear (which we feel) of or for the enemy. Here $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$ is Objective, being equivalent to the object of a transitive verb, $\hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{i} \hat{s} \phi o \beta o \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \theta a \tau o \hat{\nu} \hat{s} \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i o \nu$.

Digitized by Microsoft®

οὶ ἄνθρωποι διὰ τὸ αὐτῶν (Subjective Gen.) δέος τοῦ θανάτου καταψεύδονται, Plat. Phaed. 85 (=αὐτοὶ δεδιότες τὸν θάνατον).

Men, by reason of their fear of death, tell lies.

διὰ τὴν τοῦ ἀνέμου (Subjective) ἄπωσιν τῶν ναυαγίων (Objective). Thuc. vii. 34.

In consequence of the wind driving the wrecks into the open sea.

The Objective Genitive represents usually an object in the Accusative or Genitive after a verb, more rarely a Dative.

Αcc. διὰ Παυσανίου μίσος, ΤΗυC. i. 96. πόθος τοῦ ἀποθανόντος.

Gen. ἐπιθυμία χρημάτων, ἐπιμελεία τῶν πραγμάτων.

Dat. ἐμμονὴ τοῦ κακοῦ, Plat. Gorg. 479. Cf. ἐμμένειν τῷ κακῳ. πατρὸς τιμωρός κἀμοῦ ταλαίνης, SOPH. Elect. 811.

The following may be regarded as free uses of the Objective Genitive: $-\lambda \dot{\nu}\mu\eta$ βίον, Soph. Elect. 1195, outrage on life; δυσμενῶν θήρα, Soph. Ai. 564, hunting the foe. So $\pi\epsilon$ îρα ἐχθρῶν, Soph. Ai. 2, an attempt on, or against, one's enemies; τὸ τῶν Μεγαρέων ψήφισμα, Thuc. i. 140, decree against the Megarians (where the preposition $\pi\epsilon$ ρί would have been more usual.)

§ 99. GENITIVE OF TIME AND PLACE.

The Genitive denotes the time within which anything takes place. The Genitive is the whole, the time is either indefinite, or recurring. $\nu\nu\kappa\tau\delta\varsigma$, by night; $\tau\eta\varsigma$ $\eta\mu\acute{e}\rho\alpha\varsigma$, by day; $\tauου$ αὐτου θέρους, during the same summer; $\tauου$ λοιπου, for the future; έκάστου έτους, each year; $\tau\rho\imath\varsigma$ του ένιαυτου, thrice in the year.

N.B.—With the Article the Genitive is distributive.

Σωκράτης το αὐτο ἱμάτιον ἡμφίεστο θέρους τε καὶ χειμώνος. ΧΕΝ.

Socrates wore the same mantle summer and winter.

δραχμὴν ἐλάμβανε τῆς ἡμέρας (οτ τῆς ἡμέρας ἐκάστης).

He used to receive a drachma a day (each day).

Note 1. της αὐτης ημέρας or τη αὐτη ημέρα (often with small difference, MADVIG); τοῦ αὐτοῦ θέρους and ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ θέρει

(THUC. iv. 133); τοῦ λοιποῦ or τὸ λοιπόν, for the future.

So ἄλλης ἡμέρας, Soph. El. 690, on another day: οὐ μακροῦ χρόνου, Soph. El. 478, within no long while: τοῦ λοιποῦ χρόνου, Soph. El. 817, for the future: ἄκρας νυκτός, Soph. Ai. 285, at dead midnight: τῆς πάροιθεν εὐφρόνης, Aesch. Pers. 182, during the past night.

Note 2. The Genitive also denotes the space within which anything takes place (a very rare and poetical, chiefly an Epic, usage).

ήμερεύοντας ξένους μακρᾶς κελεύθου. ΑΕSCH. Ch. 705.

Guests who have been spending the day

On a long journey.

Cf. the Homeric πεδίοιο ἰέναι, πρήσσειν, etc.

Note 3. The ordinary prose Genitive of place is either the possessive, or the (so-called) partitive Genitive. Observe that it takes the Article:—

έστράτευσαν τῆς ᾿Αρκαδίας ἐς Παρρασίους. Thuc. v. 33. They marched against the Parrhasians in Arcadia.

Note 4. To the genitive of place, however, belong certain prose usages.

έπετάχυνον της όδου τους σχολαίτερον προσιόντας.

THUC. iv. 47.

They were hastening on the way those who were advancing slowly.

ἐπορεύοντο τοῦ πρόσω. XEN. An. v. 4. 30. They were marching onwards, forwards.

Cf. προκόπτειν της ἀρχης, Thuc. iv. 60. ὑπάγειν της ὁδοῦ, etc. προλαμβάνειν της φυγής, Thuc. iv. 33.

§ 100. GENITIVE OF VALUE.

The Genitive of Value goes with Verbs of *Estimating*, *Buying*, and *Selling* (cf. Genitive of Amount).

Value or Estimate: $d\xi \iota \hat{\omega}$, judge worthy; $\tau \acute{a}\sigma \sigma \omega$, rate or value; $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega}$, value, assess $(\tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \mu a \iota)$.

Buy: πρίαμαι, Ι buy; ωνουμαι, Ι buy.

Sell: $\pi\omega\lambda\hat{\omega}$, I offer for sale; ἀποδίδομαι, I sell.

δόξα χρημάτων οὐκ ἀνητή. ISAEUS. Reputation is not to be purchased with money. οὐκ ἄν ἀπεδόμην πολλοῦ τὰς ἐλπίδας. Plat

I would not have sold my hopes for much.

τιμάται μοι ὁ ἀνὴρ θανάτου. Plat.
My accuser proposes death as my penalty.

χρυσᾶ χαλκείων διαμείβεσθαι νοεις. Pl. Symp. 218 c. You are intending to exchange golden for copper.

Note. Verbs of buying more rarely, and only in poetry, take a dative of the price paid. See Eur. Hel. 885, Med. 233. Free use of the Genitive of Value.

τὶς μεταβάλοιτ' ὧδε σιγὰν λόγων. Soph. El. 1262. (Cf. Aesch. P. V. 987.)

Who would change thus silence for words? ἀντίσταθμον τοῦ θηρὸς κορήν. SOPH. El. 571. A daughter weighed in the balance against a wild beast.

καίτοι ταλάντου ταῦτ' ἔμαθεν Ύπέρβολος. ARIST, Nub. 876. And yet Hyperbolus was taught this for a talent.

ἔστι μοι θυγάτηρ γάμου ὡραία. XEN. Cyr. iv. 6. f. I have a daughter ripe for marriage.

Under the Genitive of Value may come the Adjectives with their Adverbs:— $\mathring{a}\xi\omega$ s, $\mathring{a}v\mathring{a}\xi\omega$ s.

§ IOI. CAUSAL GENITIVE.

The Causal Genitive (so called) goes with verbs (and other words) denoting emotion. It is probably a Genitive of Connexion or Relation, meaning with regard to, in connexion with.

Digitized by Microsoft®

θαυμάζω, I wonder at or admire; εὐδαιμονίζω, I congratulate; οἰκτείρω, I pity; ὀργίζομαι, I am angry; φθονῶ, I envy or grudge; ζηλῶ, I envy (in good sense) or praise; μακαρίζω, I felicitate; ἄγαμαι, I wonder at, admire.

ζηλῶ σε τοῦ νοῦ, τῆς δὲ δειλίας στυγῶ. SOPH.

I praise thee for thy wit, but for thy cowardice I loathe thee.

εὐδαιμονίζω σε τοῦ τρόπου. PLAT.

I congratulate you on your disposition.

φεῦ, τῆς ἀνοίας ὥς σ' ἐποικτείρω πάλαι. Soph. El. 920. Fie! how I have been pitying thee this long while for thy folly.

 $(\tau \hat{\eta} s \text{ åvoias may go with } \phi \epsilon \hat{v}.)$

θράσους τοῦδ' οὐκ ἀλύξεις. SOPH. El. 627. For this daring thou shalt not escape.

εἴπερ τι κλάεις τῶν 'Ορεστείων κακῶν. Soph. El. 1117. If thou hast a tear for the woes of Orestes.

Note 1. A similar Genitive is used in exclamations:—

φεῦ τοῦ ἀνδρός, woe for, or, fie on the man! \mathring{a} Ζεῦ βασιλεῦ τῆς λεπτότητος τῶν φρενῶν, Ar. Nub. 153, Royal Zeus! what subtlety of wit! οἴμοι τῆς τύχης, \mathring{a} μακάριου τῆς φύσεως, etc., σχετλία τόλμης, Eur. Al. 741. \mathring{a} τάλαιν' ἐγὰ σέθεν, Soph. El. 1210, ah, woe is me on thy account. \mathring{a} μοι γέλωτος, Soph. Ai. 367, ah me for the mockery. Curtius compares O des Leides.

Note 2. Toû, with the Infinitive, denoting the Aim or Purpose of an action (Final), seems to be a Causal Genitive.

Μίνως τὸ ληστικόν ἐκ τῆς θαλάσσης τοῦ τὰς προσόδους μᾶλλον ἰέναι αὐτῷ. ΤΗυς. i. 4.

Minos used to sweep piracy from the sea for the sake of his revenues coming in to him.

Cf. XEN. Cyr. i. 6. 40; SOPH. Phil. 197. This construction occurs frequently in late Greek (LXX. and N. T.)

§ 102. GENITIVE WITH VERBS OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS.

The Genitive is used with Verbs (and words) meaning:

A. To accuse: αἰτιῶμαι, διώκω, κατηγορῶ, φεύγω (I am prosecuted); ὁ φεύγων, the defendant; ὁ διώκων, the prosecutor.

So also προκαλούμαι, ἐπέξειμι.

- **B.** To acquit: ἀφίημι, I acquit; ἀποφεύγω, I am acquitted.
- C. To condemn: αἰρῶ, Ι convict; κατακρίνω, καταγιγνώσκω, καταψηφίζομαι (by vote), Ι condemn; ἀλίσκομαι, Ι am convicted; ὀφλισκάνω, Ι am cast in or lose my suit.

διώκω μεν κατηγορίας, φόνου δε φεύγω. Lys.

I am prosecuting for libel, but am prosecuted for murder.

πάντες κλοπης η δώρων έάλωσαν (or ώφλον).
All were convicted of theft or bribery.

So άλῶναι λιποταξίου, ψευδομαρτυριῶν, to be convicted of desertion, perjury, passim.

συγγιγνώσκω τινὶ τῆς ἐπιθυμίας. Plat. I pardon any one his desire.

γράφεσθαί τινα παρανόμων.

To indict a person for proposing unconstitutional measures.

In the passive :-

τά μου ψευδή κατηγορημένα. Pl. Apol. ii. The false accusations which have been brought against me.

Note. The case in which the person or thing is put varies greatly. The charge is generally in the Genitive, the accused

¹ According to Curtius, a Genitive of Cause: perhaps a Genitive of Connexion.

Digitized by Microsoft®

in the Accusative: e.g. διώκω τινὰ φόνου. But with some verbs it is the reverse: κατηγορῶ τί τινος, καταγιγνώσκω (κατακρίνω) φόνον σου. Other verbs take a dative of the person, ἐπισκήπτομαί σοι φόνου, ἐγκαλῶ φόνον σοι. The suit or sentence is in the Accusative. φεύγω δίκην, δικάζω φήφισμα, "Ενοχος τοῦ φόνου τοῖς ἐπιτιμίοις, liable to the penalties for murder.

§ 103. GENITIVE ABSOLUTE.

The Genitive of a noun with a participle, not connected with the main construction of the sentence, denotes time, cause, condition, etc.

θεοῦ διδόντος οὐδὲν ἰσχύει φθόνος, καὶ μὴ διδόντος οὐδέν ἰσχύει πάνος. MENANDER. If God should grant, ill-will availeth naught; Nor, if he grant not, toil availeth aught.

ὄντος ψεύδους ἔστιν ἀπάτη. Plat. Where is falsehood there is deceit.

The participle alone is sometimes found:—
εἶπον, ἐρωτήσαντος (sc. αὐτοῦ) ὅτι Μάκρωνές εἰσιν.

XEN. An. iv. 8. 5.

They said, on his asking them, that they were Macrones.

So ξάγγελθέντος, on its being announced; νοντος, while it was raining; συσκοτάζοντος, when it was growing dark; τελουμένων εἴτοιμ' ἄν, Soph. El. 1334, when the end is come I will tell thee. See Participle (Genitive Absolute). 1

¹ It is difficult to decide whether this usage belongs to the Genitive or comes from the lost Ablative. A comparison with Latin seems to favour the latter view. On the other hand, German uses the genitive Absolute (see Curtius, Elucidations 197, note). In old English the Dative was thus used. Each language seems to have proceeded independently in its own way.

§ 104. GENITIVE WITH COMPARATIVES.

The Genitive is joined to Comparatives.

Ε.g. μείζων τοῦ ἀδελφοῦ, i.e. μείζων ἡ ὁ ἀδελφός.

πονηρία θᾶττον θανάτου θεῖ. ΡΙΑΤ.

Wickedness runs more swiftly than death (flees faster than fate).

Note 1. The Greek Comparative, like the Latin, often is rendered into English, "too great," "too good," etc.

κρείττον ην λόγου τὸ κάλλος της γυναικός.

XEN. Mem. iii. 11. 1.

The beauty of the woman was too great for description (lit. greater than words).

Note 2. Adjectives in $-\pi\lambda\acute{a}\sigma\imath$ os, $-\sigma\tau\acute{o}s$ take the same construction as Comparatives.

έκ φειδωλίας κατέθετο μίσος διπλάσιον της οὐσίας.

In consequence of stinginess he incurred a hatred double (that of) his property.

πολλοστὸν μέρος ἢν τὰ χρήματα ὧν ὑμεῖς προσεδοκᾶτε.

Lys. 19. 39.

His property was a very little part of what you were expecting.

δεύτεροs, second (οὐδενόs) to none; ὕστεροs, later than; ἔτεροs, other than; διάφοροs, different from or excelling, similarly take a Genitive; so ὑστεραῖοs, προτεραῖοs.

§ 105. THE GENITIVE WITH VERBS CON-TAINING A COMPARATIVE NOTION.

The Genitive is used with many Verbs which imply better than, worse than; greater than, less than.

Such verbs are:—κρατῶ (I prevail over), περιγίγνομαι, περίειμι, ὑπερέχω (am superior to), διαφέρω, διαφερόντως ἔχω (excel, differ from), νικῶμαι, ἡττῶμαι (am conquered by, inferior to).

¹ The Genitive used with Comparatives may be an Ablative use (cf. Latin), or it may denote a general connexion, "greater in regard to."

Verbs of ruling:— ἡγοῦμαι (also with dative), ἄρχω, τυραννεύω, τυραννώ, στρατηγώ.

Many others:— πλεονεκτῶ, μειονεκτῶ, ὑστερῶ, ὑστερίζω, ἐλαττῶ, ἐλαττοῦμαι, λείπομαι.

Many take a dative $(\tau \iota \nu \iota)$ in respect of which the superiority or inferiority is shown.

N.B.—διαφέρομαι, I quarrel with, takes a dative.

ἔρως τῶν θεῶν βασιλεύει. Plat. Love is king of the gods.

τοῦτο διαφέρω τῶν πολλῶν. ΡΙΑΤ.

In this respect I differ from (or excel) the rest of mankind.

γυναικός οὐδαμώς ήσσητέα. SOPH. We must in nowise yield unto a woman.

γλωσσής μάλιστα πανταχοῦ πειρῶ κρατείν. MENAND. Strive ever chiefest to control thy tongue.

ἄρχοντι προσήκει καρτερία τῶν ἰδιωτῶν περιείναι.

XEN.

A commander should in endurance surpass private men.

§ 106. GENITIVE OF SEPARATION.

A Genitive denoting that from which anything is separated is used with many verbs expressing removal, distance, separation, loosing, delivering, and the like.

Such verbs are— $\delta\iota\dot{\epsilon}\chi\omega$, I am distant; $\chi\omega\rho\dot{\iota}\dot{\epsilon}\omega$, I separate; $(\chi\omega\rho\dot{\iota}\dot{\epsilon}\rho\mu\alpha)$, $\epsilon\ddot{\iota}\rho\gamma\omega$, I exclude; $\dot{\epsilon}a\dot{\epsilon}\chi\omega$ ($\dot{\epsilon}\chi\omega$), I withhold; $\sigma\tau\epsilon\rho\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\kappa\rho\mu\alpha$, I am deprived of; $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\upsilon\theta\epsilon\rho\dot{\omega}$, I set free; $\psi\iota\lambda\dot{\omega}$, I strip; $\dot{\epsilon}\phi\dot{\iota}\sigma\tau\eta\mu\iota$ (with its intransitive tenses), I cause to revolt; $\pi\alpha\dot{\iota}\omega$, I make to cease (from); $\pi\alpha\dot{\iota}\omega\mu\alpha$, $\lambda\dot{\eta}\gamma\omega$, I cease; $\dot{\epsilon}a\pi\lambda\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\omega$, I deliver from; $\dot{\epsilon}a\pi\lambda\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\sigma\omega\mu\alpha$, I escape; $\dot{\epsilon}i\kappa\omega$, $\pi\alpha\rho\alpha\chi\omega\rho\dot{\omega}$, I yield; $\phi\epsilon\dot{\iota}\delta\omega\mu\alpha\iota$, I spare.

ή νησος οὐ πολὺ διέχει της ήπείρου. Thuc.
The island is not far distant from the mainland.

Έλληνικοῦ πολέμου ἔσχον οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι.
The Athenians desisted from the Greek war.

ζητείτε αὐτῶν νυνὶ ἀπαλλαγῆναι. Plat. You are seeking now to be set free from them.

μετὰ ταῦτα ξυνέβη Θασίους τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων ἀποστῆναι. ΤΗυς. i. 100.

After this it happened that the Thasians revolted from the Athenians.

Θησεὺς τὰς ᾿Αθήνας δεινοῦ προστάγματος ήλεύθερωσεν. ΙSAE, 10, 28.

Theseus delivered Athens from a terrible tax.

είπον τῷ Παυσανία τοῦ κήρυκος μὴ λείπεσθαι.

Тнис. і. 131.

They told Pausanias not to leave the herald (see SOPH. El. 479).

Similarly with nouns, παυστήρ τῶνδε (πόνων), SOPH. El. 384; λυτήρια φόνου, El. 447.

Many Adjectives may be brought under this head, as γυμνός, ψιλός, καθαρός, ὀρφανός, ἐλεύθερος: and all words compounded with a privative, ἀμνήμων, ἀμελής, ἄμοιρος, ἄγευστος. Most of these have already been brought under other rules.

ή ἐπιθυμία ἀμνήμων τῶν κινδύνων. Antiph. Desire is forgetful of dangers.

εὐχῆς δικαίας οὐκ ἀνήκοος θεός. MENAND. God is not deaf unto a righteous prayer.

§ 107. Genitive with Compound Verbs.1

The Genitive is used with many Verbs compounded with Prepositions, especially $\delta\pi\delta$, $\xi\xi$, $\pi\rho\delta$, $\delta\pi\epsilon\rho$, $\kappa\alpha\tau\delta$. Sometimes the Preposition seems to demand the Genitive, sometimes the signification of the Compound Verb.

Such verbs are ἀποτρέπω, ἐκβαίνω, ἐξίστημι, πρόκειμαι, προτιθέναι, προτιμῶ, ὑπερορῶ (also with Accus.), ὑπεραλγῶ, κατα-

γελώ, καταφρονώ, καταγιγνώσκω, and many others.

προστηναί τύχης, SOPH. Ai. 803; ὖπερίστασθαι (ås, in whose defence), SOPH. El. 188.

§ 108. A Double Genitive.

Sometimes there are two Genitives in the same sentence. In such cases the first generally refers to the agent, the second to the object of his action.

τὰς τῶν οἰκείων προπηλακίσεις τοῦ γήρως. PLAT. Rep. 329. The insults by relations on old age.

διὰ τὸ αὐτῶν δέος τοῦ θανάτου. PLAT. Phaed. 85 A. In consequence of their fear of death.

αὐτῶν Subjective, θανάτου Objective.
τὸ κλεινὸν Ἑλλάδος

πρόσχημ' άγῶνος. Soph. El. 682.

The glorious pageant of Greece, consisting in a contest.

Here the Genitives are freer; $E\lambda\lambda\acute{a}\delta$ os is possessive, $\acute{a}\gamma \hat{\omega}\nu$ os epexegetical.

§ 109. The Epexegetical Genitive.

What is called the Epexegetical (i.e. explanatory) Genitive is a free application of the Genitive of Material.

άμαθία αὔτη ἡ ἐπονείδιστος ἡ τοῦ οἴεσθαι εἰδέναι ἃ οὐκ οῖδε.

Plat. Apol. xvii.

This culpable ignorance of (which consists in) thinking one knows what one does not know.

¹ Some freer and miscellaneous uses of the Genitive are added. They have been brought together at the end of this Section in order not to lengthen previous headings, with north orders common constructions.

 δ δὲ ἐγκέφαλός ἐστιν, ὁ τὰς αἰσθήσεις παρέχων τοῦ ἀκούειν καὶ ὁρῶν καὶ ὀσφραίνεσθαι. PL. Phaed. xlv.

It is the brain which furnishes the sensations of hearing, and

sight, and smell.

§ 110. Genitive of the Agent (so-called).

In Poetry passive Verbs and passive Verbals sometimes take a Genitive which practically is like an Agent, and which in Prose would be expressed by a Preposition with $i\pi\delta$, or some such construction. It is not possible to refer these constructions to one explanation. Many look like a Genitive of the source whence, like the old English "of" (i.e. off) with the Agent $(i\pi\delta, ab)$. In some cases it is possible that the governing word is used like a Substantive on which the Genitive depends.

απαντα γάρ σοι τάμὰ νουθετήματα κείνης διδακτά. Soph. El. 344.

For all these thy admonishings of me are taught by her (come from her).

So πληγεὶς θυγατρὸς τῆς ἐμῆς. Eur. Or. 497 (cf. Electr. 123). Smitten by my daughter.

ούτως ἄτιμός είμι τοῦ τεθνηκότος;

ἄτιμος οὐδενὸς σύ. SOPH. El. 1214.

= ἀτιμάζομαι πρὸς τοῦ τεθνηκότος.

The connexion of $\alpha \tau \iota \mu os$ with words of value may influence the construction.

And am I thus dishonoured of the dead (i.e. by the dead)? Thou art by none dishonoured.

Ο. Τ. 1437 προςήγορος, and cf. Ai. 807, φωτὸς ήπατημένη.

§ III. Free use of the Genitive of Connexion.

ή Κέρκυρα τῆς Ἰταλίας καὶ Σικελίας καλῶς παράπλου κείται. ΤΗUC. i. 36.

Corcyra is well situated for a coasting voyage to Italy and Swily.

 $\pi a \rho \acute{a}\pi \lambda o v$ is a Genitive of Connexion, Ἰ $\tau a \lambda \acute{a}$ s a sort of Objective Genitive.

τί δὲ ἴππων οἴει ἢ τῶν ἄλλων ζώων; Pl. Rep. 459 (cf. 470). What do you think about horses or other animals?

In Plato a Genitive thus introducing a Subject is often thus introduced at the beginning of a sentence; see Riddell, Digest, p. 126.

τυφλὸν τοῦ μέλλοντος ἄνθρωπος. PLAT. Sol. 12. Man is blind concerning the future.

ως εἴ τις αὐτῶν ἐλπίσιν κεναῖς πάρος

έξήρετ' ἀνδρὸς τοῦδε κ.τ.λ. SOPH. El. 1460.

That if any of them hitherto were buoyed up with hopes concerning (centred in) this man, etc.

τοῦ κασιγνήτου τί φής; SOPH. El. 317. What say'st thou of (about) thy brother?

Cf. Antig. 11; $\mu \hat{\nu} \theta o s$ $\phi i \lambda \omega v$, news of friends; cf. Antig. 633, 1182, O. C. 317.

, ἃ δὲ μέγιστ' ἔβλαστε νόμιμα, τῶνδε φερομέναν ἄριστα. Soph. El. 1095.

But as to the mightiest of ordinances that exist, in respect of these, prospering right nobly.

της μητρός ήκω της έμης φράσων έν οξε νθν έστιν.

SOPH. Tr. 1122.

I am here to tell thee of my mother, what her present plight.

οὐδαμῶς ἐμαυτῆς οὖσ' ἀδείμαντος φίλοι. AESCH. Pers. 164. Being by no means without fear for (concerning) myself, my friends.

The α privative in $d\delta\epsilon\ell\mu\alpha\nu\tau$ os does not here take a genitive of want or separation (like $\pi\epsilon\pi\lambda\omega\nu$ $\ddot{a}\mu\omega\iota\rho$ os, without a share of robes). But by a loose analogy common in all language, it may help to account for the use of the genitive.

§ 112. The Genitive with Adjectives and Adverbs.

Partitive, μέτοχος, ἀμέτοχος : ἰσόμοιρος, ἄμοιρος, ἄκληρος, ἄγευστος.

Perception, (ἀκούω): κατήκοος, ὑπήκοος, ἐπήκοος, συνήκοος, ἀνήκοος: ὑπήκοον δεῖ εἶναι τῶν γονέων.

PLAT. Rep. 463.

These are also found with the Dative.

τῆ πόλει κατήκοοι ἐγένοντο. PLAT. Rep. 499.
They became obedient to the state.
Digitized by Microsoft®

Caring for, neglecting, remembering, forgetting, etc.,

ἐπιμελής, ἀμέλης: μνήμων, ἀμνήμων, ἐπιλήσμων. φιλομαθής, fond of learning; ὀψιμαθής, late in learning (serus studiorum).

Experienced in,

ἔμπειρος, ἄπειρος: ἐπιστήμων, τρίβων (versed in).

Aiming at,

δυσέρως (perdite amans), love-sick for; ἐπήβολος (compos), possessed of, or having succeeded in gaining.

Plenty,

μεστός, πλεώς, πλήρης: πλούσιος, ἄπληστος.

Want,

πένης, κενός, ένδεής, ἐπιδεής, ἐλλιπής, γυμνός, ψιλός, καθαρός.

Separation,

ἔρημος, ὀρφανός, ἐλεύθερος, ἁγνός (pure from), φειδωλός (sparing of).

Value,

τίμιος, ἄξιος, ἀνητός (purchaseable): ὡραῖος, ripe for (XEN. Cyr. iv. 6. 9).

Comparative notion:—
Better, stronger than, and the reverse,
Different from,

έγκρατής, ἀκρατής, ἀκράτωρ: κύριος, αὐτοκράτωρ. ἄλλος, ἀλλοῖος, ἔτερος, διάφορος, διαφερόντως. μέσος (e.g. ἐνὸς καὶ πλήθους τὸ ὀλίγον μέσον, Plat. Politicus, 303, A), ἐναντίος τινός, the reverse of a thing; ἐναντίος τινι, opposed to a thing.

Connexion generally, some objective, ὑπεύθυνος (responsible for a thing, but ὑπεύθυνός τινι, responsible to a person); ὑποτελής (φόρου, liable to pay a tribute); ἐπίκουρος, assisting or serviceable against (e.g. ψύχους, cold); τυφλὸς (τοῦ μέλλοντος, blind with regard to the future); συγγνώμων (ἀμαρτημάτων, forgiving of wrongs); κακοῦργος (ἑαυτοῦ), inflicting wrongs on; φιλόδωρος (fond of giving).

Ending in -κός, πρακτικός, παρασκευαστικός, διδασκαλικός, ποριστικός, κωλυτικός (τῆς ἀρετῆς).

All words compounded with a privative. ἀπαθής, ἄδωρος, ἀνήκοος, ἀθέατος, ἀγύμναστος, ἄσκευος (unfurnished) ; ἀτελής (immunis) ; ἄτιμος, etc. etc.

Others have been given under previous Rules.

Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 113. Free use of the Genitive with Substantives.

A few instances are given to show how the Genitive lends itself to the loosest connexion. Some may be regarded as free Objective Genitives, some as Genitives of Separation, others can only be regarded as Genitives of Connexion.

προςβολή Σικελίας, Thuc. iv. 1, means of approaching Sicily, road to Sicily; δίκαι πολλῶν ταλάντων, a lawsuit involving many talents (cf. Genitive of amount); κράτος τῶν δρωμένων, SOPH. El. 85, victory in our enterprise; πατρὸς λουτρά, SOPH. El. 84, libations in memory of a father; ἄρηξις πημάτων, SOPH. El. 875, help in or against troubles (cf. ἐπίκουρος); πατρὸς τιμωρός, SOPH. El. 811, an avenger whom a father has (Subjective), an avenger of a father (Objective); αὶ τῶν κακῶν συνουσίαι, intercourse with bad men (freely Objective); βία πολιτῶν, in spite of citizens (freely Objective); ἀπόστασις τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων, revolt from the Athenians (separation); λύσις θανάτου, deliverance from death (separation); γθὸς μύθων, SOPH. El. 372, schooled to words, accustomed to (cf. ἐπιστήμων, etc.); ἡσυχία ἐχθρῶν, rest from enemies (freely Separative); ἀφορμὴ ἔργων, XEN. Mem. ii. 7. 11, means of setting about, or stimulus to, deeds.

THE DATIVE CASE.

Preliminary Note on the Dative.

§ 114. The Dative denotes generally the person or thing more remotely connected with the action than is the Accusa-It thus denotes that to which the direct object is made over or transferred (Dative of the Remoter Object) after verbs and adjectives which seem necessarily to require such a case in order to complete the information they have to give (e.g. δίδωμι $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \delta \nu - \tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\sigma \tau \rho u \tau \iota \omega \tau \eta$. By a natural extension the Dative also denotes the person or thing, affected beneficially or injuriously, interested in the action (Dative of Interest), and can be added at pleasure to any verb whose meaning does not necessarily demand it (e.g. οἱ καιροί προεῖνται τῆ πόλει, our opportunities have been let slip, to the injury of the state). Dative of Interest includes the Dative of the Possessor, and the Ethic Dative. Next to the Dative of Interest, as akin to it in sense, although probably the use of the case has been Digitized by Microsoft®

transferred from the old Instrumental, may be placed the Dative expressing Contact with or Community, one of the most important and extensive rules belonging to the case. Further, the Dative has inherited the meanings of two lost cases, first the Instrumental, including not only the Instrument and Means, but also the Agent, Cause, Measure of Difference, and the accompanying Circumstances; secondly, the Locative in its double reference to Place and Time. The Dative of Place, however, is used as a rule only in Poetry; in Prose, to express motion to, not the Dative, but a preposition with the Accusative, is required.

§ II5. THE DATIVE OF THE INDIRECT OBJECT TO WHOM ANYTHING IS SAID, DONE, OR GIVEN.

ή μωρία δίδωσιν ἄνθρωποις κακά. MENANDER. Folly bringeth troubles on men.

ή γεγενημένη μάχη τῷ βασιλεῖ ἀγγέλλεται. Xen.
The battle which had taken place is reported to the king.

δίδωσι μισθον τῷ στρατεύματι. XEN. He gives pay to the army.

With a substantive—

μὴ ἐξαμάρτητε περὶ τὴν τοῦ Θεοῦ δόσιν ὑμῖν. Pi. Apol. xviii. Lest you commit some great error in regard to the gift of the god to you.

§ 116. Miscellaneous examples showing certain verbs which in Greek take a Dative of the Remoter Object.

όλιγαρχία των κινδύνων τοις πολλοις μεταδίδωσι.

THUC. vi. 39.

Oligarchy gives a share of its dangers to the many.

διανέμω χρήματα τοῖς πολίταις.

I distribute money among the citizens.

διαλλάσσει Περδίκκαν τοι̂s 'Αθηναίοιs. Cf. Thuc. ii. 95. He reconciles Perdiccas with (to) the Athenians. Digitized by Microsoft®

λαγχάνω δίκην (ἔγκλημα) Δημοσθένει.

I bring a lawsuit (accusation) against Demosthenes.

Demostheni litem intendo.

Αχέροντι νυμφεύσω. Soph. Ai. 816. I shall marry Acheron. (Cf. nubo in Latin.)

σὺ δ' εἶκ' ἀνάγκη καὶ θεοίσι μὴ μάχου. EURIP. Tel. Frag. Yield thou to necessity, and war not with the gods.

Cf. SOPH. Ant. 718.

εἴκειν τινὶ τῆς ὁδοῦ. HEROD. ii. 80.
To get out of the way for anybody (or to yield the way to anybody).

§ II7. THE DATIVE OF INTEREST, INCLUDING THE DATIVE OF THE POSSESSOR, ETHIC DATIVE, etc.

The Dative of Interest denotes the person or thing interested in the action (Dativus commodi et incommodi).

πᾶς ἀνὴρ αὑτῷ πονεῖ. SOPH. Every man labours for himself.

μισῶ σοφιστὴν ὅστις οὐχ αὐτῷ σοφός. Menander. I hate a wise man who is not wise for himself.

καιροὶ προεῖνται τῷ πόλει. DEM. 19. 8.
Opportunities have been sacrificed, to the injury of the state.

Good instances occur in Soph. Elect. 66 ($\dot{\epsilon}\chi\theta\rho$ oîs), 496 ($\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\imath}\nu$), 979 ($\dot{\epsilon}\chi\theta\rho$ oîs), Antig. 618 ($\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\imath}\delta\dot{\tau}\iota$), Dem. 18. 205 ($\tau\hat{\phi}$ $\pi\alpha\tau\rho\dot{\iota}$).

§ 118. Free Use of the Dative of Interest.

καίτοι σ' ἐγὰ 'τίμησα τοῖς φρονοῦσιν εὖ.

SOPH. Ant. 904 (cf. 25).

And yet I did honour thee in the judgment of the right-minded.

σχέδον τι μώρφ μωρίαν θηλοκάνω. SOPH. Ant. 470.

Belike I incur the charge of folly in the eyes of a fool.

Dightized by Microsoft®

σφῷν μεν ἐντολὴ Δώς

έχει τέλος δή. ΑΕSCH. Ρ. Γ. 12.

For you (i.e. so far as you are concerned) the hest of Zeus hath ending here.

οὐδέν εἰμι καὶ τέθνηχ' ὑμῖν πάλαι. SOPH. Phil. 1030.

Naught am I, dead to you long since. (i.e. you thought me dead.)

ὑπολαμβάνειν δεῖ τῷ τοιούτφ ὅτι εὐήθης ἐστι. Plat. We must assume in the case of such a person that he is simple.

λαγχάνει τοῦ κλήρου τῷ γυναικί. ISAEUS, 3. 32. He claims the inheritance in behalf of the woman.

The usual construction would be ὑπὲρ τῆς γυναικός.

στεφανοῦσθαι τῷ Θεῷ. XEN. Ages. ii. 15. To be crowned in honour of the god.

So κείρεσθαί σοι, to be shorn in honour of thee, Eurip. Hip. 1425.

Note. Several idioms with participles should be noticed:

τῷ πλήθει τῶν Πλαταιῶν οὐ βουλομένῳ ἢν τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων ἀφίστασθαι. ΤΗυς. ii. 3.

The Plataean democracy did not wish to revolt from the Athenians.

Cf Tac. Agr. 18, quibus volentibus bellum erat.

ήμέραι μάλιστα ήσαν τῆ Μιτυλήνη ἐαλωκυία ὅτε, κ.τ.λ. ΤΗυC. iii. 29.

Seven days had passed since the capture of Mitylene when, etc. See Temporal Sentences, § 211.

τῷ μὲν ἔξωθεν ἀπτομένῳ σῶμα οὐκ ἄγαν θερμὸν ἦν. ΤΗυς, ii, 49.

To the outward touch the body was not very hot.

Έπίδαμνός ἐστι πόλις ἐν δεξιᾳ ἐσπλέοντι τὸν Ἰόνιον κόλπον. ΤΗυς. i. 24.

Epidamnus is a town on your right as you enter the Ionic Gulf.

 S_0 συνελόντι (συντεμνόντι) είπε $\hat{\iota}$ ν, or simply συνελόντι, to speak shortly, concisely, in brief, in short

§ IIQ. THE DATIVE OF THE POSSESSOR.

Especially with $\epsilon i\mu i$, $\gamma i\gamma \nu o\mu a \iota$, $\nu \pi \acute{a}\rho \chi \omega$.

νηες ούκ είσιν ημίν.

We have no ships.

άλλοις μεν χρήματά έστι πολλά, ήμιν δε ξύμμαχοι άγαθοί. ΤΗυς.

Some have plenty of money, but we have good allies.

οὐδὲν έμοὶ καὶ Φιλίππφ.

Philip and I have nothing to do with each other.

τὶ ἐμοὶ καὶ σοί;

What have I to do with thee? What have we in common?

§ 120. THE ETHIC DATIVE, DENOTING THE PERSON WHOSE FEELINGS SYMPATHISE WITH THE ACTION.

έγὼ σιωπῶ τῷδε; Ar. Batr. 456. What, I hold my tongue at this fellow's bidding? So, elliptically—

μή μοι μυρίους ξένους. DEM, iv. 19.
Talk not to me of ten thousand mercenaries.

Sometimes a mere interjection expresses the Ethic dative, e.g. Soph. Elect. 272, $\dot{\eta}\mu\ell\nu$, fie on't!

THE DATIVE OF COMMUNITY OR CONTACT WITH.

§ 121. The Dative of Community or Contact with is words (Verbs, Adjectives, and Adverbs) which denote likeness or unlikeness; agreement or disagreement; meeting, encountering, following.

Digitized by Microsoft®

A. With Verbs.

κακοῖς ὁμιλῶν καὐτός ἐκβήση κακός. MENAND.

If thou associate with the bad, thyself too wilt turn out had.

Θεῷ μάχεσθαι δεινόν ἐστι καὶ Τύχη. MENAND. 'Tis terrible to fight with God and Fortune.

οὐκ ἔφη τὰ ἔργα τοῖς λόγοις ὁμολογεῖν. ΤΗυς. v. 55. He said that their deeds did not correspond with their words. οὐκ αἰσχρόν ἐστὶ τοῖς πονηροῖς διαφέρεσθαι.

XEN. Mem. ii. 9. 8.

It is not wrong to quarrel with (differ from) bad men. Contrast the use of $\delta\iota\alpha\phi\epsilon\rho\omega$ with a Genitive.

ἔτυχον προσελθών Καλλία. Plat. Ap. iv. (cf. xviii., ἐντυγχάνω).

I chanced to meet Callias.

Sο προστυχγάνω, ἐντυγχάνω, ἀπαντῶ, προσκρούω, τινι.

τ $\hat{\varphi}$ 'Αλκιβιάδη τινès ès λόγους ἢλθον. Thuc. viii. 48. Certain persons had a conference with Alcibiades.

So διαλέγομαί τινι.

βουλέσ θ ω εὔελπις δμόσε χωρήσαι τοῖς ἐναντίοις. ΤΗυ
C. iv. 10.

Let him with good heart resolve to close with the foe. Cf. μάχομαι, πολεμῶ τινι.

χρη ἔπεσθαι τφ νόμφ. Thuc. ii. 35. One must follow the custom.

B. With Adjectives.

ο ἀγαθος τῷ ἀγαθῷ φίλος. Plat.
The good man is dear to the good man.

τοις τυράννοις ἀεὶ διάφοροί ἐσμεν. Thuc. We are ever hostile to tyrants.

ανθρώποις βλαβερον μεν ψεύδος, χρήσιμος δ αεί αλήθεια.

To men falsehood is injurious, truth is ever useful.

Note 1. Constructions of ὁ αὐτός, idem:-

α. τοῦτο ταὐτόν (=τὸ αὐτό) ἐστιν ἐκείνω.

This is the same as that.

Hoc idem est quod illud.

τὰ αὐτὰ φρονῶ $\Delta \eta \mu o \sigma \theta \dot{\epsilon} v \epsilon \iota \text{ (really = o îs φρον ε î } \Delta.\text{)}$

DEM. 18. 30.

I hold the same opinions as Demosthenes.

ταὐτὰ πάσχεις ἄπερ καὶ ἐγώ.

You experience the same as I do.

This is a very common construction of δ a $\dot{v}\tau\delta$ s in Demosthenes. $\dot{\epsilon}l$ $\tau\iota$ s $\delta\iota\iota\sigma\chi\nu\rho\dot{\epsilon}l$ 0 $\iota\tau$ 0 $\tau\hat{\psi}$ a $\dot{v}\tau\hat{\psi}$ $\lambda\dot{\delta}\gamma\psi$ $\omega\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ $\sigma\dot{\nu}$.

Plat. Phaedr. xxxvi.

If any one were to affirm positively (with) the same statement as you (that you make).

Βουδίνοι δὲ οὐ τŷ αὐτŷ γλώσση χρέωνται καὶ Γελωνοί.
 HEROD. iv. 109.

The Budini do not use the same speech as the Geloni.

Cf. idem atque (ac) in Latin. This is not so common a construction in Attic.

Brachylogy is very frequent in the construction of δ αὐτός. (ὅταν) εἰσίδω δ' ἐσθήματα

φορούντ' ἐκείνω ταὐτὰ (=ἄπερ καὶ ἐκεῖνος ἔφερε).

SOPH. Elect. 269.

Whene'er I behold him wearing the self-same robes as my dead father (as those of my father).

Note 2. ἴσος, παραπλήσιος, ὅμοιος have the same constructions as ὁ αὐτός.

οὖ καὶ σὰ τύπτει τὰς ἴσας πληγὰς ἐμοί; AR. Ran. 636. Shan't you be beaten with the same number of blows as I?

§ 122. DATIVE OF THE INSTRUMENT, INCLUDING MEANS, AGENT, CAUSE, MEASURE OF DIFFERENCE.

The Dative in expressing these meanings has inherited the work of the lost instrumental case.

A. Instrument or Means.

χρηστος πουηροίς οὐ τιτρώσκεται λόγοις. MENAND. A good man is not wounded by bad words.

Digitized by Microsoft®

έβαλλον αὐτοὺς λίθοις τε καὶ τοξεύμασι καὶ άκοντίοις. ΤΗυς.

They were attacking them with stones, and arrows, and javelins.

ἔσθ ὅτφ ἄν ἴδοις ἡ ὀφθαλμοῖς; PLAT.

Is there anything you would see with but eyes?

Note. $\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \mu ai$, I use (and sometimes $\nu o \mu i \zeta \omega$ in the same sense) takes this dative. (Cf. utor in Latin.)

χρώμαι ἀργυρίφ, βιβλίοις, etc. I use silver, books, etc.

lit. I get service done (with), or, I employ myself (with).

ἀγῶσι καὶ θυσίαις νομίζομεν. Thuc. ii. 38. We use festivals and sacrifices.

Cf. $\mathring{a}\mu\epsilon i\beta\omega\nu$ $\beta a\phi\hat{\eta}$, a dat. of instrument, Aesch. Pers. 319. βiq , by force (per vim); $\mathring{a}\nu\acute{a}\gamma\kappa\eta$, do., are instrumental datives.

B. Agent (cf. $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{o}$ with Gen.).

The Dative denoting the Agent is used in Prose chiefly with the perfect and pluperfect passive. In Homer the same case may refer both to things (Instrument) and persons (Agent). (Compare Il. iii. 428 with 436, and see Peile, Primer of Philology.) In Attic, however, the so-called Dative of the Agent oftener appears to be a Dative of Interest.

ταῦτα ἀποτετέλεσταί σοι. ΧΕΝ. Those things have been finished by you (? for you).

ἐπειδὴ παρεσκεύαστο Κορίνθιοις. THUC.
When preparations had been made by the Corinthians (? for).

Cf. Thuc. iii. 64 ("Ελλησι). Eur. Hec.~1085~(σοι): Dem. 844 1. (τούτφ).

ήσσᾶσθαι, νικᾶσθαί τινι, to be beaten by any one, are used as well as ήσσᾶσθαι, νικᾶσθαί τινος, or ὑπό τινος.

Verbals in -τέος regularly take a Dative of the Agent (see Participles).

Digitized by Microsoft®

C. Cause. (This may, in some cases, be a Dative of Circumstance.)

εὐπραγίαις οὐκ έξυβρίζομεν. ΤΗυς.

We do not break out into insolence in consequence of prosperity.

οὖκ εἰμὶ τοῖς πεπραγμένοις

δύσθυμος. Soph. El. 549.

I am not despondent because of what has happened.

Cf. THUC. i. 95, ἔχθει.

So $\phi_i \lambda i q$, through friendship; $\epsilon \dot{v} v o i q$, through goodwill (voluntate); $\dot{a} \gamma v o i q$, through ignorance; $\tau \dot{\varphi} \mu_i \sigma \epsilon i v$, through hatred (DEM. 45. 30); and $\dot{\varphi} v \sigma \epsilon i$, naturally.

ἄνθρωπος φύσει πολιτικὸν ζώον. ARIST. Eth. Man is by nature a creature adapted to social life.

The Dative of Cause is joined to many verbs expressing Emotion ($\mathring{a}\chi\theta$ o μ a ι , I am vexed; $\chi\alpha\lambda\epsilon\pi\alpha$ $\iota\nu\omega$, I am vexed; $\mathring{a}\theta\nu\mu$ \mathring{o} , I am despondent; $\mathring{\eta}\delta$ o μ a ι , I am pleased; $\mathring{a}\gamma\acute{a}\lambda\lambda$ o μ a ι , I exult; $\mathring{\epsilon}\pi\alpha$ ι o μ a ι , I am elated; α ι o χ ι v ι o μ a ι , I am ashamed (also with Accus.), $\sigma\tau$ $\acute{\epsilon}\rho\gamma\omega$, $\mathring{a}\gamma\alpha\pi$ \mathring{o} , I am content). Cf. $\mathring{\epsilon}\pi$ ι with the Dative after such verbs.

ό θεὸς ἔργοις τοῖς δικαίοις ἥδεται. Philemon. God is pleased with righteous deeds.

Λύσανδρος βαρέως έφερε τη ἀτιμία. ΧΕΝ.

, Lysander was offended at the affront.

τοῖς σοῖς ἄχεσι καθυβρίζων. SOPH. Ai. 153. Mocking at thy woes.

έπαιρόμενος ἢ πλούτω ἢ ἰσχύι ἢ ἄλλω τῷ τοιούτω. Plat. Rep. iv. 434.

Elated either by wealth or strength, or some other such ad

vantage.

So χαλεπῶς φέρειν. Both phrases also take the Accus. (Eur. Med. 1018).

Digitized by Microsoft®

D. Measure of Difference, especially with Comparatives.

έτερος έτέρου κεφαλή μείζων έστί. Plat.

One man is taller than another by a head (i.e. is a head taller).

δέκα ἔτεσι πρό της έν Σαλαμίνι ναυμαχίας, etc.

PLAT. Leg. 698.

Ten years before the sea-fight at Salamis (before by ten years). τοσούτω ἥδιον ζῶ ὅσω μᾶλλον κέκτημαι. XEN. Cyr. viii. 3. 40. I live the more pleasantly the more I possess, i.e. by so much the more pleasantly.

So πολλῷ, ὀλίγῳ, μικρῷ, μακρῷ (μεῖζον, βέλτιον, etc.). A neuter accusative is also used with comparatives, especially πολύ, ὀλίγον, οὐδέν, μηδέν (ἦττον, μᾶλλον, etc.). See Comparative and Superlative.

§ 123. THE DATIVE OF CIRCUMSTANCE.

The Dative expresses the accompanying circumstances.

The Dative has taken on the meaning of the lost Sociative or Comitative Instrumental Case.

In mentioning details of military or naval forces this Dative is constantly used; e.g. εἴκοσι ναῦσι, πολλῷ στατεύματι, στόλω, etc.

έφοβοῦντο μὴ μείζονι παρασκευῆ ἐπέλθωσιν. Thuc. They were afraid that they would come against them with a greater force.

οί 'Αθηναίοι ἀτέλει τῆ νίκη ἀνέστησαν. ΤΗυς. The Athenians retired with the victory incomplete.

κακοῖσιν ὅστις μηδὲν ἐξαλλάσσεται. SOPH. Ai. 474. Whoso knoweth no change in respect of ills.

ξανθαΐσι πώλοις. Soph. El. 705 (see 1343). A sixth out of A etolia

with bright bay mares.

Cf. SOPH. Antig. 589 (πνοαῖς).
Digitized by Microsoft®

Note 1. The preposition which would be used in such a construction, and which is sometimes used, is $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$.

e.g. ἔπλεον ξὺν παντὶ τῷ στρατεύματι. Thuc. vi. 62.

Note 2. Many adverbial Datives are thus used: $\delta\rho\delta\mu\phi$, at full speed; $\kappa\delta\kappa\lambda\phi$, round about; $\sigma\iota\gamma\hat{\eta}$, silently; $\tau\circ\delta\tau\psi$ $\tau\hat{\phi}$ $\tau\rho\delta\tau\psi$, in this way, thus; $\delta\eta\mu\sigma\delta(\hat{q}, publicly; i\delta(\hat{q}, privately; \pi\epsilon\xi\hat{\eta}, on foot; <math>\tau\alpha\delta\tau\eta$, thus; $\hat{\eta}$, in which way; $\tau\hat{\phi}$ $\delta\nu\tau\iota$, in reality.

μετά with Genitive, σύν with Dative, or adverbs proper, are synonymous expressions: e.g. σὺν δίκη, μετὰ δίκης, δικαίως

(for the Dative of Circumstance).

In Soph. Ai. 767, $\theta\epsilon o \hat{i}s = \sigma \hat{\nu} \nu \ \theta\epsilon o \hat{i}s$, deo favente. In Pl. Apol. xv. $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \ \tau \hat{\phi} \ \hat{\epsilon} \hat{\iota} \omega \theta \delta \tau \iota \ \tau \rho \delta \pi \psi$, in my usual way.

Note 3. The use of $a\vec{v}\tau\acute{o}s$ with the Dative of Circumstance is specially to be noticed.

μίαν ναῦν ἔλαβον αὐτοῖς ἀνδράσι. Thuc. They captured one ship, with the men themselves (crew and all). αὐτοῖς ποιμνίων ἐπισταταῖς. Soph. Ai. 27. Together with the masters of the flocks.

Cf. Eur. Bacch. 946, 1134.

σύν and ἄμα are rarely used.

είπετο τ $\hat{\varphi}$ λοχαγ $\hat{\varphi}$ ξὺν αὐτ $\hat{\varphi}$ τ $\hat{\varphi}$ θώρακι καὶ τ $\hat{\eta}$ κόπιδι.

XEN. Cyr. ii. 2. 9.

He was following the captain with breastplate and with bill.

He was following the captain with breastplate and with bill. So ἄμα, SOPH. Antig. 115.

§ 124. DATIVE OF TIME AND PLACE.

The Dative, as representing the defunct Locative, denotes Time when and Place where.

A. Time. The Dative denotes a definite point of time when something occurs, in certain phrases without the Preposition $\hat{\epsilon}\nu$.

It is used chiefly of day, night, month, year, and festivals.

τῆδε τῆ ἡμέρ $q = \sigma$ ήμερον, τήμερον, on this day, to-day. τῆδε τῆ νυκτί ἀπέθανεν, he died to-night.

Digitized by Microsoft®

τῆ προτεραία, the day before. (So τῆ ὑστεραία, προτέρα, δευτέρα.)

οί ἐν Ἰθώμη τετάρτω ἔτει ξυνέβησαν. ΤΗυς.

The (Helots) in Ithome surrendered in the fourth year.

τραγώδοις καινοῖς, DEM. 243. 17, at the representation of the new tragedies; ἄθλοισι Πυθικοῖσι, at the Pythian games, SOPH. El. 49. So Θεσμοφορίοις, τοῖς Ἐπινικίοις, Διονυσίοις, Παναθηναίοις, etc.

So in reckonings of the month: ἔνη καὶ νέα, on the last day of the month (see Lexicon); Βοηδρομιῶνος μηνὸς τετάρτη ἱσταμένου, on the fourth day of the first decade of Boedromion.

A prose instance of this Dative of Time occurs in Isocr. Evag. 66.

τίνα εὐρήσομεν τῶν τοῖς Τρωικοῖς χρόνοις γενομένων; Whom shall we find of those who were born in the Trojan age?

A poetical one in SOPH. El. 193.

οἰκτρὰ μὲν νόστοις αὐδά.

A voice of woe on the return.

Note. As a rule $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ is added with other expressions, though sometimes it is omitted. Sometimes $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ is found with the above expressions, except in names of festivals. Έν is more likely to be omitted when an adjective is used, e.g. $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ νυκτί, but μ ίq νυκτί. In Thucydides $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ is sometimes omitted where we should have expected it, e.g. $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\epsilon$ ίν η τ $\hat{\eta}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma$ βολ $\hat{\eta}$, Thuc. ii. 20, in this invasion. So τ $\hat{\eta}$ προτέρq παρουσίq, Thuc. i. 128, during his first stay; τ $\hat{\eta}$ προτέρq ($\dot{\epsilon}\kappa\kappa\lambda\eta\sigma$ ίq), Thuc. i. 44, at the former meeting of the assembly.

χρόνφ, in time, or at last; καιρ $\hat{\varphi}$, in season, = ès καιρόν, (èν καιρ $\hat{\varphi}$ is extremely rare); χειμῶνος ὥρα without èν; οἱ èν ὥρα, men in the prime of life.

B. Place. This use of the Dative without the Preposition $\stackrel{\circ}{e\nu}$ is poetical.

έτι μέγας οὐρανῷ Ζεύς. SOPH. El. 174. Still is Zeus great in heaven Microsoft® Prose writers, however, use this case with names of towns.

Μαραθώνι καὶ Σαλαμίνι καὶ Πλαταίαις. At Marathon, and Salamis, and Plataeae.

N.B.—Mapa $\theta \hat{\omega} \nu \iota$ and $\Sigma \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \hat{\iota} \nu \iota$ are real Locatives.

So 'Αθήνησι, Θήβησι, 'Ολυμπίασι, Πλαταιᾶσι.

Veritable Locatives are οἴκοι, at home (domi); χαμαί, on the ground (humi); ἄγροισι, in the country (ruri); θύρασι, at the door (foris); Πυθοί, at Pytho; 'Ισθμοί, at the Isthmus.

These are all, except appoint, used in Prose. In Prose

ἄγροις.

§ 125. Lists of Words which take a Dative.

Verbs.

1. Verbs of telling, promising, advising.

φημί, say. λέγω, tell. αγγέλλω, report. μηνύω, inform.

παραινώ. συμβουλεύω. ὑποβάλλω, dictate. δποτίθεμαι, suggest. ύπιο χνουμαι, promise.

They take an Accusative of the nearer object, e.g. ταῦτά σοι $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \nu \hat{\omega}$, I give you this advice.

Obs. κελεύω σε ίέναι, I bid you go, Accus. and Infin.

2. Verbs of obeying, trusting, and the contrary. $\pi\epsilon$ ίθομαι, be persuaded by, com- ἀπιστῶ, disbelieve. ply.πιστεύω, trust (also intrust).

ύπακούω, serve (and genitive). λατρεύω, serve (θεοίς).

 $\dot{a}\pi\epsilon\iota\theta\hat{\omega}$, disobey.

Obs. πείθου μοι, be persuaded by me, hearken to me; πιθού μοι, obey me.

3. Verbs of helping and hindering.

ἀμύνω (in Act.), $\partial \rho \dot{\eta} \gamma \omega$ (poet.), $\beta o \eta \theta \hat{\omega}$, έπικουρώ, help.

ύπηρετω, serve. χαρίζομαι, gratify. έμποδίζομαι, έμποδών είναι.

τιμωρῶ (in Act.), avenge.

For ἀμύνομαι and τιμωροῦμαι see Middle Voice.

Digitized by Microsoft®

401

4. Verbs of being angry with, blaming, threatening. \mathring{a} γανακτ $\mathring{\omega}$, vexed or indignant. ἄχθομαι, όργίζομαι, angry. χαλεπαίνω, angry, annoyed. έγκαλῶ, charge, accuse. έπηρεάζω, threaten abusively, or treat despitefully.

έπιτιμῶ, censure. λοιδοροθμαι, revile. μέμφομαι, blame. φθονώ, επνη. βαρέως φέρω (aegre, graviter, χαλεπώς φέρω, fero), I am indignant, annoyed, vexed.

N.B. - λοιδορῶ Act. takes the Accus.

μέμφομαί τινι, or τί τινι (τίνα or τίνος), I complain of a person or thing.

μισῶ, hate, takes the Accus.

5. The Impersonal Verbs.

δοκεῖ μοι, mihi videtur. (δοκῶ μοι, mihi videor.) ου μοι δοκω, I think not. πρέπει μοι, me decet.προσήκει μοι, it concerns me. οὐδέν μοι προσήκει, nihil ad me attinet. δεί μοί τινος, opus mihi est aliqua re, but δεί με έλθείν.

λνσιτελεῖ μοι, it is profitable for

μέτεστί μοι τούτων, I have a share of this.

μέλει μοι άρετης, I care for virtue.

μεταμέλει μοι τούτων, I repent of this, poenitet me huius rei.

Likeness or unlikeness.

ĕοικα (impers. ĕοικε), am like. iσω, make equal. δμοιῶ, make like.

συναλλάσσω τοῦτόν σοι, I reconcile this man to or with you.

στασιάζω, revolt, rebel, quarrel

συμφωνώ, agree with (opp. to

 $\pi \circ \lambda \in \mu \hat{\omega}$, at war with.

politically.

7. Agreement, disagreement. $\dot{a}\mu\phi\iota\sigma\beta\eta\tau\hat{\omega}$, dispute. $\dot{a}\pi\epsilon\chi\theta\dot{a}vo\mu ai$, am odious to. hated by. έναντιούμαι, oppose. ἐπιτίθεμαι, attack.

ἐρίζω, quarrel with. δμολογῶ, agree with. μάχομαι, fight.

διαφωνώ). συνάδω, agree with (opp. to διάδω).

Like πολεμῶ, διὰ πολέμου ἰέναι τινί, to be at war with one; ές χείρας έλθείν, or δμόσε χωρείν τινι, to come to blows, close quarters, with one.

άμφισβητῶ σοι τορισήρων, I dispute with you about the food.

8. Meeting, following.

ἀκολουθῶ, I follow. ἔπομαι, I follow (also σύν τινι, and μετά τινων). ἀπαντῶ, meet with, come across. ἐντυγχάνω, meet with, come across. προςτυγχάνω, meet with, come across. προσκρούω, knock up against. διαλέγομαι, converse with. όμιλῶ, associate with. πρόσειμι, approach. σύνειμι, associate with. ἐπέρχομαι, advance against. παρατάσσομαι, stand beside in battle.

9. Many verbs compounded with Prepositions, especially with $\epsilon \pi \ell$, $\pi \rho \delta s$, $\sigma \delta \nu$, $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell$, chiefly denoting contact. Some have already been given.

 $\dot{a}\nu\tau\dot{\epsilon}\chi\omega$, hold out against. $\mathring{a}\mu\phi\iota\sigma\beta\eta\tau\hat{\omega}$, dispute with. διάκειμαι φιλικώς τινι $\pi \rho \delta s \mid am \text{ friendly dis-}$ posed. $\tau \iota \nu \alpha)$ or διατίθεμαι or προςφέρομαι έμβάλλω, throw in. έμμένω, abide by. έμποιῶ, introduce, produce. ἐπάγω, lead in. ἐπιστρατεύω, make war on. ἐπιτάττω, impose. ἐπιφέρω, bring up or against. $\pi \rho os βάλλω, put, apply to.$ προςτάττω, \ apply to. προςφέρω,

(also τι παρά, or πρός τι).
παρατάττομαι, stand beside in battle.
περιάπτω, fasten round.
περιβάλλομαι, throw round, circumfundere, circumdare, e.g. τῆ νήσω τείχος, or νήσον

παραβάλλω, set beside, compare

e.g. τη νησφ τειχος, or νησον τείχει.
περιτίθημι, place round.
περιπίπτω, fall round or on.
πρόσειμι, come before, e.g. τῷ δήμφ, address the assembly.
συγχαίρω, rejoice with.
συλλαμβάνω τινί τινος, (τι, or εἴς τι) assist any one in anything.

σύνοιδα, am conscious; ἐμαυτῷ ξυνήδειν οὐδὲν ἐπισταμένῷ (or ἐπιστάμενος) I was conscious that I knew nothing for certain.

PL. Apol.

ξυνίσασι Μελήτω ψευδομένω, they are aware that Meletus is speaking falsely (i.e. they know as well as he knows). Ib.

§ 126.

Adjectives.

And their cognate Adverbs and Substantives, e.g. $\beta o \eta \theta \delta s$, βοήθεια, πρέπον, πρεπόντως.

Useful, fit, becoming, friendly, like, near, and their contraries.

ὄμοιος, like.

ἀνόμοιος, unlike.

άδελφός (and Gen.), akin or answering to. ἀκόλουθος (and Gen.), following after, agreeing with.

άλλότριος (and Gen.), foreign,

or different. ἀντίστροφος (and Gen.), corre-

sponding. ο αὐτός, the same.

διάφορος (and Gen.), different.

ἔμμονος, abiding by. ἔμφυτος, implanted. έπιτήδειος, suitable.

exθρός, hostile. ευνους, well-disposed.

δύσνους, ill-disposed.

ίδιος (and Gen.), private, personal.

ισος, equal. äνισος, unequal.

ἰσόρροπος (and Gen.), equally

matched.

ὁμώνυμος (and Gen.), called by the same name. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota o s$, similar. πίσυνος, relying on, fretus. απιστος, not to be trusted, or not trusting. προςφιλής, beloved. $\pi \circ \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \circ s$, hostile.

κοινός (and Gen.), common.

σύμμαχος, in alliance, friendly. σ ύμφορος, σ ύμφερον, σ εχρεdient. ἀσύμφορος, inexpedient.

χαλεπός, difficult, unfavourable, iniquus.

χρηστός, $\{ \text{serviceable.} \}$

 \mathring{a} χρηστος, $\}$ unserviceable.

Obs. Several take also a Genitive (especially those denoting correspondency) sometimes with a slight difference of meaning, for which the Lexicon should be consulted. Compare par, proprius, similis, alienus, etc. in Latin.

Adverbs.

äμα, generally temporal. λοιμὸς ἄμα πολέμω, pestilence at the same time as war.

ύδωρ όμου τ $\hat{\psi}$ πήλ ψ , water and mud together. δμοῦ, local. έφεξης, τὰ τούτοις έφεξης, what comes next to this.

έγγύς, Dat and Gen. ; see Lexicon. Digitized by Microsoft®

CHAPTER V.

§ 127. COMPARATIVE AND SUPERLATIVE.

THE Comparative is followed by

A. A Genitive :-

νέοις τὸ σιγὰν κρεῖττον ἐστι τοῦ λαλεῖν. MENANDER. Silence is better for young folk than speech.

B. By $\mathring{\eta} :=$

κρείττον σιωπᾶν ἐστιν ἡ λαλείν μάτην. MENANDER. 'Tis better to keep silence than talk idly.

Instead of η the prepositions $d\nu\tau$ i, $\pi\rho\delta$ (with Genitive), or $\pi\rho\delta$ s, $\pi\alpha\rho\delta$ (with Accusative), sometimes.

ἀντί, SOPH. Antig. 182. πρό, PLAT. Crit. 54, B.

πρός, Thuc. iii. 37. 1; παρά, Thuc. i. 23. 3.

πλέον, ἔλαττον, μεῖον, may omit the $\mathring{\eta}$ (like plus, amplius, minus, in Latin).

ἀπέθανον ὀλίγφ ἐλάσσους πεντήκοντα. THUC. i. 44. 5. There fell rather less than fifty.

Instead of $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi}$ with a Comparative marking the measure of difference, $\pi \circ \lambda \hat{\psi}$ may be used. Thus we may say $\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi}$ å $\mu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu \omega \nu$ or $\pi \circ \lambda \hat{\psi}$ å $\mu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu \omega \nu$, far, much better.

Note 1. The Comparative is constantly used, without the other object compared, to denote a degree too high or too low, a considerable degree or a degree greater or less than usual (very, rather, somewhat); not seldom it is used as a matter of idiom, where the Positive would be more natural.

ἐλθὲ μέλος ἀγροικότερον ὡς ἐμὲ λαβοῦσα. ARIST. Ach. 675. Hie thee (Muse), and bring to me a right rural melody (ἀγροικότερον, countrified).

20 Digitized by Microsoft®

μείζοσιν ἔργοις ἐπιχειροῦντες οὐ μικροῖς κακοῖς περιπίπτουσιν. ΧΕΝ. Μεπ. iv. 2. 35.

By attempting tasks too great they encounter no slight troubles.

τί νεώτερον, & Σώκρατες, γέγονεν; Plat. What new thing has happened, Socrates?

οὐ χείρον πολλάκις ἀκούειν. PLAT. Phaed. 105. It is no bad thing to hear often.

Note 2. To denote too high or too low a degree, $\mathring{\eta}$ $\kappa a \tau \acute{a}$ is used with the Accusative, or $\mathring{\eta}$ $\mathring{\omega}s$, $\mathring{\eta}$ $\mathring{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ with the Infinitive. (Cf. Latin, quam pro, quam ut, quam qui.)

είδε νεκρόν μείζω ή κατ' ἄνθρωπον. Plat. Rep. 360. He saw a corpse of superhuman size.

οί 'Αθηναῖοι ἐν Σικελία μείζω ἢ κατὰ δάκρυα ἐπεπόνθεσαν. ΤΗυς. vii. 75.

The Athenians in Sicily had endured sufferings too great for tears.

φοβοῦμαι μή τι μεῖζον ἢ ὥστε φέρειν δύνασθαι ξυμβŷ. ΧΕΝ. Αp. iii, 5, 17.

I fear that some evil, too great for us to be able to bear, may happen. See Eur. Bacch. 840.

The Positive is sometimes so used with ωστε.

τὸ ὕδωρ ψυχρὸν ὅστε λούσασ θ αί ἐστιν. Xen. Ap. iii. 13. 3. The water is too cold for bathing.

Cf. THUC. ii. 61. 2; έγκαρτερείν, without ωστε.

Note 3. $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \delta \nu \eta$ (for which $\pi \lambda \delta \delta \nu \eta$ may be substituted) is used after a Comparative.

αιρετώτερον αποθνήσκειν μαλλον ή φεύγειν.

XEN. Cyr. iii. 3. 51.

It is more desirable to die than to run away.

δέει τὸ πλεὸν ἢ φιλία. Thuc. iii. 12. Through fear more than friendship.

So with a Positive.

ώνητη 'Αθηναιών ή δύναμις μάλλον ή οἰκεία.

THUC. i. 121. 2.

The power of the Athenians is purchased rather than their own.

Note 4. Two adjectives or adverbs compared with each other may both be in Comparative.

τὴν εἰρήνην ἀναγκαιοτέραν ἤ καλλίω ὑπελάμβανον εἶναι. ΑΕSCHIN. iii. 69.

They were regarding the peace as inevitable rather than honourable.

So συντομώτερον η σαφέστερον, curtly rather than clearly.

Is. 6. 24.

iω στρατηγοὶ πλείονες η βελτίονες. ARIST. Ach. 1078. Ho! Generals, more numerous than brave.

Cf. Latin—Paulli Aemilii contio fuit verior quam gratior populo. Liv. xxii. 38.

The speech of Paullus Aemilius was more true than acceptable to the people.

Note 5. Both the Comparative and Superlative may be used with a reflexive pronoun to denote a comparative or superlative degree reached by the person himself within his own experience.

πολλώ χείρον ξαυτών λέγουσιν. ΑΝΤΙΡΗ. v. 7.

They speak much worse than they generally do (much below their real powers, or their average).

όξύτατα αὐτὸς αὐτοῦ ὁρᾳ. Plat. Leg. 715, e. His sight is at its keenest.

Note 6. The Superlative is used, where the Comparative would logically be correct, to denote a supereminent degree of superiority.

κάλλιστον τῶν πρότερον φάος. Soph. Ant. 100. Light most glorious of all former lights.

Cf. Antig. 1212; Philoct. 1171.

έδέθην παρανομώτατα ἀπάντων ἀνθρώπων.

ANTIPH. Herod. 17.

I was thrown into prison in a far more unconstitutional way than ever man was.

Note 7. The Superlative is strengthened by $\delta \acute{\eta}$, $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\varphi}$, $\pi o \lambda \acute{\psi} :$ —

μέγιστος δή, quite the greatest; πολλ $\hat{\psi}$, πολύ ἄριστος, far, much the best.

Digitized by Microsoft®

ώs, ὅτι, and οἶον strengthen the Superlative:-

ώs ράστα, as easily as possible (quam facillime); ώs or ὅτι μάλιστα, τάχιστα (quam maxime, quam celerrime).

ὅτι ἐν βραχυτάτφ, in as short a time as possible. THUC. iii. 46. ὡς ἦδύναντο ἀδηλότατα, as secretly as they were able.

THUC. vii. 50.

οδον άθλιώτατον, in as miserable a plight as possible.

ARIST. Ach. 384.

Note 8. The phrase $\epsilon \hat{s} \hat{a} \nu \hat{\eta} \rho$ with a Superlative denotes an unique personal pre-eminence.

εἶs ἀνὴρ πλεῖστον πόνον ἐχθροῖς παρασχών. AESCH. Pers. 329. Wreaking, beyond all else, Most mischief to his foes.

(Or, with his single arm, what one man might).

Cf. Soph. O. T. 1380; Ai. 1340; Thuc. iii. 39.

CHAPTER VI.

VOICES A'ND MOODS.

§ 128. THE ACTIVE VOICE.

- (1.) The Active Voice includes transitive and intransitive verbs. On the other hand the Middle Voice includes deponent verbs which are active and transitive, such as αἰδοῦμαι τοὺs $\theta \epsilon o i s$, I reverence the gods; of $\mu a \iota$, I think.
 - (2.) Some verbs are both transitive and intransitive.

 ϵ λαύνω, I drive, and I ride (sc. άρμα, $\tilde{i}\pi\pi\sigma\nu$). ἔχω, I have, , , I am (with adverbs only). $\pi \rho \acute{a} \sigma \sigma \omega$, I do, , , I fare. $\delta \eta \lambda \acute{\omega}$, I show, , , I show myself, am manifest (sc.

έμαυτόν).

τελευτώ, I end, ... I die (sc. $β'_{iον}$).

So in English I turn, I join, I move, I change, etc.

Both transitive and intransitive tenses are found in the same verb.

> E.g. ίστημι, I set (up); έστηκα, I stand. So $\phi \dot{\nu} \omega$, $\beta a \dot{\nu} \omega$, and others.

(3.) Some simple verbs become intransitive when compounded with a preposition.

βάλλω, I throw. $\begin{cases} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \acute{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega, \ I \ change. \\ \acute{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \acute{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega, \ I \ rush \ in, \ attack, \ or \\ \acute{\epsilon} \mu \beta \acute{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota, \ \int \ (\text{of rivers}) \ flow \ in. \end{cases}$ $\kappa \acute{\sigma} \tau \tau \omega, \ I \ cut. \qquad \pi \rho \circ \kappa \acute{\sigma} \tau \omega, \ I \ make \ progress. \end{cases}$ $\phi \acute{\epsilon} \rho \omega, \ I \ bear. \qquad \delta \iota \alpha \phi \acute{\epsilon} \rho \omega, \ \begin{cases} I \ differ \ from, \ am \ superior \ to. \end{cases}$ $\lambda \epsilon \acute{\iota} \pi \omega, \ I \ leave. \qquad \begin{cases} \grave{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \omega, \ I \ fail; \\ \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \omega, \ I \ die, \ faint. \end{cases}$ $Digitized \ by \ Microsoft \otimes$

- (4.) The Active is sometimes Causative, i.e. it means "I get, or allow, a thing to be done," not "I do it myself."
 - ό Κῦρος κατέκαυσε τὰ βασίλεια. Cyrus had the palace burnt down.

So in Latin-

Verres ad palum alligavit piratas.

Verres had the pirates bound to a post. Cic. Ver. iv. 29.

§ 129. THE MIDDLE VOICE.

In the Middle Voice the action of the verb refers in some way or other to *self*. In some verbs, however, the notion of self is so much lost that the Middle differs from the Active only in giving a different meaning to the verb.

The chief uses of the Middle Voice are-

- **A.** Reflexive, { (1.) Directly. (2.) Indirectly.
- B. Causative.
- C. Reciprocal.
- **D.** The notion of self is so blurred or lost that the Middle must be regarded as giving a new and different meaning to the Active; in some cases there is no Active.

Note. The Aorist Middle is never passive.

The Future Middle is-

- sometimes apparently passive, but really middle, e.g. λείψομαι, I will not leave (σου); λειφθήσομαι, I shall be left; ή ἀρχή καταλύσεται, will fall to pieces; καταλυθήσεται, be destroyed.
- (2) really passive with certain verbs: τιμήσομαι, I shall be honoured; στυγήσομαι, I shall be hated; διδάξομαι, I shall be taught; στερήσομαι, I shall be bereft; ζημιώσομαι, I shall be fined; ἀφελήσομαι, I shall be helped; ἀδικήσομαι, I shall be wronged.

In these cases the Future passive is rarely or never used.

Digitized by Microsoft®

A. The Reflexive Middle. { 1. Directly Reflexive. 2. Indirectly Reflexive.

(1.) The Directly Reflexive Middle. Self is the direct object or accusative.

λούω, I wash. λούομαι, I wash myself. $\tau \rho \epsilon \pi \omega$, I turn (trans.). τρέπομαι, I turn (intrans.), i.e. I turn myself.

 $\delta\eta\lambda\hat{\omega}$, I show. δηλοῦμαι, I show myself.

The Middle is very rarely used in this way. It is more usual to employ the Active with a Reflexive Pronoun:--

E.g., I hire out myself, μισθω ἐμαυτόν, not μισθοῦμαι, which means I hire for myself: so ἀπέκτεινεν έαυτόν, not άπεκτείνατο: έπαινείς σεαυτόν, not έπαινεί.

(2.) The Indirectly Reflexive Middle. Self is the Dative of the Indirect Object, or of Interest.

πορίζομαι (ὅπλα), I provide for πορίζω, I provide.myself.

 $\dot{a}\pi o \tau i\theta \eta \mu i$, I put off or ἀποτίθεμαι (τον νόμον), I put away from myself, i.e. disregard.

> ἀποτίθεμαι ραθυμίαν, I put away from myself, i.e. I overcome, lazy habits.

> ἀποτίθεμαι τροφήν, I put away for myself, i.e. hoard or store food.

> λουόμαι τὰ ἱμάτια, I wash my own clothes (i.e. for myself my clothes).

> παρέχομαι (δαπάνην), I furnish my own expenses, from my own resources; μάρτυρα, I bring forward a witness for myself, my own witness, in my support.

λούω. I wash.

away.

παρέχω, I offer or present.

Digitized by Microsoft®

The chief notions are—(1.) for self.

(2.) from self.

(3.) what belongs to self.

But the notion of for self pervades and easily explains all the uses.

B. The Causative Middle.

As the Active means I cause or allow others to do, so the Middle means I cause or allow others to do something for myself or on myself.

ό πατηρ διδάσκεται τὸν υίόν.

The father has his son taught.

γράφω, I write; γράφομαι τινά, I get one written down, i.e. I indict.

Cf. Latin curo, permitto, with ut and subjunctive, or with Gerundive.

C. The Reciprocal Middle. Each agent acts for self, and so the action is reciprocal. Verbs compounded with $\delta \iota \acute{a}$ especially have this force.

ἀμείβω, I change.

ἀμείβομεθα, we answer each other. διαλεγόμεθα, we converse together. διακελεύονται, they encourage one another.

διακηρεύονται, they negotiate by a herald.

D. See the following Miscellaneous list.

Note. A miscellaneous list of Verbs for reference showing the difference in meaning between the Active and the Middle. In some cases the Reflexive meaning of the Middle is obvious; in some it is dubious; in some it has practically disappeared. For constructions with the Cases the Lexicon must be used.

ἀγάλλω, I adorn. ἄγω, I bring, lead. αίρῶ, I take. ἀφαιρῶ, I take away. ἀγάλλομαι, I pride myself, exult. ἄγομαι γυναῖκα, I marry a wife αἰροῦμαι, I choose.

άφαιροῦμαί τῖν τ, I deprive a person of something (for my own sake).

αἴρω, I take up.

 \dot{a} μεί β ω, I change (trans.).

ἀπέχω, I keep off, deter.

ἀποδίδωμι, I give back. (πιπράσκω, I sell). ἀμύνω (see τιμωρῶ). ἀπαλλάσσω, I set free.

ἄρχω (πολέμου), I am the first of two parties to make (war); so with λόγου.

αρχω, I rule.

βουλεύω, I advise.

 $\gamma \alpha \mu \hat{\omega}$, I marry (duco).

γεύω, I give a taste of. γράφω, I write down (cf. τί- θ ημι).

δανείζω, I put out at interest, lend.

διδάσκω, 1 teach.

δικάζω, I decide.

ἐπείγω, I urge on, hasten (trans.). ἐπιτίθημι, I put or place upon. ἔχω, I have (neuter, I am or I am able). ἐπαγγέλλω, I proclaim.

έπιψηφίζω, I put to the vote (of the President).

aἴρομαι, I take on myself, undertake (suscipio), begin, gain, (Acc.)

 \dot{a} μ $\dot{\epsilon}$ ίβ $\dot{\epsilon}$ σ $\dot{\theta}$ αι, to do by turns, answer, requite.

ἀπέχομαί τίνος, refrain from, hold aloof from.

dπεδόμην, I sold.

ἀπαλλάσσομαι, I escape, I depart from, I leave off.

ἄρχομαι (πολέμου), I begin warlike operations.

ἄρχομαι (Passive), I am ruled βουλεύομαι, I deliberate, consider.

γαμοῦμαι, (1) I marry (nubo); (2) I give in marriage, betroth.

γεύομαι, I taste. γράφομαι, I get written down, I indict.

δανείζομαι, I borrow at interest

(50 χρήσασθαι). διδάσκομαι τὸν υίόν, I get my

son taught. διδάσκομαι ὑπό τινος, I am

taught by a person.

δικάζομαι (δίκην σοι), I go to law with you, conduct a case: especially of the prosecutor, opposed to φεύγειν.

 $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i \gamma o \mu \alpha \iota$, I hasten (intrans.). $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \mu \alpha \iota$, I attack, $\tau \iota \nu \iota$.

 $\tilde{\epsilon}_{X^{0}\mu\alpha\iota}, I \ cling \ to, I \ come \ next \ to, I \ am \ eager \ for \ (with \ Gen.).$

 $\epsilon\pi\alpha\gamma\gamma\epsilon\lambda\lambda$ oµai, I promise, I profess, I denounce.

 $\epsilon \pi i \psi \eta \phi i \{ \phi \mu \alpha i, I \text{ vote, decree by } vote \text{ (of the Assembly).}$

θύω (of the priest), I sacrifice.

ἴημι, I send. καταστρέφω, I overtwrn. κοιμῶ, I lull to sleep. κομίζω, I transport.

λαμβάνω τι, I take. λανθάνω, I escape observation.

παύω, I make to cease, I stop (trans.). πείθω, I persuade.

ποιῶ, I do or make. ποιῶ λόγον, I compose a speech.

όδὸν ποιῶ. I make a road.

προσποιῶ, I hand over (trado). πονηρεύω, I am wicked. πολιτεύω, I am a citizen. σκοπῶ, I look at, examine. σοφίζω, I make wise, I teach.

σπένδω, I pour out a libation. τίθησι νόμον δ νομοθέτης, the lawgiver makes a law. δ θείς, the mortgager.

τιμωρῶ τινά τινι, I punish A for B's satisfaction.

And so τιμωρῶ σοι, I avenge or assist thee.

Similarly ἀμύνω τί τινι, I keep off something from B. θύομαι (of the general), I get a sacrifice offered, I take auspices.

ἴεμαι, I hurry, rush. καταστρέφομαι, I subdue. κοιμῶμαι, I sleep.

κομίζομαι, I recover, get back what was lost.

λαμβάνομαί τινος, I lay hold of. λανθάνομαι, ἐπιλανθάνομαι, I forget.

παύομαι, I cease, stop (intrans.).

πείθομαι, I obey. (πείθου, be persuaded; πιθοῦ, obey.) ποιοῦμαι, I consider.

ποιοῦμαι λόγον, I deliver a speech.

δδδν ποιούμαι, I make a journey. ποιούμαι σπονδάς, εἰρήνην, συν-

θήκην, σύμβασιν. ποιεῖσθαι παῖδας, to beget children. Crito, v.

προσποιοῦμαι, I claim, I aim at.
πονηρεύομαι, I behave wickedly.
πολιτεύομαι, I act or live as a
σκοποῦμαι, I reflect. [citizen.
σοφίζομαι, I act the sophist, I
quibble, contrive.

σπένδομαι, I make a truce. τίθεται νόμον ὁ δῆμος, the people makes laws for itself.

δ θέμενος, the mortgagee (also the depositor in a bank, etc.).

τιμωροθμαι τινά τινι, I revenge myself on A for wronging B.

τιμωροῦμαί $\sigma \epsilon$, I wreak vengeance on or punish thee.

o off some- (1) ἀμύνομαί τι, I defend myself against a thing. Digitized by Microsoft®

Hence—

(1) ἀμύνω πόλεμον, I keep off war.

(2) ἀμύνω 'Αθηναίοις, I help the Athenians.

τίνω δίκην, poenas do, pendo, luo, I pay a penalty.

φαίνω, I show (trans.).

 $\chi \rho \hat{\omega}$, (1) I give an oracle.

(2) I furnish, lend.

(2) ἀμύνομαί τινα, I requite or punishaperson,—τοῖς ὁμοίοις, with retaliation, περί or ὑπέρ τινος, for a certain thing.
τίνομαι, δίκην, πορημας sumo, I

τίνομαι δίκην, poenas sumo, I exact a penalty or vengeance. φαίνομαι, I appear, am seen. χρῶμαι, I get an oracle given.

χρώμαι, I use.

Note. An examination of the above list will bring out two points.

1. The Active is often transitive, while the Middle is

neuter.

2. The Middle is often used of mental rather than of bodily actions.

§ 130. THE PASSIVE VOICE.

The Syntax of the Passive Voice is much freer in Greek than in Latin.

Thus, besides the constructions noticed in the Notes below, Verbs which take a Genitive or a Dative can be used personally in the Passive, unlike the Latin.

E.g. катафроу $\hat{\omega}$ а \dot{v} то \hat{v} , I despise him.

καταφρονείται ὑπ' ἐμοῦ, he is despised by me.

πιστεύουσι τῷ βασιλεῖ, they trust the king.

ό βασιλεύς πιστεύεται ὑπ' αὐτῶν, the king is trusted by them.

πῶς ἂν ἐπιβουλεύσαιμι αὐτῷ, εἰ μὴ καὶ ἐπεβουλεύθην ὑπ' αὐτοῦ; ΑΝΤΙΡΗ.

How could I plot against him, unless also I had been plotted against by him?

Note 1. Neuter verbs can form passive participles.

ἄρχω, I rule; ἀρχόμενος, ruled over.

This is chiefly the case with neuter participles.

 τ à $\dot{\eta}\sigma$ ϵ β η μ $\dot{\epsilon}$ ν a a $\dot{\nu}$ τ $\hat{\omega}$ $\hat{\tau}$ $\hat{\omega}$ ν \hat{j} , impious acts committed by them.

τὰ κινδυνευθέντα, risks run.

τὰ ἡμαρτημένα, errors committed.

τὰ στρατεύομενα, warlike measures.

τά σοι πεπολιτευμένα, your political acts.

Or with impersonal passives.

παρεσκεύασται, preparation has been made. άμαρτάνεται, error is being committed. οὐδὲν ἀσεβεῖται, no impiety is being committed.

Cf. Lat. ventum est, erat; factum est, etc.

- Note 2. Deponent Verbs are those which have no Active Form, e.g. δέχομαι, I receive; οἶμαι, I think. Passive Deponents are those whose Aorist has a Passive (not a Middle form), e.g. βούλομαι, I wish, ἐβουλήθην. The exclusively Passive forms of Deponents are sometimes Passive not Middle in sense, e.g. βιάζομαι, I force; ἐβιάσθην, I was forced. Even the Middle form of a Deponent may be Passive in meaning, e.g. βιάζομαι I am forced, or suffer violence. In such cases there was an original Active form, e.g. βιάζω. See further, Jelf, § 368.
- Note 3. It will be remembered that the Aorists in $-\eta\nu$ and $-\theta\eta\nu$, with their corresponding futures in $-\eta\sigma o\mu a\iota$, $-\theta\eta\sigma o\mu a\iota$, are the only Passive forms of a Greek verb. The Middle forms, except the Aorists, and as a rule the Futures, are of course Passive as well as Middle in meaning.
- Note 4. The direct object of the Active becomes the subject of the Passive, and the subject of the Active, the agent, is expressed by $i\pi \delta$ and the Genitive.
 - ό φιλόσοφος διδάσκει τὸν παίδα.
 - ό παις διδάσκεται ύπο του φιλόσοφου.

The Agent is also expressed, but much less commonly—

(a.) By the Dative. See Dative of Agent.

(b.) By the Prepositions $d\pi \delta$, $\xi \xi$, $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$, $\pi \rho \delta s$. See these

Prepositions.

The object of the Active may however remain the object of the Passive, and the dative of the Active become the subject of the Passive. This is an extension of § 130.

οὶ ἐπιτετραμμένοι τὴν φυλακήν, Thuc. i. 126, cf. v. 37, $\tau α \tilde{v} \tau \alpha$ ἐπεσταλμένοι, and Eur. Rhes. 5. So in English, I leave him a fortune, He has been left a fortune.

Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 131.

THE MOODS.

Introductory Note on the Subjunctive and Optative.

The Indicative is sharply contrasted with the Subjunctive and Optative.

The Indicative simply and directly makes a statement or asks a question without any qualification.

ό βασιλεύς τέθνηκεν The king is dead.

πόθεν ήκεις; Where do you come from?

It is commonly said that the Indicative states facts, but the statement need not express a fact actually true; e.g. οἱ Πέρσαι ἐνίκησαν τοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους Μαραθῶνι, the Persians defeated the Athenians at Marathon.

The Subjunctive and Optative, on the other hand, make assertions, not as real, but as conceptions present to the speaker's mind.

The Subjunctive and Optative are two aspects of one Mood. In the oldest Greek they represented originally the Willing or Wishing Mood, the Subjunctive being the more peremptory, Will; the Optative, the fainter and more remote, Wish. This was soon modified into a second use, the Subjunctive expressing a more vivid, the Optative a fainter, remoter Expectation or Possibility. Hence they soon came to be used in Subordinate Sentences, expressing Purpose, Condition, Indefinite Frequency, etc. And though in Subordinate Sentences the general rule is for the Subjunctive to follow Primary, the Optative Historic tenses, yet there is no such fundamental distinction between the two Moods as to prevent the Subjunctive being used for the Optative, the two Moods sometimes alternating in the same paragraph.

One or two instances from Homer will illustrate the difference between the Subjunctive and Optative:—

 $M\eta'$ σε κιχείω, Il. i. 26, let me not find thee.

Mη μην ἀκλειῶς ἀπολοιμην, Il. xxii. 304, let me not fall ingloriously.

ούκ έσθ' οὖτος ἀνηρ, οὐδ' ἔσσεται, οὐδὲ γένηται.

Hom. Od. xvi. 437.

Lives not that man, nor e'er will live, nor e'er is like to be (born).

Here the Subjunctive differs from the Future Indicative in stating what is thought likely to occur, not positively what will occur.

ρεία θεός γ' ἐθέλων καὶ τηλόθεν ἄνδρα σαώσαι. ΗοΜ. Od. iii. 231.

Lightly a god, an he will, might save thee e'en at a distance.

The Optative gives a more remote representation than the Subjunctive of a future possibility.

Note. The Subjunctive and Optative (with two exceptions to be noticed in the Optative) refer to future time. The reference to the future, however, is more vague in the Optative, so vague that the notion of time is often scarcely apparent in this mood. This, perhaps, may be why the Optative lent itself to a connexion with past tenses in historic sequence. But there is nothing in the form of the Optative, neither its connecting vowel nor its suffixes, which per se denotes past time. And the only two usages in which the Optative really refers to past time are: (1) in General Suppositions (see Conditional Sentences); and, (2) in Oratio Obliqua, where occasionally it represents a past tense of the Indicative (see Oratio Obliqua).

The Subjunctive and Optative are both used (1) in Independent, (2) in Subordinate Sentences. Their uses in Subordinate Sentences are given in the Syntax of the Compound

Sentence.

§ 132. THE SUBJUNCTIVE IN INDEPENDENT SENTENCES.

The Independent Subjunctive is used :-

A. In Exhortations. First person often with $\phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon$, $\mathring{a}\gamma \epsilon$, $\mathring{a}\gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, $\mathring{\iota}\theta \iota$ (δή or $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ added).

φέρε δη εἴπω πρὸς ὑμᾶς. Dem. Come now, let me speak before you.

ἄλλ' ἴθι, ἴωμεν.

(b) μη ταθτα ποιήσης.

Come then, let us go (suppose we go).

In Soph. Phil. 300 the 2d Person ($\mu \acute{a}\theta \eta s$).

- **B**. In Prohibitions (with $\mu \dot{\eta}$).
 - (a) First person plural (singular very rare, cf. Eur. Hipp. 567, Heracl. 559).
 - (b) Second and third person with aorist subjunctive.
- (a) μη φοβώμεθα, let us not be afraid.
 μη ἀτελη τον λόγον καταλίπωμεν. PLAT.
 Let us not leave our argument incomplete.
- Do not do this.

 Ne haec feceris.

 μηδενὶ συμφορὰν ὀνειδίσης. Isocr.

 Taunt no one with a misfortune.
- **C.** In Questions of doubt (Deliberative Questions) with the First Person. βούλει, βούλεσθε (θέλεις, θέλετε in poetry) are often added.

eἴπωμεν, ἢ σιγῶμεν, ἢ τι δράσομεν; Eur.

Are we to (should we, must we) speak, or keep silence,
or what shall we do?

Digitized by Microsoft®

τί βούλεσθε δράσω; Quid vultis faciam?

What would you have me do?

οἴμοι τί δράσω; ποῖ φύγω μητρὸς χέρας; Eur. Med. 1271. Ah me, what must I do? whither escape a mother's hands?

Note 1.—The third person, however, occurs pretty often, especially in Plato and Demosthenes.

πότερον σέ τις της πόλεως έχθρον η έμον είναι φη;

Dem. 18, 124.

τί εἴπη τις;

What must one say? PLAT. and DEM.

ποῦ τις οὖν φύγη;

ποῖ μολὼν μενῶ; SOPH. Δί. 403.

Here this τ_{is} refers to the first person.

Note 2.—The Subjunctive, expressing a future possibility, common in Homer, is not wholly unknown, though rare in Attic.

ουτ' ἐστιν ουτε ποτε γένηται κρείττον. Plat. Leg. 942. It is not, nor is it ever likely to get better.

§ 133. THE OPTATIVE IN INDEPENDENT SENTENCES.

The Independent Optative is used:-

A. To denote a Wish (without $a\nu$).

ἄ παὶ γένοιο πατρὸς εὐγενέστερος. SOPH.

Boy, may'st thou prove more fortunate than thy father.

In the first person a wish often conveys an *exhortation*. See Subjunctive in Exhortations.

μη ζώην μετ' ἀμουσίας. Eur. Let me not live without culture.

Digitized by Microsoft® In the third person a command or permission may be conveyed.

ἔρδοι τις ἡν ἕκαστος εἰδείη τέχνην. AR. Let each man keep to his trade, whate'er he knows.

εἰδείη is assimilated to the mood of principal verb ἔρδοι.

Cf. Xen. An. iii. 2. 37, $\dot{\eta}\gamma o \hat{\iota}\tau o$ (al. $\dot{\eta}\gamma \epsilon i\sigma\theta \omega$): Aesch. P. V. 1047, where two Optatives are co-ordinate with preceding Imperatives.

B. In Deliberative Questions. The Optative differs from the Subjunctive in the same questions only in expressing a less vivid and more remote possibility.

τέαν, Ζεῦ, δύνασιν τίς ἀνδρῶν ὑπερβασία κατάσχοι; SOPH. Ant. 605. Thy power, O Zeus, what mortal man By o'erstepping might control?

Cf. Aesch. Ch. 392; Ar. Plut. 438; Soph. O. C. 170; Plat. Rep. 352 C (ἀκούσαις).

Note. Several places, especially in the Tragedians, are quoted where the Optative without $\mathring{a}\nu$ occurs in its Homeric potential sense (e.g. Od. iii. 231, quoted before). In most of these places, however, if not all, the reading is doubted. Jelf (§ 418, I. A) quotes two passages from Plato, Phaedo, 87 E, $\grave{\epsilon}\pi\iota\delta\epsilon\iota\kappa\nu\acute{\nu}\iota\iota$ — $\delta\iota\circ\acute{\iota}\chi\iota\iota\tau$ o, where Heindorf would insert $\mathring{a}\nu$: and Rep. 362 O, $\mathring{a}\delta\epsilon\lambda\phi\grave{o}s$ $\mathring{a}\nu\delta\rho\grave{\iota}\pi a\rho\epsilon\acute{\iota}\eta$, where $\tau\grave{o}$ $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\acute{o}\mu\epsilon\nu\nu\nu$ shows that the phrase is a quotation, probably from the Epic.

§ 134. THE IMPERATIVE.

The Imperative is used in Commands, Entreaties, Prayers, and Prohibitions. It denotes future time.

In Prohibitions we must use $\mu \dot{\eta}$ either (1) with 2d Person Present Imperative (continued act), or (2) 2d Person Aorist Subjunctive (single act), thus:—

μη κλέπτε, or μη κλέψης, do not steal; but neither μη κλέπτης nor κλέψου.

AR. Thesm. 877 (μὴ ψεῦσον) is a rare exception in Attic.
Μὴ, πρὸς θεῶν, μαίνωμεθα, μηδ' αἰσχρῶς ἀπολώμεθα.

XEN.

Let us not, by the gods, be mad, nor die shamefully.

But $\mu\eta$ with the 3d pers. A orist Imperative is admissible both in poetry and in prose.

μηδεὶς ὑμῶν ταῦτα νομισάτω. XEN. Let none of you think so.

 $\it Note \, 1.$ For the Infinitive used as an Imperative, see Index.

Note 2. o $\hat{i}\sigma\theta'$ δ $\delta\hat{p}\hat{a}\sigma\sigma\nu$. The Imperative is sometimes used in relative clauses depending on an Interrogative.

άλλ' οἴσθ' ὁ δρᾶσον; τῷ σκέλει θένε τὴν πέτραν.

AR. Av. 54.

Do you know what to do? Kick the rock with your leg.

οἶσθά νυν ἄ μοι γενέσθω; δεσμὰ τοῖς ξένοισι πρόσθες.

EUR. I. T. 1203.

Knowest thou what must be done for me? put chains on the strangers.

Logically it would be α δεί γενέσθαι;

And as the Future is used in Greek as an equivalent for the Imperative, we find

οἶσθ' οὖν ὁ δράσεις . . . ὄδησον ἡμῖν σῖτον. ΕUR. Cycl. 133. Dost know what thou must do? provide us victuals.

The Imperative in Greek is subordinate in the above idioms. As this is impossible in English, we have to substitute a periphrasis. Do you know what (you must do=do)?

CHAPTER VII.

THE TENSES.

- § 135. Greek tenses may be classified in two ways.
 - **A.** With regard to the Order of Time.
 - B. With regard to the Kind of Act or State.

A. ORDER OF TIME.

The Time of a Tense must be either

- 1. Past (Imperfect, Aorist, Pluperfect).
- 2. Present (Present, Perfect).
- 3. Future (Future, Future Perfect).

PRIMARY AND HISTORIC TENSES.

Tenses in Present and Future Time are called *Primary*. Tenses in Past Time are called *Historic*.

SEQUENCE OF MOODS.

In Compound Sentences the theoretical rule is that—

A Principal Sentence in Primary Time is followed by the Subjunctive in the Subordinate Sentence.

A Principal Sentence in Historic Time is followed by the Optative in the Subordinate Sentence.

This sequence however is purely theoretical; for, as will be seen in the Compound Sentence, a Subjunctive constantly takes the place of an Optative in Historic Sequence.

Digitized by Microsoft®

138

§ 136. Time how far observed throughout the Moods.

(a) The only mark of Past Time in Greek is the Augment. The distinction between Past and Present therefore is strictly observed only in the Indicative.

Absolute and Relative Time.—The distinctions of Time, however, are observed in the Optative, Infinitive, and Participle, when these Moods are used in Indirect Discourse or Oratio Obliqua, i.e. when they represent indirectly the words or thoughts of another. This is most clearly seen in the Indirect Statements and Questions.

έφη ταθτα ποιείν-ποιήσαι-ποιήσειν.

He said that he was doing, did or had done, would do this.

ποιεῖν = ποιῶ in Recta, and therefore is relatively present; ποιῆσαι = ϵποίησα ,, relatively past; ποιῆσειν = ποιῆσω ,, relatively future;

relatively, i.e. to the Principal Verb present, past, and future: but ποιείν, ποιήσει, ποιήσειν are all absolutely past, because ἔφη, the Principal Verb, is past.

ἔλεξαν ὅτι πέμψειε σφᾶς ὁ βασιλεύς.
 They said that the king had sent them.
 In Rocta ἔπεμψεν ἡμᾶς.

ήρετο εἰ κενὸς ὁ φόβος εἴη. He asked if his fear was groundless.

In Recta κενός έστι;

ἥσθοντο τοὺς πολεμίους προσπλέοντας.
They discovered that the enemy were advancing.
Recta, οἱ πολέμιοι προσπλέουσιν.

(b) The Aorist Participle denotes an action past relatively to the principal verb.

Βοιωτοί οἱ ἐξ "Αρνης ἀναστάντες τὴν Βοιωτίαν ῷκησαν.

THUC.

Boeotians who had been driven out of Arne settled in Boeotia. See further however under the Aorist Participle, which in itself does not denote time.

(c) With regard to the Future in the Moods it seems always express future time, for

(1.) The Future Optative is only used to represent in the Obliqua a Future Indigative of Direct Discourse.

(2.) The Future Infinitive is most commonly used after verbs of saying and thinking, and therefore like the Optative, represents a Future Indicative of the Recta. Whenever the Future Infinitive is used after other verbs, instead of the usual Present or Aorist Infinitive, the idea of futurity still seems to be emphasised, e.g.:—

He delays to do his duty, μέλλει ποιείν οτ ποιήσαι τὰ δέοντα.

μέλλει ποιήσειν (with emphatic reference to the future).

ἀναβάλλεται is similarly used.

(3.) The Future Participle denotes a future relative to the principal Verb.

συλλαμβάνει Κῦρον, ὡς ἀποκτενῶν. He seizes Cyrus with the intention of killing him.

B. THE KIND OF ACT OR STATE.

With regard to the Kind of Act denoted Tenses are divided into

- 1. Continued (Present, Imperfect).
- 2. Finished (Perfect, Pluperfect).
- 3. Indefinite or Single (Aorist Strong and Weak).
- 1. A continued Tense mentions an act as still going on, or in progress, whether in past, present, or future, an act in which the agent is still engaged, I was writing, I am writing, I shall be writing (the letter).
- 2. A finished Tense mentions an act as one which is perfect, complete, in a finished state, I have written, I had written, I shall have written (the letter).
- 3. An indefinite Tense mentions the mere act itself, a single act, without any such limitation of its continuance or completion, I wrote, I write, I shall write (the letter). Hence the Stoic grammarians called such a Tense an Aorist (i.e. ἀόριστον or unlimited).

Digitized by Microsoft®

The distinction between the Kinds of Act is observed throughout all the Moods, and is therefore a more universal and abiding distinction than that of Time.

Note. The kind of act is denoted in Greek by the Tensestem, ΛY -, ΛY (-, ΛY (A-, $\Lambda E \Lambda Y$ -.

The Present Tense-stem (Present and Imperfect Tenses) denotes a continued act.

The Perfect (i.e. reduplicated) Tense-stem (Perfect, Pluperfect, and Future Perfect Tenses) denotes a finished act.

The Aorist Tense-stems (Strong and Weak Aorist tenses) denote an indefinite or single act.

The Future is ambiguous, denoting either a continued or an indefinite act.

§ 137. Ideal division of Tenses.

An ideal twofold division of Tenses may be thus constructed, to be read horizontally and vertically.

	Continued.	Finished.	Indefinite.
Present	I am writing γράφω, strictly used, scribo	I have written γέγραφα scripsi	I write γράφω scribo
Past	I was writing ἔγραφον scribebam	I had written ἐγεγράφη or -ειν scripseram	I wrote ἔγραψα scripsi
Future	I shall be writing γράψω scribam	I shall have written Periphrasis in Active γεγρα- φώς ἔσομαι scripsero	I shall write γράψω scribam

Note. A very rare poetical periphrasis occurs with Aorist Participle, $\sigma\iota\omega\pi\eta\sigma$ as έσομαι, $\lambda\upsilon\pi\eta\theta\epsilon$ ίς έσομαι, SOPH. O. T. 1146, Ö. C. 816.

This scheme, however, is purely ideal, and does not correspond to the Greek tenses, however well it corresponds with

our analytic English tenses.

In Greek the kind of act, as has been observed already, is denoted by the Present, the Perfect, and the Aorist *Tense-stems*: the Future Tense-stem has to be left out.

The most important distinction is that between a Continued

and an Indefinite act.

§ 138. THE PRESENT AND IMPERFECT INDICATIVE.

A. The Present Indicative denotes :-

- 1. An act in which a person is engaged in present time; γράφω, I am writing now.
- 2. An act which is habitual or repeated, or a general truth, without being limited to the present moment.

ρωμή ἀμαθης πολλάκις τίκτει βλάβην. Eur. Frag. Strength untrained oft brings forth harm.

Vis consili expers mole ruit sua. HORACE.

- Note 1. The Present has also certain idiomatic uses of which the following are the commonest:—
- (a) The Historic present denotes a past event. In Compound Sentences it reckons as an historic tense. This historic present seems sometimes equivalent to an aorist (narrative), sometimes to an imperfect (descriptive).

συλλαμβάνει Κῦρον ώς ἀποκτενῶν. ΧΕΝ. He seizes (seized) Cyrus with the intention of killing him.

(b) The Present, as it denotes an unfinished act, often denotes an attempted act.

τοὺς Λακεδαιμονίους ἀναιρεῖ, τοὺς δὲ Φωκέας σώζει. DEM. He is trying to destroy the Lacedaemonians, and to save the Phocians. This is especially the case with $\delta i\delta \omega \mu \iota$, I offer, i.e. try to give, and $\pi \epsilon i\theta \omega$, I try to persuade. The present participle also has this meaning.

(c) The Present as a Perfect :-

1. With $\pi \acute{a}\lambda a\iota$ or $\mathring{\eta} \delta \eta$ (all this while, this long while, not now for the first time), like iam, iamdudum, in Latin with the Present.

 $\ddot{\epsilon}$ μοιγε νῦν τε καὶ πάλαι δοκεί. Eur. Frag. I think so now, and I have long been thinking so.

νοσεῖ ήδη δέκα ἔτη.

He has been ill these ten years.

Esp. in the poets $\pi \acute{a} \lambda a \iota$ may refer to a statement made only a moment ago (as we say hyperbolically—ever so long ago). Cf. Soph. El. 676.

- 2. Certain presents have the force of perfects: $\H{\eta}\kappa\omega$, I am come, adsum; oʻ(χ o μ ai, I am gone (quickly); ν i κ ω , I am victorious; κ pa τ ω , I am victorious; $\dot{\eta}$ r τ ω μ ai, I am defeated; dõi κ ω , I have done wrong, I am unjust; d λ ν μ ai, d τ ω λ ν μ ai, in Tragedy, I am lost, or undone.
- 3. Verbs of hearing and learning, ἀκούω (κλύω, poet.), πυνθάνομαι, αἰσθάνομαι, μανθάνω. ἄρτι is often used with these verbs.

Θεμιστοκλέα ούκ ἀκούεις ἄνδρα ἀγαθὸν γεγονότα; Plat. Have you not heard that Themistocles proved himself a patriot?

(d) The Present Infinitive and the Present Participle may represent the Imperfect Indicative in English.

οί συμπρεσβεύοντες καὶ παρόντες καταμαρτυρήσουσι.

DEM. de F. L. 381. 5.

Those who were his fellow-colleagues in the embassy, and who were present, will bear witness.

- **B.** The Imperfect is the past of the Present. It describes a past action as (a) still going on, or (b) as going on along with other actions, or (c) as frequently recurring.
 - For (a) and (b) see Aorist.
 - c. Σωκράτης ὥσπερ ἐγίγνωσκεν, οὕτως ἔλεγε. XEN. Socrates used to speak exactly as he used to think.

Note 2. The Imperfect shares most of the idiomatic uses of the present.

(a) The Imperfect of an attempted act, like the present of the same.

εκαστός τις επειθεν αὐτὸν ὑποστῆναι τὴν ἀρχήν. XEN.

Each one was trying to persuade him to undertake the command. The present participle also often has this sense.

- (b) When the present has a perfect force its imperfect is a pluperfect. $\hat{\eta}\kappa o\nu$, I had come; $\hat{\psi}\chi \delta \mu \eta \nu$, I was gone; $\hat{\epsilon}\nu i\kappa \omega \nu$, I had won the victory, I was victorious, etc.
- (c) The Imperfect is used for the present when what is seen now to be the case has been in the past inquired about, or sought for, or thought of.

ού τοῦτ' ἢν εὐδαιμονία, κακοῦ ἀπαλλαγή: PLAT.

Is not this happiness (which we were talking about or trying to discover) deliverance from evils?

όδ' ἦν ἄρα ὁ ξυλλαβών με. SOPH.

This then, I see, is he who seized me (this was and is).

To this belongs the famous Aristotelian phrase, $\tau \delta$ τi $\hat{\eta} \nu$ $\epsilon i \nu a \iota$

(d) In the use of the Imperfects $\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\epsilon\iota$, $\chi\rho\hat{\eta}\nu$, $\tilde{\omega}\phi\hat{\epsilon}\lambda\nu\nu$, $\epsilon\hat{\iota}\kappa\hat{\delta}s\hat{\eta}\nu$, like the Latin debebam, oportebat, decebat, denote what ought to have been done, but what was not done.

ούδεν άλλο έδει λέγειν. DEM.

He need have said nothing else.

Nihil aliud dicere oportebat.

οὐκ εἰκὸς ἢν οὕτως ἐᾶν. SOPH. $O.\ T.\ 255.$

It would not have been right to leave it alone.

Non decebat praeterire.

On these constructions see Conditional Sentences.

§ 139. THE PERFECT AND PLUPERFECT INDICATIVE.

A. The Perfect denotes an act which is in a finished state. The act must have been begun in the past, but it stands finished in the present. The Perfect therefore is reckoned as a Primary tense. $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \phi a$, I have written, my writing is in a finished state; dédetal, he is in a state of imprisonment.

Digitized by Microsoft®

Not only in the Subjunctive and Optative, but also in the Indicative, a periphrasis with $\epsilon i \mu i$ is used for the Perfect. The abiding nature of the result is then emphasized,

είς όδε μονογενής οὐρανὸς γεγονώς έστί τε καὶ ἔτ' ἔσται. PLAT. Tim. 31.

This one sole-created heaven hath been created, and shall still endure.

Cf. ἔχω with Aorist Participle.

The Perfect of many verbs is equivalent to a Present: τέθνηκεν, he is dead; κέκλημαι, I am called; γέγονα, I am become, i.e. I am; μέμνημαι, I remember; οίδα (σύνοιδα), I know, novi. The Pluperfect is then an Imperfect, ετεθνήκει, he was dead, etc. The Perfect Imperative of such verbs is a simple Perfect.

Note. A great number of Perfects in Homer describe present acts or states: ἄνωγα, βέβριθα, κέκευθα, μέμηλα, μέμονα, ἔρριγα, etc. etc.

B. The Pluperfect is the Perfect carried back to past time. ἐγεγράφη, I had written, my writing was in a finished state in the past.

For the Future Perfect, see Future.

The Perfect Imperative (3d singular Middle and Passive) issues a decisive command which is to be executed at once, and there an end.

μέχρι τοῦδε ὡρίσθω ὑμῶν ἡ βραδυτής. THUC. i. 71. At this point let your slowness find a limit (come to an end). Hactenus progressa (terminata) esto (finem habeat) vestra tarditas. Poppo.

§ 140. THE AORIST.

1. The Aorist denotes the mere occurrence of an act in past time. Apart from difference of time the Aorist is always distinguished from the Imperfect (and in the Oblique Moods from the Present) by noticing the mere doing of the act, and not describing the act as in progress. The Aorist has been likened to a point, the Imperfect (and Presenting time distributions of the

Note. As the Aorist notices the mere act or state itself, three aspects of this are observable.

1. The commencement of the act, the beginning, not the continuance.

This has been called the Ingressive or Inceptive Aorist.

2. The act as done and over, not as doing.

AYCIIIIOC EIIOIHCE denotes the simple fact that Lysippus was the maker of the statue.

ΛΥCIΠΠΟC EΠΟΙΕΙ denotes the labour spent on the making.

 $\dot{\epsilon}$ δείπνησαν, they supped, i.e. ended supper.

εδείπνουν, they were at supper.

νύξ ἐγένετο, night came on, i.e. it was night.

νύξ ἐγίγνετο, night was coming on, i.e. it was twilight.

3. The act as instantaneous and momentary, not as occupying a long time.

έγω δε ηλθον, είδον, ενίκησα.

"Caesar's brag of 'came, and saw, and overcame." SHAK.

2. The Aorist is narrative, the Imperfect is descriptive; i.e. the Aorist is used when we merely mention a past act as having occurred, while the Imperfect is used when we wish to describe or paint (so to speak) past acts as still going on.

οί μεν ἀπηλθον. Κλέανδρος δε ἐθύετο, καὶ συνήν Εενοφωντι φιλικώς, καὶ ξενίαν συνεβάλοντο. ΧΕΝ.

So they went away. Meanwhile Cleander was engaged in sacrificing, and in friendly intercourse with Xenophon, and they formed a friendship.

Note 1. Other uses of the Aorist :--

The Aorist is also distinguished from the Imperfect by the mere mention of an act without reference to other acts, while the Imperfect often describes an act as going on side by side with another act.

Digitized by Microsoft®

Παυσανίας έκ Λακεδαίμονος στρατηγός ὑπὸ τῶν Ἑλλήνων έξεπέμφθη μετὰ εἴκοσι νεῶν ἀπὸ Πελοποννήσου, ξυνέπλεον δὲ καὶ ᾿Αθηναῖοι τριάκοντα ναυσὶ, καὶ ἐστράτευσαν ἐς Κύπρον, καὶ αὐτῆς τὰ πολλὰ κατεστρέψαντο.

Pausanias was sent out from Lacedaemon by the Greeks as admiral with twenty ships from Peloponnese. The Athenians also accompanied him with thirty ships, and they proceeded to Cyprus,

and subdued the greater part of it.

Note 2. The Aorist is used (esp. in Tragedy) where we use a Present. The moment of past time is but an instant before. Something an instant ago has evoked the act.

έπήνεσ' ἔργον καὶ πρόνοιαν ἣν ἔθου. SOPH. Ai. 586.

I commend the act, and the forethought thou didst show.

Elect. 668, 677, Eur. Hec. 1275, El. 248, Philoc. 1289, 1314.

ξυνήκα, I understand, and ήσθην, I am pleased, are of constant occurrence.

έφριξ' ἔρωτι περιχαρὴς δ' ἀνεπτόμαν. Soph. Ai. 692. I thrill with love and flutter overjoyed.

Here the act is instantaneous also.

Note 3. English often uses the Pluperfect where Greek uses the Aorist; this is especially the case in Oratio Obliqua:—

οὶ Ἰνδοὶ ἔλεξαν ὅτι πέμψειε σφᾶς ὁ βασιλεύς.

XEN. Cyr. ii. 4. 7.

The Indians said that their king had sent them.

Recta $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon \nu$, where we should say "has sent" (not sent). This in Obliqua becomes had.

And with temporal and local sentences-

έπειδη έτελεύτησε Δαρείος καὶ κατέστη 'Αρταξέρξης.

XEN. An. i. 1. 3.

After Darius had died and Artaxerxes had been established in the kingdom.

Quum mortuus esset Darius, etc.

ἐτράποντο ἐς Πάνορμον ὅθεν ἀνηγάγοντο. THUC. i. 92. They turned towards Panormus whence they had set sail.

Note 4. The Greek Aorist and English Perfect.

Though we have an Aorist in English corresponding to the Greek, yet Greek uses the Aorist even more constantly than English. We use a Perfect sometimes where Greek uses an Digitized by Microsoft®

Aorist. Thus—I am shocked if these are the orders which you have given. δεινόν ποιούμαι εί τοιαύτα παρήγγειλας. Here an act rather than a finished state is denoted, and the Greek Agrist is more correct than the English Perfect. See example above, Soph. Ai. 586, ĕθου would naturally be rendered into English, thou hast shown. Again a Gnomic Aorist in Greek may be rendered by an English Perfect.

Note 5. The Aorist Participle generally expresses time prior to its principal verb, but not always so, and, when so, not from its own inherent meaning, but only from its connexion with a principal verb.

Thus γελάσας (οἰμώξας) έφη, With a smile, laugh (sigh) he

said.

εὐ ἐποίησας ἀναμνήσας με. PLAT. Phaed. 60. You did well to remind me.

Here the two acts are contemporary and identical.

So εδ έποίησας άφικόμενος. HDT. v. 24. Cf. Curtius, Elucidations, p. 211.

Note 6. The following verbs show the contrast between the Present and the Aorist in the kind of act denoted. It will be noticed that several are Ingressive Aorists.

 $vo\sigma\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu$, to be ill. $\phi \epsilon \dot{\nu} \gamma \epsilon i v$, to run away. $\phi \circ \beta \in \hat{i} \sigma \theta a \iota$, to be in fear. $\pi \rho \acute{a} \sigma \sigma \epsilon i \nu$, to be busy about. $\pi \rho \acute{a} \xi a i$, to accomplish. $\gamma \in \lambda \hat{a} \nu$, to be laughing. ιρχειν, to rule. ἰσχύειν, to be strong. σιγαν, to be silent. exeiv, to have. φαίνεσθαι, to appear. $\pi \circ \lambda \in \mu \in \hat{\iota} \nu$, to be at war.

bellum gerere.

βασιλεύειν, to be king.

νοσησαι, to fall ill. φυγείν, to escape. φοβηθηναι, δείσαι, to take fright.γελάσαι, to burst out laughing. άρξαι, to obtain dominion or office. ἰσχῦσαι, to become strong. σιγήσαι, to become silent. σχείν, to obtain. φανήναι, to become apparent. $\pi \circ \lambda \epsilon \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$, to begin war.

bellum inferre. βασιλεύσαι, to come to the throne.

Note on the Aorist.

The Aorist is often called the momentary tense. doubtful, however, whether momentariness is its essential We should use the agrist if we translated The Digitized by Microsoft® Pharachs built the pyramids, οἱ βασιλεῖς τῶν Αἰγυπτίων ἀκοδόμησαν τὰς πυραμίδας, though the pyramids, like Rome, were not built in a day. We should equally use it in translating He burst out laughing, ἐγέλασε, or He fell ill, ἐνόσησε. And again we should use it of such an instantaneous shiver of emotion as is contained in ἔφριξ΄ ἔρωτι, I thrill with love.

The mere mention of the act (or state) itself, without regard to its duration, seems to be the one description of the Aorist which suits it all through. Aorist and indefinite are not very satisfactory words, but they have been retained as familiar, for want of a better. Simple and Isolated have been suggested.

§ 141. THE FUTURE.

The Future denotes an act which will take place hereafter.

Its action is either continued or indefinite (see above).

Note 1. Idiomatic uses of the Future:-

The second person of the Future both affirmatively and negatively resembles an imperative.

(a) Affirmatively (either as a statement, or as a question with où Interrogative)—

πρὸς ταῦτα πράξεις οἷον ἄν θέλης. SOPH. O. C. 956. Thou wilt do therefore (do therefore) whatever likes thee.

οὐχ ἕλξετ', οὐ παιήσετ', οὐκ ἀρήξετε; Απ. Ly. 459.

The expression is not so abrupt in form as an imperative. A suggestion is made, or a permission given, which, however, is an unmistakeable Imperative.

(b) Negatively with ov-

λέγ' εἴ τι βούλει, χειρὶ δ' οὐ ψαύσεις ποτέ. Eur. Med. 1320. Speak if thou wilt, but with the hand thou must touch me never.

Observe (1) that in Euripides ov with the Future is a statement, in Aristophanes a question; (2) that in both passages ov with the Future is coordinate, with an Imperative.

Note 2. A periphrastic Future is formed by μέλλω with the Present or Future (more rarely the Aorist) Infinitive.

μέλλω γράφειν, γράψειν (rarely γράψαι). I am going to write, I mean or intend to write.

μέλλω ύμᾶς ἄγειν είς 'Ασίαν.

I am going to lead you (am on the point of leading you) into Asia.

In Asiam vos ducturus sum.

δεήσει τοῦ τοιούτου εἰ μέλλει ἡ πολιτεία σώζεσθαι.

PLAT. Rep. 412.

There will be need of such a ruler if the constitution is to be preserved.

ἔμελλον in the same way is used—

ἔμελλον σ' ἆρα κινήσειν ἐγώ. An. Nub. 1301. Aha! I thought I should tickle you.

ένταῦθα ἔμελλον καταλύσειν. ΧΕΝ.

There they were intending to rest.

Ibi deversuri erant.

Sometimes $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$, $\acute{\epsilon} \mu \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \sigma$, means I am doomed, destined. $\pi \mathring{\omega}$ s oử $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$, $\tau \acute{\epsilon}$ oử $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$; mean Why should I not?

2. The Future Perfect denotes a finished act or state in the Future :—

ή πολιτεία τελέως κεκοσμήσεται. Plat.
Our state shall have been perfectly constituted.

Note. The Future Perfect, like the Perfect, sometimes denotes what will take place instantly.

Compare

καν τοῦτο νικωμέν πάνθ' ἡμιν πέποίηται. Xen. An. i. 8. 12. If we secure this victory we have done everything.

with

φράζε καὶ πεπράξεται. AR. Plut. 1027. Speak, and it shall be done instanter.

A periphrastic future perfect active is formed with εἰμί—τὰ δέοντα ἐσόμεθα ἐγνωκότες, κ.τ.λ. DEM. Phil. i. 54. We shall have determine the formed data.

§ 142. Gnomic and Iterative Tenses.

Almost any tense in Greek, as in English, can express a customary or a repeated act, or a general truth.

1. The Present—

ρωμὴ ἀμαθὴς πολλάκις τίκτει βλάβην. Eur. (See above.) Strength without science often causeth harm. Vis consili expers mole ruit sua.

2. The Perfect-

πολλοὶ διὰ δόξαν καὶ πολιτ κὴν δύναμιν κακὰ πεπόνθασιν. ΧΕΝ.

Many have come to trouble (and do come to trouble) in consequence of reputation and political power.

(This perfect alternates with presents in the text.)

 The Aorist called Gnomic, as expressing a γνώμη, sentiment or general truth—

άθυμοῦντες ἄνδρες οὕπω τροπαῖον ἔστησαν. Plat. Half-hearted men never yet set up a trophy.

So in English-"Faint heart never won fair lady."

δεινῶν' τ' ἄημα πνευμάτων ἐκοίμισε στένοντα πόντον.

SOPH. Ai. 674.

And the breath of dreadful winds husheth ever the moaning deep.

The present and perfect, the present and agrist, the perfect and agrist, often alternate in the same paragraph.

4. The Imperfect and Aorist with av denote a repeated act.

αναλαμβάνων οὖν αὐτῶν τὰ ποιήματα διηρώτων ἄν αὐτοὺς τι λέγοιεν. Plat. Apol. ch. viii.

Taking up their poems then I used to ask them (I would ask them) what their meaning was.

εἴ τινες ἴδοιεν πη τοὺς σφετέρους ἐπικρατοῦντας ἀνεθάρσησαν ἄν. ΤΗυς. vii. 71.

If at any point they saw their own side winning they picked up their courage (as often as this happened).

For an excellent passage see Soph Phil. 289-297.

§ 143. The Tenses in the Moods.

The distinction previously explained between the Present, the Perfect, and the Aorist, is observed in all the moods, the Indicative, Imperative, Subjunctive, Optative, Infinitive, and Participle. Some instances are given to show the difference, especially between the Present and the Aorist.

Imperative—

 $\mu\eta\delta\grave{\epsilon}\nu$ $\phi\circ\beta\circ\hat{\nu}$, Don't be $timid: \mu\eta\delta\grave{\epsilon}\nu$ $\phi\circ\beta\eta\theta\hat{\eta}s$, Don't have any fear of this.

εί πη έχεις άντιλέγειν, άντίλεγε εί δὲ μὴ, παῦσαι πολλακὶς λέγων τὸν αὐτὸν λόγον. PLAT. Crit.

If you have anything to say in objection, say on (at length, in a continued speech), but if not, give over (at once) repeating the same argument.

Subjunctive or Optative—

οὐ τοῦτο πώποτε ἐπείσθην ὡς ἡ ψυχή, ἔως μὲν ἐν τῷ θνητῷ σώματι ή, ζή, όταν δὲ τοῦτον ἀπαλλαγή, τέθνηκεν.

XEN. Cyr. viii. 7. 19.

He never believed that the soul, so long as it exists in this mortal body, lives, but that as soon as it is separated from it, it dies (η denoting continuance, ἀπαλλαγη the instant act of death).

Infinitive—

οὐ βουλεύεσθαι ἔτι ὥρα ἀλλὰ βεβουλεῦσθαι. PLAT. Crit.

It is no longer the moment to be making up one's mind, but to have it made up.

χαλεπὸν τὸ ποιείν τὸ δὲ κελεῦσαι ῥάδιον.

It is difficult to do (to be engaged in doing), but easy to command (to say 'do this').

So with the other Moods.

CHAPTER VIII.

THE THREE VERBAL NOUNS.

- 1. The Infinitive (a Substantive).
- 2. The Participle (an Adjective).
- 3. The Verbal Adjectives in -\tau os and -\tau eos.

§ 144. Note on the Infinitive.

The Infinitive is, in its origin, a Verbal Substantive in the Dative case. Though subsequently its uses diverged so widely from this limited signification, yet its origin gives us a clue to its different meanings.

Thus—

ωρα ἀπιέναι would mean time for going away. δυνατὸς γενέσθαι, able for becoming. μανθάνειν ἥκομεν, we are come for learning. παρέχω ἐμαυτὸν τέμνειν καὶ καίειν, I offer myself for cutting and burning.

 $\theta a \hat{v} \mu a i \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta a i$, a wonder for the viewing.

For full information consult Professor Max Müller's Inaugural Oxford Lecture.

§ 145. THE INFINITIVE.

The Infinitive is a Verbal Substantive denoting action. Compare $\tau \delta \pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ with $\dot{\eta} \pi o i \eta \sigma \iota s$.

It has therefore points in common both with (1) the Verb, (2) with the Noun.

- 1. Like the Verb
 - (a) It has tenses and voices—λύειν, λύσειν, λῦσαι, etc., λῦσαι, λύσασθαι, λυθηναι.
 - (b) It takes a subject before and a predicate after it. Digitized by Microsoft® predicate after it.

- (c) It governs the same case as its verb.
- (d) It is qualified, like a verb, by adverbs, and not like a substantive by adjectives.
- (e) It forms subordinate sentences, the indirect statement, a temporal sentence (with πρίν), a final sentence, a consecutive sentence, with ὥστε and ὧs, and in connexion with ἄν it is a substitute for the indicative and optative moods with ἄν. This last use gives it a sort of right to be called a mood.

2. Like a Substantive

- (a) It stands as the subject to a verb.
- (b) It is declined with the article as a nominative, accusative, genitive, or dative.
- (c) It is connected with Prepositions.

§ 146. THE SUPPLEMENTARY INFINITIVE.

1. The Infinitive supplements the meanings of verbs and nouns (especially of verbs) which in themselves are incomplete.

ἔμαθον τοῦτο ποιῆσαι.

They learned to do this.

ου πέφυκε δουλεύειν.

He is not born to be a slave.

Θημιστοκλής ίκανώτατος ἦν εἰπεῖν καὶ γνῶναι καὶ πρᾶξαι. Lys.

Themistocles was eminently able to speak, to decide, and to act.

Note 1. Sometimes the article is added.

τὸ βία πολιτῶν δρῶν ἔφυν ἀμήχανος. SOPH. Ant. 78.

I am by nature incapable of acting in defiance of my fellowcitizens. Cf. Trach. 545, O. C. 442, AESCH. P. V. 865.

¹ Also called the Complementary, or the Prolate, Infinitive. The term Supplementary seems more simple and intelligible.

The article marks the Infinitive more distinctly as an object. The Infinitive is not always the Supplementary Infinitive, see SOPH. Ant. 265.

Note 2. It is impossible to give a complete list of all such verbs. They are fairly the same as in English and in Latin, though this Infinitive is much more extensively used in Greek than in Latin.

The chief verbs perhaps are those expressing-

- (a) Wish and desire (as in Latin), βούλομαι, θέλω, ἐπιθυμῶ.
- (b) Caution, fear, shame, εὐλαβοῦμαι, ὀκνῶ, ὅκνος ἐστί, φοβοῦμαι, δέδοικα, αἰσχύνομαι.

For Verbs of Fearing see also Index.

(c) Intention, determination, ψηφίζομαι (I vote), έδοξε, δέδοκται, διανοοῦμαι, ἐν ν $\hat{\varphi}$ ἔχω.

So statuo, constituo, with infinitive in Latin.

- (d) Ability, capability, fitness, δύναμαι, οδός τε εἰμί, ἔξεστι, πέφυκα, as in Latin.
- (e) Duty, necessity, compulsion, δεῖ, χρή, ἀνάγκη ἐστῖ, ὀφείλω. So in Latin, except that oportet and necesse est in certain senses take a subjunctive.
- (f) Custom, habit, chance, εἴωθα, νόμος ἐστί, ξυμβαίνει, etc. Many of these in Latin, mos est, consuetudo est, contingit, accidit, etc., take ut with subjunctive; soleo, consuesco, etc., an infinitive.

The adjectives with which this Supplementary Infinitive goes are of a similar meaning, e.g. δυνατός, ἱκανός, πρόθυμος, ἐπιτήδειος, ἄξιος, ἀνάξιος, etc.

Sometimes the Greek Infinitive with an adjective corresponds with the Latin adjective and the supine in u, e.g. χαλεπὸν

λέξαι, difficile dictu.

2. The Epexegetical (i.e. Explanatory) Infinitive is added to verbs of giving and taking, and to adjectives. This Infinitive further explains the purpose of the verb, or the character of the adjective of the adjective.

ἀνὴρ χαλεπός συξῆν. Plat. A difficult person to live with.

παρέχω ἐμαυτον τῷ ἰατρῷ τέμνειν καὶ καίειν. Plat. I offer myself to the physician to cut and burn (me).

Note 1. Even where the construction is already complete this explanatory Infinitive is sometimes added.

κακὸν οἴομαι ποιεῖν ἄ οῦτος ποιεῖ, ἄνδρα ἀδίκως ἐπιχειρεῖν ἀποκτιννύναι. Plat. Apol xviii.

It is an evil, I think, to be doing what my opponent is now doing, trying, that is, unjustly to put a man to death.

τῆς σῆς οὐκ ἐρῶ τιμῆς τυχεῖν. Soph. El. 364. I am not in love with thy honours—to obtain them.

ισστε with this infinitive and adjectives helps out this explanatory force.

ψυχρὸν τὸ ὕδωρ ὥστε λούσασθαι. XEN. Mem. iii. 13. 3. The water is cold to bathe in.

Obs. This use should be compared with that of the English gerundive (or to with the dative of the infinitive), a house to let, a letter to write, etc. Both in Latin and Greek the passive infinitive is very unusual, and probably incorrect.

Note 2. The comparative with η , or $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$ η , and infinitive.

τὸ νόσημα μεῖζον ή φέρειν. SOPH. O. T. 1293.

The plague is too great to bear.

Pestis maior quam quae (ut) tolerari possit.

μείζον η ώστε φέρειν δύνασθαι κακὸν τ $\hat{\eta}$ πόλει συμβαίνει. ΧΕΝ. Μεm. vi. 5. 17.

A calamity befalls the state too great for it to bear.

Note 3. ω_s , ω_s γ_{ϵ} , with the infinitive limit the application.

ἄοπλοι ὡς ἐκ χειρὸς μάχεσθαι. XEN. Cyr. vi. 4. 16. Unarmed so far as fighting hand to hand goes (i.e. if they come to close quarters).

εὐ λέγει ὁ ἀνὴρ ὥs γε οὑτωσὶ ἀκοῦσαι. XEN. Cyr. vi. 14. 6. The man speaks well enough just to listen to in this way (i.e. if that is all you consider).

Digitized by Microsoft®

ώς έπος εἰπεῖν, ώς εἰπεῖν, ຝ΄ς ἐπὶ πῶν εἰπεῖν, speaking generally.

ώς ἀπλῶς εἰπεῖν, ός συνελόντι εἰπεῖν, ἐς τὸ ἀκριβὲς εἰπεῖν, strictly speaking.

ώς εἰκάσαι, to make a quess.

σὺν θ εῷ εἰπεῖν, in God's name. σχεδὸν εἰπεῖν, almost, so to say, paene dixerim.

paene dixerim.

ἐκών εἶναι (in negative sentences), willingly. ἐκών is the predicate to εἶναι.

ὀλίγου δεῖν, all but.
κατὰ τοῦτο εἶναι, in this respect.
ὅσον γέ μ' εἶδέναι, so far as I

§ 147. The Subject before and the Predicate after the Infinitive (commonly called the Accusative with the Infinitive).

know.

The Infinitive, like other parts of the verb, takes a Subject before and a Predicate after. The Predicate is, of course, in the same case as the Subject. The Predicate may often be the Supplementary Predicate, in which case the Infinitive is, of course, part of the Predicate. The following examples will explain this construction.

INDICATIVE.

Subject.	ect. Verb. Predicate.	
omitted. I omitted. they Kvoos Cyrus omitted.	εἰμι am έπῆλθον advanced ἐγένετο showed himself γενοῦ show thyself	'Aθηναΐος an Athenian. ἄκλητοι unbidden πρόθυμος willing πρόθυμος willing
omitted. they	εγένοντο became	εὐδαίμονες happy

Digitized by Microsoft®

INFINITIVE.

(ἔφη) omitted ϵ ἶναι 'Aθηναῖος he said that he was an Atheni (ἔφασαν) omitted ϵ πελθεῖν ἄκλητοι they said that they advanced unbidden (ἔφασαν) Kῦρον γενέσθαι πρόθυμον they said that Cyrus showed himself willing δ εομαι) σου γενέσθαι προθύμου I beg you to show thyself willing		Subject.	Verb.	Predicate.
(ἔφασαν) omitted $ϵπελθεῖν$ $ἄκλητοι$ they said that they advanced unbidden (ἔφασαν) $Κῦρον$ $γενέσθαι$ $πρόθυμον$ they said that Cyrus showed himself willing $(δέομαι)$ σου $γενέσθαι$ $προθύμου$ $γενέσθαι$ $προθύμου$ $γενέσθαι$ $προθύμου$ $γενέσθαι$ $γενέσθαι$ $προθύμου$ $γενέσθαι$ $γενέδθαι$ $γενέδθαι$ $γενέδθαι$ $γενέδθαι$ $γενέδθαι$ $γενέδθαι$ $γενέδθαι$ $γενέδθαι$ $γενέδθαι$				
they said that they advanced unbidden ($\mathring{\epsilon}$ φασαν) \mathring{K} υρον γ ενέσθαι πρόθυμον they said that Cyrus showed himself willing (δ έομαι) σου γ ενέσθαι προθύμου γ to show thyself willing				
				,
(δέομαι) σου $γενέσθαι$ προθύμου I beg you to show thyself willing			000000000000000000000000000000000000000	
I beg you to show thyself willing	they said	that Cyrus		willing
			/	
$(\mathring{\epsilon} \mathring{\xi} \widehat{\eta} \nu)$ $(\mathring{\epsilon} \mathring{\xi} \widehat{\eta} \nu)$ $(\mathring{\epsilon} \mathring{\psi} \delta \iota \mathring{\psi} \delta \iota)$ $(\mathring{\epsilon} \mathring{\psi} \delta \iota \mathring{\psi} \delta \iota)$ $(\mathring{\epsilon} \mathring{\psi} \delta \iota \mathring{\psi} \delta \iota)$ $(\mathring{\epsilon} \mathring{\psi} \delta)$ $(\mathring{\epsilon} \mathring{\psi} \delta)$ $($,	εὐδαίμοσιν

1. Predicate in Nominative.

έψηφίσασθε έξελθεῖν βοηθήσοντες. Dem. You resolved to march out to the rescue.

2. Genitive.

ἐδέοντο Κύρου ὡς προθυμοτάτου γενέσθαι. XEN. They were begging Cyrus to show himself as energetic as possible.

3. Dative.

εὐδαίμοσιν ύμιν έξεστι γίγνεσθαι. Dem. It is permitted you to become happy.

Note 1. Just as in Latin we may use the Accusative for a Dative, e.g. licet esse beatum, for licet esse beato, so in Greek an Accusative Predicate sometimes takes the place of a Genitive or a Dative Predicate.

ἔξεστιν ὑμῖν λαβόντας ὅπλα βοηθεῖν. For λαβοῦσιν ὅπλα.

έδευντό μου προστάτην γενέσθαι. For προστάτου. Digitized by Microsoft® The explanation is that the mind has inserted the Accusative Subject before the Infinitive, licet mini me esse beatum, $\xi \xi \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu \ \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\iota} \nu \ \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{a} s \ \lambda a \beta \delta \nu \tau a s \ \delta \pi \lambda a \ \beta o \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$.

Note 2. The personal passive construction is used in Greek side by side with the impersonal passive followed by the Accusative and Infinitive, the former being the commoner.

ὁ Κῦρος ἡγγέλθη νικῆσαι. XEN. Cyrus was reported to have conquered.

λέγεται 'Αλκιβιάδην Περικλεί διαλεχθήναι περὶ νόμων.

XEN.

It is said that Alcibiades conversed with Pericles about the laws.

This construction should be compared with the Latin (traditur, fertur, dicitur, existimatur, videtur, creditur, etc., etc., with an Infinitive); e.g. existimatur errare, probus esse, he is thought to be mistaken, to be upright (it is thought that he, etc.) See Zumpt § 607 and note. But the Greek construction is much freer, and follows many adjectives.

Certain adjectives, δίκαιος, ἄξιος, worthy; ἐπιτήδειος (fit), ἐπίδοξος (probable), ἀναγκαῖος (necessary), may take either a personal or an impersonal construction with the Infinitive. Thus we may say either δίκαιός εἰμι ταῦτα ποιεῖν οr δίκαιόν ἐστιν ἐμέ ταῦτα ποιεῖν, I am justified in so doing or it is right for me so to do.

Instances of δίκαιος occur in Plato's Apology ii. 1, Crito iv., Soph. Ant. 400.

Note 3. δοκῶ is generally personal:

εδ λέγειν μοι δοκείτε, I think you speak well.

Cf. τοις πλείστοις εδόκουν, most people thought (they seemed to most).

ἔδοξα ἀκοῦσαι, I thought I heard.

δοκῶ μοι τὸν ὄνον ἐξάγειν, I am determined to lead out the ass.

The impersonal $\delta \circ \kappa \in \hat{\iota} \tau \iota \nu \iota$ is rare: $\delta \circ \kappa \in \hat{\iota}$, $\check{\epsilon} \delta \circ \xi \in \hat{\iota}$, it is decreed, is different. With $\delta \circ \kappa \circ \hat{\iota}$, $\delta \circ \kappa \in \hat{\iota}$, cf. the use of $\check{\epsilon} \circ \iota \kappa \circ \alpha$, I seem, varying with $\check{\epsilon} \circ \iota \kappa \in \hat{\iota}$, and the Latin, videor mihi, videtur mihi.

Note 4. The Infinitive is used for the imperative in formal or solemn language, in poetry more freely.

Digitized by Microsoft®

(a) In legal orders or official commands.

ἀκούετε λεψ... πίνειν ὑπὸ τῆς σάλπιγγος. Ar. Ach. 1000. Hear ye, good people all! drink to the trumpet's sound. With ἀκούετε compare O ves!

(b) In prayers.

θεοὶ πολίται, μή με δουλείας τυχεῖν. AESCH. Gods of my country, let me not meet with slavery (grant that, etc.).

Examples occur in SOPH. Elect. 9, Ant. 1080 (where a king speaks), THUC. v. 9, vi. 34.

Note 5. The Infinitive is used in expressions of surprise. (Cf. Lat. 'Mene incepto desistere victam.')

της μωρίας, τὸ Δία νομίζειν, ὄντα τηλικουτονί.

Ar. Nub. 819

What folly! to think of a man of his years believing in Zeus!

Note 6. The tenses of the Infinitive correspond to the tenses of the Indicative throughout in the character of the action (as continued, finished, or indefinite).

They only express distinctions of time when representing the Indicative of the Recta in indirect statements or direct questions.

But the Present Infinitive sometimes represents an Imper-

fect and not a Present Indicative.

τίνας οὐν **εὐχὰς** ὑπολαμβάνετ' εὔχεσθαι τὸν Φίλιππον ὅτ' ἔσπενδεν ; DEM. de $F.\ L.\ 381.\ 10.$

What vows do you suppose Philip was offering when he was making libations?

This is often the case after $\tilde{\epsilon}\phi\eta\nu$. So in Latin, memini me dicere means I remember I was saying (also accepimus, scribit). See Zumpt, § 589, note.

Madvig first pointed out this, § 171. 6, Rem. 1. It is fully discussed in Goodwin, *Moods and Tenses*, p. 15.

§ 148. THE INFINITIVE AS A NOUN.

1. The Infinitive, like a Substantive, may stand either as the Subject or the Predicate of a sentence.

Digitized by Microsoft®

So in English "to see is to believe," seeing is believing.

Rarely but sometimes without the article, σωφρονεῖν καλόν, Soph. Ai., discretion is a virtue.

2. The Infinitive with the Article is declined throughout like a Substantive. Its cases then follow the constructions of the Nominative, Accusative, Genitive, and Dative.

Its oblique cases are connected with Prepositions. Unlike ordinary Substantives, however, it (1) can govern the same case as its verb, and (2) can be qualified by an adverb. It corresponds to the Latin Infinitive and Gerund.

Nom. τὸ καλῶς ζῆν, a noble life, honeste vivere.

Acc. τὸ καλῶς ζῆν, α noble life, honeste vivere (with preposition), honeste vivendum.

Gen. $\tau o \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}_{S} \xi \hat{\eta} \nu$, of a noble life, honeste vivendi. Dat. $\tau \hat{\omega} \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}_{S} \xi \hat{\eta} \nu$, for or by a noble life, honeste vivendo.

So διὰ τὸ καλῶς ζῆν, ἐν (πρὸς) τῷ καλῶς ζῆν, ἀντὶ (ἔνεκα) τοῦ καλῶς ζῆν.

Note. (a) Infinitive Nominative:

It is used like the Latin quod with Indicative (the fact or circumstance that).

τὸ Πελοποννησίους αὐτοῖς μὴ βοηθῆσαι παρέσχεν ὑμῖν Σαμίων κόλασιν. ΤΗUC. i. 41.

The circumstance that the Peloponnesians did not help them enabled you to chastise the Samians.

(b) The Dative is often a Dative of means, cause, or circumstance, instrument, like the Gerund in -do.

κεκράτηκε Φίλιππος τῷ πρότερος γενέσθαι. DEM. Philip has succeeded by being foremost in the field.

Digitized by Microsoft® (c) The Genitive is very often Objective.

ήπείγοντο πρὸς τὸν ποταμὸν τοῦ πιεῖν ἐπιθυμί<u>α</u>.

ŤHUC. vii. 84.

They were hurrying to the river in their desire to drink (of drinking).

The Genitive of the Infinitive sometimes expresses the aim or purpose (usually in this sense it takes $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu\epsilon\kappa\alpha$). The idiom is considered either a genitive of value or a genitive of cause. (See Causal Genitive.)

ἐτειχίσθη 'Αταλάντη ἡ νῆσος τοῦ μὴ ληστὰς κακουργεῖν τὴν Εὔβοιαν. ΤΗυς. ii. 32 (cf. i. 4).

The island of Atalante was fortified in order that the pirates might not injure Euboea (with a view to their not, etc.).

The construction is not very common, but thoroughly established, in Attic Prose. The only thing in Latin like it is the genitive with the Gerundive, a construction which frequently occurs in Livy: haec prodendi imperii Romani, tradendae Hannibali victoriae sunt, xxvii. 9; aequandae libertatis esse, xxxviii. 50. See Zumpt, § 662, note 2.

§ 149. THE PARTICIPLE.

The Participle has three different uses.

A. It is an attributive to a Substantive.

δ παρὼν χρόνος, the present time.

B. It qualifies the principal Verb of a sentence like a Supplementary Predicate, or Adverbial Sentence.

ταῦτα ἔπραττε στρατηγῶν.

He was doing this while he was general.

C. It supplements the meaning of a verb, the meaning of which would otherwise be incomplete (cf. the Supplementary Infinitive).

Digitized by Microsoft®

(a.) The Participle agrees with the Subject.

παύομαι φιλοσοφών. I leave off philosophising.

(b.) The Participle agrees with, and is the Predicate to, the Object.

παύω σε φιλοσοφοῦντα.

I make you leave off philosophising.

§ 150. THE PARTICIPLE AS AN ATTRIBUTIVE.

A. 1. The Participle when joined to a Substantive corresponds to an Adjective, or more frequently to a Relative sentence.

αί Αἰόλου νησοι καλούμεναι. ΤΗυς.

The so-called islands of Aeolus (or, the islands of Aeolus, as they are called).

ό κατειληφώς κίνδυνος την πόλιν. Dem. The danger which has overtaken the state.

2. The Participle with the Article, when the Substantive is omitted, becomes itself a Substantive.

οί λέγοντες, the speakers.

οί δράσαντες, the doers.

ὁ τυχών, the first-comer.

ὁ βουλόμενος, any one who will (see Article).

οί προσήκοντες, relations, propinqui.

Note 1. The Future Participle with the Article signifies, in a sort of final sense, one who is ready, prepared or willing, to do so and so.

ή χώρα ἀγαθὴ ἦν καὶ ἐνῆσαν οἱ ἐργασόμενοι. ΧΕΝ. Απ. ii. 4. 22.

The soil was rich and there were people to till it.

Digitized by Microsoft®

Note 2. Many neuter Participles are Substantival.

τὸ σύμφερον, expediency, utile, utilitas With an Adjective in agreement, τὰ μικρὰ συμφέροντα τῆς πόλεως, Dem. The small interests of the state.

τὰ δέοντα, duties or duty, officia.

Thucydides and the poets use a neuter present participle as a Substantive, where an Infinitive would be more usual.

έν τ $\hat{\psi}$ μὴ μελετ $\hat{\omega}$ ντι, by want of training (= έν τ $\hat{\psi}$ μὴ μελετ $\hat{\omega}$ ν). τὸ δεδιός, fear=τὸ δεδιέναι, τὸ θαρσο $\hat{\omega}$ ν=τὸ θαρσε $\hat{\iota}$ ν=τὸ θάρσος. Thuc.

τὸ νοσοῦν=τὸ νοσεῖν= $\dot{\eta}$ νόσος. SOPH. Phil. 674.

In the poets of $\tau \epsilon \kappa \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$, parents; δ $\tau \epsilon \kappa \delta \omega \nu$, the father; $\dot{\eta}$ $\tau \epsilon \kappa \delta \omega \sigma a$, the mother ($\dot{\eta}$ $\tau \delta \kappa \delta \omega \sigma a$ also, Soph.). δ $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \delta \kappa \delta \omega \nu$ $\tau \epsilon \kappa \delta \omega \nu$, his father. $\tau \delta \omega \sigma \delta \omega \nu$ $\delta \omega \nu$

B. The Participle qualifies the Principal Verb like a Supplementary Predicate or an Adverbial Sentence, (Conditional, Temporal, Causal, etc.).

These usages of the Participle are very common in Greek, and are most important to notice. The particles which bring out the special significance of the Participle in each case should be carefully noticed. The different usages are given under the heads of the different sentences in the Compound Sentence. (See *Index*.)

Note 3. The Participle in a Sentence expresses circumstance

or manner generally.

The particles $0\rlap{.}^{ij}\tau\omega$ s, $\tau\acute{o}\tau\epsilon$, $\epsilon\~{i}\tau a$, $\kappa\~{a}\tau a$ ($\kappa a \i. \epsilon\~{i}\tau a$), $\epsilon\~{i}\tau\epsilon a$ are put before the Principal Verb. The sense hovers between that of time and of circumstance.

To this head belong the phrases (as old as Homer), τί μαθών;

 $\tau i \pi \alpha \theta \omega \nu$; in the obliqua $\delta \tau \iota \mu \alpha \theta \omega \nu$, $\pi \alpha \theta \omega \nu$.

τί μαθόντες έμαρτυρείτε ύμείς; ΕΕΜ. 45. 38.

What induced you to give evidence?

'τί παθοῦσαι θνηταῖς εἴξασι γυναιξί; AR. Nub. 341.
What has happened to (the clouds) that they look like mortal

women?

So τί ἔχων; τί βουλόμενος; PLAT. Phaed. 236, E. Digitized by Microsoft®

All these phrases are periphrases for why? wherefore? τί μαθών; learning what, on what inducement? denotes an internal motive; τί παθών; ailing or experiencing what? denotes an external cause (on what compulsion ?).

Note 4. Under this head comes also the peculiar use of «χων in colloquialisms.

ποία ὑποδήματα φλυαρείς ἔχων; ΡΙΑΤ. What sort of shoes do you keep on chattering about?

τί ληρείς ἔχων; lit. talk nonsense in so behaving.

Why do you incessantly trifle?

τί κυπτάζεις έχων περὶ τὴν θύραν; ΑRISTOPH. Why do you keep on poking about at the door?

Note 5. The Participle in a Comparative sense with the Subjective particles $\dot{\omega}_s$ and $\dot{\omega}_{\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho}$, as if, as though, as thinking.

δεδίασι τὸν θάνατον ὡς εδ εἰδότες ὅτι μέγιστον τῶν κακῶν έστι. Plat. Apol. xvii.

Men fear death as though they knew for certain that it is the greatest of evils.

THE GENITIVE ABSOLUTE. § 151.

The Genitive Absolute (i.e. a Participle agreeing with a Genitive which is not in the main construction of the sentence) is equivalent to an Adverbial sentence, either Conditional, Temporal, Causal, Concessive, or expressing Circumstances generally.

The same particles which accompany the simple Participle (e.g. μεταξύ, ώς, etc.) go with the Genitive Absolute.

ταῦτα ἐπράχθη Κόνωνος στρατηγοῦντος. ISOCR.

These operations were carried out when K. was general.

ούκ αν ήλθον δεύρο ύμων μη κελεύοντων.

I should not have come here if you had not ordered me.

ώς δδ' έχόντων τωνδ' έπίστασθαί σε χρή. Soph. Ai. On the understanding (as knowing) that this is so, thou must form thy judgment (i.e. than must know that it is even so). Note. The Participle alone, without the Genitive being expressed, occurs (see Genitive Case, Genitive Absolute)---

(a.) Where the Genitive is easily supplied from context—

οἱ δὲ πολέμιοι, προσιόντων (sc. τῶν Ἑλλήνων mentioned just before), τέως μὲν ἡσύχαζον.

XEN. An. v. 4. 16.

The enemy, as they were approaching, for a while were remaining quiet.

Cf. iv. 8. 5, έρωτήσαντος (sc. αὐτοῦ).

(b.) In certain impersonal expressions—

ούτως ἐχόντων. XEN. An. v. 4. 16. Such being the case, quae quum ita sint.

έσαγγελθέντων ὅτι αἱ νῆες πλέουσι. Thuc. i. 116. On the news arriving that the ships were sailing.

ύοντος πολλφ̂ (sc. Διός). XEN. Hell. i. 1. 16 (cf. Ar. Vesp. 774).

Cf. Thuc. i. 74 $(\delta\eta\lambda\omega\theta\acute{\epsilon}\nu\tau\sigma_s)$, Xen. Cyr. i. 4. 18 $(\sigma\eta\mu\sigma\nu-\theta\acute{\epsilon}\nu\tau\omega\nu)$. Compare the Latin Ablative Past Participle Passive (cognito, edicto, etc.) agreeing with the whole sentence.

The Participle is very rarely omitted.

ώς ἐμοῦ μόνης πέλας (sc. οὖσης). Soph. O. C. 83. Since I alone am at thy side.

§ 152. The Genitive Absolute in Greek and the Ablative Absolute in Latin.

Great care must be taken not always to use one where we should use the other. The Greek has a perfect series of active participles, the Latin has no past participle active except in the case of Deponents.

Therefore in Latin we may write—

His verbis editis egressi sunt. So saying they went out.

But in Greek this would be—

ταῦτα εἰπόντες έξήεσαν,

and not

τούτων λεχθέντων έξήεσαν, Digitized by Microsoft®, which would mean when this had been said (by others) they went out.

Nor, on the other hand, would Latin tolerate such an apparently slovenly structure as the following:—

διαβεβηκότος ήδη Περικλέους, ήγγέλθη αὐτῷ. After P. had already crossed, news was brought him.

In Latin we should write-

Pericli iam transgresso nuntiatum est.

§ 153. THE ACCUSATIVE ABSOLUTE.

Instead of the Genitive Absolute the Accusative Absolute is used with Participles of *Impersonal verbs* and certain other expressions.¹

- **A.** Impersonal Verbs : δέου, έξόν, παρόν, προσῆκον, μέλον, μεταμέλον, δοκοῦν, τυχόν, δόξαν οτ δόξαντα (ταῦτα).
- **B.** Passive Participles used impersonally: προσταχθέν, εἰρημένον, γεγραμμένον, δεδογμένον, προστεταγμένον.
- **C.** Adjectives with $\delta \nu$ used impersonally; $\delta \delta \nu \nu \alpha \tau \sigma \nu \delta \nu$, $\delta \nu \sigma \nu \delta \nu$, etc.

The particles ώς, ὥςπερ (as though, as thinking that), etc., may accompany the Accusative Absolute. The Accusative Absolute is equivalent to an Adverbial Sentence, Causal, Temporal, Circumstantial, and especially semi-Temporal and semi-Concessive.

ούδεις έξου ειρήνην άγειν πόλεμον αιρήσεται.

No one will choose war when it is in his power to be at peace.

οί δὲ τριάκοντα, ὡς ἐξὸν ἤδη αὐτοῖς τυραννεῖν ἀδεῶς προεῖπον. ΧΕΝ.

The Thirty thinking it was now in their power to play the despot with impunity, issued an edict, etc.

¹ Obs.—This is doubtless an Internal Accusative, probably of respect. Compare for instance τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον With δόξαν ἡμῶν ταῦτα.

οὐχὶ δε ἐσώσαμεν οἶόν τε ὄν καὶ δυνατόν. Plat. We did not save you when (though) it was feasible and possible.

δόξαντα ύμιν τα**ῦτ**α, είλεσθε ἄνδρας **ἐκατόν**. Andok. On coming to this resolution (decree), you appointed a hundred men.

σιωπη έδείπνουν, ώς περ τοῦτο προςτεταγμένον.

XEN.

They were taking their meal in silence, as though they had been ordered to do so.

For other examples see Thuc. i. 126 (ὑπάρχον), viii. 79 (δόξαν). For passive participles see Thuc. i. 125 (δέδογμένον), v. 30 (εἰρημένον), v. 56 (γεγραμμένον).

Sometimes a personal verb is found with the Accusative Absolute, but then usually with the subjective particles $\dot{\omega}s$, $\ddot{\omega}s\pi\epsilon\rho$.

ἔνιοι τῶν ἀδελφῶν ἀμελοῦσιν ὥςπερ οὐ γιγνομένους φίλους. Some men neglect their brothers under the impression that they do not become friends.

XEN. Mem. ii. 3. 3 (quotation shortened).

Cf. Mem. i. 2. 20. But XEN. Hell. iii, 2. 19 (δόξαντα ταῦτα καὶ περανθέντα), THUC. iv. 125 (κυρωθέν οὐδέν).

§ 154. VERBALS IN -τέος.

Verbals in $-\tau \epsilon \acute{o}s$ imply necessity. They take the same case as the verb to which they belong. The agent is generally in the Dative (but see below). The verbal has two constructions, the Personal and the Impersonal.

§ 155. A. THE PERSONAL CONSTRUCTION.

ἀσκητέα ἐστί σοι ἡ ἀρετή. You must practise virtue. Colenda est tibi virtus. Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 156. B. THE IMPERSONAL CONSTRUCTION.

Here the verbal is either singular or plural.

άσκητέον, δέστί σοι την άρετήν.

έπιθυμητέον, ἐπιθυμητέα, ἐπιθυμητέα, Μεν must covet virtue.

Note. The agent, however, in Attic, is fairly often in the Accusative, instead of the Dative.

οὐδενὶ τρόπφ φαμὲν ἐκόντας ἀδικητέον εἶναι. Plat. Crit. We maintain that in no way must we deliberately commit injustice.

And the Dative and Accusative are both found together. Eur. Phoen. 710, 712.

§ 157. C. THE SUPPLEMENTARY PARTICIPLE.

The Supplementary Participle is used, much like the Supplementary Infinitive, to complete the meaning of many verbs and verbal phrases. It agrees either (1) with the Subject, or (2) with the Object of the verb.

§ 158. THE SUPPLEMENTARY PARTICIPLE IN AGREEMENT WITH THE SUBJECT OF THE VERB.

The Participle is used with the following classes of Verbs:—

- * Verbs marked thus have peculiar usages which are explained in the notes.
- A. Verbs of Feeling and Perceiving (see Indirect Statement). These verbs differ from the following because they can equally take a finite mood with ὅτι or ὡς, thus showing the substantival character of the construction which they introduce to soft®

B. Verbs of Mental Emotion.

χαίρω, ήδομαι, ἄχθομαι, ἀγανακτῶ (I am vexed), χαλεπῶς φέρω (I am vexed), μεταμέλομαι, μεταμέλει μοι (I repent, regret), ανέχομαι (I endure), ραδίως φέρω (I easily bear).

χαίρουσιν ἀκούοντες έξεταζομένων τῶν ἀνθρώπων.

PLAT.

They like to hear people cross-questioned.

χρημάτων οὐκ αἰσχύνει ἐπιμελούμενος; ΡΙΑΤ.

Are you not ashamed to be devoting yourself to money-making?

ραδίως φέρεις ήμας απολείπων. PLAT.

You don't mind leaving us behind (you make light of doing so).

C. Verbs of beginning, continuing, and ending an action (including persevering and growing weary).

*άρχομαι, *ὑπάρχω, φθάνω, διατελώ, διάγω, διαγίγνομαι (Ι continue), παύομαι, ἀπείρηκα, and κάμνω (I grow tired).

τον λοιπον βίον καθεύδοντες διατελοίτ' ἄν. ΡΙΑΤ. You would go on sleeping for the rest of your lives.

ού μη παύσωμαι φιλοσοφών. Plat.

Never will I give over the pursuit of wisdom.

οὐκ ἀνέξομαι ζῶσα. ΕURIP. I will not endure to live.

D. Verbs of being manifest, being detected (convicted), and of escaping notice.

*δηλος είμι (δηλῶ, intrans.), *φανερός είμι, *φαίνομαι, δείκνυμι, λανθάνω, ἀλίσκομαι (the active form is αἰρῶ).

δήλος εί καταφρονών.

It is clear that you despise me.

δείξω αὐτὸν ἄξιον ὄντα.

I will prove that he is worthy.

έδειξαν έτοιμοι όντες. THUC.

They showed that they were ready.

φανεροί είσιν άγωνιζόμενοι πάντες. ΧΕΝ.

It is evident that they all are contending.

Digitized by Microsoft®

Πηλέως γαρ άξια

πατρός τ' 'Αχιλλέως έργα δρών φανήσεται. Ευκ. He shall be seen to do great deeds

He shall be seen to do great deed

worthy of Peleus, and his sire Achilles.

φονέα ἔλανθανε βόσκων. Η ΕΤ.

He was entertaining a murderer unawares.

έὰν δὲ άλῷς ἔτι τοῦτο πράττων, ἀποθανεί. ΡΙΑΤ.

If you are caught again in this pursuit, you shall die (if you are convicted of following it any longer).

So $\delta\eta\lambda\hat{\omega}$, Soph. O. C. 556, and Ai. 472, Ant. 20 (in nominative attraction). $\delta\epsilon i\kappa\nu\nu\mu$ (see third example above) may be intransitive, Eur. I. A. 436, Thuc. 72. The above verbs, however, have several other constructions, for which see below.

§ 159. Note on Special Verbs.

1. ἄρχομαι takes both the Infinitive and Participle, more usually the Infinitive. The Participle seems to denote, more than the Infinitive, that the act is going on.

ήρξαντο οἰκοδομεῖν.

They began to build (of the intention).

ήρξαντο οἰκοδομοῦντες.

They began the building (the act going on).

See THUC. i. 107.

2. ὑπάρχω.

έάν τις ήμας εὖ ποιῶν ὑπάρχη. ΧΕΝ.

If any one first confers a kindness on us.

Otherwise ὑπάρχω is used almost like τυγχάνω.

 \dot{v} πάρχει έχθρὸς ὤν. DEM. He is an enemy (to begin with).

3. φθάνω.

(a) ἔφθασε (ἔφθη) ἀφικόμενος.
 He was beforehand in arriving.

οὖκ ἄν φθάνοις λέγων (gen. of 2d person).

Make haste, speak—or, quick, quick speak. (Lit. you could not anticipate (my wish, or your duty) in speaking.)

The phrase forms an urgent command. Cf. Eur. Or. 936, Alc. 662, Arist. Pl. 1133.

Cf. λέγε φθάσας, speak quickly. Quin statim loquere!

In the last example $\phi\theta\acute{a}\nu\omega$ is in the Participle.

So $\dot{a}v\dot{\epsilon}\psi\xi\dot{a}s$ $\mu\epsilon$ $\phi\theta\dot{a}\sigma as$. ARIST. You opened the door before me (got the start of me).

Cf. THUC. iv. 8.

ἀνύτω (I achieve) is used like φθάνω.
 ἄνυσον ὑποδυσάμενος. ARIST.
 Look sharp and put your shoes on.
 ἀνύσας ἄνοιγε.
 Look alive and open the door.

5. αἰσχύνομαι.

αἰσχύνομαι λέγων.

I am ashamed of saying (while I do say).

αίσχύνομαι λέγειν.

I am ashamed to say (and generally, I refrain from saying).

6. ἀποκάμνω.

ἀποκάμνω τοῦτο ποιῶν. I am weary of doing this. ἀποκάμνω τοῦτο ποιεῖν. I leave off doing this through weariness.

7. δηλός είμι. Several constructions.

(a) The personal construction with participle.

δηλος ην οίόμενος. ΧΕΝ.

It was evident that he thought.

The personal construction with &s and participle.

δηλός έστιν ώς τι δρασείων κακόν. SOPH. Ai.

It is plain that he is craving to do some ill (δρασείω, desiderative).

Cf. Soph. Ant. 242; δηλοίς (verb) ώς.

(b) The personal and the impersonal construction with $\delta\tau\iota$ and finite mood.

δηλοί είσιν ὅτι ἐπικείσονται. ΧΕΝ. It is clear that they will attack us.

δηλόν έστιν ὅτι παύσομαι. Plat. It is evident that I shall give over.

- 8. φανερός εἰμι, and φανερόν ἐστι: ἀρκῶ (I suffice), ἀρκεῖ, it is sufficient (Soph. Ant. 547): ἰκανός εἰμι, ἱκανόν ἐστι, are similarly constructed either with the participle (personally) or with ὅτι and a finite mood.
 - 9. φαίνομαι takes the Participle and the Infinitive.

φαίνεται άνηρ άγαθὸς είναι.

He seems to be (is considered) a brave man.

Videtur esse fortis.

The appearance or opinion may be groundless.

φαίνεται άνηρ άγαθος ών.

He shows himself (proves himself, manifestly is) a brave man. Cf. appareo in Latin.

Apparebat certamen fore. Liv. It was evident there would be a struggle.

Apparebat utilis. SUET. So ψευδής φαίνεται (ὤν omitted). σημεῖα φαίνεις (=φαίνει) γεγώς. SOPH. El. 24.

You show proofs that you are.

10. λανθάνω.

λέληθα έμαυτόν είδώς. ΧΕΝ.

I know without myself being aware of it.

Horace (Od. iii. 16. 32) and Propertius (i. 4. 5) imitate this Greek construction.

e.g. Hor. Fallit sorte beatior = $\lambda \alpha \nu \theta \acute{a} \nu \epsilon \iota \acute{o} \lambda \beta \iota \omega \tau \acute{e} \rho \alpha$ ovoa.

Rarely in Attic $\lambda \alpha \theta \omega \nu$ is used participially with a verb= secretly, clam.

11. τυγχάνω, and (in poetry) κυρώ.

ἔτυχον προσελθών ἀνδρί. ΡΙΑΤ.

I chanced to meet a man.

πρὸς τί τοῦτ' εἰπὼν κυρεῖς; SOPH. El.

Why is it thou speakest thus?

The notion of chance is often almost lost in both verbs. They often denote mere coincidence in time, just then. Both are used sometimes without a participle.

νῦν ἀγροῖσι τυγχάνει. SOPH. El.

At this moment he happens to be abroad.

Digitized by Microsoft®

12. οἴχομαι denotes rapidity and completeness.

οἴχεται φεύγων. Celeriter fugit.

οιχομαι φέρων. Celeriter aufero.

οἴχεται θανών. He is dead and gone.

- 13. $\delta\hat{\eta}\lambda\delta s$ $\epsilon l\mu\iota$ (above), $\phi a\nu\epsilon\rho\delta s$ $\epsilon l\mu\iota$, $\lambda a\nu\theta\delta\nu\omega$ are also constructed with $\delta\tau\iota$ and finite mood. For $\lambda a\nu\theta\delta\nu\omega$ $\delta\tau\iota$ see Plat. Crito, xii.
- 14. The Poets use this Supplementary Participle with a great many verbs, e.g. verbs implying superiority and inferiority (νικῶ, ἡττῶμαι, ἐλλείπομαι): doing right or wrong (ἀδικῶ, ὁμαρτάνω, εὖ or καλῶς ποιῶ).

§ 160. THE SUPPLEMENTARY PARTICIPLE IN AGREEMENT WITH THE OBJECT.

The Participle is the Predicate to the Object.

The Verbs which take this Participle are mostly the active forms of those in the previous rule, but the correspondence is not complete.

A. Verbs of stopping (making to cease), finding and detecting, overlooking (i.e. allowing to be done).

παύω (I make to cease, cf. παύομαι), περιορῶ and ἐφορῶ, I overlook (περιείδον, ἐπείδον), but not έὧ, δείκνυμι (I point out), καταλαμβάνω, αἰρῶ (see ἁλίσκομαι in previous rule), φωρῶ, I detect, catch, convict.

γελώντας έχθρους παύσομεν. SOPH. We will check the merriment of our foes.

μὴ περιίδωμεν ὑβρισθεῖσαν τὴν Λακεδαίμονα. ISAEUS. Let us not look on and see Lacedaemon outraged.

οὐ χαιρήσεις ἀλλά σε κλέπτονθ' αἰρήσω. ARIST. You shan't get off scot-free. No. I'll catch you thieving. Digitized by Microsoft® B. Verbs of perception (see Indirect Statement, § 167).

Note 1. Observe, however, that Verbs of Perception may be used with a Supplementary Participle which is not equivalent to an Indirect Sentence.

Thus ὁρῶ σε χαίροντα may mean either I see you rejoicing,

or I see that you rejoice.

ησθετο Κῦρον πεπτωκότα, he heard that Cyrus had fallen; but ησθησαι πώποτε μου συκοφαντοῦντος; have you ever noticed me playing the part of an informer? ἀκούω σε ήκοντα, I hear that you are come; but ἀκούω σου διαλεγομένου, I hear you conversing.

2. οίδα, σύνοιδα, ἐπίσταμαι:

οίδα ταῦτα ποιῶν. I know that I am doing this.

οίδα ταῦτα ποιείν.
I know how to do this.

So with ἐπίσταμαι, I know for certain (scio):

έμαυτῷ σύνοιδα οὐδὲν ἐπισταμένῳ. ἐμαυτῷ σύνοιδα οὐδὲν ἐπιστάμενος. Ι am conscious that I know nothing for certain.

§ 161. The Tenses of the Participle, and Time in the Participles.

The Tenses of the Participle correspond with the Tenses of the Indicative always in the character of the act, and sometimes in time.

1. The Present Participle denotes an act in progress, the time of which is usually determined by, and therefore contemporary with, that of the principal verb. But the time may be determined by some word in the sentence, such as $\nu\hat{\nu}\nu$, $\tau\hat{\sigma}\tau\epsilon$. Sometimes the context, without such a clew-word, determines the time.

τὴν νῦν Βοιωτίαν καλουμένην ἄκησαν. THUC. i. 12. They occupied what is now called Boeotia.

οἱ Κορίνθιοι μέχρι τούτου προθύμως πράσσοντες ἀνείσαν τῆς φιλονεικίας. ΤΗUC. v. 32.

The Corinthians, who up to that time had been energetically at work, now abated their vehemence.

οί συμπρεσβεύοντες καὶ πάρόντες καταμαρτυρήσουσιν.

Dem. de Fals. Leg. 381. 5.

Those who were then his fellow-envoys, and were present, will testify against him.

Here $\tau \circ \tau \epsilon$ would have made the time clearer.

2. The Aorist Participle generally refers to an act prior to that of the principal verb.

ταθτα εἰπόντες ἀπηλθον.

They said this and went away.

But in many cases there is no such priority of time.

 $\epsilon \tilde{v}$ $\epsilon \pi o i \eta \sigma a s$ $a v a \mu v \dot{\eta} \sigma a s$ $\mu \epsilon$. You did well to remind me.

§ 162. The Future Participle.

1. The Future Participle, as a rule, denotes mere futurity in time only after verbs of Perception.

οίδα ταθτα δράσων.

I know that I shall do this.

ηδη σε ταθτα δράσοντα.

I knew that you would do this.

Here the Latin future in rus is the equivalent of the Greek future participle (me, te haec facturum esse).

θανουμένη γὰρ έξήδη. SOPH. Ant. 460.

I knew well that I should (or must) die.

Here the Latin gerund (mihi moriendum esse) would be the better equivalent.

- 2. But the Future Participle often denotes intention.
- (a.) With a verb of motion.

οὐκ ἐς λόγους ἐλήλυθ', ἀλλά σε κτενῶν. Eur. Tro. 905. I am not come to parley, but to kill thee.

Cf. THUC. i. 18, δουλωσόμενος.

Here the Latin supine in -um after a verb of motion, rather than the future in -rus would be used. We should translate $\tilde{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon$ $\theta\epsilon\alpha\sigma\delta\mu\epsilon\nu$ os by spectatum venit, not by spectaturus venit.

3. The subjective particle ws is often added to the Future Participles (as to other participles). It denotes the presumed

intention (as though); or the motive calculated (as thinking, on the assumption that).

ξυλλαμβάνει Κύρον ώς ἀποκτενών. ΧΕΝ.

He seizes Cyrus with the intention of putting him to death.

μισθον αίτουσιν ώς ούχι αύτοισιν ώφέλειαν έσομένην.

PLAT. Rep. 345, E.

They demand pay on the assumption (ground) that no benefit will accrue to them,

ἀφέλειαν ἐσομένην, Accusative Absolute.

4. With the Article the Future Participle denotes not only intention, but what is likely, able, or calculated to do anything.

πολλὰ δεῖ τὸν εὖ στρατηγήσοντα ἔχειν. ΧΕΝ. Ap. iii. 1. 6. He who wishes (means) to be a good general must have many qualifications.

οὔτε σῖτος \mathring{q} θρεψόμεθα μένοντες, οὔτε πλοῖα ἔστι τὰ ἀπάξοντα. ΧΕΝ. Απ. vi. 3. 20.

We have neither food to eat, if we stay, nor ships to convey us hence.

Neque frumentum est quo vescamur, si manserimus, neque navigia quibus vehamur.

The above may be expressed by a periphrasis with $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$. Thus $\delta \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \omega \nu = \delta \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$.

οὐδ' ὁ κωλύσων παρῆν. Soph. Ant. 260.

Nor was there at hand one who could stay them.

Neque aderat qui prohiberet.

5. The Future Participle may take the place of a direct sentence.

τοῦ κασιγνήτου τί φής, ήξοντος η μέλλοντος; SOPH. El. 317.

What say'st thou of thy brother,

Will he be here, or will he tarry?

=πότερον ήξει η μέλλει;

The above examples will show how widely the Greek Future Participle differs from the Latin future in rus, and how much more elastic the use of the former is. Mr. Paley first pointed this out in the Journal of Philology (viii. No. 15), from which number much of the above is derived.

Digitized by Microsoft®

PART II.

THE COMPOUND SENTENCE.

CHAPTER I.

§ 163. SUBSTANTIVAL SENTENCES.

- I. THE INDIRECT STATEMENT.
- 2. THE INDIRECT QUESTION.
- 3. THE INDIRECT PETITION.

§ 164. THE INDIRECT STATEMENT.

The Indirect Statement quotes words or thoughts not at first-hand (i.e. directly), but at second-hand (i.e. indirectly). It therefore follows verbs and phrases of saying and thinking.

The Indirect Statement is expressed in three ways.

- A. By the Infinitive.
- **B.** By δ_{Tl} or δ_{S} with the Indicative or the Optative, never with the Subjunctive.
 - C. By the Participle.

§ 165. A. THE INFINITIVE IN THE INDIRECT STATEMENT.

1. The Infinitive follows expressions of saying and thinking. The Subject before the Infinitive and the

Predicate after it are in the Accusative (but see next rule). The usual negative is $o\dot{\nu}$ (see second example).

N.B. 1. $\phi \eta \mu i$ and $\phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \omega$ regularly take the Infinitive.

- λέγω (active) generally takes ὅτι οτ ὡς, λέγεται (it is said) takes either the Infinitive, οτ ὅτι, ὡς with a finite verb.
- 3. $\epsilon l \pi o \nu$ takes $\delta \tau \iota$ and δs . (Observe that $\epsilon l \pi o \nu$, I said, introduces a Statement; $\epsilon l \pi o \nu$, I bade, a Petition. I told has the same double sense in English.

τον δίκαιον ἄνδρα εὐδαίμονα εἶναί φημι. ΡΙΑΤ.

I assert that the just man is happy.

οὐδένα οἶμαι δαιμόνων εἶναι κακόν. ΕURIP.

I think that none of the deities is evil.

2. But if the Subject of the Infinitive is the same as the Subject of the Principal Verb, it is usually not expressed at all. The Predicate is in the Nominative. This is called the Infinitive Attraction.

φησὶ στρατηγείν.

He says that he is general.

νομίζεις είναι φρόνιμος.

You fancy that you are prudent.

φρόνιμος the Predicate.

ό 'Αλέξανδρος έφασκεν Διος υίος είναι.

Alexander used to pretend that he was the son of Zeus.

Aids vios the Predicate.

Δίκη εἶναί φησι. AESCH.

She saith that she is Justice.

If the Subject needs to be expressed, αὐτός is used in the Nominative (in all genders and numbers).

Κλέων οὐκ ἔφη αὐτὸς ἀλλ' ἐκείνον στρατηγείν.

THUC.

Cleon declared that it was not himself but Nikias who was general.

Digitized by Microsoft®

νομίζετε οὖν αὐτοὶ εἶναι φρόνιμοι.

You fancy, then, that it is you who are prudent (that you yourselves are prudent).

The Subject however, $a\dot{v}\tau \acute{o}s$, must never be expressed unless it is necessary to distinguish it from a Subject in the Accusative, or to throw a special emphasis on it. In nine cases out of ten the presence of a Predicate in the Nominative is sufficient.

Note 1. Instead of a vis the personal pronouns èyé, où occur (Dem. 52. 12, 9. 74, Lys. 25. 18), and the reflexive $\sigma\phi\epsilon\hat{\imath}s$ (Plat. Rep. 518).

Sometimes the accusative is used instead of the nominative $(\dot{\epsilon}\mu\dot{\epsilon},\,\mu\dot{\epsilon},\,\sigma\dot{\epsilon},\,\dot{\epsilon}\mu\alpha\nu\tau\dot{\epsilon}\nu,\,\sigma\dot{\epsilon}\alpha\nu\tau\dot{\epsilon}\nu)$. This construction, more emphatically than the Infinitive Attraction, marks the Subject of the Infinitive as the Object of the main verb.

φημὶ δεῖν ἐκείνους ἀπολέσθαι, ἐμὲ δε σώζεσθαι. Andok. i. 30. I hold that they ought to be condemned to death, and I be acquitted.

For other instances see Plat. Gorg. 474, Xen. Cyr. v. 1. 21 $(\hat{\epsilon}\mu\alpha\nu\tau\delta\nu)$, Herod. i. 34 and ii. 2 $(\hat{\epsilon}\omega\nu\tau\delta\nu)$.

Note 2. Verbs of hoping and promising (expecting, undertaking, swearing). They usually take the Future Infinitive (like the Latin).

ἤλπιζεν μάχην ἔσεσθαι.
He was expecting that there would be a battle.

ὑπέσχου ταῦτα ζητήσειν. You promised to search into this.

But with little or no change of meaning they also take a Present, or an Aorist Infinitive sometimes, the future time being expressed not by the Infinitive, but the principal verb.

έλπίζει δυνατὸς εἶναι ἄρχειν. PLAT. He hopes to be able to rule.

ύπέσχετό μοι βουλεύσασθαι. ΧΕΝ. He undertook to agrise me. Digitized by Microsoft® Also an Aorist Infinitive with $d\nu$.

ούκ ηλπιζον έκπεσείν αν Περικλέα. ΤΗυς.

They were not anticipating that Pericles would be banished. έκπεσείν αν is an Oblique Apodosis, representing έκπεσοι αν in the Recta. See Note 3.

The sort of verbs that come under this head are:-

ἐλπίζω. ὑπισχνοῦμαι. ὁμολογῶ, $ἐλπίδα (ἐλπίδαs) ἔχω. ὅμννμι. συντίθεμαι, <math>\end{Bmatrix} I$ agree. $δκοῶ, \end{Bmatrix} I$ expect. ὑποδέχομαι (I etc., etc. undertake).

Note 3. The Infinitive with av. If the Indicative of the Recta had an av, the Infinitive will retain it in Indirect Discourse, but not otherwise.

οίμαι γὰρ ἄν οὐκ ἀχαρίστως μοι ἔχειν. DEM. I think it would not be a thankless task.

In Recta, οὖκ ἄν ἔχοι.

Note 4. The Tenses of the Infinitive, in Indirect Discourse, represent the corresponding Tenses of the Indicative or Optative in the Recta, and therefore denote the same time. See § 147, Note 6.

§ 166. B. ὅτι AND ὡς WITH THE INDICATIVE AND OPTATIVE.

öτι or ὡς with (1) The Indicative (in Primary or Historic Sequence).
(2) The Optative (in strict Historic Sequence).

N.B. Never the Subjunctive as in Latin. The Negative is ov.

To understand this construction it is well to see first what the Direct Statement is.

αὐτοὶ μάρτυρές ἐσμεν, we ourselves are witnesses, is a Direct Statement.

This, in an Indirect Statement of Primary Sequence, becomes λέγουσιν ώς αὐτοὶ μάρτυρές εἰσιν. Observe that the *person* alone is changed, "they say that *they* themselves are witnesses." The *tense* and *mood* remain unchanged.

In an Indirect Statement of Historic Sequence it may be expressed in either of two ways, both being equally common:

έλεγον ώς { (1) αὐτοὶ μάρτυρες εἶεν (Optative); (2) αὐτοὶ μάρτυρες εἶεν (Indicative);

i.e. either the Optative is used, and this is the really Indirect Statement, or no change is made in the mood in consequence of the Historic Sequence, the Indicative being used. This retention of the mood and tense of Direct Discourse is a well-known practice, pervading all Greek syntax.

Again, a Direct Statement is, κρατήσομεν τῶν 'Αθηναίων, we shall beat the Athenians.

The Indirect Statement is-

- (1) Primary, λέγουσιν ὅτι κρατήσουσι τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων, They say that they will beat the Athenians.
- (2) Historic, $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \gamma o \nu \delta \tau i$ $\begin{cases} 1. & \kappa \rho a \tau \eta \sigma o i \epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \right. A \theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu. \\ they would beat the Athenians. \\ that \begin{cases} 2. & \kappa \rho a \tau \eta \sigma o \nu \sigma i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \right. A \theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu. \end{cases}$

The two constructions, the Optative and the Indicative, are often found alternating in the same paragraph.

The rules therefore in passing from the Direct to the Indirect Statement are:—

- 1. The Person is always changed.
- 2. The Tense is never changed.
- 3. The Mood may be changed from the Indicative to the Optative when the Sequence is Historic, but constantly the Indicative remains unchanged in Historic as in Primary Sequence.

In case of a difficulty as to tense and mood, the learner should practise turning back the Indirect to the original Direct Statement.

Thus in the sentence $\epsilon \tau \delta \lambda \mu a \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \omega s \pi \acute{a} \nu \tau a s \tau o \upsilon s \epsilon \chi \theta \rho o \upsilon s \epsilon \iota \iota \iota \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, he made bold to say that he had conquered all his enemies, the original Direct was $\pi \acute{a} \nu \tau a s \tau o \upsilon s \epsilon \chi \theta \rho o \upsilon s \epsilon \iota \iota \iota \eta \sigma a$, I conquered all my enemies. The tense and mood of the Direct Statement are retained.

Again, ηκε δ' ἀγγελλων τίς ὡς Ἐλάτεια κατείληπται, there came a messenger announcing that Elateia had been captured. The messenger said in the Direct, Ἐλάτεια κατείληπται, Elateia has been captured. In the Indirect therefore the original tense and, in this case, the mood are retained. It might have been κατειλημμένη εἴη.

λέγει ως οὐδέν ἐστιν ἀδικωτερον φήμης. Aeschin. He says that there is nothing more unjust than rumour.

Recta: οὐδέν ἐστι κ.τ.λ.

λογισάσθω τοῦτο ὅτι εἴχομεν πότε Πύδναν. Dem. Let him reflect that we once possessed Pydna.

Recta: εἶχομεν κ.τ.λ.

φανερῶς εἶπεν ὅτι ἡ πόλις τετείχισται ἤδη. Thuc. He told them openly that the city had already been fortified.

Recta: τετείχισται κ.τ.λ.

ἀπεκρινάμην ὅτι μοι λυσιτελοῖ ὥσπερ ἔχωἔχειν. Plat. I answered that it was good for me to be exactly as I am. Recta: λυσιτελεῖ κ.τ.λ.

Κύρος έλεγεν, ὅτι ἡ ὁδὸς ἔσοιτο πρὸς βασιλέα μέγαν εἰς Βαβυλῶνα. ΧΕΝ.

Cyrus told them that the march would be to Babylon against the great King.

Recta: ἔσται κ.τ.λ.

εἶπεν ὅτι ὁ ἀνήρ ὰν άλώσιμος εἴη. XEN. He said that the man might be captured.

The dv of course belonged to the Direct. δ dvηρ dλωσιμος <math>dv είη.

The Indicative and the Optative are sometimes found in the same paragraph.

έλεγον ὅτι Κῦρος μὲν τέθνηκεν ᾿Αριαῖος δὲ ἐν τῷ σταθμῷ εἴη.

They said that Cyrus was dead, and that Ariaeus was in the camp.

It might have been τεθνηκώς είη and ἐστί.

ἔγνω ὅτι ἔσοιτο περὶ τῆς τοῦ ᾿Αλκιβιάδου καθόδου λόγος, καὶ ὅτι οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι ἐνδέξονται αὐτήν.

THUC.

He saw that there would be a proposal for the recall of Alcibiades, and that the Athenians would approve of it.

For ἔγνω ὅτι see 3. The Participle in Indirect Discourse, Note 1.

Note 1. $\delta\tau\iota$ and δs . δs being a subjective particle, i.e. expressing what is in a person's mind, is used, more than $\delta\tau\iota$, of opinions, of pretexts, sometimes of untrue statements, and with negative expressions, où $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\omega$ δs . δs is used rather than $\delta\tau\iota$ after verbs of thinking.

Τισσαφέρνης διαβάλλει τὸν Κῦρον πρὸς τὸν ἀδελφόν ὡς ἐπιβουλεύοι αὐτῷ. ΧΕΝ. An, i, 3.

Tissaphernes accused Cyrus to his brother, alleging that he was plotting against him.

ἔλεγον ὡς χρὴ ὑμῶς εὐλα β εῖσθαι. PLAT. Apol. 1. They were telling you that you ought to be on your guard (against me).

νομίζουσιν ἐκεῖ ὡς "Ηφαιστος χαλκεύει. Thuc. iii. 88. The local belief is that Hephaestus is working at his forge.

In Soph. Elect. 43 ὅτι is used of an untrue statement.

Note 2. Instead of on and is other particles are used in poetry, e.g. οὕνεκα (SOPH. El. 1476), ὁθούνεκα (SOPH. El. 1308), οπως (SOPH. Ant. 685, Phil. 169).

οὐ γὰρ αἰσθάνει πάλαι

ζωντας θανούσιν ούνεκ' ανταυδάς ίσα; Soph. El. 1476. And hast thou not marked long since

that thou hast been accosting the living as the dead?

SOPH. El. 1308. δθούνεκ' Αἴγισθος μὲν οὐ κατὰ στέγας. Thou hearest

That Aegisthus is not beneath his roof. $\delta \pi \omega s$ also is used.

αναξ, έρω μεν ούχ όπως τάχους ύπο

δύσπνους ϊκάνω. SOPH. Ant. 223. My liege, I will not say that by reason of speed

I come scant of breath.

Cf. SOPH Ant. 685, Phil. 169.

As the Watchman is a clown we might be tempted to translate, "I won't say as how." We use "how" just in the same wav.

So ut is often used in Latin poetry:

Quid referam ut volitet crebras intacta per urbes Alba Palaestino sancta columba Syro:

Ut que, etc.

TIBULL. I. vii. 17, and the poets passim.

Note 3. av with the Optative. If av is found with the Optative in the Indirect Statement it is because it was there in the Recta. In such cases the Optative with av in the Indirect is the Apodosis of a Conditional Sentence which in the Recta was in the Optative with av.

έλεξαν ώς πάντ' ἄν ἴδοι.

They said that he would see everything.

Direct : πάντ' ἄν ἴδοι (sc. εἰ ἔλθοι).

ἔλεξαν ὡς πάντ' ἴδοι.

They said that he saw everything.

Direct: πάντα είδε.

Note 4. If in the Direct the Imperfect or Pluperfect Indicative were used, they should be retained in the Indirect Digitized by Microsoft®

(both Primary and Historic). The reason of course is that there is no Optative Imperfect or Pluperfect.

ηκεν ἄγγελος λέγων ὅτι τριήρεις ήκουε παραπλεούσας.

XEN. An. i. 2. 21.

There came a messenger saying that he had heard that triremes were sailing round.

Direct: η κουον, I was hearing.

For further rules see Oratio Obliqua.

Note 5. Verbs and phrases of emotion, θαυμάζω, I wonder; ἀγανακτῶ, I am indignant; δεινὸν ποιοῦμαι, do.; φθονῶ, I grudge; αἰσχύνομαι, I am ashamed; αἰσχρόν ἐστι (δεινόν ἐστι, it is a shame); μέμφομαι, I blame, etc., usually are followed not by ὅτι but by the conditional εἰ.

τόδε έθαύμασα εί έν άρετης τίθης μέρει την άδικίαν.

PLAT. Rep. 348.

I was surprised at your setting injustice in the light of a virtue. δεινὸν ποιοῦνται εἰ μὴ εἴσονται. ΤΗυC.

They think it abominable (if) they are not to know.

These phrases also take $5\pi i$. Cf. miror si (mirum si) as well as miror quod.

§ 167. C. THE PARTICIPLE IN INDIRECT DISCOURSE.

The Participle is used in Indirect Discourse after Verbs of Perception (see, perceive, hear, learn, mark, know, remember) and some others. The usual Negative is $o\dot{v}$.

δρῶ, I see.
αἰσθάνομαι, I perceive.
ἀκούω, I hear.
πυνθάνομαι, I learn by inquiry.
μανθάνω, I learn.

οίδα, I know (σύνοιδα ἐμαυτῷ, I am conscious). ἐπιστάμαι, I know for certain. μέμνημαι, I remember. ἐπιλανθάνομαι, I forget. γιγνώσκω, I get to know.

οί Έλληνες οὐκ ήδεσαν Κῦρον τεθνηκότα. ΧΕΝ. The Greeks did not know that Cyrus was dead.

ήδέως ἀκούω Σωκράτους διαλεγομένου. Plat. I love to hear Socrates conversing.

έμαυτῷ ξυνήδειν ούδὲν ἐπισταμένω. PLAT. Apol. viii. I was conscious that I knew nothing for certain,

οὖτε μέγα οὖτε σμικρὸν ξύνοιδα ἐμαυτῶ σοφὸς ὤν.

PLAT. Apol. vi.

I am conscious that in no degree, great or small, am I wise.

The rules about the Subject and the Predicate of the Infinitive equally apply to the Participle.

έώρων οὐ κατορθοῦντες. ΤΗυς. They saw that they were not succeeding.

αίσθανόμεθα γελοίοι όντες. PLAT. We perceive that we are ridiculous.

Note 1. The Indicative (and Optative) with ὅτι and ὡς are. however, often used with Verbs of Knowing, especially yeyνώσκω, and οίδα (ἴσθ' ὅτι).

έγνω ότι οἱ 'Αθηναῖοι οὐδὲν ἐνδώσουσιν. ΤΗυς. He saw that the Athenians would not give in at all.

Note 2. If the Principal Verb itself is a Verb of Perception the Indirect Sentence will be in the Infinitive to avoid the clumsiness of two participles close together, if both Participles refer to the same person.

αἰσθόμενος οὐκ ἄν πείθειν αὐτούς. ΤΗυΟ. Perceiving that he should not convince them.

αἰσθόμενος οὐκ ἄν πείθων would have been intolerable.

Note 3. ἀγγέλλω, I report, sometimes takes a Participle. πρώτος βασιλεί Κύρον έπιβουλεύοντα ήγγειλα. ΧΕΝ.

I first reported to the king that C. was plotting against him.

όμολογῶ, I agree, assent; έξελέγχω, ἐπιδείκνυμι, I point out (see Rule), may almost be regarded as verbs of statement. These take a Participle.

Note 4. The remarks made about av with the Infinitive apply equally to the Participle.

Note 5. The Tenses of the Participle in Indirect Discourse represent the Corresponding Tenses of Indirect Discourse, and therefore the time which they denote. Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 168. THE INDIRECT QUESTION.

The Indirect Question may be regarded as the Indirect Statement put interrogatively. All the rules given as to person, tense, and mood for the Indirect Statement apply equally to the Indirect Question. The Subjunctive must never be used, as in Latin, in an ordinary Indirect Question. (See Deliberative Questions.)

The Negative is ov.

For the Interrogative Pronouns and Adverbs see Pronouns. Their Indirect (or Relative) forms are used in Indirect Questions, but it is just as common to use the Direct Forms. E_i^{i} , like the English if, is the regular indirect interrogative, meaning whether (in a single question = Lat. num).

Direct:

τίς ἐστί; Who is he?

Who is he?
Indirect Primary: οὐκ οἶδα ὅστις (or τίς) ἐστί.

I do not know who he is.

Indirect Historie: $\vec{ov}\kappa \ \ddot{\eta}\delta\eta \ \ddot{o}\sigma\tau\iota\varsigma \ (\text{or }\tau\dot{\iota}\varsigma) \begin{cases} 1. & \epsilon\dot{\iota}\eta. \\ 2. & \dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota. \end{cases}$

I did not know who he was.

Direct:

ταῦτα ἐποίησας ; Did you do it ?

Indirect Primary: ἐρωτὰ αὐτόν εἰ ταῦτα ἐποίησεν.

He asks him if he did it.

Indirect Historic : ἠρώτησεν αὐτὸν $\begin{cases} 1. & \epsilon i ταῦτα ποιήσειεν. \\ 2. & \epsilon i ταῦτα ἐποίησεν. \end{cases}$

He asked him if he had done it.

 $\emph{6}$,τι πεπόνθατε οὐκ οἶδα. PLAT. What you have felt I know not.

Recta: τί πεπόνθατε:
Digitized by Microsoft®

έρωτῶσιν εἰ λησταί εἰσιν. Thuc. They ask them if they are pirates.

Recta: λησταί έστε;

ίστε οίος ην ο Χαιρεφών. Plat.

You know what sort of a man Chaerephon was.

Recta: olos ην;

διηρώτων αν αυτούς τί λέγοιεν. Plat. I used to ask them what they meant.

Recta: τί λέγετε;

ήρετο, εί τις είη εμοῦ σοφώτερος. Plat. He asked if there was anybody wiser than I.

Recta: ἔστι τις;

ήπόρουν τί ποτε λέγει ὁ θεός. Plat. I was at a loss to understand what the god meant.

Recta: τί ποτε λέγει;

έβουλεύοντό τινα καταλείψουσιν. Dem. They were considering whom they should leave behind.

Recta: τίνα καταλείψομεν;

ήρώτων αὐτὸν εἰ ἀναπλεύσειεν. DEM. I was asking him if he had set sail.

Recta: ἀνέπλευσας; did you set sail?

Observe in examples 6 and 7 that the Historic Sequence is disregarded.

Good examples will be found in SOPH. El. 32, 679, 974, 1348, Ai. 557, Ant. 239, 1190.

If the Direct uses the Imperfect Indicative, e.g. τίς ην ὁ μῦθος; what was the tale? the Indicative will be retained in Indirect Historic for reasons explained on p. 182. It would become εἶπον ὅστις ἡν ὁ μῦθος. εἶπον ὅστις εἴη ὁ μῦθος would represent a Direct τίς ἔστιν ὁ μῦθος; See a good instance in SOPH. Ant. 239.

Digitized by Microsoft®.

§ 169. DELIBERATIVE OR DUBITATIVE INDIRECT OUESTIONS.

These questions, when Indirect, are in the Subjunctive (Primary or Historic Time), or the Optative (Historic Time).

The Subjunctive is retained in the Indirect because it was the mood of the Direct.

Direct '

τί φω :

What am I to say?

Indirect Primary: $o\dot{\nu}\kappa \stackrel{e}{\epsilon}_{\chi}\omega \stackrel{e}{\delta}_{,\tau\iota} (\tau i) \phi \hat{\omega}$.

I know not what I am to say.

Non habeo quid dicam.

Indirect Historic: $\overrightarrow{ov}_{\kappa} \stackrel{?}{\epsilon \imath \chi o \nu} \begin{cases} 1. & \acute{o}, \tau \iota \ (\tau \iota) \ \phi a \imath \eta \nu. \\ 2. & \acute{o}, \tau \iota \ (\tau \iota) \ \phi \hat{\omega}. \end{cases}$

I knew not what I was to say. Non habebam quid dicerem.

ουκ έχω, όπως σοι είπω ά νοῶ. ΡΙΑΤ. I don't know how I am to tell you my thoughts.

Direct: $\pi \hat{\omega}_S \sigma \alpha \epsilon' \pi \omega$:

ηπόρει δ τι χρήσαιτο τῷ πράγματι. ΧΕΝ. He was at a loss to know how to deal with the question,

Direct: τί χρήσωμαι;

έπήρουτο εί Κορινθίοις παραδοίεν την πόλιν. ΤΗυς. They asked whether they were to deliver over the city to the Corinthians.

Direct: παραδώμεν:

ηπόρησαν δπη καθορμίσωνται. They were at a loss where to come to anchor.

Direct: $\pi \hat{\eta} \kappa a \theta o \rho \mu \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \theta a$;

τὰ δε ἐκπώματα οὐκ οἶδ' εἰ τούτω δω. XEN. Cyr. viii. 4. 16. I don't know whether I am to give the cups to this man. Obs. & with Subjunctive, and see Note.

ἔλεγες ὅτι οὐκ ἂν ἔχοις ἐξελθὼν ὅ,τι χρῷο σαυτῷ.

PLAT. Crit. iv.

You were saying that if you escaped you would not know what to do with yourself.

έβουλεύοντο εἴτε κατακαύσωσιν εἴτε τι ἄλλο χρήσωνται.

They were deliberating whether they should burn the men to death, or do something else to them.

Observe the $\epsilon i \tau \epsilon - \epsilon i \tau \epsilon$ with the Subjunctive again.

THE INDIRECT PETITION. § 170.

The Indirect Petition (a command, a request, or a prayer) follows verbs of commanding, requesting, praying, advising, and the like.

 $\epsilon i \pi o \nu$. I bade or ordered (προείπον). κελεύω. I order. διακελεύομαι, I order, export, direct. παραγγέλλω, I order, in- ἀπαγορεύω, I forbid. struct.

ανγέλλω, I bid. παρακαλῶ, I exhort.άξιῶ, I request, call upon, expect.

παραινώ, I advise.

All these take the Infinitive, which is the common Greek construction for the Indirect Petition. The Negative is un.

The difference between the Greek and Latin constructions here should be carefully noted.

είπον τῷ Παυσανία τοῦ κήρυκος μη λείπεσθαι.

THUC.

They ordered Pausanias not to leave the herald.

 $^{^{1}}$ ϵl is interrogative as well as conditional, and so goes with the Subjunctive as well as with the Optative. Έ $d\nu$ ($\eta\nu$) is not interrogative where it appears to be so, as in a few phrases with $\sigma\kappa\delta\pi\epsilon\iota$ (e.g. $\sigma\kappa\delta\pi\epsilon\iota$ έαν σοι δοκῶ εὖ λέγειν). ἐάν means not whether but if perchance (si forte)

ἐκέλευσε τοὺς "Ελληνας εἰς μάχην ταχθῆναι. XEN. He ordered the Greeks to be drawn up for battle.

δέομαι ύμῶν συγγνώμην μοι ἔχειν. Plat I beg you to pardon me.

παραινοῦμέν σοι πείθεσθαι τοῖς βελτίοσι. We urge (advise) you to listen to your betters.

 \mathring{a} ξι $\mathring{\omega}$ σε \mathring{a} ληθ $\mathring{\eta}$ λέγειν. Antiphon. I call upon (require) you to tell the truth.

The Subject or Predicate will be in the Nominative if necessary, as in the Indirect Statement:—

Προμηθέα παραιτείται Έπιμηθεύς αὐτὸς νείμαι. Plat.

Epimetheus begs Prometheus that he himself may distribute.

("Let me distribute," says Epimetheus.)
For verbs of forbidding see *Index*.

Note 1. Some of these verbs take ὅπως (ὅπως μή) with the Future Indicative and Optative. διακελεύομαι, παρακαλῶ, I exhort; ἀγγέλλω, παραγγέλλω, I tell, order; ἀπαγορεύω, I forbid; προεῖπον, I proclaimed, ordained; ἀξιῶ, I beg, call upon.

διακελεύονται όπως τιμωρήσεται πάντας τοὺς τοιούτους.

PLAT. Rep. viii. 549, E.

They exhort him to take revenge on all such people.

κείνω δ' έμην άγγείλατ' έντολην ὅπως τον παίδα δείξει.

SOPH. Ai. 567.

And bear to him my message that he show the boy.

άπηγόρευες ὅπως μὴ τοῦτο ἀποκρινοίμην.

PLAT. Rep. i. 339, A.

You told me not to give this answer.

őπως μηδὲν ἐρεῖ after past tense in Rep. i. 337, E.

For the Construction of $\delta\pi\omega s$, $\delta\pi\omega s$ $\mu\dot{\eta}$, see Final Sentences, etc.

CHAPTER II.

§ 171. THE PARTICLE $^{*}A_{\nu}$.

"Av (and the Epic $\kappa \acute{\epsilon} \nu$, $\kappa \acute{\epsilon}$, Doric $\kappa \acute{a}$) were originally Demonstrative Adverbs meaning there, then, so, in that case, perchance, possibly, contingently. They were Adverbs qualifying the Positive Statement of the Verb. This part of the subject belongs to Philology rather than Syntax.

" $A\nu$ in Attic Greek may be regarded as having practically two distinct uses.

- 1. It is joined to Verbs, the Indicative (Historic Tenses), Optative, Infinitive, and Participle. It denotes a Condition on which the fulfilment of the verbal action depends.
- 2. It is joined to Pronouns and Particles with the Subjunctive Mood. Such are őς, ὅστις (ὅς ἄν, ὅστις ἄν): ὅτε, ἐπεί, ἐπειδή, πρίν, ἔως, etc. (ὅταν, ἐπάν, ἐπειδάν, πρὶν ἄν, ἔως ἄν): the Conditional εἰ (ἐάν, ἤν): sometimes the Final ὡς, ὅπως, ὅφρα (ὡς ἄν, etc.). In this use ἄν (but not in Final Sentences) makes the meaning of the Pronoun and Particle indefinite, who-sp-ever, when-so-ever, if ever (in one very common use of ἐάν), though this meaning cannot always be expressed. When Historic Sequence requires a change from the Subjunctive to the Optative ἄν must be dropped, e.g. ὅς ἄν βούληται but ὅς βούλοιτο.

§ 172. DEFINITE AND INDEFINITE SENTENCES.

Before dealing with Relative, Conditional, and Temporal Sentences it is most important to understand the difference between a Definite and an Indefinite Sentence.

In the Sentence ταῦτα ὰ βούλονται ἔχουσι, they have those things which they want, the antecedent $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a$ is definite (those particular and known things), and the Relative Sentence which follows refers to a definite act. But in the Sentence à ἄν βούλωνται ἔχουσιν, the Antecedent is indefinite and the act is virtually Conditional: they have whatsoever things they want, anything they want, anything if they want it. This second sentence in Historic Sequence becomes à βούλοιντο είγον. Similarly in the sentence έπειδη δε ολιγαργία έγένετο οι τριάκοντα μετεπέμψαντό με, when an oligarchy was established the Thirty Tyrants sent for me, Socrates is speaking of a definite time (B.C. 404) and of a definite act. But ἐπειδάν ολιγαρχία γένηται, whenever, or as often as, an oligarchy shall be established, or is established, an indefinite time and act is spoken of. The sentence is virtually conditional again, if ever, or if at any time, etc., and might be expressed thus, ἐάν πότε γένηται. In Historic Sequence the sentence would be ἐπειδή γένοιτο.

It will be seen therefore that when the Antecedent is definite the Indicative is used: where indefinite, the Subjunctive with $a\nu$, or the Optative without $a\nu$:

e.g. οὖς εἶδεν ἐπήνεσε, those whom he saw he praised.
οὖς ἄν ἴδη ἐπαινεῖ, whomsoever he sees he praises.
οὖς ἴδοι ἐπήνει, whomsoever he saw he used to praise.

Digitized by Microsoft®

Note. Further instances of-

1. Definite sentences.

Κυρον μεταπέμπεται ἀπὸ τῆς ἀρχῆς, ῆς αὐτὸν σατράπην ἐποίησε. ΧΕΝ.

He sends for Cyrus from the province of which he had made him governor.

κως εξεστιν ταῦτα ὑμῖν ἐπιδεῖξαι θέλω. Plat. While it is permitted I desire to explain this to you.

έπολιόρκει τοὺς Έλληνας μέχρι οδ ξηράνας τὴν διώρυχα εἶλε τὴν νῆσον. ΤΗυς.

He was blockading the Greeks until he drained the ditch and took the island.

2. Indefinite sentences.

έξεστι ὅτι ἄν βούληται εἰπεῖν. Antiph. He may say whatever (or anything) he likes.

μέχρι δ' ἄν ἐγὰ ἥκω, aἱ σπονδαὶ μενόντων. XEN. Until I return let the armistice be observed.

ξως περ αν εμπνεω ου μη παυσωμαι φιλοσοφων. Plat. So long as I breathe I will never give up philosophy.

κατέστησα δὲ ἐπιμελεῦσθαι εἴ τι δέοι τῷ χορῷ Φανόστρατον. ΑΝΤΙΡΗ.

I appointed Phanostratus to provide whatever the chorus required.

§ 173. CONDITIONAL SENTENCES.

A Compound Conditional Clause consists of two Correlative sentences, one of which contains the Condition, and is called the Protasis;¹ the other contains the

¹ Protasis (πρότασιs) means Premiss. Apodosis (ἀπόδοσιs) means Consequence. The Apodosis is the Principal, the Protasis the Subordinate Sentence. Whether originally a clause with ϵl was a Subordinate Sentence, is a point which need not be discussed in Attic Syntax. How far the Apodosis, as being the Principal Sentence, influences the construction of the Protasis, is an interesting question, which is alluded to under the Oratio Obliqua. Professor Goodwin (Iournil of Philology, viii. 15, p. 33) strongly maintains the assimilating force exerted by the principal verb on the subordinate verb. Digitized by Microsoff®

or

Consequence, and is called the Apodosis. Sucn a clause reduced to its simplest form may be thus expressed:

If A is B, C is D, C is D, if A is B;

i.e. the fulfilment or truth of the Consequence depends on the fulfilment or truth of the Condition. This dependence of the Consequence (the Apodosis) on the Condition (the Protasis) is the essential point of a conditional clause.

§ 174. DISTINCTION OF CONDITIONS.

I. The most obvious distinction of Conditions is that of Time. Some refer to the present, others to the past, others to the future. This distinction is universally present in all Conditions.

II. A second distinction concerns the opinion implied as to the fulfilment or non-fulfilment of the Condition. In two forms, and two forms only, the expression in itself conveys information on this point.¹

III. A third distinction is that between Particular and General Conditions. A Particular Condition refers to a definite act or set of acts: e.g. "If the windows up-stairs are

¹ There is, as Professor Goodwin tells us, no special form implying that the condition is or was fulfilled. That is to be decided by the context. This is true, though of course a fact may be clearly implied, and in some cases narrated. Such is the case chiefly in past General Suppositions, e.g. εἶ τις ἀντείποι, εὐθὸς ἐτεθνήκει, ΤΗυC. viii. 66, which is the same as saying, "Every one who spoke against them was at once got rid of." A General Supposition may also be expressed in an Ordinary Past form. Thus, εἶ τι ἀλλο ἐπικίνδυνον ἐγένετο ἀπάντων μετέσχομεν, ΤΗυC. iii. 54, which is only a way of saying, "We took part in every danger as it arose." Indeed a fact is narrated here under a conditional form, though not by virtue of the form itself, which need only denote a connexion between Condition and Consequence. With regard to General Suppositions in present time, they may refer to facts, but usually are generalisations from observed facts or habits.

open, the rain is coming in;" "If you receive a telegram send it on to me;" "If he had a five-pound note he would lend it me." A General Condition refers to any act which may occur or have occurred any number of times: "If ever a candidate is convicted of bribery he loses his seat;" "If (ever) he were left to himself he used to waste his time;" "If (ever) he had a shilling in his pocket he gave it to the first beggar he met."

§ 175. DIVISION OF CONDITIONAL SENTENCES.

Conditional Sentences accordingly may be divided into:—
I. Ordinary Conditions; II. General or Frequentative Conditions. Ordinary Conditions again may be subdivided into A., those with regard to which no opinion is expressed whether the Condition is fulfilled or unfulfilled, probable or improbable, true or false; B., those in which the form of expression implies that the Condition is unfulfilled. There is no form to express an opinion that the Condition is fulfilled. The context alone could suggest this. In General Conditions again no opinion is expressed concerning the fulfilment or non-fulfilment of the Condition. Thus in Ordinary Conditions of the second class alone is any such opinion expressed.

L

§ 176. ORDINARY CONDITIONS.

For Real Examples see further on.

A. All that is stated is that a Consequence did, does, or will follow from a Condition. The expression in itself does not tell us whether the condition was, is, or will be fulfilled. That is beside the question: the stress is wholly on the if. The sole difference between the three forms (1, 2, 3) is one that the property of the prop

1. Present.1

Any Primary Tense of the Indicative.

εἰ ταῦτα ποιεῖς ἀδικεῖς.²
If you do this (strictly you are doing wrong. if you are doing this)

2. Past.

Any Historic Tense of the Indicative.

3. FUTURE.

To express a Condition in future time there are three forms, differing, but differing only, in distinctness of expression.

(a) The ordinary future form.

¹ There are endless varieties of present and past conditions, and the two are constantly combined. Present and future may be combined.

εί ταῦτα πεποίηκας άδικεῖς, ήδίκηκας. you are doing wrong, you have done If you have done this wrong (the Apodosis might be an Imperative). εί ταθτα δοκεί σοι πλέωμεν. If you think so let us set sail. εί ταθτα ποιείς άλγήσεις. If you are doing this you will be sorry. εί ταθτα έποίεις or έποίησας άδικείς or άδικήσεις. If you were doing, or did this you are doing, will do, wrong. And so on.

° It is hoped that no difficulty will arise from the selection of the verb ἀδικῶ in these special examples. 'Αδικῶ, of course, means, I am an ἐδικοs, a wrong doer and also I have or injure.

(b) The less vivid future form.

 $εὶ ταῦτα \begin{cases} ποίοιης \\ ποιήσειας \end{cases}$ If you should do this

άδικοίης ἄν. άδικήσειας ἄν. you would do wrong.

(c) The most vivid future form.

εὶ ταῦτα ποιήσεις If you shall do this άδικήσεις. you will do wrong.

- **B.** Besides a difference of Time, the form of expression implies that the condition is unfulfilled either in Present or in Past Time. This is implied by the presence of $\mathring{a}\nu$ in the Apodosis, and not by any peculiarity of the Protasis.
 - 1. Present (but see note).

εὶ ταῦτα ἐποίεις If you did this (strictly if you were now doing this) ηδίκεις ἄν. you would be doing wrong.

2. Past.

εὶ ταῦτα ἐποίησας If you had done this ηδίκησας ἄν. you would have done wrong.

Note.—The Imperfect, however, very often refers to a descriptive, habitual, or continued past.

 ϵ ὶ ταῦτα ἔπρασσες ϵ θαυμάζομεν ἄν σε. If you had been acting thus we should have been admiring you.

The Pluperfect denotes a state or condition in the past.

e.g. εἰ ἐλελύμην, if I had been set free (in a state of liberty).

πάλαι ἄν ἀπολώλη, I should long ago have been a dead

man.

Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 177. Ordinary Conditions in Greek and Latin.

A.

	PROTASIS.	Apodosis.		
1. Present.	εί ταῦτα ποιεῖς	άδικεῖς.		
	Si haec facis	iniuste facis. ¹		
	εί ταθτα πεποιήκας.			
	Si haec fecisti.			
0 D	εὶ ταῦτα { ἐποίεις ἐποίησας (facielus	ήδίκεις.		
2. Past.		ηδίκησα ς.		
	Si haec { faciebas fecisti	iniuste faciebas.		
	St nuec \ fecisti	iniuste fecisti.		
3 Francisco (a)	έὰν (ἤν) ταῦτα { ποιῆς ποίησης }	άδικήσεις.		
5. POTORE. (a)				
	Si haec feceris (fut. perf.)	iniuste facies.		
(b) εἰ ταῦτα { ποιοίης ποιήσειας		άδικοίης ἄν.		
		άδικήσειας ἄν.		
Si haec facias		iniuste facias.		
(c) εἶ ταῦτα ποιήσεις		άδικήσεις.		
	Si haec facies	iniuste facies.		
В.				
1. Present (or εἰ ταῦτα ἐποίεις	ήδίκεις ἄν.		
Continued Past).				
	Si haec faceres	iniuste faceres.		
2. Past.	εί ταθτα έποίησας	ήδίκησας ἄν.		
	Si haec fecisses	iniuste fecisses.		

II.

§ 178. GENERAL OR FREQUENTATIVE CONDITIONS.

These are best taught by real examples. Observe the Apodoses, distinguishing these uses of the Subjunctive

^{&#}x27;The normal Latin equivalents of the Latin of CICERO, CAESAR, and SALLUST are here given. The variety of Latin forms is far greater than the Greek, and varies more according to the period of the writer. The above are given only as a guide in comparing the two languages, not as an attempt at a full division of the Latin Conditional Sentences.

Digitized by Microsoft

and Optative (in the Protasis) from their uses in Ordinary Conditions. In the Apodosis any frequentative tense denoting respectively present and past time may be employed.

1. Present (a generalisation true now or for any future occasion).

άνηρ πονηρὸς δυστυχεί, κἄν εὐτυχή. ΜΕΝΑΝΟ. A bad man is in evil state. Even if he e'er is fortunate.

2. PAST.

εί τις άντείποι εὐθὺς ἐτεθνήκει. ΤΗυς. If ever any one spoke against them he was promptly put to death.

έτεθνήκει is, of course, a virtual Imperfect.

THE NEGATIVES IN CONDITIONAL § 179. SENTENCES.

The Negative of a Protasis is $\mu \dot{\eta}$, of an Apodosis $o\dot{\nu}$. εὶ μὴ ταῦτα ποιεῖς οὐκ καλῶς ἔχει. If you are not doing this it is not well.

For exceptions see the chapter on the Negatives.

Relative Conditional Sentences.

Real Examples are given further on.

As has been explained, a Relative Sentence with an Indefinite Antecedent is equivalent to a Conditional Sentence. Any form of the Protasis with εί or ἐάν may be expressed by a Relative Sentence. Both ős and ὅστις are used, but $\delta\sigma\tau\iota s$, as being the indefinite form, is preferred, especially in affirmative sentences. In negative sentences $\mu\dot{\eta}$ is sufficient to show that the Relative is indefinite. Digitized by Microsoft®

A.

	PROTASIS.	Apodosis.
1. Present.	ά (ἄ τινα) ἔχει	δίδωσι.
2. Past.	$= \epsilon \tilde{i} \tau \iota \ \tilde{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \iota.$ $\tilde{a} \left(\tilde{a} \tau \iota \nu a \right) \begin{cases} \epsilon \tilde{i} \chi \epsilon \nu \\ \tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \chi \epsilon \nu \end{cases}$	έδίδου
2. 1101.	$= \epsilon \ddot{i} \tau \iota \epsilon \dot{\tilde{i}} \chi \epsilon.$	ἔδωκε,
3. FUTURE.	(α) ἃ (ἄ τινα) ἃν ἔχη	δώσει.
	= ἐάν τι ἔχη. (b) ἃ (ἄ τινα) ἔχοι	διδοίη, δοίη ἄν.
	$= \epsilon \tilde{i} \tau \iota \tilde{\epsilon} \chi o \iota.$ (c) $\hat{\sigma} (\tilde{a} \tau \iota \nu a) \tilde{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \epsilon \iota$ $= \epsilon \tilde{i} \tau \iota \tilde{\epsilon} \dot{\xi} \epsilon \iota.$	δώσει.
	=ει τι εξει. B .	
	ъ.	

§ 180. Participles in the Protasis.

1. Present ἃ (ἄ τινα) εἶχεν

(or Impf. Past).

2. Past.

Any form of a Protasis may be expressed by a Participle. For real examples see further.

α (ἄ τινα) ἔσχεν ἔδωκεν ἄν.

έδίδου ἄν.

A.

	PROTASIS.	APODOSIS.		
1. Present.	ταθτα ποιών	άδικεῖς.		
	=εἰ ταῦτα ποιεῖς			
2. Past.	ταῦτα ποιῶν	ήδίκεις.		
	= εί ταῦτα ἐποίεις	•		
3. Future. (a)	ταῦτα ποιῶν	άδικήσεις.		
` '	= ἐὰν ταῦτα ποίης	,		
(b)	ταθτα ποιῶν	άδικοίης ἄν.		
, ,	=εἰ ταῦτα ποιοίης	•		
В.				
1. Present (or	ταῦτα ποιῶν	ήδίκεις ἄν.		
Imperf. Past)		,		
- '	= εἰ ταῦτα ἐποίεις			
2. Past	ταθτα ποιήσας	ήδίκησας ἄν.		
Di	gitized by Microsofteras			
Digitized by Microsoft				

Note. The present participle alone is given (except in B. 2). Of course the aorist participle, denoting a single as opposed to a continued act, may be used in any of the forms, while the present participle denotes an imperfect act (i.e. an act in progress).

§ 181. Position of $d\nu$.

 $\ddot{a}\nu$ of an Apodosis can never begin a sentence. Its natural position is after its verb, but, as it possesses a power of emphasising the word it follows, it often comes before the verb and after some word which is to be emphasised. Almost any word may be so emphasised, especially an interrogative or a negative.

οὐκ ἂν ἔχοιμί γ' εἰπεῖν ὅτι οὐ προσεῖχον τὸν νοῦν. Plat. I could not say that I was not attentive.

πῶς ἄν τις, ἄ γε μή ἐπίσταιτο, ταῦτα σοφὸς εἴη; ΧΕΝ. How could a man be wise in matters of which he knew nothing certainly?

πολλή ἄν τις εὐδαιμονία εἴη περὶ τοὺς νέους.

PLAT. Apol. xii.

Great would be the good fortune in the case of the young.

άρ' οὖν ἄν με οἴεσθε τοσάδε ἔτη διαγενέσθαι.

PLAT. Apol. xxi.

Think you then that I should have lived all these years?
αν belongs to διαγενέσθαι.

ἐπιεικῆ ἄν μοι δοκῶ πρὸς τοῦτον λέγειν. PLAT. Apol. xxiii. I think I should be adopting a conciliatory tone towards him. αν belongs to λέγειν and emphasises ἐπιεικῆ.

As in the last two examples $\mathring{a}\nu$ when separated from its verb often comes near $o\mathring{o}\mu a\iota$, $\delta o\kappa \hat{\omega}$, $\phi \eta \mu \iota$, $o\mathring{l}\delta a$, so much so as to look as if it belonged to them. But we must be careful to connect the $\mathring{a}\nu$ with its proper verb. $o\mathring{v}\kappa$ $o\mathring{l}\delta a$ $\mathring{a}\nu$ $e\mathring{\iota}$, or $o\mathring{v}\kappa$ $o\mathring{l}\delta a$ $e\mathring{\iota}$ for $o\mathring{v}\kappa$ $o\mathring{l}\delta a$ $e\mathring{\iota}$ — $\mathring{a}\nu$ should be especially noticed, e.g. $o\mathring{v}\kappa$ $o\mathring{l}\delta$ $\mathring{a}\nu$ $e\mathring{\iota}$ $\pi e\mathring{\iota}\sigma a\iota\mu\iota$ (Eur. Med.), I know not whether I should persuade him, where $\mathring{a}\nu$ belongs to $\pi e\mathring{\iota}\sigma a\iota\mu\iota$.

§ 182. Repetition of $\tilde{a}\nu$.

"A ν is often used more than once in the same sentence. For this repetition there may be two reasons.

Digitized by Microsoft®

- 1. In a long paragraph, which is complicated by interrupting clauses, av occurs at the beginning. It thus strikes the keynote of the whole so to speak, and gives warning that the whole coming statement is conditional. It occurs again later on near the verb.
- 2. It may be repeated, more than once, even in a short sentence, if any special word is to be emphasised.

EXAMPLES.

I. In long paragraphs—

ύμεις δ' ἴσως τάχ' ἃν ἀχθόμενοι, ὥσπερ οἱ νυστάζοντες ἐγειρόμενοι, κρούσαντες ἃν με, πειθόμενοι 'Ανύτω, ῥαδίως ἃν ἀποκτείναιτε.

PLAT. Apol. xviii.

But you very possibly in annoyance, just like people when they are being roused from a nap, might listen to Anytus, and, with a tap, put me to death, and think nothing more of it.

N.B.—τάχα, perhaps, often attaches an ἄν to itself.

In Plat. Apol. xxxii., a good instance. The sentence begins with $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ $\gamma\dot{\alpha}\rho$ $\ddot{a}\nu$ $o\hat{\iota}\mu\alpha\iota$ —then seven lines later on $o\hat{\iota}\mu\alpha\iota$ $\ddot{a}\nu$ recurs, followed by $\ddot{a}\nu$ $\epsilon\dot{v}\rho\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$, (all the $\ddot{a}\nu$'s belonging to $\epsilon\dot{v}\rho\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$).

II. For emphasis—

οὐκ ἂν ἀποδοίην οὐδ' ἂν ὀβολὸν οὐδενί. Arist. Nub. 118. I'll not give—no not a copper to any man.

τί δητ' ἂν ὡς ἐκ τῶνδ' ἂν ὡφελοῖμί σε; Soph. Ai. 536. How then, knowing what has happened, could I assist thee ?

ούτ' ἄν κελεύσαιμ' οὐτ' ἄν, εἰ θέλοις ἔτι

πράσσειν, ἐμοῦ γ' ἂν ἡδὲως δρώης μέτα. SOPH. Ant. 69. I would not urge thee, no! nor shouldst thou now

Desire to help me, would I have thy help,

Good instances occur in SOPH. Ant. 466, 680, 884; AESCH. Persae, 431.

§ 183. $^*A\nu$ with Future Indicative.

"Av with the Future Indicative, Infinitive, and Participle. Many critics have maintained that this construction does not occur in Attic Greek. Many instances have been removed by revision of texts. Mr. Riddell (Applogy, p. 67, and Digest, p. 139) regards the construction as abundancly established, and cites seven instances from Plato, e.g. Rep.

615 D, οὐχ ἥκει, οὐδ' ἄν ἥξει δεῦρο. Other cases are Apol. xvii., Leg. 719 E, Symp. 222 A, etc. Also Xen. An. ii. 5. 13, ἄν κολάσεσθε. In Phaedr. 227 B, οὖκ ἄν οἴει με ποιήσεσθαι. In Crito. xv., οὖκ οἴει ἄν φανεῖσθαι, ἄν with the future infinitive occurs. ἄν occurs with the future participle in Plat. Apol. xvii. (end of chapter), οὖκ ἄν ποιήσοντος. Madvig denies, Krüger defends, the existence of this last construction.

§ 184. Ellipse of the Apodosis, and Ellipse of the Verb.

"A ν of an Apodosis is sometimes found without its verb. The verb however (an Indicative or an Optative) may be easily supplied from the context.

οἱ δ' οἰκέται ῥέγκουσιν· ἀλλ' οὐκ ἂν πρὸ τοῦ. Ar. Nub. 5. The domestics are snoring, but they wouldn't (have been doing so) once. οὖκ ἂν (sc. ἔρρεγκον).

Where two verbs are connected or opposed, it is enough to use $\ddot{a}\nu$ once only, with the first, unless some lengthy complication of clause renders it necessary for the sake of clearness to repeat it, or unless some word is to be emphasised.

ούδεὶς ἂν ἢν σοι ὅς ἐμοῦ κατεμαρτύρησεν (sc. ἄν).

ANTIPH. *Her.* 15.

You would have found no one who would have given evidence against me.

τί ἐποίησεν ἄν; ἢ δῆλον ὅτι ἄμοσεν (sc. ἄν); DEM. 31. 9. What would he have done? Is it not clear that he would have taken an oath?

§ 185. Ellipse of the Protasis.

Sometimes the Protasis, as in all languages, is wholly omitted. It can be easily supplied from the context.

οὐδὲν γὰρ ἄν ἐβλάβην (sc. εἰ ἐτιμησάμην, from what has preceded). Pl. Apol. xxviii.

I should have received no harm (had I done so and so).

πᾶν γὰρ ἂν κατειργάσω. SOPH. El. 1022.

So had t thou compassed all (sc. εἰ τοιάδε ἦσθα), supplied from a preceding wish.

§ 186. E' and $d\nu$ both in the Protasis.

In several instances ϵi and $a\nu$ are both found in the Protasis (nearly always an Optative). One of the best-known instances is from Plat. Protag. 329 B., καὶ ἐγὼ, εἴπερ ἄλλω τῷ ανθρώπων πειθοίμην αν, και σοι πείθομαι, for myself, if I would trust any other man, I trust you. Here it is considered that av belongs to the verb $\pi \epsilon i \theta o i \mu \eta \nu$, which does double duty, both as a Protasis with \$\epsilon i\$, and also as an Apodosis with \$\alpha \nu\$ to another unexpressed Protasis, thus: εἰ πειθοίμην, if I would trust (i.e. πειθοίμην αν, I would trust, εἰ πίστιν δοίη, if he should give me his This is an established Attic idiom, e.g. Dem. Phil. i. 18, οὖτοι παντελῶς, οὐδ' εἰ μὴ ποιήσαιτ' ἄν τοῦτο, εὐκαταφρόνητόν ἐστι, it is not lightly to be despised, even if you should not do so (do so—if the occasion should arise). ISOC. Archid. 120, εἰ δὲ μηδείς αν ύμων αξιώσειε (ην αποστερούμενος πατρίδος, προσήκει κ.τ.λ., if none of you should care to live—if deprived of his country, it behoves you, etc. In this last example the Second Protasis is given in the participle ἀποστερούμενος, as it is also in Dem. Meid. 582, εἰ οδτοι χρήματα ἔχοντες μη προοῦντ' ἄν, if these men would not spend money—if they had it.

Other instances occur (perhaps) in Aesch. Ag. 930, and Sept. 513. See also Eur. Hel. 825, Dem. Meid. 1206, de Fals. Leg. § 190 (with Shilleto's note), Antiphon, 6. 29, Xen.

Cyr. iii. 3. 35 (θαυμάζοιμ' ἄν-εἰ ἃν ὧφελήσειε).

An essential point to notice is that in all these instances (except XEN. Cyr. iii. 3. 35) the Apodosis is in the Indicative, generally in the Present, sometimes the Future. The Optative with εὶ and ἄν, therefore, does not denote a remote future supposition except so far as it refers to the unexpressed Protasis. Hence in their notes to AESCH. Ag. 930 (reading εἰ πράσσοιμ' ἄν) both Mr. Paley and Mr. Sidgwick consider εἰ πράσσοιμ' ἄν a variant not for εἰ πράσσοιμι, but for εἰ πράξω, translating not, if I should prosper, but, if I have a chance of prospering.

Jelf (§ 860) and Professor Goodwin (Moods and Tenses, 107) compare the Homeric εἰ κεν with the Optative. But in all the Homeric instances an Apodosis with the Optative and κεν is joined, e.g. Il. v. 273, εἰ τούτω κε λάβοιμεν ἀροίμεθά κε κλέος ἐσθλόν, if, in the case given, we should take them, we

should win goodly renown.

In DEM. Timoth. 1201. 19, et av occurs with a Past Indicative:

Digitized by Microsoft®

εἰ τοίνυν τοῦτο ἰσχυρὸν ἢν ἂν τούτφ τεκμήριον, κἀμοί γενέσθω τεκμήριον. Observe the Apodosis in the Imperative: if this would have been strong evidence for him (i.e. if he had been able to adduce it), let it be evidence for me too. Here, as Professor Goodwin explains (p. 101), the Protasis means: if it is true that this would have been, so that reference is really to the present, and only to the past so far as the unexpressed Protasis requires. Dem. de Cor. 260. 2, is another instance if εἰ ἐπεχείρησ' ἄν is read; only the Apodosis which follows is τίς οὐκ ἂν ἀπέκτεινε;

Note. There is no difficulty in connecting an Apodosis with more than one Protasis referring to different times, e.g. Dem. de Cor. 274. 28, $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\dot{\nu}\chi o\mu\alpha\iota$ $\pi\hat{a}\sigma\iota$ $\tau o\dot{\nu}\tau o\iota s$, $\dot{\epsilon}\iota$ $\dot{a}\lambda\eta\theta\hat{\eta}$ $\pi\rho\delta s$ $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{a}s$ $\dot{\epsilon}''\pi o\iota\mu\iota$ $\kappa\alpha\iota$ $\dot{\epsilon}''\pi o\nu$, I pray to all these, if I should speak, and did speak the truth before you.

§ 187. $\Delta \epsilon$ in Apodosis.

δέ sometimes introduces an Apodosis as if it were coordinate with, or followed, the Protasis. This is instructive as showing that the *logical* importance of the subordinate sentence (Protasis) may assert itself over the *grammatical* importance of the Principal Sentence (the Apodosis). Such cases, however, are very rare in Attic.

εί οὖν ἐγὰ γιγνώσκω μήτε τὰ ὅσια μήτε τὰ δίκαια, ὑμεῖς δὲ διδάξατε με. ΧΕΝ. Hell. iv. 1. 33.

If therefore I know neither what is holy nor what is just, do you then teach me.

Cf. Soph. O. T. 1267; δεινὰ δ' ἦν.

§ 188. $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$ seemingly Interrogative.

εὶ is interrogative as well as conditional, but ἐάν is only conditional, and must not be used in Indirect Questions. Where it appears to be interrogative, as in two places cited by Liddell and Scott, it comes after σκόπει οr σκέψαι, and clearly means, "if by chance," e.g. XEN. Mem. iv. 4. 12. σκέψαι, ἐὰν τόδε σοι μᾶλλον ἀρέσκη, consider if perchance you like this better (si forte tibi placuerit).

Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 189. $\tilde{a}\nu$ with Participle seemingly in Protasis.

A Participle with $\mathring{a}\nu$ must always be in Apodosis. But sometimes examination and explanation are necessary.

πόλλ' ἄν ἔχων εἰπεῖν, σιγῶ.

Though I have much to say, yet I hold my tongue.

 $\ddot{\epsilon}$ χων is a concessive participle, and is itself an apodosis, the sentence being equal to $\ddot{\epsilon}$ χοιμι ἄν ($\dot{\epsilon}$ βουλοίμην), σιγῶ δὲ (or ἐγὼ ὅς π ερ π ολλ' ἄν ἔχοιμι). ἄν emphasises π ολλά.

συθείς τ αν οὐκ αν άλγύναις πλέον. Soph. O. T. 446. If thou speed hence thou wouldst not vex me more.

Here $\sigma v \theta \epsilon \acute{\kappa}$ s is itself the Protasis followed by $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$, which really belongs to $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \gamma \acute{\nu} \nu \alpha \iota s$.

Φίλιππος Ποτίδαιαν έλων και δυνηθείς αν αὐτὸς ἔχειν, εἰ έβουλήθη, 'Ολυνθίοις παρέδωκεν. DEM. 23. 107.

P. after taking Potidaea, and though he might, if he had wished, have kept it himself, yet handed it over to the Olynthians.

δυνηθεὶς ἄν is the Apodosis (ἦδυνήθη ἄν—εἰ ἐβουλήθη), the participle having a concessive force.

§ 190. Conditional Particles and their combinations.

1. $\epsilon i \ \delta \epsilon \ \mu \acute{\eta}$, if not, sin minus, sin aliter, has become so stereotyped a phrase, that it is used where $\dot{\epsilon} \acute{\alpha} \nu \ \delta \dot{\epsilon} \ \mu \acute{\eta}$ would be more correct.

έὰν φαίνηται δίκαιον, πειρώμεθα. εἰ δὲ μὴ ἐώμεν.

PLAT. Crito ix.

If it appears right, let us make the attempt; but if not, let us abandon it.

2. $\epsilon \acute{a}\nu$, $\epsilon \emph{i}$, meaning "if haply" ("in case," "in the event of," "in hope that," "thinking that"). It contains sometimes a virtual oratio obliqua (i.e. the thought of the subject). Cf. si forte in Latin.

άκουσον καὶ ἐμοῦ, ἐάν σοι ταῦτα δοκ $\hat{\eta}$. Plat. Rep. 358. Hear me too, in case you may agree.

πρὸς τὴν πόλιν, εἰ ἐπιβοηθοῖεν, ἐχώρουν. Thuc. vi. 100. They were marching on the city, on the chance of the citizens advancing against them (thinking that they might, etc.).

Digitized by Microsoft®

- 3. $\tilde{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$ $\epsilon\hat{\iota}$: also written $\tilde{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho\alpha\nu\epsilon\hat{\iota}$. The phrase is compressed from $\tilde{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$ (Apodosis)— $\epsilon\hat{\iota}$ (Protasis), e.g. $\tilde{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$ $\epsilon\hat{\iota}$ $\epsilon\tilde{\nu}\sigma\iota$ (Plat. Apol. ix.), just as if he were to say, $\tilde{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$ $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$ π 000 $\tilde{\nu}\tau$ 000 $\tilde{\epsilon}\hat{\iota}$ $\tilde{\epsilon}\tilde{\nu}\sigma\iota$ 0.
- 4. $\pi\hat{\omega}s$ $\gamma\hat{\alpha}\rho$ $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$; (sc. $\epsilon\tilde{\imath}\eta$), with a Protasis ($\epsilon\tilde{\imath}$ with Optative) omitted. How would it be, if it were so? How is it possible? How so?
- 5. κἄν εἰ: νῦν μοι δοκεῖ κἄν ἀσέβειαν εἰ καταγιγνώσκοι τις Μειδίου τὰ προσήκοντα ποιεῖν. DEM. 21. 51 = καὶ ἄν ποιεῖν—εἰ καταγιγνώσκοι. But κἄν εἰ comes to be used for the simple καὶ εἰ, even if.
 - 6. $\kappa \ddot{a} \nu = \kappa \alpha i \dot{\epsilon} \dot{a} \nu$.

§ 191. Examples of Conditional Sentences.

Ordinary Present Conditions. (2) Ordinary Past Conditions. (3) Present and Past in combination. See § 177 A, 1 and 2.

Observe that the condition may be general as well as particular.

- 1. Present:
 - ϵ ι τι ψεύδομαι έξεστιν έξελέγξαι με. ANTIPH. de Cher. 14. If I am making any false statements, you may confute me.
 - εί θεοί τι δρώσιν αἰσχρόν, οὐκ εἰσὶν θεοί.
 ΕURIP. Bell. Frag. 294.
 - If the gods do aught immoral they are no gods.
 - εὶ οὖν τοιοῦτον ὁ θάνατός ἐστι, κέρδος ἔγωγε λέγω. Plat. Apol. xxxiii.
 - If therefore death is such a state as this, I for my part count it gain.
- 2. Past:
 - εἰ ἀποστῆναι ᾿Αθηναίων οὐκ ἠθελήσαμεν, οὐκ ἠδικοῦμεν. ΤΗUC. iii. 55.
 - If we refused to desert the Athenians, we were doing no wrong.
- οὐκ because οὖκ ἐθέλω=nolo.
 Digitized by Microsoft®

εἰ μὲν ᾿Ασκληπιὸς θεοῦ ῆν, οὐκ ῆν αἰσχροκερδὴς, εἰ δ᾽ αἰσχροκερδὴς, οὐκ ῆν θεοῦ. Plat. Rep. iii. 408 C.

If Asclepius was the son of a god, he was not covetous; if he was covetous, he was not the son of a god.

εἴ τι ἄλλο ἐγένετο ἐπικίνδυνον, πάντων παρὰ δύναμιν μετέσχομεν. ΤΗυς. iii. 54.

If any other danger arose, we took our share in all beyond our strength.

(3.) φράζετε οὖν ἀλλήλοις εἰ πώποτέ τι ἤκουσέ τις.

PLAT. Apol. iii.

u time anv one heard

Explain then one to another, if at any time any one heard anything.

εἴ πού τι ἔπραξα τοιοῦτος φανοῦμαι. PLAT. Apol. xxi. If ever I engaged in any business, I shall be found to be such as I have described myself.

εἰ δὲ δύο ἐξ ἐνὸς ἀγῶνος γεγενῆσθον οὐκ ἐγώ ἀίτιος. ΑΝΤΙΡΗ. de caed. Herod. 84.

If two trials have been made out of one (or instead of one), it is not my fault.

§ 192. Ordinary Future Conditions.

See § 177 A, 3 (a).

Protasis ¿áv (ην, αν) with the Subjunctive.

ην ἀναπείσω τουτονί, σωθήσομαι. ARIST. Nub. 77. If I (shall) persuade this person here, I shall escape.

ἐὰν ἐμοὶ πείθησθε, φείσεσθέ μου. Plat. Apol. xviii. If you are (will be) persuaded by me, you will spare me.

έὰν ἐμὲ ἀποκτείνητε, οὐκ ἐμὲ μείζω βλάψετε ἢ ὑμᾶς αὐτούς. Plat. Apol.

If you put me to death, you will inflict no greater injury on me than on yourselves.

καὶ παῖδ', ἐάνπερ δεῦρ' ἐμοῦ πρόσθεν μόλη, παρηγορεῖτε. AEŚCH. Pers. 529. And for my son, if he return before me, Comfort ye him. Digitized by Microsoft®

δίδωσ' έκὼν

κτείνειν έαυτον, ην τάδε ψευσθη λέγων.

SOPH. Phil. 1342.

Freely he offers himself

To the death if, speaking thus, he lie.

δίδωσι, he offers, practically means, he says that he will, is ready, and thus implies a future.

παρὰ τὸν ἀγαθὸν θεόν, ἄν θεὸς ἐθέλη, αὖτίκα ἰτέον. Plat. I must go at once, to the good God, if God will.

ΙΟ. κοὐκ ἄν γε λέξαιμ' ἐπ' ἀγαθοῖσι σοῖς κακά.
ΑΓ. ἢν μή γε φεύγων ἐκφύγης πρὸς αἰθέρα.

EUR. Phoen. 1215.

Yea, and I would not speak of ill close on thy happiness. Yea, but thou shalt, unless thou escape in thy flight to the firmament.

Cf. Eur. Orest. 1593.

N.B.—A physical impossibility is here spoken of. Observe that it follows an Apodosis with Optative and $\ddot{a}v: \ddot{\eta}v$ with the Subjunctive realises vividly the impossibility of the situation.

τί οὖν, ἄν εἔπωσιν οἱ νόμοι κ.τ.λ. Plat. Crit. xii. What then, if the laws say to us, etc.

A physical impossibility again is brought home as a vivid argumentum ad hominem.

§ 193. Less Vivid Future Conditions.

See § 177, A 3 (b).

In English we render ϵi with the Optative in a variety of ways: $\epsilon i \pi o i \eta \sigma a \iota \mu \iota$, if I should do, if I were to do, should I do, were I to do, if I did, supposing I were to do, etc.

οὐ πολλὴ ἄν ἀλογία εἴη εἰ φοβοῖτο τὸν θάνατον ὁ τοιοῦτος. Plat. Phaed. 68.

Would it not be the height of inconsistency if such a man were to fear death?

εἴ με ἐπὶ τούτοις ἀφίοιτε, εἴποιμ' ἄν ὑμῖν. Plat. Apol. xvii.
If you should dismiss me on these conditions I would reply to you, etc.
Digitized by Microsoft®

οἶκος δ' ἃν αὖτὸς, εἰ φθογγὴν λάβοι, σαφέστατ' ἃν λέξειεν. AESCH. Ag. 37 Nay, the very house, if gifted with a voice, would tell the tale

most plainly.

A physical impossibility represented as supposable; cf. Cic. Cat. 1, haec si tecum patria loquatur, nonne impetrare debeat ?

έγω οὖν δεινὰ ἄν εἴην εἰργασμένος εἰ λίποιμι τὴν τάξιν. Plat. Apol.

I should then be in position of one who has committed dreadful sin, were I to desert my post.

The perfect denotes the state.

§ 194. Most Vivid Future Conditions.

See § 177, A 3 (c).

1. Et with the Future Indicative sometimes refers plainly to the future, and is used much as $\dot{\epsilon} \acute{a} \nu$ with the Subjunctive, only the latter is more common and less positively and vividly future.¹

ἀποκτενεῖς γάρ, εἴ με γῆς ἔξω βαλεῖς. Eur. Phoen. 1621. Thou wilt slay me, if thou wilt thrust me from the land.

εἰ μη καθέξεις γλώσσαν, ἔσται σοι κακά.

EURIP. Aeg. Fr. 5

If thou wilt not curb thy tongue there will be ills for thee. ην έθέλωμεν ἀποθνήσκειν—εί δε φοβησόμεθα κινδύνους.

Isoca. Archid. p. 138, A. \S 107.

If we are ready to die—but if we shall fear dangers.

Observe the co-ordination of the two forms.

εὶ τοῦτο ποιήσομεν, ῥαδίως τὰ ἐπιτήδεια εξομεν. If we shall do this we shall easily find supplies.

2. But ϵi with Future Indicative in Protasis is found with

a present (or virtual present) in the Apodosis.

εἰ ποιήσεις then =εἶ μέλλεις ποιήσειν, if you are going to do, if you mean to do, if you are for doing, and this εἰ with the Future is used of a condition now imminent, and even existing, e.g. if you're for fighting, I'm your man, εἰ μαχεῖ ὅδε ἐγώ σοι.

¹ Mr. Monro (*Homeric Grammar*, p. 239) considers that ϵl with the Future (in Homer) generally expresses suppositions of an obvious or familiar kind.

αίρε πληκτρον, εί μαχεί. ΑπΙΚΤ. Αν. 761. Up with your spur if you mean fighting.

Cf. Arist. Ach. 316.

η νῦν ἐγὼ μὲν οὐκ ἀνήρ, αὕτη δ' ἀνήρ,

εί ταθτ' άνατὶ τῆδε κείσεται κράτη. SOPH. Ant. 484.

Lo, you now! I am no man, but she is the man, if with impunity these my commands are to count as naught in her eyes.

Si iacebit imperium nostrum, cf. 461.

The periphrasis with μέλλω and Infinitive (Present or Future) is commoner in prose. There is a life about the expression which recommends this ei with the Future to poetry.

- § 195. Mixed examples illustrating the connection between and interchangeability of the Subjunctive, Optative, and Future Indicative in Conditional Sentences.
 - N.B.—This section is supplementary to § 192—§ 194.
 - πως οθν αν όρθως δικάσαιτε περί αὐτων: εί τούτους έάσετε τὸν νομιζόμενον ὅρκον διομοσαμένους κατηγορήσαι, κ.τ.λ. πως δὲ ἐάσετε; ἐὰν νυνὶ ἀποψηφίσησθέ μου.

Antiph. de Caede Herod. 90.

How then would you rightly judge on these points? if you shall allow my prosecutors to take the prescribed oath and accuse me. And how will you allow this? if you acquit me on this present trial.

 $N.B. = \epsilon i$ with the Future Indicative, and $\epsilon \acute{a}\nu$ with Subjunctive, have a modal force, "by permitting."

Plat. de Rep. 359 C (of the ring of Gyges), εἴη δ' αν ή έξουσία ην λέγω τοιάδε μάλιστα εἶ αὐτοῖς γένοιτο οίαν ποτέ φασι δύναμιν τῷ Γύγου τοῦ Λυδοῦ προγόνω γενέσθαι.

They would enjoy this liberty which I am speaking of most completely, if they should possess such a power as we are told the ancestor of Gyges the Lydian once possessed.

Compared with

ΡΙΑΤ. Rep. 612 Β, ποιητέον είναι αὐτῆ τὰ δίκαια, ἐάν τ' ἔχη τὸν Γύγου δακτύλιον, ἐάν τε μή.

(we concluded, ευρομεν) that the soul must do what is righteous,

whether it possesses the ville of others or no.

οὐδεὶς ἡμῶν τῶν νόμων ἐμποδών ἐστιν, ἐάν τέ τις βόυληται ὑμῶν εἰς ἀποικίαν ἰέναι, εἰ μὴ ἀρέσκοιμεν ἡμεῖς τε καὶ ἡ πόλις, ἐάν τε μετοικεῖν ἄλλοσέ ποι ἐλθών, ἰέναι ἐκεῖσε ὅποι ἄν βούληται, ἔχοντα τὰ αὐτοῦ. Plat. Crit. xiii.

None of our laws prevents any of you, if he wishes to go to a colony, supposing we and the state should not give him satisfaction, or if he wishes to go and reside anywhere else, (none prevents him) from going wherever he wishes with all his belongings.

The Optative here, Professor Goodwin says, simply marks a less prominent clause. But, it is to be noticed that $\dot{\epsilon}\acute{a}\nu$ with the Subjunctive here, which is thus joined with $\epsilon \dot{i}$ and the Optative, is a general supposition

§ 196. The Optative and Indicative with $\vec{a}\nu$ without a Protasis.

The Optative with $d\nu$ is freely used without a Protasis in a variety of modified statements. In some cases it is easy to supply a Protasis; in others no Protasis appears to have been thought of. (This is Madvig's Optativus Potentialis or Dubitativus, § 136.)

1. As a modified statement in present or future time, very often drawing an inference from what has preceded.

ωρα ἃν ἡμῖν συσκευάζεσθαι εἴη. XEN. Cyr. iii. 1. 41. It is time for us then to be packing up.

Cf. Antiph. Tetral. B.B. 6, ἐλεγχθείη.

τοῦτ' ἂν εἴη ὃ ἐγώ φημί σε αἰνίττεσθαι. PLAT. Apol. xv. Herein then would consist what I hold to be your riddling.

Cf. Antiph. de Chor. 15, οδός τ' ἃν εἴη.

Often βουλοίμην αν, I could wish, I wish, velim.

οὐκ ἄν $\mu\epsilon\theta\epsilon(\mu\eta\nu$ τοῦ θρόνου, $\mu\dot{\eta}$ νουθέτει. ARIST. Ran. 830. I'll not resign the throne, don't counsel me.

Cf. Arist. Ach. 1055.

2. A modified command or prayer, sometimes put as a question.

σὰ μὲν κομίζοις ἂν σεαυτόν $\hat{\eta}$ θέλεις. Soph. Ant. 444. Thou may st betake they where thou likest, i.e. get thee gone.

άρ' οὖν έθελήσαις ἄν;

Should you feel disposed? Would you mind? Please do so and so.

3. A wish, expressed interrogatively. An interrogation equivalent to a wish.

πως αν όλοίμην; EURIP.

How could I perish? i.e. would I might perish!

ARIST. Ach. 991.

Similarly, but not so freely, the Imperfect Indicative is used. The time is past.

έβουλόμην αν.

I could have wished, vellem.

ην δ' αν οδτος των ίππικων τις. PLAT. Apol. iv.

This man accordingly would be one of those who understand horses.

 $(\hat{\eta}_{\nu} \ \tilde{a}_{\nu} \text{ is Apodosis of an unfulfilled condition.)}$

§ 197. Unfulfilled Conditions.1

See § 177, B 1 and 2.

1. Ei with the Imperfect Indicative. The time of the Imperfect Indicative is either present or a past of description, habit, or iteration.

καὶ τόδ', εἴπερ ἔσθενον,

ἔδρων ἄν.

SOPH. El. 604.

Had I the strength,
I'd do the deed.

The time is present (I should now have been doing).

[&]quot;We have in English several ways of expressing an unfulfilled condition in present time, some of which resemble the Greek. Thus we may render, el ταῦτα ἐτοἰεις ἡδικεις ἀν, if you were doing this (or, if you had been doing this), you would be doing wrong (or, would have now been doing wrong). These are not, however, the forms always used in every-day speech. E.g. A tramp, meeting me on the road, asks me for a copper. I put my hand in my pocket, but, finding nothing there, I shake my head and say, "Very sorry, if I had anything, I would give it" (el τι εἰχον ἐδιδουν ἀν). A Shaksperean unfulfilled condition, referring to present time, may be given. Constance says to Arthur: "If thou that bid'st me be content, wert grim," etc., "I would not care, I then would be content, for then I should not love thee," etc. "But thou art fair."

Digitized by Microsoft®

εὶ ξένος ἐτύγχανον ἄν, ξυνεγιγνώσκετε δήπου ἄν μοι.

PLAT. Apol. i.

If I happened to be a foreigner (which I am not), you would surely pardon me.

The time is present.

 $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \dot{\sigma} \tau' \dot{\epsilon} \pi \acute{o} v \sigma v \dot{v} v \dot{d} v \sigma \dot{v} \kappa \epsilon \dot{v} \dot{\phi} \rho \alpha i v \acute{\phi} \mu \eta v.$ PHILEM. 159. If I had not been toiling then, I should not be rejoicing now.

The force of the Imperfect Indicative (referring to both kinds of time) is well shown in the above example.

έγὼ οὖν ἐκαλλυνόμην καὶ ἡβρυνόμην ἄν, εἰ ἠπιστάμην ταῦτα.
ἀλλ' οὖ γὰρ ἐπίσταμαι.

PLAT. Apol. iv.

I anyhow should plume and pride myself if I possessed this knowledge. But—you see, I don't possess it (or, I should have been pluming, etc.)

The time is present, or it may refer to a habit in the past.

δήλον οὖν ὅτι οὐκ ἃν προέλεγεν εἰ μὴ ἐπίστευεν ἀληθεύσειν. XEN. Mem. i. 1. 5.

It is plain accordingly that Socrates would not have publicly made these statements had he not felt confident that he should speak the truth.

The Imperfect here expresses customary or habitual acts in the past.

Similarly in Latin the Imperfect is used, and not the Pluperfect. The poets are fond of it as a descriptive past. Several instances, not much noticed, occur in HORACE. Ille non inclusus equo Minervae, etc.; falleret aulam, etc.; sed, etc.; ureret flammis; He would not have been deceiving, but burning. Thou hadst not seen Achilles deceiving, but burning. Non ego hoc ferrem calidus iuventa consule Planco. I had not brooked this in the heat of youth when Plancus was consul.

Sometimes ἄν with the Aorist Indicative in Apodosis is joined to ϵἰ with the Imperfect Indicative, not to denote a past unfulfilled condition, but a single act, e.g. Plat. Euthyph. 12 D, ϵἰ μὲν οὖν σύ μϵ ἡρώτας τι, ϵἶπον ἄν, if you were asking me any question I should instantly say. Here ϵἶπον ἄν really refers to the present, and denotes the instantaneousness of the single act in a way which the Imperfect could not express.

2. Ei with the Aorist or Pluperfect Indicative. The time is past, denoting a single act (Aorist), or a state (Pluperfect).

ἀπέθανον ἃν εἰ μὴ ἡ τῶν τριάκοντα ἀρχὴ κατελύθη.

PLAT. Apol. xx.

I should have been put to death if the government of the Thirty had not been overthrown.

εί μη ἀνέβη "Ανυτος κᾶν ὧφλε χιλίας δραχμάς.

PLAT. Apol. xxv.

If Anytus had not come into court he would even have incurred a fine of 1000 drachmae.

εί μη υμείς ηλθετε έπορευόμεθα αν έπι βασιλέα.

XEN. An. ii. 1. 4.

If you had not come (past) we should now be marching against the King (or have been now marching).

Protasis a single act in Past; Apodosis a continued act in the Present.

εὶ τότε ἐβοηθήσαμεν οὐκ ἄν ἡνώχλει νῦν ὁ Φίλιππος.

Dem. 30. 6.

If we had then given help Philip would not be annoying us now.

Here vûv is added to mark the present.

ούκ ἄν παρέμεινα εἰ έλελύμην. Antiph. Herod. 13.

I should not have stayed if I had not been set free on bail.

εί, ὅ σε ἠρώτων, ἀπεκρίνω, ἱκανῶς ἄν ἤδη ἐμεμαθήκειν.

PLAT. Euthyph. 14 C.

If you had answered my question, I should already have finished my learning.

The pluperfect denotes a past state.

§ 198. The omission of $a\nu$ in Apodosis with Indicative.

1. Sometimes a past tense of the Indicative is found in Apodosis without äv. Such a construction is necessarily rhetorical. A statement which would have been true if certain conditions had happened is spoken of as actually true. The instances are rare, and many are disputed, but some occur both in poetry and prosmicrosoft®

εἰ δὲ μὴ Φρυγῶν πύργους πεσόντας ἦσμεν Ἑλλήνων δορὶ φόβον παρέσχεν οὐ μέσως ὅδε κτύπος. ΕUR. Hec. 1111. (for παρέσχεν ἄν).

Had we not known
That Phrygia's towers had fallen 'neath the spear
Of Hellas, no slight fear this din had caused.

Cf. Nec veni nisi fata locum sedemque dedissent.

VERG. Aen. xi. 112.

The Imperfect by itself almost bears this meaning without requiring an $\ddot{a}\nu$. Indeed the intrinsic meaning of the Imperfect (e.g. in the following example, "I was not by way of sending,") is closely allied to a conditioned statement.

e.g. καίτοι οὐ δήπου γε κατ' ἐμαυτοῦ μηνύτην ἔπεμπον εἰδώς.
ΑΝΤΙΡΗ. de Caed. Herod. 24.

And yet I surely was not sending an informer against myself with my eyes open (I should not have been sending).

See especially a paragraph too long for quotation in Andokides de Must. 58. 59. Cf. also Eur. Bacch. 1312.

The construction is commoner in Latin (cf. Liv. xxxiv. 29, Difficilior facta erat oppugnatio ni T. Quinctius supervenisset. Tac. Ann. iii. 14, Effigies Pisonis tracerant ac divellebant ni iussu principis repositae forent. Hor. Od. II. xvii., Me truncus illapsus cerebro sustulerat nisi Faunus ictum dextra levasset. Verg. Georg. ii. 132, Et, si non alium late iactaret odorem, laurus erat.

This construction is parallel with the Latin—debebam, decebat, oportebat, poteram, gerundive with eram, par, satis, aequum erat, etc. See Madvig, L. G. § 348 E., and Obs. 1.

καλὸν ἢν τοῖσδε, εἰ καὶ ἡμαρτάνομεν, εἶξαι τῆ ἡμετέρα ὀργῆ. ΤΗυς. i. 38.

It would have been well for them, even if we had been wronging then derived would be anger.

έβουλόμην μὲν οὐκ ἐρίζειν ἐνθάδε. ARIST. Ran. 866. I could have wished I was not wrangling here.

ἴσον ἢν μοι μή ἐλθεῖν (as apodosis to εἰ μηδὲν διέφερε).

ANTIPH. Herod. 13.

It would have been all the same to me not to have come. For $\mathring{\omega} \phi \in \lambda o \nu$, $\mathring{\epsilon} \beta o \nu \lambda \acute{\phi} \mu \eta \nu$, see Wishes.

3. Observe similar constructions of $\delta \delta \epsilon \iota$ and $\delta \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu (\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu)$.

χρην (ἔδει) σε ταθτα ποιείν.

You ought to be doing, or, to have been doing (but you are not, or were not, doing the act). Compare ἐποίεις ἄν.

χρην (ἔδει) σε ταῦτα ποιήσαι.

You ought to have done (but you did not do) the act.
Compare ἐποίησας ἄν.

Oportebat and oportuit facere.

For $\chi\rho\hat{\eta}\nu$, with Present Infinitive and Aorist Infinitive, see PLAT. Apol. xxii., ARIST. Ach. 562. $\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\epsilon\iota$, DEM. 112. 6. But $\chi\rho\hat{\eta}$ $\sigma\epsilon$ $\pi o\iota\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$ ($\pi o\iota\hat{\eta}\sigma a\iota$), you ought to do this (of what can still be done), oportet te facere.

ούκ έδει σε ταθτα ποιείν.

You ought not to be doing (what you are doing).

χρην, ἔδει, etc., however may take an αν.

εὶ μὲν ἡπιστάμεθα σαφῶς, σῶδὲν ἄν ἔδει ὧν μέλλω λέγειν. ΧΕΝ. Απαβ. v. 1. 10.

If we had all known for certain, there would be no need for me to say what I am going to say.

So in Latin possem may be used and not poteram, oporteret and not oportebat.

4. κινδυνεύω, μέλλω.

ή πόλις ἐκινδύνευσε πᾶσα διαφθαρήναι, εἰ ἄνεμος ἐπεγένετο. ΤΗυς. iii. 74.

The city was in danger of being entirely destroyed if a wind had not arisen (we might say, but a wind arose), a periphrasis for διεφθάρη ἄν.

In eo erat ut consumeretur urbs nisi, etc.

 $\mu\epsilon\lambda\lambda\omega$ in the Imperfect is a periphrasis for an Aorist with $\delta\nu$.

οὖ συστρατεύειν ἔμελλον. DEM. de Fals. Leg. 391. 11.
They would not have joined forces (οὖκ ἄν συνεστράτευσαν).
Vires non collaturi erant.
Digitized by Microsoft®

3

§ 199. $\dot{E}\acute{a}\nu$ $(\mathring{\eta}\nu)$ with the Subjunctive, and $E\emph{i}$ with the Optative in General or Frequentative Suppositions.¹

See § 178.

Many of the four forms already given may express general as well as particular suppositions, but to express a supposition which refers not to a particular act, but to customary acts, frequently repeated acts, general truths or maxims, there are two common forms which are given below. They are parallel with Indefinite Relative Sentences, and Frequentative Temporal Sentences (see Index). 'Eáv and ϵi here mean "if ever" ($\dot{\epsilon} a \nu \sigma \tau \epsilon$, $\dot{\epsilon} i \tau \sigma \tau \epsilon$). 'Eáv and $\dot{\epsilon} i$, however, in themselves, with the Subjunctive or Optative, are not Frequentative, but the Tense of the Apodosis (the Principal Sentence) makes the whole compound clause so. It is the Apodosis which distinguishes these uses of $\dot{\epsilon} a \nu$ with the Subjunctive, and $\dot{\epsilon} i$ with the Optative from their ordinary uses.

Present.

' Si quis eorum decreto non stetit,

If yet any one does not abide by their decree,

Sin autem etiam libidinum intemperantia accessit,

But if ever in addition there be want of control over the desires,

(The Present Indicative is also used in Latin.)

Past.

Si a persequendo hostes deterrere nequiverant,

If ever they could not deter the enemy from pursuit,

Si quod erat grande vas,

If they came across any large vessel,

sacrificiis interdicunt. Caesar, B. G. vi. 12.

they exclude him from the sacrifices.

duplex malum est. Cic. Off. i. 123.

the mischief is doubled.

aiso used in Laum.)

disiectos a tergo circumveniebant. Sallust, *Iug.* 50. they kept inclosing them in the

rear.

laeti adferebant. Cic. Verr. they used to bring it to him in triumph.

Observe the tenses of the Protasis: the Perfect Indicative in Present Time, the Pluperfect in Past. These are the commonest forms according to the Latin strictnessing to the Latin strictness to the

¹ The Latin equivalents to Greek General Suppositions should be noticed.

I. Referring generally to present or future time.

PROTASIS.

APODOSIS.

'Εάν (ην, αν) with Subjunctive.

Present Indicative or any present Iterative Tense (gnomic Aorist).

Parallel with

ἐάν ποτε ὅς ἄν ὅστις ἄν ὅταν. etc.

II. Referring to past time. ϵi with Optative.

Parallel with

εἴ ποτε
 ὅς, ὅστις
 ὅτε, etc.

Imperfect Indicative, or any past Iterative Tense (Aor. or Imperf. with $\tilde{a}\nu$).

EXAMPLES OF I.

ην έγγύς ἔλθη θάνατος οὐδεὶς βούλεται θνήσκειν. Ευκ. Alc. 671.

If (when) death draws nigh none wish to die.

μέγ' ἐστι κέρδος ἢν διδάσκεσθαι θέλης. MENAND. f

ἄπας λόγος, ἄν ἀπη τὰ πράγματα, μάταιόν τι φαίνεται καὶ κενόν. Dem. Οἶ. ii. 21. 20.

All talk, if deeds are wanting, seems idle and empty.

ην δ' ἄρα σφαλῶσιν, ἐπλήρωσαν τὴν χρείαν. Thuc. i. 70. If ever by chance they fail, they always make good the loss. ἐπλήρωσαν, Gnomic Aorist.

Cf. Plat. Apol. ix.; ἄν τινα οἴωμαι. Ibid. xxi.; ἐάν τις βούληται.

EXAMPLES OF II.

εἴ δέ τις καὶ ἀντείποι εὐθὺς ἐτεθνήκει. Thuc. viii. 66.
If (as often as, whenever) any one did speak against them,
he was promptly put to death.

άλλ' εἴ τι μή φέροιμεν, ὤτρυνεν φέρειν. Eur. Alc. 755. But if ever we did not fetch him a thing, he would order (i.e. kept ordering) us to fetch it.

Digitized by Microsoft®

εἴ τινες ἴδοιέν πη τοὺς σφετέρους ἐπικρατοῦντας ἀνεθάρσησαν ἄν. ΤΗυς. vii. 71.

If any of them saw their own side winning in any part of the battle, they would pluck up courage.

ἀνεθάρσησαν ἄν, iterative. For the iterative (or indefinite) use of ἄν with the Imperfect and Aorist Indicative, see § 142. This use must be carefully distinguished from that of ἄν in unfulfilled conditions. The iterative use of ἄν may have arisen from its being used without definite application, e.g. ἔλεξε ἄν, he came—in any given case, whereas in an unfulfilled condition the ἄν may have been of special application, ἔλεξε ἄν, he came—in that case, i.e. he would have come.

Obs.—That here in connection with a past Apodosis, the Optative really refers to past time. It is only when thus used, and in the rare instances in oratio obliqua where the Optative represents a Past Indicative of the recta, that the Optative denotes past time.

Supplementary Sections, § 200—§ 206.

§ 200.

Mixed Examples.

For Examination and Reference.

Not seldom the Protasis and Apodosis do not strictly correspond. No one rule can be laid down for explaining all the irregularities. Sometimes the mind really shifts its ground in the passage between Protasis and Apodosis, making the conclusion depend upon a condition which the expressed Protasis only suggests. But mostly the irregularity is one of expression only. This is chiefly the case with the Optative with $a\nu$ in an Apodosis, connected with a Protasis in the Indicative or Subjunctive. The Optative with $a\nu$ may, as we have seen, express a modified Indicative drawing an inference, or an Imperative, or a Future. Sometimes again there are two Protases actually expressed (Ex. 9). Sometimes Preposition and Case, or a Particle supplies the place of the Protasis (Ex. 11 and 12).

1. εἰ μὲν γὰρ τοῦτο λέγουσιν, ὁμολογοίην ἄν ἔγωγε οὐ κατὰ τούτους εἶναι ῥήτωρ. PLAT. Apol. i.

If this is what they mean, I must admit that I am an orutor of a far higher order than they.

Digitized by Microsoft®

The Protasis, εἰ λέγουσιν, refers to the present; the Apodosis is partly a remote supposition, and partly an inference.

2. τοῦτό γε μοι δοκεῖ καλὸν εἶναι, εἴ τις οἶός τ' εἴη παιδεύειν ἀνθρώπους. Plat. Apol. iv.

This does appear to me to be a grand thing—supposing one were able to teach men.

Here, $\delta o \kappa \epsilon \hat{i}$, a verb of thinking, almost makes the Apodosis like an Optative with $\mathring{a}\nu$.

 καὶ ἐγὼ τὸν Εὖηνὸν ἐμακάρισα, εἰ ὡς ἀληθῶς ἔχοι τὴν τέχνην. Plat. Apol. iv.

Lucky Evenus, thought I, if really and truly he were to possess the art.

εὶ ἔχοι is the Protasis to an Apodosis implied in ἐμακάρισα.

4. εἰ τοὺς ἀναιτίους διώκοιμεν . . . δεινοὺς άλιτηρίους ἔξομεν, . . . ἔνοχοί τε τοῦ φόνου τοῖς ἐπιτιμίοις ἐσμέν.

ANTIPH. F, A. 4.

If we should indict the innocent, we shall find dread avengers, and we are liable to the penalties for murder.

A series of pictures more and more vividly presented, passing from the Optative to the Future Indicative.

5. πως ἄν εἴη δεινότερα μηχανήματα εἰ ὑμιν κατείργασται ἃ βούλεσθε; ΑΝΤΙΡΗ. de Caed. Herod. 16.

How could there be more terrible practices, if you have (a present ordinary condition) achieved your object?

- εἰ τοίνυν μεγάλων ἀγαθῶν αἴτια ὑμᾶς εἰργάσαντο ἐκεῖνοι, μέρος ἐγὼ οὐκ ἄν ἐλάχιστον δικαίως ταύτης τῆς αἰτίας ἔχοιμι. ΑΝDOK. de Red. suo. 12.
 - If then those men accomplished what secured your great advantages (an ordinary past Protasis), I might justly claim not the least share of the merit (a future Apodosis, also marking an inference).
- εἰ οῦν τινι ὑμῶν γνώμη τοιαύτη παρειστήκει πρότερον περὶ ἐμοῦ, σκοπεῖσθε ἐξ αὐτῶν τῶν γεγενημένων.
 ANDOK. de Myst. 54.
 - If, therefore, any of you previously used to entertain such an opinion of me (an ordinary past condition in the Imperfect), examine the case by the actual facts (i.e. now in the immediate future).

8. εί ην δύοιν τὸ ἔτερον ἐλέσθαι, η καλῶς ἀπολέσθαι η αἰσχρῶς σωθηναι, ἔχοι ἄν τις εἰπεῖν κακίαν εἶναι τὰ γενόμενα.

Andok. de Myst. 57.

If it had been possible to choose one of two alternatives, either an honourable death or a dishonourable escape, then you might stigmatize my conduct as cowardice (a past unfulfilled condition, a future Apodosis with an inference).

 έγὼ οὖν δεινὰ ἄν εἴην εἰργασμένος, εἰ, ὅτε μέν με οἱ ἄρχοντες ἔταττον . . . τότε μὲν οὖ ἐκεῖνοι ἔταττον ἔμενον . . . τοῦ δὲ θεοῦ τάττοντος . . . λίποιμι τὴν τάξιν. Plat. Apol. xvii.

I accordingly should be in a position of one who is guilty of fearful sin if, when your rulers were assigning me a post, etc.,—if then, I say, I was remaining at the post which they assigned me, but if, when now God is assigning me a post, I were to desert that post.

Here there is one Apodosis, $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$ $\epsilon \tilde{c}\eta\nu$ $\epsilon \tilde{c}\rho\gamma\alpha\sigma\mu\acute{e}\nu\sigma$ s, and two Protases, $\epsilon \tilde{c}$ $\tilde{\epsilon}\mu\epsilon\nu\sigma\nu$, $\epsilon \tilde{c}$ $\tilde{\lambda}\ell\pi\sigma\iota\mu\iota$. The two Protases make up the combined conditions which produce the Apodosis.

Cf. also ch. xv.

10. εἰ γὰρ οὖτοι ὀρθῶς ὀπέστησαν, ὑμεῖς ἄν οὐ χρεὼν ἄρχοιτε. ΤΗυς. iii. 40.

If they were right in revolting, then your rule is unlawful

(it would follow that you are ruling).

διά γε ὑμῶς αὐτοὺς πάλαι ἄν ἀπολώλειτε. DEM. de Cor. 242.
 So far as you yourselves were concerned, you would have been ruined long ago.

διά $\gamma \epsilon$ ὑμῶς αὐτοὺς $= \epsilon i$ ὑμεῖς αὐτοὶ μόνοι ἢτε, if you had been left to yourselves, had it depended on you alone.

12. οὕτω γαρ οὐκέτι τοῦ λοιποῦ πάσχοιμεν ἃν κακῶς.

DEM. Phil. 1. 44.

For in that we should never again get into trouble. $\circ \ddot{\upsilon} \tau \omega = \epsilon \dot{\iota} \ \tau a \dot{\upsilon} \tau a \ \gamma \dot{\epsilon} v o \iota \tau o$.

§ 201. Examples of the Conditional Participle in a Protasis.

όλοῦμαι μὴ μαθών. ARIST. Nub. 792. I shall be ruined if I don't learn.

 $= \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu \, \mu \dot{\eta} \, \mu \dot{\alpha} \theta \omega.$

δεί γὰρ ένὸς οδ μὴ τυχών

ἀπόλωλα. ARIST. Ach. 466.

One thing I need which, if I fail to get,

I'm a lost man.

οῦ μὴ τυχών=ἐὰν μὴ τύχω . . . ἀπόλωλα being a vivid future.

τὸ ἀποθανεῖν ἂν τις ἐκφύγοι ὅπλα ἀφείς. Pl. Apol. xxix. A man might escape death if he were to fling away his arms. ἀφείς = εἴ ἀφείη.

μεταγνούς γὰρ (=εἰ μεταγνοίη) ἔτι ἄν ὀρθῶς βουλεύσαιτο.
ΑΝΤΙΡΗ. de Caede Herod. 91.

For if he should repent he yet might come to a right decision.

οὖ γὰρ ἄν ἐβλήθη ἀτρεμίζων καὶ μὴ διατρέχων (=εἰ ἦτρεμιζε καὶ μὴ διέτρεχε). ΑΝΤΙΡΗ. 2 Tetral. B. B. 5.

He would not have been struck if he had been standing still,

and not running across.

§ 202. Examples of Conditional Relative Sentences.

ä $\mu\eta$ oida, oide oid μ a eide μ a. Plat. Apol. vi. What I do not know I do not fancy that I know.

 $=\epsilon \ddot{\imath} \tau \iota \nu a \mu \dot{\eta} o \dot{\imath} \delta a.$

τῶν δὲ ἄλλων ξένων ὅστις πώποτε ἠθέλησε καταστῆσαι ἐγγυητάς, οὐδεὶς πώποτε ἐδέθη. ΑΝΤΙΡΗ. Herod. 17.

Of all the other foreigners who ever at any time chose to furnish securities, none ever was thrown into prison.

οστις $\dot{\eta}\theta$ έλησε $=\epsilon \ddot{\iota}$ τις $\dot{\eta}\theta$ έλησε, an ordinary past Condition.

å γάρ τις μὴ προσεδόκησεν, οὐδὲ φυλάξασθαι ἐγχωρεῖ.
ΑΝΤΙΡΗ. de Caed. Herod. 19.

What one does not expect, it is not even possible to guard against.

An instructive instance; $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\epsilon\delta\delta\kappa\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu$ is a Gnomic Aorist, and so this is a General Supposition in Present time. The Aorist, however, may here simply imply priority of time.

ἴσην γε δύναμιν ἔχει ὅστις τε ἃν τῆ χειρὶ ἀποκτείνη ἀδίκως καὶ ὅστις τῆ ψήφφ. Antiph. de Caed. Herod. 92.

The effect is the same whether a man takes life with his hand, or with his vote.

A General Supposition again in Present time.

Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 203. Relative Conditional Sentences expressing General Suppositions.

(See also the last two examples in the previous section.)

I. Present Time.

συμμαχεῖν τούτοις ἐθέλουσιν ἄπαντες, οθς ἃν ὁρῶσι παρεσκευασμένους.

Dem. Phil. i. 42. 1.

All men are ready to be in alliance with those whom ever they see prepared.

= ἐάν τινας = ὅταν, ὁπόταν τινάς.

II. PAST TIME.

οί δέ, καιομένου ἄλλου, ἐπιβαλόντες δν φέροιεν, ἀπήεσαν. ΤΗΠΩ, ii. 52

Continually, while one body was burning, they kept throwing on (the funeral pile) any one they were bearing, and then going away.

= εί τινα = εί πότε τινά = ὁπότε τινά.

§ 204. Examples of Infinitive in Apodosis with $a\nu$.

εἰ Τέγεά σφισι προσγένοιτο, ἐνόμιζον ἄπασαν ἄν ἔχειν Πελοπόννησον. ΤΗUC. v. 32.

They thought that, if they could get in addition Tegea, they would possess the whole Peloponnese.

αν ἔχειν = ἔχοιεν αν.

But in the recta they would say: ἐὰν ἡμῖν προσγένηται... ἔξομεν.

οὐδεὶς ἀντείπε διὰ τὸ μὴ ἀνασχέσθαι ἄν τὴν ἐκκλησίαν.

XEN. An. i. 4. 20.

No one contradicted, because the assembly would not have permitted it.

εὶ ἀντεῖπε—οὐκ ἄν ἡνέσχετο ἡ ἐκκλησία.

άλλ' εἰ πέπαυται, κάρτ' ἄν εὐτυχεῖν δοκῶ SOPH. Ai. 263. Nay, if he hath ceased, methinks all may be well.

εὐτυχοίη ἄν an Optative of inference.
Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 205. Examples of Participle in Apodosis with $a\nu$.

αἰτεῖ ξένους καὶ μισθὸν ὡς οὕτως περιγενόμενος ἄν τῶν ἀντιστασιωτῶν. . ΧΕΝ. Απ. i. 10.

He asked for mercenaries and pay, representing that thus he would get the better of his opponents.

οὖτω περιγένοιτο ἄν, but as it is in Historical (Virtual) obliqua the original recta would be ἐὰν δέξωμαι ξένους—οὕτω περιγενήσομαι.

όρων τὸ παρατείχισμα, εἰ ἐπικρατήσειέ τις, ῥαδίως ἄν ληφθέν. ΤΗυς. vii. 42.

Seeing that the cross-wall, if any one carried the heights, would easily be captured.

=ράδίως $\mathring{a}ν$ λη ϕ θείη. Note the Participle after $\mathring{o}ρ\hat{\omega}ν$, a verb of Perception.

δ πάντα τολμῶν, κἀπὸ παντὸς ἃν φέρων Χόγου δικαίου μηχάνημα ποικίλον. Soph. O. C. 761. Bold wretch, who out of every cause wouldst bring Shifty device of righteous argument.

φέρων = ὅς φέροις ἂν (εἶ καιρὸν λάβοις).

§ 206. Supplementary Note on $\epsilon d\nu$ with the Subjunctive, and ϵl with the Optative.

'Eάν with the Subjunctive is the ordinary form for stating a supposition in future time. By the term ordinary it is not meant that this form occurs oftener than ei with the Optative. but that if, for instance, we had to say, "If it is fine to-morrow, we will go for a walk," we should naturally translate this by έάν with the Subjunctive. That is to say, έαν ταῦτα γένηται means if this shall happen. Modern English renders it difficult for us to grasp this very simple explanation, because we equally render εί ταθτα γίγνεται and έαν ταθτα γένηται by if this happens. Εί ταῦτα γίγνεται should correctly be translated if this is (now) happening, and έαν ταῦτα γένηται, if this shall happen. So in the instance first given we ought strictly to say "If it shall be fine to-morrow." In older English it would have been "if it be fine to-morrow," which is an exact parallel to ἐάν with the Subjunctive. The difficulty is aggravated by not bearing in mind that the Apodosis is the

Principal Sentence, and, as such, sets the time of the whole Compound Conditional Sentence. 'Eáv with the Subjunctive (in ordinary particular conditions) is regularly accompanied by an Apodosis in the Future Indicative, e.g. ταῦτα ποιήσω έἀν τι δέη, I will do this if it is necessary; έάν τι δέη thus refers to the future.

Ei with the Optative also refers to the future. Εί ταῦτα γένοιτο means if this should happen, as opposed to ἐὰν ταῦτα γένηται, if this shall happen. All scholars now seem agreed that the difference between ἐάν with Subjunctive and εί with Optative is the same as that between if I shall and

if I should in English.

opposition to long-received explanations Professor Goodwin has shown in a series of papers (see especially Journal of Philology, Vol. v. No. 10, and Vol. viii. No. 15) that ἐάν with Subjunctive and εί with Optative are interchangeable expressions, alternating sometimes in the same paragraph, and when referring to the same condition. There can thus be no fundamental distinction between them, nor, we must add, between them and ϵi with the Future Indicative. -All these are variant expressions for a future condition.

The most generally received theory hitherto of ἐάν with Subjunctive has been that of Buttmann, according to which it denotes "an uncertain but possible case with the prospect of speedy decision." Professor Goodwin pertinently asks how we should turn into Greek the proverb, "If the sky falls, we shall catch larks." Of course by ἐάν with the Subjunctive. But what is the "prospect of speedy decision" here? Further he asks whether Demosthenes (Phil. i. p. 43, § 11) implies any nearer prospect of decision about Philip's death when he first refers to it in the words $\partial \nu$ obtos $\tau \iota \pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \eta$, than in the very next sentence, when he says εί τι πάθοι. Again, ἐάν with Subjunctive has been stated (by Dr. Donaldson and others) to denote "uncertainty with some small amount of probability." This theory, however, is destroyed by such conditions as the following, all with ear and Subjunctive. In Plat. Crito, 50. of the laws speaking to Sokrates. In Euthyd. 299, of a man swallowing a cartload of hellebore. In Rep. 612, of the soul wearing the ring of Gyges. In Eur. Phoen. 1216, and Orest. 1593, of a human being flying on wings to the aether.

How then do these three Future Conditions differ? 'Εάν with the Subjunctive gives a vivid and distinct representation of

a supposition in the future. Ei with the Future Indicative is more vivid still; a condition is brought home as of imminent and immediate interest. Ei with the Optative, on the other hand, conjures up a future supposition less graphic, vivid, and life-like, a supposition less distinctly conceived, more faintly sketched, a supposition of less immediate concern, one which moves the mind with a more languid interest. We may compare the three forms to three sketches or pictures differing in greater or less distinctness of outline. Or we may say that ϵi with the Future Indicative moves the mind with the immediate interest of the next hour or minute. ¿áv and the Subjunctive with the natural and lively interest of the morrow, ϵi and the Optative with the fainter and remoter interest of next week. But the whole effect in each case is rhetorical, the expression itself does not imply that the fact denoted in the condition is to be decided, or that it is likely or unlikely; it is all a question of realising a conception more or less vividly, or, as Mr. Monro in his Homeric Grammar puts it, the difference depends on the tone assumed by the speaker.

When, therefore, is $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{a}\nu$ with the Subjunctive chosen rather than $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{i}$ with the Optative? Professor Goodwin shows that there may be several reasons for choosing the more vivid expression. The following instances are most instructive.

1. The speaker may have an actual case present to his mind. In Rep. vi. 494, Sokrates is thinking of Alkibiades; in Rep. vii. 517, of himself. In both cases $\dot{\epsilon}\acute{a}\nu$ with the Subjunctive is the form employed.

2. The speaker may be dreading the fulfilment of his supposition. Dem. Aphob. i. 67 (p. 834), an adverse vote is referred to in these terms, ἐὰν ἀποφύγη με οὖτος, ὁ μὴ γένοιτο.

3. The speaker may be treating an improbable and ridiculous supposition with scorn. Plat. Rep. x. 610 A, of bodily depravity causing mental depravity (èàv $\mu\dot{\eta}$ è $\mu\pi\sigma\iota\dot{\eta}$ — $\tau\sigma\dot{\nu}\tau\dot{\sigma}$ $\gamma\epsilon$ oùdeís $\pi\sigma\tau\epsilon$ deí $\xi\epsilon\iota$): Plat. Gorg. 470 C, of Polus convicting Sokrates of talking nonsense (èàv $\mu\epsilon$ è $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\xi ys$).

There may be other reasons besides the above. Sometimes $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}\nu$ with the Subjunctive seems to single out a supposition for special emphasis: sometimes an unfamiliar conception has been introduced by $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\epsilon}$ with the Optative, which, when we have become familiarised with it, is expressed by $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}\nu$ with the Subjunctive. Or again and this is a point worth further attendant.

tion perhaps, different writers, from temperament or style, have a habit of using one expression rather than another. Thus Aeschylus very rarely uses $\dot{\epsilon} d\nu$ with the Subjunctive in an ordinary future supposition. He oftener uses $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon}$ with the Future Indicative; thrice he uses $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon}$ with the Subjunctive. But his partiality for the Optative is remarkable. Thuory-

DIDES again often uses ϵi with the Future Indicative.

In all the above cases (1) the time is future, (2) the picture is designedly conceived and drawn in a lively graphic manner. (3) In many cases such as the above $\epsilon \acute{a}\nu$ with the Subjunctive alternates with $\epsilon \acute{a}$ and the Optative. Thus in the example from Dem. Aphob. the same condition is alluded to later on (ii. § 18, p. 841) by the words $\epsilon \ddot{\iota} \psi \eta \phi \acute{\iota} \sigma \iota \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon$, then three lines further on by $\dot{\epsilon} \grave{a}\nu \ddot{\iota} \phi \lambda \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$, and yet again (§ 21, p. 842) by $\epsilon \acute{\iota} \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$. Similarly in Plat. Rep. 517 A, where Sokrates is referring to himself, the Optative is used.

The inferences from the above premises are inevitable.

 ἐάν with the Subjunctive, and ἐἰ with the Optative, both refer to future time.

(2) They are interchangeable, differing only in greater or less clearness of conception and vividness of

expression.

(3) As expressions they can in themselves imply no opinion of the writer that the fact denoted by the condition is more or less likely to occur, the one and only thing stated being the dependence of the

consequence upon the condition.

The interchangeability of the Subjunctive and Optative is one of the regular and most characteristic features of Greek Syntax. We find it constantly in Indirect Statements and Questions, and throughout the *Oratio Obliqua*, in Temporal, Final Sentences, in Sentences with $\tilde{\sigma}\pi\omega s$. In all these cases we do not hesitate to accept the explanation that one expression is more or less direct and vivid than the other, and that the two varieties are interchangeable. Conditional Sentences do not stand apart by themselves: they follow the principles which rule Greek Syntax.

Two points may be added:

1. If it is asked whether the writer may not hold an opinion that the fact denoted is more or less probable, we may reply that of course he may, and that holding such an opinion he may choose one form of expression rather than

another. But this covers only some instances and not all. Probability cannot be made the basis of a division, since the fact denoted varies from what is in itself natural and probable

to what is physically impossible.

(2) The notion of future time is sometimes very indistinctly marked by εἰ with the Optative, the faintness of the conception being the chief effect intended in such cases. Still εἰ ταῦτα οὕτως εἰη cannot (as sometimes in Homer) be past, if this had been so; it cannot be translated, if it were now so; it can only be rendered, if this were to be so, were so, should be so. The Apodosis also must always be examined in connexion with the Protasis.

CHAPTER III.

§ 207. TEMPORAL SENTENCES.

Temporal Sentences are constantly expressed in Greek by Participles in agreement with the Subject, by the Genitive Absolute, and by the Accusative Absolute.

When the time of the Temporal Sentence is definite the Indicative is used; when indefinite the Subjunctive and Optative.1 This is the one clew to the use of the moods in Temporal Sentences.

See § 172, Definite and Indefinite Sentences.

Time is indefinite in three ways:—

- 1. Indefinite Futurity, i.e. when the action will occur in the indefinite future.
- 2. Indefinite Frequency, i.e. when the action may recur an indefinite number of times.
- 3. Indefinite Duration, i.e. when the action may continue for an indefinite period.

All Temporal Sentences in the Subjunctive and Optative will fall under one of the above three heads, the first,

Donec labantes consilio patres firmaret (Hor.). Indefinite Futurity. Opperire quoad scire possis quod tibi agendum sit.

Dum Priami Paridisque busto insultet armentum. Indefinite Duration. It is usual to explain many such sentences in Latin (and in Greek) by saying that they express a purpose. So they do, but this is not contained in the Temporal Particle and its Sentence, but in the nature of the principal verb combined with the indefiniteness of time in view. So probably with Temporal Sentences which are described as Conditional (dum).

Indefinite Frequency is so differently treated by Latin writers that it is not touched on here.

Digitized by Microsoft®

¹ This principle of Indefinite Time may be most usefully applied to the Latin Subjunctive as opposed to the Indicative, e.g.—

Indefinite Futurity, being the commonest, and the third, Indefinite Duration, being the rarest. More than one kind of Indefiniteness may be denoted by the same expression.

The Subjunctive is used in Primary, the Optative in Historic sequence, though, as in other Sentences, the Subjunctive occurs in Historic sequence, and sometimes is co-ordinate with the Optative.

A Temporal Particle with the Subjunctive takes $\ddot{a}\nu$ ($\pi\rho i\nu$ $\ddot{a}\nu$, $\ddot{\epsilon}\omega$ s $\ddot{a}\nu$, $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon i\delta\dot{a}\nu$, $\delta\tau a\nu$, etc. etc.).

Thus έως ἄν γένηται.

A Temporal Particle with the Optative drops the ἄν (πρίν, ἔως, ἐπειδή, ὅτε, etc. etc.).

έως γένοιτο.

For the omission of $\tilde{a}\nu$ in Subjunctive clauses see § 221.

For the retention of $\alpha\nu$ with the Optative see § 222.

§ 208. "WHEN" IN DEFINITE TIME (PAST).

I. ἐπεί, ἐπειδή (ἡνίκα less common), when, after, with Indicative Aorist (an action prior to principal sentence), Indicative Imperfect (contemporary with principal sentence).

Latin: cum with Pluperfect and Imperfect Subjunctive, postquam with Indicative.

ἐπειδὴ δὲ ὀλιγαρχία ἐγένετο, οἱ τριάκοντα μετεπέμψαντό με. Plat.

When an oligarchy had been established, the Thirty sent for me.

Cum vero paucorum dominatio constituta esset, Triginta illi me arcessiyerunt. έπεὶ ἠσθένει Δαρείος, ἐβούλετο οἱ τώ παίδε ἀμφοτέρω παρείναι. ΧεΝ. Απ.

When Darius was ill, he wished both his sons to appear before him.

Darius, cum moreretur, filios ambo ad se venire volebat.

For ἡνίκα see Plat. Apol. xxxi., Soph. El. 32, 423, Ai. 272.

Note. ὅτε, "when," cannot introduce a clause in Attic Greek like ἐπεί, ἐπειδή. Being a relative it must be connected with some sort of antecedent, though, like all relative sentences, the clause in which it stands may come first. $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$ is its strict antecedent.

ην ποτε χρόνος, ὅτε θεοὶ μὲν ησαν, θνητὰ δὲ γὲνη οὐκ ησαν. Ρι.ΑΤ Prot.

There was a time once when the gods were in existence, but when the races of mortal creatures were not.

ότε με οἱ ἄρχοντες ἔταττον, τότε οδ ἐκεῖνοι ἔταττον ἔμενον. PLAT. Apol.

When the rulers were assigning me a post, then I remained at the post which they assigned me.

§ 209. "AS SOON AS," "DIRECTLY," IN DE-FINITE TIME.

Έπεί, ἐπειδή take τάχιστα when they mean directly, immediately, as soon as, no sooner—than.

ω_S (Latin ut) has the same meaning even without τάχιστα, but more markedly with τάχιστα.

[Latin: ubi, ubi primum; ut, ut primum; simul, simul ac (atque); postquam; with the perfect indicative.]

ώς τάχιστα έως ὑπέφαινεν, ἐθύοντο. ΧΕΝ.

As soon as day began to dawn, they set about taking the auspices bigitized by Microsoft®

οἱ τριάκοντα ἡρέθησαν ἐπεὶ τάχιστα τὰ τείχη καθηρέθη. XEN.

The Thirty were appointed directly the walls were rased.

In poetry ὅπως has this sense. Cf. Aesch. Pers. 200, Soph. El. 736, 749, ὅπως ὁρῷ (present indicative) ut vidit. For ὡς (often with εὐθύς, εὐθέως) see AESCH. Pers. 363, ARIST. Ran. 504.

§ 210. "WHENEVER," "AS OFTEN AS." IN IN-DEFINITE TIME.

The same particles, $\epsilon \pi \epsilon l$, $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta$, $\eta \nu \iota \kappa a$, $\delta \tau \epsilon$, and also όπότε, denoting Indefinite Futurity, or Indefinite Frequency, take the Subjunctive and Optative.

[Latin: usually a Temporal Conjunction with Future Perfect Indicative. But for Frequentative Sentences see the caution given § 207, footnote.]

A. With Subjunctive in Primary sequence, ἐπειδάν, όταν, όπόταν (ἡνίκ' ἄν, ἐπήν and ἐπάν rarer).

N.B.— &s äv is said never to be Temporal, but see Soph. Phil. 1330, Ai. 1117, with Jebb's note on the latter passage.

έπειδαν δε διαπράξωμαι, α δεόμαι, ήξω. ΧΕΝ. Απ.

When I have (shall have) accomplished my object I will return. (Indefinite Futurity.)

Cum vero confecero quod in animo est, redibo.

ουκούν, όταν δη μη σθένω, πεπαύσομαι. Soph. Ant. 91. So, when my power shall fail, I will give o'er.

(Indefinite Futurity.)

αύτη ή φωνή, όταν γένηται, άεὶ άποτρέπει με. Plat. This inward voice, whenever it comes, ever checks me. (Indefinite Frequency.)

μαινόμεθα πάντες, όπόταν ὀργιζώμεθα. PHILEMON. We are madmen all, whenever we are angry.

(Indefinite Frequency)

Digitized by Microsoft®

Note. For ὅταν (Indefinite Futurity) SOPH. El. 386, 1038, (Indefinite Frequency) PLAT. Apol. xvi., xxiii., SOPH. El. 267, 293, AESCH. Pers. 602. ὁπόταν (Indefinite Futurity) SOPH. Phil. 146. All these particles may often be rendered, as soon as, when once, but the time is still indefinite in the Future.

όπόταν (Indefinite Frequency) XEN. Cyr. iii. 3. 26, al. ὅπου ἄν): ἐπειδάν (Frequency) PLAT. Apol. XXXII.

For εὖτε ἄν, poetical, Soph. El. 627.

With the Subjunctive expressing Indefinite Frequency compare $\dot{\epsilon}\acute{a}\nu$ ($\ddot{\eta}\nu$) with Subjunctive in General Suppositions.

B. With Optative in Historic Sequence, ἐπεί, ἐπειδή, ὁπότε (ὅτε very rarely).

οί ὄνοι, ἐπεί τις δίωκοι, προδραμόντες ἄν είστήκεσαν. ΧεΝ.

The asses, whenever any one chased them, would gallop ahead and then halt. (Indefinite Frequency.)

όπότ' εὖ πράσσοι πόλις ἔχαιρε, λυπρῶς δ' ἔφερεν, εἴ τι δυστυχοῖ.

Eur. Supp. 897.

Whene'er the state fared well, He would rejoice, and mourn if aught it suffered.

όπότε is =εἰ πότε, as much conditional as temporal. See PLAT. Apol. xxxii., ὁπότε ἐντύχοιμι Παλαμήδει. In Thuc. i. 99 a good instance.

Note. ἐπείς, ἐπειδή, ὁπότε with the Optative appear always to denote Frequency rather than Futurity, except when they represent an ἐπήν, ἐπειδάν, ὁπόταν, ὁτάν turned from Primary to Historic sequence.

Compare \$\epsilon\$ with Optative in General Suppositions.

§ 211. "SINCE" IN DEFINITE TIME.

ė̃ξ οὖ (ex quo with Indicative), since, ever since, in Definite Time with Indicative.

Digitized by Microsoft®

έξ οὖ τὰ ξενικὰ στρατεύεται, τοὺς φίλους νικậ.

DEM.

Ever since mercenaries have been serving, he has been conquering his friends.

έξ οδτε Aesch. Pers. 761, for $\dot{\omega}_s$ (like ut in Latin) Thuc. iv. 90 (Poppo), $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\xi}$ $\dot{\omega}\nu$, $\dot{\alpha}\phi'$ of are also used.

For ut in Latin cf. Ov. Trist. v. 10. 1, ut sumus in Ponto, etc.

This may be expressed participally in the Dative, see § 118, note.

§ 212. "WHILST" IN DEFINITE TIME.

"Εως, ἔστε, ἐν ῷ, ἐν ὅσφ, ὅσον χρόνον, ἡνίκα (rarely μ έχρι), whilst, denoting Definite Duration with Indicative.

[Latin: dum, donec, quamdiu, quoad with Indicative.]

έως έτι νέος εἰμί, τὴν ψυχὴν γυμνάζω.

While I am still young, I train my mind.

ραδίως τὰ ἐπιτήδεια έξομεν, ὅσον χρόνον ἐν τῆ πολεμία ἐσόμεθα.

We shall easily find supplies so long as (during all the time that) we are in the enemies' country.

ἀνηρ ἐκείνος, ήνίκ ἢν ἐν τῆ νόσφ, ἀὐτὸς μὲν ήδετο.

SOPH. Ai. 271.

You chief, so long as he was set i' the plague,

Himself was happy.

Donec morbo versabatur.

 $\epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon$ with past tense, XEN. An. iii. 1. 19.

 $\tilde{\epsilon}$ ως $\tilde{\epsilon}$ $\tilde{\xi}$ ϵ στιν (dum licet), Plat. Apol. xxxi. $\tilde{\epsilon}$ ως $\tilde{\epsilon}$ λπὶς $\tilde{\eta}$ ν (past tense) dum spes erat, Thuc. viii. 40. μέχρι, Thuc. iii. 10. 2.

§ 213. "WHILST" IN INDEFINITE TIME.

The same particles denoting Indefinite Duration take **A.** Subjunctive in Primary sequence with \tilde{a}_{ν} .

έωσπερ αν έμπνέω, ου μη παύσωμαι φιλοσοφων.

PLAT.

Just so long as I breathe, I never will give up philosophy.

Dum spirabo haud desinam philosophari.

Cf. AESCH. Ag. 1435.

B. Optative in Historic Sequence, without ἄν. φήσομεν μηδέποτ' ἄν μεῖζον γενέσθαι, ἔως ἴσον εἴη αὐτὸ ἐαντῷ. PLAT. Theaet. 155 A. We shall admit that it never would become either greater or

less, so long as it should remain equal-to itself.

[Latin: dum, donec, quamdiu, quoad, with Future Indicative, or, when purpose is connoted, Subjunctive.]

§ 214. "UNTIL" IN DEFINITE TIME.

 $\epsilon \tilde{v} \tau \epsilon$ poetical, $\mu \epsilon \chi \rho \iota s$, $\tilde{a} \chi \rho \iota s$ before a vowel in later writers.

[Latin: donec, quoad, with past Indicative.]

ταῦτα ἐποίουν, μέχρι σκότος ἐγένετο. ΧΕΝ. This they were doing until darkness came on.

quoad or donec nox oppressit.

Cf. Thuc. i. 109, iv. 4, $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \chi \rho \iota$ of and $\mu \acute{\epsilon} \chi \rho \iota$.

παίουσι του Σωτηρίδην, έστε ηνάγκασαν πορεύεσθαι.

XEN.

They beat Soterides till they compelled him to move on. quoad progredi coegerunt.

Cf. SOPH. Ant. 415.

έχώρουν διὰ τῶν Σικελῶν, ἔως ἀφίκοντο ἐς Κατάνην.

THUC. vi. 62. 3.

They marched through the country of the Sicels, till they came to Catane.

Digitized by Microsoft®

παίουσι, κρεοκοποῦσι δυστήνων μέλη, ἔως ἀπάντων ἐξαπέφθειραν βίον. AESCH. Pers. 466. They hack, hew mincemeal the poor wretches' limbs, Till they had crushed outright the lives of all.

ἐπισχῶν ἄν, ἔως οἱ πλεῖστοι τῶν εἰωθότων γνώμην ἀπεφήναντο, κ.τ.λ., ἡσυχίαν ἄν ἤγον. DEM. Phil. i. 1.

I should have waited until most of the regular speakers had expressed their views, and have been keeping quiet.

In this example the Indicative denotes Indefinite Futurity thrown back into the past, and consequently now Indefinite only to the original thought of the chief subject. This is parallel with a Final Sentence in the Indicative (see Index).

§ 215. "UNTIL" IN INDEFINITE TIME.

The same Particles, denoting Indefinite Futurity, take A. Subjunctive in Primary Sequence.

μέχρι δ ἄν έγω ήκω, αι σπουδαι μένοντων. XEN. Until I return, let the armistice continue.

ἐπίσχες ἔστ' ἄν καὶ τὰ λοιπὰ προςμάθης. AESCH. Pause till thou further learn what yet remains.

ἀνάγκη ταῦτα ἀεὶ παρέχειν, ἔως ἄν χώραν λάβη.

XEN. Cyr. iv. 5. 37.

It is necessary to furnish continually the same things until he (shall) take the country.

εὖτ' ἄν AESCH. Pers. 366.

B. Optative in Historic Sequence.

περιεμένομεν έκάστοτε, έως ανοιχθείη το δεσμωτήριον. Plat.

We used to wait about on each occasion, until the prison was (should be) opened.

περιεμένομεν is Frequentative, but εως ἀνοιχθείη expresses Indefinite Futurity, and expresses indirectly the thought of the chief subject.

Digitized by Microsoft®

σπονδὰς ἐποιήσαντο ἕως ἀπαγγελθείη τὰ λεχθέντα. Χεν

They made an armistice (to last) till the terms were (should be) announced.

Here again the thought of the chief subject is clearly seen. Their original words would be $\sigma \pi \sigma \nu \delta \delta s \pi \sigma \iota \iota \iota \iota \mu \epsilon \theta a \epsilon \sigma s \delta \iota a \pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \theta \hat{g}$. The moods in the two last examples are thus due to Oratio Obliqua.

Note. It may be generally laid down that $\tilde{\epsilon}\omega s$, etc., with the Subjunctive and Optative after Affirmative Sentences correspond to $\pi\rho i\nu$ with the same moods after Negative Sentences. $\tilde{\epsilon}\omega s$, etc., do occur, but very exceptionally, after Negative Sentences.

οὐκ ἀναμένομεν, ἕως ἂν ἡ ἡμετέρα χώρα κακῶται.

XEN. Cyr. iii. 3. 18.

We do not remain until our country is being ravaged.

When $\pi\rho\ell\nu$ is used with any finite mood the action of its verb will not begin until the action of $\pi\rho\ell\nu$ with the principal verb has occurred. The difference here consists in the meaning of the verb $d\nu a\mu\ell\nu\omega$, to continue.

§ 216. THE CONJUNCTION $\Pi \rho i \nu$.

 $\Pi\rho'\nu$ with the Indicative, Subjunctive and Optative is used after Negative Sentences where $\check{\epsilon}\omega\varsigma$, $\check{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\epsilon$, $\mu\acute{\epsilon}\chi\rho\iota$, etc., are used after Affirmative Sentences.

 $\Pi \rho l \nu \ddot{\eta}$ is used like $\pi \rho l \nu$. $\pi \rho \delta \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu$, $\pi \rho \delta \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$, $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \sigma s$, another $\pi \rho l \nu$ (used as an adverb), frequently are used in the Principal Sentence as forerunners of $\pi \rho l \nu$.

 $\Pi\rho\dot{\nu}$ differs from other Temporal Particles only in being joined to an Infinitive as well as to other moods. The following table will show the ordinary Attic usage. Exceptions are given subsequently.

A. After Affirmative Principal Sentences.

Digitized by Microsoft® $\pi \rho i \nu$ with the Infinitive.

B. After Negative Principal Sentences.

- '1. When the Time is Definite, $\pi \rho l \nu$ with the Indicative.
- When the Time is Indefinite (Indefinite Futurity), πρίν with the Subjunctive and Optative.

The order in time of the Principal and Subordinate Sentences in $\pi\rho\acute{\iota}\nu$ clauses should be noticed. (1) When $\pi\rho\acute{\iota}\nu$ is used with the Infinitive, the action of the Principal Sentence takes place before that of the Subordinate Sentence (the $\pi\rho\acute{\iota}\nu$ clause). (2) When $\pi\rho\acute{\iota}\nu$ is used with a Finite Mood (Indicative, Subjunctive, Optative) the action of the Principal Sentence had to wait (in the past), or has to wait (in the future) for the decisive occurrence of the $\pi\rho\acute{\iota}\nu$ clause.

§ 217. $\Pi \rho i \nu$ WITH THE INFINITIVE.

A. The Principal action takes place before the Subordinate action with $\pi\rho\ell\nu$. $\Pi\rho\ell\nu$ with Infinitive always means before. The Infinitive in itself denotes the mere verbal notion rather than a distinct fact, like the English gerundive in -ing (before coming, going, speaking). But the fact is often implied. Cf. $\delta\sigma\tau\epsilon$ with Infinitive.

πρίν μεν πεινήν ἐσθίεις, πρὶν δε διψήν πίνεις.
You eat before being hungry, you drink before being thirsty.

ἔπεμψε πρὶν ἐν Τεγέα αὐτὸς εἶναι. XEN. He sent before he was himself in Tegea.

Observe the Nominative attraction.

Digitized by Microsoft®

πρὶν γενέσθαι ἡμᾶς ἦν ἡμῶν ἡ ψυχή. Plat. Phaed. 77. Before we were created our soul was in existence. ἡμεῖς Μεσσήνην εἴλομεν πρίν Πέρσας λαβεῖν τὴν βασιλείαν. Isoc. Archid. 26. We conquered Messene before the Persians took the kingdom. ἐν τῷ πρὶν γενέσθαι ἡμᾶς χρόνφ. Plat. Phaed. 88.

§ 218. Πρίν WITH THE INDICATIVE IN DEFINITE TIME (PAST).

In the days before we were born.

B 1. $\Pi \rho l \nu$ with Aorist Indicative. (The Historic present occurs in Thuc. i. 132, $\pi \rho l \nu$ γίγνεται.)

 $\Pi \rho i \nu$ may equally be rendered before, until.

οί Λακεδαιμόνιοι οὐ πρότερον ἐπαύσαντο πρὶν Μεσσηνίους ἐξέβαλον ἐκ τῆς χώρας. ISAEUS 12.

The Lacedaemonians did not leave off until (before) they had expelled the Messenians (and then they did leave off).

ού πρόσθεν έξενεγκεῖν ἐτόλμησαν πρὸς ἡμᾶς πόλεμον πρὶν τοὺς στρατηγοὺς ἡμῶν συνέλαβον. XEN. An. iii. 2. 29. They did not dare to make war on us until (before) they seized our generals.

See AESCH. P. V. 481.

In Isoca. Panegyr. 19, $\pi\rho\ell\nu$ έδίδαξαν where $\pi\rho\ell\nu$ διδάξειαν would be expected.

§ 219. Πρίν WITH THE SUBJUNCTIVE AND OPTATIVE IN INDEFINITE TIME.

B 2. $\Pi \rho i \nu \, \ddot{a} \nu$ with Subjunctive in Primary Sequence, after Negative Sentences, denotes Indefinite Futurity.

ου χρή με ἀπελθείν πρίν ἄν δω δίκην.

XEN. An. v. 7. 5.

I must not depart before I suffer punishment. Digitized by Microsoft®

Cf. also Aesch. P. V. 165, Eur. Heracl. 179.

οὐκ ἀποκρινοῦμαι πρότερον πρὶν ἄν πύθωμαι. Plat. I will not answer before (until) I hear.

 $\pi\rho i\nu$ alone with Optative may be described as $\pi\rho i\nu$ and with Subjunctive converted into Historic Sequence by Oratio Obliqua, actual or virtual.

ἀπηγόρευε μηδένα βάλλειν, πρὶν Κῦρος ἐμπλησθείη θηρῶν. ΧΕΝ. Cyr. i. 4. 14.

He forbade every one to shoot until Cyrus had had (should have had) his fill of the chase.

The recta would be μηδείς βαλλέτω πρὶν ἄν ἐμπλησθῆ.

ἐπειχείρουν ἔκαστον πείθειν μὴ πρότερον τῶν ἑαυτοῦ μηδενὸς ἐπιμελεῖσθαι, πρὶν ἑαυτοῦ ἐπιμεληθείη.

Plat. Apol. xxvi.

I used to try to persuade each one not to care for any of the things belonging to himself before caring for himself.

 $\Pi \rho l \nu$ is also used after another Optative (see *Oratio Obliqua*, Assimilation of Optatives).

όλοιο μήπω πρὶν μάθοιμ' εἰ καὶ πάλιν γνώμην μετοίσεις. Soph. Phil. 961. Perish not ere I learn if yet again

Thou wilt repent thy purpose.

§ 220. $\Pi\rho'\nu$ with the Infinitive after Negative Sentences, and with the other Moods after Affirmative Sentences.

As stated above, the general Attic rule is that $\pi\rho\acute{\iota}\nu$ with Infinitive follows Affirmative Sentences. But the rule is not without exceptions. In Homer $\pi\rho\acute{\iota}\nu$ with Infinitive regularly follows either Affirmative or Negative sentences. In the Attic poets it very rarely follows a Negative. In Attic prose, however, several instances occur of $\pi\rho\acute{\iota}\nu$ with Infinitive after a Negative.

Digitized by Microsoft®

Instances in the Attic poets occur in Aesch. Ag. 1067, Soph. Ai. 1418, Eur. H. F. 605, and Arist. Av. 964.

In Thuc. some cases occur (i. 68, i. 39) in both of which the où belongs rather to the Infinitive than to the principal verb; in v. 10 the Negative belongs to the principal verb clearly. In all these three cases the abstract verbal notion rather than the fact is stated, e.g. v. 10, $\pi\rhoi\nu$ $\tau\sigmais$ $\beta o\eta\theta\sigmais$ $\eta\kappa\epsilon\nu$, before the arrival of his allies. But in vii. 50 $\pi\rhoi\nu$ with the Infinitive is found after a Negative where we should certainly look for an Optative, occurring as it does in the reported words of Nikias.

οὖδ' ἂν διαβουλεύσασθαι ἔτι ἔφη, πρὶν κ.τ.λ., τρὶς ἐννέα ἡμέρας μεῖναι, ὅπως ἂν πρότερον κινηθείη.

He declared that he would not even consider the making of a move until he had waited thrice nine days.

Recta, οὐδ' ἄν διαβουλευσαίμην πρὶν μεῖναι (for πρὶν μείναιμι or πρὶν ἄν μείνω).

Cf. also Antiph. Herod. 25, Andok. Myst. 43.

 $\Pi \rho \ell \nu$, with a Finite Mood (Indicative, Subjunctive, Optative), is found when the Principal Sentence is affirmative in form, but virtually negative.

ἄφρων νέος τ' ἢν, πρὶν τὰ πράγματ' ἐγγύθεν σκοπῶν ἐςείδον, κ. τ. λ. Ευπ. Ι. Α. 489.

where $\ddot{a}\phi\rho\omega\nu = o\dot{v}\kappa \ \ddot{\epsilon}\mu\phi\rho\omega\nu$.

Similarly in Thuc. i. 118. 2, οὖτε ἐκώλυον, ἀλλ' ἡσύχαζον πρὶν δὴ ἡ δύναμις τῶν 'Αθηναίων ἤρετο, where, besides the true negative οὖτε ἐκώλυον, ἡσύχαζον means, they did not bestir themselves. See also Thuc. iii. 29, λανθάνουσι πρίν: viii. 105, εἷργον πρίν. But in Thuc. vii. 71. 4, πρίν with the Indicative occurs after a principal sentence truly affirmative: παραπλήσια ἔπασχον, πρίν γε δὴ οἱ Συρακόσιοι ἔτρεψαν τοὺς 'Αθηναίους, they were in the same state of excitement, until at last the Syracusans routed the Athenians (ἔστε δή might have been expected). With the Subjunctive and Optative $\pi \rho$ ίν is very rarely found even after quasi-negative sentences:—

τίς ἂν δίκην κρίνειεν ἢ γνοίη λόγον, πρὶν ἂν παρ' ἀμφοῖν μῦθον ἐκμάθη σαφῶς; ΕUR. Herac. 179.

τίς ἄν, however, is almost a real negative.

αἰσχρὸν ἡγοῦμαι πρότερον παύσασθαι πρὶν ἂν ὑμεῖς, ὅτι ἂν βούλησθε, ψηφίσησθε. Lys. 22. 4.

Here $al\sigma\chi\rho\delta\nu$ is a virtually negative word, as its use before $\mu\dot{\eta}$ of with an Infinitive shows. (See Negatives.)

Πρὶν η, πρότερον η, πρόσθεν η, ὕστερον η, are used like $\pi \rho l \nu$ with an Infinitive.

τὸν Μῆδον αὐτοὶ ἴσμεν πρότερον ἐλθόντα ἤ τὰ παρ' ὑμῶν προαπαντῆσαι. ΤΗυς. i. 601 (and vi. 58).

For ὕστερον ή οἰήσαι see vi. 4.

§ 221. ${}^*A\nu$ omitted with the Subjunctive, in Temporal and other Subordinate Sentences.

In all sentences with the Subjunctive (Indefinite Relative, Conditional, Temporal), $\ddot{a}\nu$ is sometimes not used even in Attic prose and poetry. It seems quite a mistake to say that $\ddot{a}\nu$ is omitted. It is much more rational to treat this construction (like that of the Optative without $\ddot{a}\nu$), as a survival of the older usage, so constantly found in Homer, when the mood might or might not at pleasure be modified by the adverb $\ddot{a}\nu$. Instances of all the constructions are here given, but it must be remembered that they are all exceptional constructions in Attic, except, perhaps, in the case of the Temporal Particles.

Indefinite Relative :-

γέροντα δ' ὀρθοῦν φλαῦρον ὅς νέος πέση. SOPH. O. C. 595. ἐπιχώριον ὄν ἡμῖν οὐ μὲν βραχεῖς ἀρκῶσι μὴ πολλοῖς χρῆσθαι λόγοις. ΤΗUC. iv. 17.

Cf. Soph. El. 771, 225, 1059; Ai. 496; Ant. 323.

Conditional:— $(\epsilon i$ with Subjunctive common in Homer, Pindar, several in Herodotus).

δυστάλαινα τἄρ' ἐγ**ά**, εἰ σοῦ στερηθῶ. Soph. O. C. 1441.

Cf. 509, Antig. 710, O. T. 198 (Chorus).

Once in Thuc. vi. 21, εἰ ξυστῶσιν αἱ πόλεις, according to the best MSS. See Krüger and Poppo.

Digitized by Microsoft®

Temporal:—

πρίν μὴ πρότερον ἀποκτιννύναι δεῖν πρὶν ἀνάγκην τινὰ ὁ θεὸς ἐπιπέμψη. Plat. Phaedo, vi.

μη στέναζε πρίν μάθης.

SOPH. Phil. 917, Antig. 619 (Chorus).

μεχρι τὰς σπονδὰς ἐσπεῖσθαι μέχρι οδ ἐπανέλθωσιν.

THUC. iv. 16 (also iv. 41 and i. 137), SOPH. Ai. 571.

έως έως τὸ χαίρειν καὶ τὸ λυπείσθαι μάθης.

SOPH. El. 555 (Phil. 764).

έπεί έπεὶ δ' ἀμάρτη, κ.τ.λ. Soph. Ant. 1025.

With these Temporal Particles $\ddot{a}\nu$ is commonly used in prose, but it is fairly often omitted. They seem in themselves sufficient to mark the indefiniteness of future time without the addition of $\ddot{a}\nu$.

§ 222. $^{\nu}A\nu$ retained with the Optative.

In a few places ἄν is found with a Relative and Optative, and with a Temporal particle and the Optative, almost as if the writer in changing from the Subjunctive had forgotten to drop the ἄν. ἐλογιζόμην εἰ ταῦτα πρόθυμός σοι συλλάβοιμι, ὡς οἰκεῖός τέ σοι ἐσοίμην, καὶ ἐξέσοιτό μοι διαλέγεσθαί σοι ὁπόσον ἄν χρόνον βουλοίμην, ΧΕΝ. Cyr. vii. 5. 49. Here either ὁπόσον ἄν βούλωμαι οτ ὁπόσον βουλοίμην would have been expected. Cf. ΧΕΝ. Ap. i. 2. 6, παρ' ὧν ἄν λάβοιεν. So σὖδεὶς ἄστις οὐχ ἡγεῖτο δίκην με λήψεσθαι παρὰ τῶν ἐπιτρόπων, ἐπειδὰν τάχιστα ἀνὴρ εἶναι δοκιμασθείην, DΕΜ. Onet. i. 865. 24. Cf. SOPH. Tr. 687, ἔως ἄν ἀρμόσαιμι.

§ 223. The Subjunctive instead of the Optative, or co-ordinate with the Optative in Historic Sequence.

έβούλευσαν δεσμοῖς αὐτοὺς φυλάσσειν μέχρι οδ τι ξυμβῶσι. ΤΗUC. iv. 41.

They decided to keep them in prison till some arrangement was come to.

Observe that $d\nu$ is omitted with the Subjunctive, for $\mu \epsilon \chi \rho \iota$ of $\tau \iota \xi \nu \mu \beta a \ell \epsilon \nu$. Cf. i. 91, $\pi \rho \nu \nu d\nu$ Subjunctive after Historic time.

παρήγγειλαν έπειδή δειπνήσειαν πάντας άναπαύεσθαι, καὶ επεσθαι ήνίκ' αν τις παραγγέλλη. ΧΕΝ. Αn. iii. 5. 18. They issued orders for all to rest as soon as they had dined, and then to follow whenever any one issued orders.

This principle of the return to the Primary Sequence is so common in Greek that it requires no further explanation here.

§ 224. The Participle as a Substitute for a Temporal Sentence.

The Participle is a regular substitute for a sentence expressed by ἐπεί, ἐπειδή, ἡνίκα with Imperfect and Aorist Indicative, but is used still more freely, for it is joined to Present and Future Time, whereas these Particles go with a past Principal Verb.

1. The Present Participle denotes an action contemporary with that of the Principal Verb.

άμα and μεταξύ with the Participle bring out more clearly the contemporary time.

απήντησα Φιλίππω απιόντι.

I met Philip as he was going away.

άμα προιών ἐπεσκοπείτο. ΧΕΝ.

As he was going forward he was considering.

τὸ τοῦ θεοῦ σημεῖον πολλαχοῦ δή με ἐπέσχε λέγοντα μεταξύ. PLAT. Apol. XXXI.

The sign of the god very often has checked me in the midst of my talk—(while I have been speaking while the words were on my lips).

ἐπέσχε is here a gnomic aorist.

2. The Aorist Participle denotes an action prior to that of the Principal Verb.

The Perfect Participle would express a completed state before the action of the Principal Verb.

¹ Never forgetting that the Aorist Participle does not always denote an action prior to that of the principal Verb. See Participles. Where the Aorist Participle denotes a contemporary action it expresses Circumstance, not Time. Digitized by Microsoft®

τότε, τότε ήδη, εἶτα, ἔπειτα, τηνικαῦτα, οὕτως often accompany the Principal Verb. εὐθύς with the Participle is like τάχιστα with a Conjunction.

τυραννεύσας έτη τρία Ἱππίας έχώρει ές Σίγειον. ΤΗυς.

- After ruling three years (when he had ruled), Hippias retired to Sigeum, or he ruled and then retired.
- ἐκέλευσε οὐν διαβάντα τὸν Ἑλλήσπουτον ἔπειτα ἀπαλλάττεσθαι. ΧΕΝ. Απ. vii. 1.
- He induced him to accompany him over the Hellespont, and then withdraw (after he had accompanied him, to withdraw).
- εὐθὺς γενόμενοι (primo ortu), immediately after birth.
- εὐθὺς ἀποβεβηκότες, directly they landed, no sooner had they landed . . . than, etc.

CHAPTER IV.

§ 225. CONCESSIVE SENTENCES.

The chief Concessive Particle in Greek is $\kappa a l$, with or without the enclitic $\pi \epsilon \rho$.

Concessive Sentences are most commonly expressed by the Participle, especially with $\kappa \alpha i \pi \epsilon \rho$ (more rarely $\kappa \alpha i$ alone). The Negative is oi. $O\mu\omega$ s (tamen) often accompanies the Principal Verb.

τοῦ Κλέωνος, καίπερ μανιώδης οὖσα, ἡ ὑπόσχεσις ἀπέβη. ΤΗυς.

Cleon's promise, insane though it was, was fulfilled.
Cf. Soph. Ai. 122.

πείθου γυναιξί, καίπερ οὐ στέργων ὅμως. AESCH. Listen to women though thou like them not.

The $\delta\mu\omega_{S}$ belongs to $\pi\epsilon\ell\theta ov$, though it often is drawn to the Participle.

οὖτος οἰεταί τι εἰδέναι οὐκ εἰδώς. PLAT. Apol. vi. This man thinks he knows something though he knows nothing.

κάγὼ σ' ἰκνοῦμαι, καὶ γυνή περ οὖσ' ὅμως. Eur. Orest. 680. I too entreat thee, woman though I be.

Here $\kappa \alpha i$ and $\pi \epsilon \rho$ are separated, and $\ddot{o}\mu \omega s$ is dislocated from its Verb.

Note 1. οὐδέ, οὐδέ π ερ, μ ηδέ, μ ηδέ π ερ are also found with Concessive Particles in Negative Concessive Sentences.

οὖκ ἄν προδοίην, οὖδέ περ πράσσων κακῶs. Eur. Phoen. 1624. I'd not betray, not even though in woe.

γυναικὶ πείθου, μηδέ τὰληθῆ κλύων. Eur. Hipp. Fr. 443. Hearken to a woman, even if thou hearest not the truth.

οὐδ' εἰ, οὐδ' ἐἀν, μήδ' εἰ, μήδ' ἐάν are used in Negative Concessive sentences (ne—quidem).

μὴ θορυβήσητε, μήδ' ἐάν δόξω τι ὑμῖν μέγα λέγειν.

PLAT. Apol. v.

Do not interrupt, even if you shall think that I am speaking presumptuously.

οὐδ' εί, Apol. xvii. 29.

έγὼ μὲν οὖν οὖκ ἄν ποτ', οὖδ' εἴ μοι τὰ σὰ μέλλοι τις οἴσειν δῶρ' ἐφ' οἶσι νῦν χλιδᾶς, τούτοις ὑπεικάθοιμι. Soph. El. 360.

Ne'er then would I, not e'en if one were like To bring me those thy gifts, wherein thou now Art glorying, submit to these.

Note 2. καὶ ταῦτα, and thát too, is also used with a participle, and also, but very seldom, καίτοι. For καὶ ταῦτα, Plat. Rep. 404 B, Xen. Cyr. ii. 2. 16. For καίτοι, Plat. Prot. 339 C.

έγω ούδεν τούτων ποιήσω, και ταθτα κινδυνεύων.

PLAT. Apol. xxiii.

I will do none of these things, and that too though I am running a risk.

Note 3. The Relative occasionally is used in a concessive sense.

For ὄστις, Soph. Ai. 434, ὅτου πάτηρ: and Arist. Ach. 57, ὅστις ἤθελε: ὅς ἐξέβην, Antiph. Caed. Herod. 25.

Note 4. ϵ ἴπερ, $\dot{\epsilon}$ άνπερ, bear a sort of concessive force, or perhaps rather a particularising force, that is to say, cf. if really, Eur. Her. Fur. 1345, Lys. 12. 48; $\dot{\epsilon}$ άνπερ, Plat. Apol. xii. (a General Supposition).

§ 226. Note on $\epsilon i \kappa \alpha i, \kappa \alpha i \epsilon i, \text{ etc.}$

Kai added to the Conditional particles ϵi , $\epsilon \acute{a}\nu$, $\eta \nu$ gives the Conditional Sentence a concessive meaning. Kai is thus added to any form of Conditional Sentence, which will therefore follow the rules of Conditional Sentences. A distinction is generally made between ϵi κai and κai ϵi . Ei κai is said (by Hermann and Kühner) to concede a fact, although, κai ϵi a supposition, even if (a supposition). It is impossible to support this theory. As κai with ϵi and $\epsilon \acute{a}\nu$ occur with every form of conditional sentence (Indicative, Subjunctive, Optative) with ordinary and general suppositions, κai cannot give

the ϵi or $\epsilon \acute{a} \nu$ the power of turning any and every form of supposition into statement of a fact. Hermann's dictum at the most could hold good only of ϵi kal and kal ϵi with the Indicative. And it is equally true here as with ordinary conditions (without $\kappa \alpha i$) that if a fact is stated it is only by virtue of the context. Madvig more cautiously states that εί καί sometimes inclines more to the affirmation of the condition, and that it is often only distinguished from καί εί by being less emphatic. This is the most we can say, the latter part of his remark being very true. Kai in these phrases is expletive, i.e. it emphasises the word it precedes (as in $\pi \rho i \nu$ και γενέσθαι ήμας, PLAT. Phaed. 77. 6, before we came into being at all). It is further clear that καί εί with the Indicative often leans to the affirmation of the condition as strongly as εί καί. Καί εί, being more emphatic, may often mean that even in spite of, under extreme circumstances, the Apodosis holds good.

εί καί, with Indic., Plat. La. 182, Soph. El. 547, O. T. 302.

PLAT. Apol. xxix., AESCH. Pers. 297, AESCH. καὶ εί, Cho. 290 (leans to the fact); SOPH. Ai. 564 (do.); SOPH. Ant. 234 (fut. indic.); PLAT. Apol. xix. (καὶ εἰ μέλλει).

in unfulfilled conditions, Isoca. de permut. εὶ καί, (33), from Madvig.

PLAT. Polit. 276 (Apodosis ἔδει); DEM. 21. καί εἰ. 199.

έαν καί, with Subj., DEM. 16. 24.

MENAND. Fr. 19 (a General Supposition); καὶ ἐάν, " PLAT. Symp. 185, SOPH. El. 25 (a General Supposition).

καὶ εὶ, with Optat., XEN. Hell. vii. 1. 8.

εί καί is used concessively with an ellipse of its verb in PLAT. Apol. xviii.

εί καὶ γελοιότερον είπεῖν.

Though the expression be ridiculous.

εί καί, in SOPH. Ant. 90, is not concessive at all; καί emphasises the ϵi .

εί καὶ δυνήσει γ' (άλλ' άμηχάνων έρậς).

Ay, if thou wilt be able.
Digitized by Microsoft®

CHAPTER V.

§ 227. FINAL SENTENCES, $\delta \pi \omega_S$ WITH THE FUTURE INDICATIVE, AND VERBS OF FEARING WITH $\mu \eta$, etc.

Introductory Note.

Three more or less closely connected constructions are here brought into juxtaposition. They are—

- A. Final Sentences.
- **B.** Modal Sentences with $\tilde{o}\pi\omega_S$ and the Future Indicative.
- **C.** Verbs of Fearing with $\mu\dot{\eta}$, $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ov.

These three constructions sometimes run into one another, at other times they widely diverge. Verbs of Fearing with $\mu\dot{\eta}$ deprecate a result. Negative, Modal, and Final Sentences consider or adopt means to avert a result. The connecting links, therefore, are $\mu\dot{\eta}$ and $\delta\pi\omega$ s. The resemblance is strongest in three such types as the following: A. $\tau a \hat{v} \tau a \pi o \iota \hat{\omega} \ \delta\pi\omega s \ \mu\dot{\eta} \ \delta\pi\sigma\theta \delta\nu\omega$, I do this that I may not die. B. $\epsilon\dot{\pi}\iota\iota\mu\epsilon\lambda o\hat{\nu}\mu a\iota$ $\delta\pi\omega s \ \mu\dot{\eta} \ \delta\pi\sigma\theta \delta\nu\omega$, I take care that (strive how) I shall not die. C. $\phi \delta \delta \hat{\nu}\mu a\iota$ $\mu\dot{\eta} \ \delta\pi\sigma\theta \delta\nu\omega$, I fear that I shall die. The resemblance is even stronger when the construction of B. is $\epsilon\dot{\pi}\iota\mu\epsilon\lambda o\hat{\nu}\mu a\iota$ $\delta\pi\omega s \ \mu\dot{\eta} \ \delta\pi\sigma\theta \delta\nu\omega$, and of C. $\phi \delta \delta \hat{\nu}\mu a\iota$ $\delta\pi\omega s \ \mu\dot{\eta} \ \delta\pi\sigma\theta a\nu\sigma\hat{\nu}\mu a\iota$ or $\delta\pi\omega s \ \mu\dot{\eta} \ \delta\pi\sigma\theta a\nu\sigma\hat{\nu}\mu a\iota$ or $\delta\pi\omega s \ \mu\dot{\eta} \ \delta\pi\sigma\theta a\nu\sigma\hat{\nu}\mu a\iota$ or $\delta\pi\omega s \ \mu\dot{\eta} \ \delta\pi\sigma\theta a\nu\sigma\hat{\nu}\mu a\iota$ or $\delta\pi\omega s \ \mu\dot{\eta} \ \delta\pi\sigma\theta a\nu\sigma\hat{\nu}\mu a\iota$ or $\delta\pi\omega s \ \mu\dot{\eta} \ \delta\pi\sigma\theta a\nu\sigma\hat{\nu}\mu a\iota$ or $\delta\pi\omega s \ \mu\dot{\eta} \ \delta\pi\sigma\theta a\nu\sigma\hat{\nu}\mu a\iota$ or $\delta\pi\omega s \ \mu\dot{\eta} \ \delta\pi\sigma\theta a\nu\sigma\hat{\nu}\mu a\iota$ or $\delta\pi\omega s \ \mu\dot{\eta} \ \delta\pi\sigma\theta a\nu\sigma\hat{\nu}\mu a\iota$ or $\delta\pi\omega s \ \mu\dot{\eta} \ \delta\pi\sigma\theta a\nu\sigma\hat{\nu}\mu a\iota$ or $\delta\pi\omega s \ \mu\dot{\eta} \ \delta\pi\sigma\theta a\nu\sigma\hat{\nu}\mu a\iota$ or $\delta\pi\omega s \ \mu\dot{\eta} \ \delta\pi\sigma\theta a\nu\sigma\hat{\nu}\mu a\iota$ or $\delta\pi\omega s \ \mu\dot{\eta} \ \delta\pi\sigma\theta a\nu\sigma\hat{\nu}\nu a\iota$ (a true Final Sentence), and C. $\delta\sigma\delta\sigma\hat{\nu}\mu a\iota \ \delta s \ \delta\pi\sigma\rho\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\iota s$, I fear that you will be at a loss,

¹ The term Object Sentence is often applied to the second and third forms of these Sentences. If by an Object Sentence is meant one which stands as an Object to the Principal Sentence, then the term appears too comprehensive to be of practical value. It would include Indirect Statements, Indirect Questions, Indirect Commands, the Infinitive after such verbs as $\betaούλομαι$ (e.g. $\betaούλομαι$ έλθεῖν), besides Sentences with δπω, etc. More would be lost than gained by grouping together constructions so different as οίδα άμαρτών, βούλομαι έλθεῖν, and σκόπει δπω ταῦτα γενήσεται. Further, if we use the term Object Sentence, why not also Subject Sentence? Syntax must be content sometimes to sacrifice logical system t_{PCMDEC} t_{CMDEC} t_{CMDEC}

where $\dot{\omega}_s \dot{\alpha}\pi o \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota_s$ is practically a Substantival Sentence of Indirect Statement, or $\dot{\phi} o \beta o \hat{\nu}_{\mu a \iota} \dot{\alpha}\pi o \theta a \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ ($\dot{\tau} \dot{\sigma} \dot{\alpha}\pi o \theta a \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$), which is the same as $\dot{\phi} o \beta o \hat{\nu}_{\mu a \iota} \dot{\theta} \dot{\alpha} \nu a \tau o \nu$.

It is not easy to give the right name to sentences of class **B**. They correspond with the Latin construction curo, enitor, efficio, with ut and the Subjunctive, which Dr. Kennedy assigns to the Indirect Petition. By an extension of the usage of $\delta\pi\omega$ s, verbs of commanding and of requesting (which introduce a true Indirect Petition) may take $\delta\pi\omega$ s with a Future Indica-

tive, just as impero and postulo, etc., take ut (or ne).

"Όπως is a Relative Modal Adverb meaning as, hów, ως—ὅπως, (Epic) or οὕτως—ὅπως (Attic) thus—as or how, ως or οὕτως being the Antecedents to ὅπως. It is also used in Questions, κατάλεξον ὅπως ἤντησας (Od. iii. 97), tell me how thou didst meet with. But one of the most characteristic usages of ὅπως is in Modal Deliberative Questions with the Subjunctive or Optative, after such verbe as φράζομαι, μερμηρίζω, e.g. φράζεσθαι ὅππως κε μνηστήρας κτείνης (Od. i. 295) take counsel how thou shalt slay the wooers. The connection between this and a Final Sentence is obvious, e.g. περιφραζώμεθα πάντες νόστον, ὅπως ἔλθησι (Od. i. 77), let us all take good counsel touching his return how (so that) he shall reach home. The Future Indicative is used much in the same way as the Subjunctive, e.g. φράζευ ὅπως ἀλεξήσεις κακὸν ἤμαρ (Il. ix. 251), take counsel how thou wilt avert the evil day.

§ 228. FINAL SENTENCES.

Final Sentences denote an end, purpose, or intention to achieve or avert a result. They are expressed in a variety of ways, chiefly by (1) Final Particles with the Subjunctive and Optative; (2) by the Future Participle; (3) by Relative Sentences; (4) in certain cases by the Infinitive.

§ 229. FINAL PARTICLES WITH SUBJUNCTIVE AND OPTATIVE.

The Final Particles are $\tilde{v}va$, $\dot{\omega}s$, and $\tilde{\sigma}\pi\omega s$ ($\tilde{\sigma}\phi\rho a$ is Epic and Lyric only). In Negative Sentences $\tilde{v}va$ $\mu\eta$, $\dot{\omega}s$ $\mu\eta$, $\tilde{\sigma}\pi\omega s$ $\mu\eta$, and sometimes $\mu\eta$ only. In Primary Sequence the Subjunctive is used, in Historic Sequence the Opta-

tive, but the strict Sequence is often disregarded, and the Subjunctive used instead of the Optative.

τον κακον δεί κολάζειν ίν' ἀμείνων η. ΡΙΑΤ.

It is necessary to punish the criminal in order that he may be reformed.

ίκέτευσε τοὺς δικαστὰς μετὰ πολλῶν δακρύων ίνα ἐλεηθείη. Plat.

He entreated the jury with many tears in order that he might be pitied.

παρακαλείς ιατρούς όπως μη ἀποθάνης. ΧΕΝ.

You call in physicians in order that you may not die.

ίνα οι άλλοι τύχωσι τῶν δικαίων, τὰ ὑμέτερ' αὐτῶν ἀνηλίσκετε. Dem.

In order that the rest might obtain their rights, you used to spend your own resources.

For ωs see Eur. Tro. 714. For μη only Xen. Cyr. i. 4. 25 (λέγεται εἰπεῖν ὅτι ἀπιέναι βούλοιτο, μη ὁ πατήρ τι ἄχθοιτο). Mη truly final is however rare.

 $\it Note~1.$ The Subjunctive and Optative are sometimes found alternating in Historic Sequence.

τὸ ἀπολλύναι ἀνθρώπους ξυμμάχους πολλοὺς δεινὸν ἐφαίνετο εἶναι, μή τινα διαβολὴν σχοῖεν καὶ οἱ στρατιῶται δύσνοι ὧσι.

ΧΕΝ. Hell. ii. 1. 2.

To put to death a number of allies was considered a dangerous course, lest they should incur odium and the troops be disaffected.

παρανίσχον φρυκτούς πολλούς, ὅπως ἀσαφῆ τὰ σημεία τοῖς πολεμίοις ἢ, καὶ μὴ βοηθοῖεν πρὶν σφῶν οἱ ἄνδρες διαφύγοιειν. ΤΗυς. iii. 22.

They were hoisting many beacons, in order that the enemies' signals might be unintelligible to them, and that they might not bring aid before their own men escaped (should escape).

Dr. Arnold in his well-known note on this passage explains that the Subjunctive expresses the *immediate*, and the Optative the *remote*, consequence (? purpose), the second (Optative) being a consequence upon the first (Subjunctive). Such an explanabilities by Microsoft®.

tion, however, clearly cannot apply, as Dr. Arnold thought, to all cases, e.g. to passages where the Optative precedes the Subjunctive (see Xen. Hell. ii. 1. 2, above, and Thuc. vi. 96). This interchange of moods, of the graphic Subjunctive and the remoter Optative, is allowable in every variety of Greek subordinate construction.

For other instances cf. HDT. i. 185; viii, 76; ix. 51; THUC. vii, 17. 4; vii, 70, 1.

Note 2. $d\nu$ is sometimes joined to $d\nu$ and $d\nu$ with the Subjunctive ($d\nu$) a $\kappa\epsilon$ Epic). It adds little, if any, meaning Possibly $d\nu$ may refer to an implied condition, like our English so (in order that so).

αν is not found with the Subjunctive in Negative Final

Sentences.

"Iva av, when it occurs, is not final but indefinitely local (wheresoever).

πατρίς γάρ έστι πᾶσ' ἵν' ἃν πράττη τις εδ.

ARIST. Plut. 1151.

The fatherland is any land where'er a man is prospering.

Examples of ws av with Subjunctive.

ως ἄν μάθης, ἀντάκουσον. XEN. An. ii. 5. Listen in return, that you may know.

χώρει δ' ἔνθαπερ κατέκτανες πατέρα τὸν ἀμόν, ὡς ἄν ἐν ταὐτῷ θάνης. Soph. El. 1496. On to the spot ev'n where thou slew'st my father, That so on that same spot thou may'st be slain.

Cf. Aesch. P. V. 10; Soph. Phil. 825; Plat. Rep. 567 A, Symp. 189 A.

Note 3. When $\tilde{a}\nu$ is found with $\dot{\omega}s$ or $\tilde{o}\pi\omega s$ and the Optative in a Final Sentence, $\dot{\omega}s$ and $\tilde{o}\pi\omega s$ are Modal, and the Optative with $\tilde{a}\nu$ is an Apodosis.

ως μεν αν είποιτε δικαίους λόγους αμεινον Φιλίππου παρεσκεύασθε, ως δε κωλύσαιτ' αν αύτον αργως έχετε. DEM. Phil. ii. 66.

As to the means by which you might express just sentiments you are better prepared than Philip, but as to means of checking him you are doing nothing.

βουλευσόμεθα ὅπως ἀν ἄριστα ἀγωνιζοίμεθα. ΧΕΝ. Cyr. ii. 1. 4. Cf. Cyr. i. 2. 5. Plat. Symp. 187, D. In Xen. Hell. iv. 8. 16, ὅπως ἄν, πληρωθέντος ναυτικοῦ κ.τ.λ., προςδέοιντο, we must either explain that ὅπως ἄν προςδέοιντο is the Apodosis (in order that they might want), and πληρωθέντος the Protasis, $= \epsilon i \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \epsilon i \eta$ (if the fleet were manned): or we must consider that Xenophon is using an Epic construction (ὡς ὅπως ἄν οτ κεν in Final Sentences with the Optative, e.g. Od. ii. 53, xvii. 362).

The Optative with $\mu \dot{\eta}$ and occurs in Soph. Trach. 631,

THUC. ii. 93.

Note 4. In a few places $\ddot{o}\pi\omega_{S}$ with a Future Indicative is strictly final rather than modal. And as the verb of striving, or taking precaution, does not precede in these passages, they are noticed here under Final Sentences. The Future Indicative may be regarded as a vivid form of the Subjunctive.

οὐδὲ δι' ἔν ἄλλο τρέφονται ἢ ὅπως μαχοῦνται.

XEN. Cyr. ii. 1. 21.

And they are maintained for no other single purpose except for fighting (lit. how they shall fight).

ἔφη χρῆναι ἀναβιβάζειν ἐπὶ τὸν τροχὸν τοὺς ἀπογραφέντας, ὅπως μὴ πρότερον νόξ ἔσται πρὶν πυθέσθαι τοὺς ἄνδρας ἄπαντας. ΑΝDOK. de Myst. 43.

He said that those who had been informed against ought to be put upon the wheel (to the torture), in order to discover all the perpetrators before night-fall.

Cf. Soph. El. 955; Arist. Ecc. 495.

It is doubtful whether the Future Optative, as the Obliqua of the above, ever occurs. The MSS appear to favour other constructions where it has hitherto been read. In Plat. Rep. 393 E, $\mu\dot{\eta}$ οὖκ ἐπαρκέσοι occurs as a virtual, rather than literal, obliqua of $\mu\dot{\eta}$ οὖ χραίσ $\mu\eta$: cf. Il. i. 25. See Goodwin, Moods and Tenses, p. 40.

Note 5. In a few places the Optative is found in a Primary Sequence. The Optative carries back the purpose to its original conception in the past; the action, though still continuing in the present, was begun in the past.

τοῦτον δ' όχῶ

ΐνα μὴ ταλαιπωροίτο. ARIST. Ran. 23.

I'm carrying him,

that he mayn't be inconvenienced.

i.e. I took him on my back (and am carrying him) that he might not be, etc.

Digitized by Microsoft®

τοῦτον ἔχει τὸν τρόπον ὁ νόμος, ἴνα μηδὲ πεισθῆναι μηδ' ἐξαπατηθῆναι γένοιτ' ἐπὶ τῷ δήμῳ, DEM. 22. 11 (ANDROT. 596, 17), i.e. the original intention of the law when first made was, etc. Cf. XEN. Cyr. iv. 2. 45 (ἴνα, εἴ ποτε δέοι, δυναίμεθα, in Primary

sequence).

In the same way Cicero uses the Imperfect Subjunctive to recall the original intention:—Homines sunt hac lege generati qui tuerentur illum globum . . . quae terra dicitur, Cic. Rep. vi. 15. Sic mihi perspicere videor ita natos esse nos ut inter omnes esset societas quaedam, Cic. Lael. 5. Cf. de Off. i. § 152, ii. § 1.

Cf. Eur. El. 58, and Hec. 1138 (Subjunctive followed by

Optative in Primary sequence).

§ 230. Final Sentences with Past Tenses of the Indicative.

A Final Sentence with $\ell\nu\alpha$ (less commonly &s and $\ell\nu\alpha$) and a Past Tense of the Indicative expresses a purpose unfulfilled either in the Present (Imperfect Indicative), or in the Past (Aorist Indicative). The Principal Sentence is either an unfulfilled Wish, or an unfulfilled Apodosis.

εὶ γὰρ ὤφελον οἷοί τε εἶναι τὰ μέγιστα κακὰ ἐργάζεσθαι, ἵνα οδοι τέ ἦσαν καὶ ἀγαθὰ τὰ μέγιστα. PLAT. Crito, iii.

Would they had been able to do the greatest evil, in order that they might be able (or might have now been able) to do also the greatest good (which they are not able to do).

καὶ μὴν ἄξιον γ' ἦν ἀκοῦσαι. τί δή ; ἴν' ἤκουσας ἀνδρῶν οἱ σοφώτατοί ἐἰσι. Plat. Euthyd. 304 E.

Well, I assure you it would have been worth hearing. Why so? In order that you might have heard the ablest men.

άξιον $\hat{\eta}\nu$ of course=άξιον $\hat{a}\nu$ $\hat{\eta}\nu$, similarly we should say, It was worth hearing.

ως ὤφελον πάροιθεν ἐκλιπεῖν βίον, κ.τ.λ. ὅπως θανων ἔκεισο τŷ τόθ' ἡμέρφ. Soph. El. 1134. Would God that I had first forsaken life, etc. That death had laid thee low on that far day.

Other well-known examples are AESCH. P. V. 152 (after a wish): ib. 766 (after a question equivalent to a wish): SOPH. O. T. 1387, 1391; DEM. Aph. ii. 837. 11; iii. 849. 24.

§ 231. FINAL SENTENCES WITH THE FUTURE PARTICIPLE.

A Final Sentence is often expressed by a Future Participle: $\dot{\omega}_S$ is often added, denoting the *thought*, or the *presumed intention* in the mind of the Subject of the principal verb.

πρέσβεις ές Λακεδαίμονα ἔπεμψαν ταῦτά τε ἐροῦντας καὶ Λύσανδρον αἰτήσοντας. Χεν.

They sent envoys to Lacedaemon to say this, and to ask for Lysander.

παρεσκευάζοντο ώς προςβαλοῦντες τῷ τειχίσματι.

THUC.

They were making preparations for an attack on the fort (with the intention of attacking).

Note. Such a Participle is especially common after a verb of motion.

ήδη ὥρα ἀπιέναι, ἐμοὶ μὲν ἀποθανουμένω, ὑμῖν δὲ βιωσομένοις. Plat. Apol. xxxii.

It is high time to be going, for me that I may die, for you that you may live.

§ 232. RELATIVE FINAL SENTENCES.

A Final Sentence is expressed by $\delta\sigma\tau\iota\varsigma$ (less frequently $\delta\varsigma$) with the Future Indicative. In Historic Sequence the Future Optative would strictly be used, but the Future Indicative (the vivid construction) is generally retained. The negative is $\mu\eta$.

πρεσβείαν πέμπετε ήτις ταῦτ' ἐρεῖ καὶ πάρεσται τοῖς πράγμασιν. Dem.

Send a deputation to bear this message, and to be present at the operations.

Legatos mittite qui haec nuntient rebusque se immisecant.

Digitized by Microsoft®

ἔδοξε τῷ δήμῷ τριάκοντα ἄνδρας ἐλέσθαι οἱ νόμους ξυγγράψουσι.
ΧΕΝ.

The assembly resolved to appoint thirty men who were to compile laws.

Cf. Xen. Cyr. viii. 6. 3; An. ii. 3. 6. (où ἄξουσιν). In Thuc. vii. 25, the Subjunctive occurs, οὖπερ φράσωσι (in Historic sequence).

§ 233. Final Sentences with the Infinitive.

A Final Sentence is expressed by the Infinitive, chiefly after verbs of choosing, appointing, or assigning.

Εενοφῶν τὸ ημισυ τοῦ στρατεύματος κατέλιπε φυλάττειν τὸ στρατόπεδον. ΧΕΝ.

Xenophon left half his force behind to guard the camp.

οἱ ἄρχοντες οὕς εἴλεσ θ ε ἄρχειν μου. Plat. Apol. xvii. The rulers whom you chose to rule me.

Cf. Thuc. vi. 50, πλεῦσαί τε, κ.τ.λ.

Note 1. As the Infinitive is, in its origin, a Verbal Dative, we have a natural explanation of this use of it: $\phi\nu\lambda\dot{\alpha}\tau\tau\epsilon\nu$, for the guarding. We may, of course, say that the Infinitive is explanatory (epexegetical).

Note 2. For $\tau \circ \hat{v}$ with the Infinitive in a Final Sense, see Index.

\S 234. ${}^{\circ}$ O $\pi\omega$ s, ${}^{\circ}$ o $\pi\omega$ s $\mu\eta$, MODAL WITH THE FUTURE INDICATIVE, ETC.

" $O\pi\omega$ s, $\delta\pi\omega$ s $\mu\eta$ are used with the Future Indicative (usually the 2d person) after Verbs of taking means to an end (considering, striving, and contriving). In Primary Sequence the Future Indicative is used; in Historic Sequence the Future Optative may be used, but the Future Indicative (the vivid construction) is much commoner.

Digitized by Microsoft®

Such Verbs are:

βουλεύω μέλει (μοι) δρῶ μελετῶ

μελετώ΄ εὐλαβοῦμαι πράσσω μηχανῶμαι παρασκευάζω

σκοπῶ (σκοποῦμαι) φροντίζω

εύλαβοῦμαι προθυμοῦμαι

σπουδάζω ώνοῦμαι (I manage by bribery).

έπιμελουμαι

φυλάσσω

Dem. de Cor. 236. 12.

And periphrases such as $\pi \rho \acute{o} \nu o i a \nu \acute{e} \chi \omega$, $\mu \eta \chi a \nu \eta \acute{e} \sigma \tau \iota$, etc. Cf. Latin curo, (curam, operam, negotium) do, studeo, id ago, enitor, efficio, impetro with ut (ne) and Subjunctive.

φρόντιζε ὅπως μηδὲν ἀνάξιον σαυτοῦ πράξεις. Isoc. See that thou do nothing unworthy of thyself.

Vide ne quid te indignum agas.

έπιμελητέον ὅπως ὡς ἀρίστη σοι ἔσται ἡ ψυχή.

PLAT.

You must strive that your soul may be as good as possible.

Enitendum est ut tibi quam optimus sit animus tuus ἐπεμελεῖτο ὅπως μήτε ἄσιτοι μήτε ἄποτοι ἔσοιντο.

XEN.

He was taking precautions that they should be neither without food nor drink.

ἔπρασσον ὅπως τις βοήθεια ήξει. ΤΗυς.

They were arranging for the arrival of reinforcements.

Note 1. The 1st and 3d person are very rare. In Dem. Chers. 99. 14 (ὅπως ἐθελήσουσι); in Ar. Eccl. (ὅπως καθεδοῦ-μεθα).

Note 2. Instead of the Future Indicative the Subjunctive and Optative (Present and Aorist) less often occur, though not uncommonly.

ὄρα ὅπως μὴ παρὰ δόξαν ὁμολογŷs. PLAT. Crit. See that you are not surprised into making an admission. ἐμεμελήκει αὐτοῖς ὅπως ὁ ἱππαγρέτης εἰδείη οὕς δέοι πέμπειν. ΧΕΝ. Hell. iii. 3, 9.

They had taken care that the Cavalry-Commissioner should know who should have senty Microsoft®

In Lys. 12. 44 an Aorist Optative is followed by a Future Indicative ($\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\beta$ ουλεύεσ $\theta\epsilon$ ὅπως μήτε ψηφίσαισ $\theta\epsilon$, πολλών τε $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\delta\epsilon\epsilon$ ῦς ἔσεσ $\dot{\theta}\epsilon$).

Note 3. Variants, of rare occurrence for ὅπως with the Future Indicative are ὅπη (Thuc. i. 65, γενήσεται); ὅτψ τρόπω (Thuc. iv. 128, ξυμβήσεται); ἐξ ὅτου τρόπου (Dem. Megal. 207).

ώs is found instead of ὅπως with a Subjunctive or Optative (XEN. Oec. xx. 8, AESCH. P. V. 203), but seldom with a Future Indicative (XEN. Cyr. iii. 2. 13).

Note 4. αν is sometimes found with ὅπως and the Subjunctive, cf. Plat. Gorg. 481 a (μηχανητέον ὅπως αν διαφύγη), but never with ὅπως and the Future Indicative. The Optative (Present or Aorist) with αν in this construction is an Apodosis.

Cf. XEN. Oec. ii. 9 (ἐπιμελεῖσθαι ὅπως ἃν γένοιτο).

Note 5. Mή is found, instead of ὅπως μή, with the Subjunctive (rarely with the Future Indicative) after $\sigma \kappa \sigma \pi \hat{\omega}$, $\delta \rho \hat{\omega}$, $\epsilon \hat{\nu} \lambda \alpha \beta \delta \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha \iota$, $\phi \nu \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$, just as after Verbs of Fearing. Conversely ὅπως μή, instead of the simple μή, is used after Verbs of Fearing. Cf. the next section, page 265. Cf. Soph. Phil. (ὅρα μὴ παρῆς), O. C. 1180; Plat. Symp. 213 D. In Xen. Cyr. iv. 1. 18 (ὅρα μὴ δεήσει), εὐλαβεῖσθαι μή, Plat. Prot. 321 A; εὐλαβεῖσθαι τὸ μή, Plat. Rep. 539 A; φυλάσσεσθαι μή is fairly common.

Note 6. ἐπιμελοῦμαι is found with an Infinitive in Thuc. vi. 54. 6, Xen. Comm. iv. 7. 1, Appian, Civ. v. 73. So curo occurs with the Infinitive in Cic. de Fin. iii. 19. 62 (natura . . . diligi procreatos non curaret). Poppo, Thuc. vi. 54. So also ψυλάσσομαι μὴ ποιεῖν, τὸ μὴ ποιεῖν, I guard against doing, Dem. 773.

1, 313. 6.

Note 7. $\sigma \kappa \sigma \pi \hat{\omega}$ is followed by ϵl interrogative (SOPH. Ant. 41). See similar construction with Verbs of Fearing, Note, p. 266.

Note 8. In one or two places δεί precedes ὅπως with the Fut. Indicative, e.g. Soph. Ai. 556, δεί σε ὅπως δείξεις: Phil. 55, σε δεί ὅπως ἐκκλέψεις. Jebb (note to Soph. Ai. 556) quotes Cratinus (apud Athenaeum), δεί σ' ὅπως ἀλεκτρύονος μηδὲν διοίσεις τοὺς τρόπους. There seems to be a confusion between two constructions; δεί with the Infinitive, and some verb like ὅρα, σκόπει with ὅπως and the Future Indicative. In Arist. Eq. 926 we have σπεύσω σε ὅπως ἀν ἐγγραφŷς, which however may be regarded simply as an instance of Antiptosis, i.e. σε, the Subject to ἐγγραφŷς, is made the Object to σπεύσω, which is a Verb just like σπουδάζω οτ πράσσω.

§ 235. ELLIPTICAL USE OF ὅπως, ὅπως μή, WITH THE FUTURE INDICATIVE.

" $O\pi\omega$ s, ὅπως μή are used with the Future Indicative in exhortations and prohibitions, when no principal sentence has preceded. All three persons are found, though the second is commonest.

 \vec{a} λλ' \acute{o} πως \vec{a} ν \grave{n} ρ \acute{e} σει. Eur. Cycl. 595. Come, be a man!

ὅπως δε τοῦτο μὴ διδάξεις μηδενί. Ar. Nub. Mind you don't tell this to anybody.

φέρε δη ὅπως μεμνησόμεθα ταῦτα. Plat. Gorg. 495 d. Well, then, let us be sure to remember this.

όπως ταῦτα μηδεὶς ἀνθρώπων πεύσεται, Lys. i. 21. See that not a soul hears of this.

Observe that this construction is generally introduced by a word, ἀλλά, οὖν, δέ, sometimes by ἄγε νυν (Ar. Nub. 490).

 $\it Note.$ The Subjunctive occasionally is found:

ὅπως γ ε μὴ ἐξαπατήση ἡμᾶς. Plat. Prot. 313 c. Mind he does not deceive us.

§ 236. [°]Oπως, ὅπως μή WITH VERBS OF COM-MANDING AND FORBIDDING.

For this Construction, see Indirect Petition. It is, of course, the same as $\delta\pi\omega_{S}$ after Verbs of taking means to an end, although an extension of it. There is a natural connexion between, "Take care to do so," and "I bid you do so." But for the sake of convenience the rule and examples are given elsewhere.

§ 237. VERBS OF FEARING WITH μή, AND μη οὐ.

Verbs and phrases denoting fear are followed by $\mu \dot{\eta}$ and $\mu \dot{\eta}$ or with the Subjunctive (in Primary Sequence),

Digitized by Microsoft®

and the Optative (in Historic Sequence). The Subjunctive may, by the graphic construction, of course be substituted for the Optative.

δέδοικα μὴ ταῦτα γένηται. I fear this will happen. Vereor ne haec fiant.

δέδοικα μὴ οὐ ταῦτα γένηται. I fear this will not happen. Vereor ut (ne non) haec fiant.

ἐδεδοίκειν μὴ (μὴ οὐ) ταῦτα γένοιτο or γένηται. Verebar ne (ut) haec fierent.

Observe that $\mu \eta'$ does not negative the verb; it expresses a surmise that the result will occur. $O\dot{v}$ on the other hand is privative and negatives the verb.

δέδοικα μη ούχ όσιον η.

I fear it will not be righteous (i.e. unrighteous).

For $\mu\eta$, $\mu\eta$ or and the Subjunctive, etc., without a principal verb see the Chapter on Negatives.

Verbs of fearing are:-

φοβοῦμαι, δέος ἐστί πέφρικα (mostly poet.) δέδοικα, δεινόν ἐστι τρέω (mostly poet.)

δέδοικα μη ἐπιλαθώμεθα της οἴκαδε ὁδοῦ. Xen. I fear we shall forget the way home.

οὐκέτι ἐπετίθεντο δεδοικότες μὴ ἀποτμηθείησαν. ΧΕΝ. They were no longer attacking from fear of being cut off.

δέδιμεν μη οὐ βέβαιοι ητε. Thuc. We fear you are not trustworthy.

Digitized by Microsoft®

έφοβείτο τὸ στράτευμα μὴ ἐπὶ τὴν αύτοῦ χώραν στρατεύηται.

He was afraid that the army would march against his own country.

ουδεν δεινον μη έν έμοι στη. Plat. Apol. xv.

There is no fear (likelihood) of the rule breaking down in my case.

Obs. In Xen. Mem. i. 2. 7, we have έθαύμαζε εἴ τις φοβοῖτο μὴ ὁ γενόμενος καλὸς κάγαθὸς μὴ χάριν έξοι, instead of μὴ οὐ χάριν έξοι, an abnormal construction not found elsewhere.

Note 1. As these Verbs of Fearing denote doubt and apprehension as much as downright fear, their construction is followed by many Verbs which in other senses take other constructions, but which when denoting apprehension, anxiety, suspicion lest or whether, are followed by $\mu \dot{\eta}$ and $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ov.

Such verbs are verbs of caution in the sense of anxiety (φροντίζω, έννοῶ, ὀρῶ, σκοπῶ, εὐλαβοῦμαι, φυλάσσω (-ομαι); οκνω, I hesitate or scruple (from fear, or pity, or shame); ύποπτεύω, I suspect, ἀπιστῶ (ἀπιστίαν ἔχει, παρέχει) in the sense of suspecting rather than disbelieving, κίνδυνός έστι.

ύποπτεύομεν μη οὐ κοινοὶ ἀποβητε. ΤΗUC. iii, 53.

We suspect that you will not prove impartial.

όκνω μή μοι ὁ Λυσίας ταπεινὸς φανή. Plat. Pol. 368.

φροντίζω μη κράτιστον ή μοι σιγάν. ΧΕΝ. Mem. iv. 2. 39. I am thinking that it may be best for me to say nothing.

Cf. Plat. Phaed. 70 A (ἀπιστίαν παρέχει μὴ οὐδαμοῦ); Plat. Theaet. 183 Ε (αἰσχυνόμενος μή, a very rare construction with this verb); Soph. Tr. 1129 (εὐλαβεῖσθαι μὴ φανŷs); Thuc. iv. 11 (φυλάσσεσθαι μη ξυντρίψωσιν).

Consult the Index for other meanings and constructions of

these verbs.

Note 2. Instead of the Subjunctive after Verbs of Fearing the tenses of the Indicative are used.

(1) The Future Indicative as a graphic substitute for the Subjunctive.

φοβούμαι μη τινας ήδονας ήδοναις εύρήσομεν έναντίας.

PLAT. Phileb. 13 A.

I apprehend that we shall find some pleasures opposite to pleasures. Digitized by Microsoft®

- Cf. Plat. Rep. 451 A (φοβερόν τε καὶ σφαλερὸν μὴ κείσομαι). In Aesch. Pers. 112, the Subjunctive and Future Indicative are co-ordinate, μὴ πύθηται καὶ ἔσσεται, πέση (δέ). See Choeph. 257. So Eur. H. F. 1054, Ar. Ecc. 493.
- (2) $\delta \pi \omega s \ \mu \dot{\eta}$ with the Future Indicative, Subjunctive, or Optative, as after verbs of taking means to an end in the previous section.

ἀτὰρ τοῦ δαίμονος δέδοιχ' ὅπως μὴ τεύξομαι κακοδαίμονος. Απ. Εq. 112.

But I m afraid

This genius will turn out my evil genius—(Frere).

δέδοιχ' ὅπως μὴ ἀνάγκη γένηται. Dem. Phil. iii. 130. 14. I fear that a necessity may arise.

(3) $\dot{\omega}_s$ with the Future Indicative. $\dot{\omega}_s$ does not (like $\ddot{\omega}\pi\omega_s$) appear to have a modal force, but to introduce an Indirect Statement, as if $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \omega_{\kappa} a$ or $\phi o \beta o \dot{\omega}_{\mu} a$ meant I fear, thinking that.

ἀνδρὸς μὴ φοβοῦ ὡς ἀπορήσεις ἀξίου. XEN. Cyr. v. 2. 12. Do not fear that you will be at a loss for a worthy man.

Cf. Soph. El. 1309, Xen. Cyr. vi. 2. 30, Dem. Phil. iv. 1. 141.

In Eur. Heracl. 248 ($\delta\pi\omega s$, as $\delta\pi\omega s$ is occasionally used in Indirect Discourse).

When ö^{tt} follows a Verb of Fearing it seems to introduce an ordinary causal (or rather explanatory) sentence.

ὅτι δὲ πολλῶν ἄρχουσι μὴ φοβηθῆτε. Xen. Hell. iii. 5. 10. Do not be afraid because they rule many.

Though we might translate, do not be afraid thinking that.

Note 3. The Infinitive, Future, Present or Aorist is also used. οὐ φοβούμεθα ἐλασσώσεσθαι. ΤΗυC. v. 105.

We are not afraid that we shall be beaten.

The Future Infinitive is here = the more usual $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with Subjunctive.

φοβοῦμαι διελέγχειν σε μὴ ὑπολάβης. Plat. Gorg. 457 E. I am afraid to refute you lest you should suppose.

The latter construction (with the Present or Aorist) is common enough, and is the ordinary objective construction common to verbs of fearing with many of the verbs mentioned

in Note 1, e.g. ϕ οβοῦμαι ἀδικεῖν, I fear to do wrong; αἰσχύνομαι ἀδικεῖν, I am ashamed to do wrong; κινδυνεύω ἀδικεῖν, I run a risk of doing wrong; ϕ υλάσσω μηδένα ἀδικεῖν, I take care that no one does wrong. Cf. Latin, culpari metuit fides; penna metuente solvi, etc., in Horace.

Note 4. Observe the following distinctions:-

φοβοῦμαι ἀδικεῖν.
 I fear to do wrong (and so refrain).

φοβοῦμαι μὴ ἀδικῶ.
 I fear I shall do wrong.

φοβοῦμαι ἀδικήσειν.
 I fear I shall do wrong (very rare for 2).

φοβοῦμαι τὸ αδικεῖν.
 I fear wrong-doing (generally, by myself, or by another.)

Note 5. Verbs of Fearing are also followed by ϵi interrogative.

οὐ δέδοικα εἰ Φίλιππος ζŷ. DEM. Fals. Leg. 434. 6.

I have no fear whether Philip is alive (i.e. I have no fear as to that question).

Cf. Eur. Herac. 791, XEN. Hell. xi. 1. 4 (ὅποι).

Note 6. $\tilde{a}\nu$ is not used with the Subjunctive after Verbs of Fearing. When the Optative is found with $\tilde{a}\nu$ it is an Apodosis.

§ 238. Verbs of Fearing, etc., with the Indicative.

When the result has actually occurred, or is occurring, the verb with $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is in the Indicative.

Thus : δέδοικα μὴ ἁμαρτάνης (or ἁμάρτης).

I fear you will make a mistake.

But δέδοικα μη άμαρτάνεις.

I fear you (actually) are making a mistake.

δέδοικα μη ήμάρτηκας.

I fear you have made a mistake.

So δέδοικα μὴ ἡμάρτανες (you were making a mistake); μὴ ἡμαρτες, that you made a mistake.

Digitized by Microsoft®

1. The Present Indicative:

φοβεῖσθε μὴ δυσκολώτερόν τι διάκειμαι. PLAT. Phaed. XXXV. You are afraid that I am in a somewhat more fretful state of mind.

ἐπίσχες, ὡς ἀν προὖξερευνήσω στίβον, μή τις πολιτῶν ἐν τρίβῷ φαντάζεται, κάμοι μὲν ἔλθη, κ.τ.λ. Εur. Phoen. 92. Yet stay, that first I may explore the path, Lest any citizen now is visible Upon the road, and one shall come to me.

Cf. Ion, 1523, Soph. El. 580. Similarly δρώμεν μη Νικίας οἴεται, Plat. Lach. 179 Β. εἰσόμεσθα μη καλύπτει, Soph. Antig. 1253. διστάζομεν μη τυγχάνει, Plat. Soph. 235 Α. σκεψώμεθα μη λανθάνει, Plat. Ly. 216 C.

2. The Imperfect Indicative :-

ὄρα μὴ παίζων ἔλεγεν. Plat. Theaet. 145 B. Have a care that he was not speaking in jest.

3. The Perfect Indicative:-

φοβοῦμαι μὴ ἀμφοτέρων ἄμα ἡμαρτήκαμεν. Thuc. iii. 53. I fear that we have missed both objects at once.

- Cf. Plat. Lys. 218 d, Dem. 19. 26 (Fals. Leg. 372. 1).
- 4. The Aorist Indicative does not appear to occur in Attic. See Hom. Od. v. 300.
- 5. The Future Indicative may be regarded as a graphic substitute for the Subjunctive (supra).¹

§ 239. Note on Dawes's Canon.

Dawes laid down the rule that after $\sigma \pi \omega s \mu \eta$ and $o v \mu \eta$, the First Aorist Passive, and the Second Aorist Active, Middle, and Passive may be used, but not the First Aorist Active or Middle. Instead of the First Aorist Active and Middle, he said that the Future Indicative must be used. Subsequent

¹ So at least in Attic. But if the original force of the Subjunctive was imperative (denoting will) rather than future (a point on which it is impossible to speak dogmatically), the Subjunctive in the oldest Greek would mean shall rather than will, and would be more direct and vivid than the Future. See Monro's Homeric Grammar, pp. 231 and 238.

critics extended Dawes's Canon to ὅπως (without μή), and set about changing a First Aorist Active and Middle, wherever they were found in a text, to a Future Indicative. ground for this arbitrary rule of Dawes is the resemblance in form between the First Aorist Active and Middle and the Future Indicative, e.g. KATOKNHCHIC (κατοκνήσης, SOPH. El. 956) and KATOKNHCEIC (κατοκνήσεις); ΞΥΛΛΕΞΗΤΑΙ (ξυλλέξηται) and ΞΥΛΛΕΞΕΤΑΙ (ξυλλέξεται). this resemblance of form might incline a Greek writer to avoid confusion by using a second Aorist (if it existed) rather than a First Aorist. Dawes made no objection to a First Aorist Subjunctive Passive, because it bears no resemblance in form to a Future Indicative. But Dawes's Canon rests on no solid foundation of grammar, and breaks down completely on examination. Instances of the First Aorist Subjunctive Active and Middle in which all the MSS. agree are $\delta \pi \omega s \mu \dot{\eta}$ έπιβοηθήσωσι (Thuc. iv. 66); ὅπως μὴ βουλεύσησθε (Thuc. i. 73); ὅπως μὴ ἐργάσησθε, Lys. 138. Secondly, in some cases the First Acrist Active does not resemble in form the Future Indicative, and therefore cannot possibly be changed. E.q. SOPH. Phil. 381, οὐ μὴ ἐκπλεύσης: the Future is ἐκπλευσοῦμαι, and the second person would be ἐκπλευσεῖ not ἐκπλεύσεις. PLAT. Rep. x. 609, $d\pi o \lambda \epsilon \sigma \eta$, the Future is $d\pi o \lambda \hat{\omega}$: Soph. El. 1122, κλαίσω, First Acrist Active, where the Future would be κλαυσούμαι. And, lastly, the change made would in some cases spoil the metre.

CHAPTER VI.

§ 240. CONSECUTIVE AND LIMITATIVE SENTENCES

A Consecutive Sentence may be expressed either by **A.** $\delta \sigma \tau \epsilon$ with the Indicative, or **B.** $\delta \sigma \tau \epsilon$ with the Infinitive.

A. $\H{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ ($\H{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ o \r{v}) with the Indicative states the consequence as an independent fact actually occurring.

έγω δη είς τοσουτον άμαθίας ήκω ώστε κακον έκων ποιώ. Plat.

I it seems have reached such a pitch of ignorance that I deliberately do wrong.

εἰς τοῦτο ἢλθον ώστ' οὐκ ἐξήρκεσεν αὐτοῖς ἡ κατὰ γῆν ἀρχή. ISOC.

To such a pitch they came that their empire by land did not satisfy them.

B. ὅστε (ὅστε μή) with the Infinitive expresses merely the consequence which would naturally result from the Principal Verb without affirming or denying its occurrence.

γνώμης γὰρ οὖκ ἄπειρος ὥσθ' άμαρτάνειν. SOPH. He is not void of wit that he should err.

τὰ παραδείγματα τῶν άμαρτημάτων ἵκανα τοῖς σώφροσι ὅστε μηκέτι άμαρτάνειν. ΑΝΟΟΚ.

Examples of errors are sufficient for sensible people that they should no longer err, i.e. sufficient to keep them from erring.

§ 241. $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$ with Indicative and Infinitive.

A. ὥστε with the Indicative is parallel with ὅτι and the Indicative, that is to say, it introduces the Indicative as a statement almost, sometimes quite, independent of the Principal Sentence.

Thus: τοσοῦτον σὺ ἐμοῦ σοφώτερος εἶ, ὥστε σὺ μὲν ἔγνωκας ἐγὼ δὲ ἀγνοῶ. PL. Αροί. xiii.

So much wiser are you than I, that (and so) you have discovered, while I am ignorant, etc.

This introductory force of ωστε is best shown by its familiar usage at the beginning of a sentence where it is a synonym for οὖν, τοίνυν, and may be rendered and so, consequently, therefore.

εἰς τὴν ὑστεραίαν οὐχ ἦκεν Τισσαφέρνης· ὥσθ' οἱ Έλληνες ἐφρόντιζον, ΧΕΝ. Απ. ii. 3. 25.

On the morrow Tissaphernes did not appear. Consequently the Greeks began to consider, etc.

So with an Imperative.

θνητὸς δ' Ορέστης δοτε μὴ λίαν στένε. Soph. El. 1172. Orestes was but mortal. Therefore grieve not too sore.

Occasionally this introductory force of $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$ is seen even with an Infinitive.

ὥστ' ἐμὲ ἐμαυτὸν ἀνερωτᾶν (after a colon or full stop).

PLAT. Apol. viii.

And so I was questioning myself.

As ὅστε, like ὅτι, is merely introductory, it can be followed by any construction which an independent sentence can take, e.g. Imperative (supra): ὅστε μὴ ἀποκάμης, PLAT. Crit. 45. ὅστε ἄν with Optative, ὥστε ἄν διδάσκοιτε, XEN. Cyr. iii. 3. 35. ὥστε ἄν ἐτόλμησεν, Lys. 7. 28.

B. ωστε with Infinitive.

ι.ε. explanatory of the Principal Verb. It is doubly so, for ι.ε. explanatory particle, and the Infinitive is in its own nature explanatory. Indeed ι.ε. with the Infinitive, as will be seen below, often takes the place of the simple Infinitive. The consecutive use of ι.ε. with the Infinitive is only one among several of these explanatory usages. The result contemplated, i.e. the purpose, l.ε. Digitized by Microsoft.

may be denoted; the condition or limitation; the command or advice. The character of the explanation or definition will depend upon the nature of the Principal Verb or Sentence.

1. A Purpose, or Contemplated Result. πῶν ποιοῦσιν ὥστε μὴ δίκην διδόναι.

PLAT. Gorg. 479 C.

They do anything to avoid punishment.

Cf. Plat. Phaed. 114, ώστε μετασχείν.

2. Limitation or Condition (cf. $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$ $\ddot{\phi}$, $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$ $\ddot{\phi}$ $\tau\epsilon$).

ξυμμαχίαν έποιήσαντο έπὶ τοῦσδε, ὥστε μὴ στρατεύειν έπὶ Πελοποννησίους. ΤΗυς. iii. 14.

They made an alliance on these conditions, that they were not to serve against the Peloponnesians.

3. A Petition or Command.

πείθουσιν ώστε "Αργει έπιχειρήσαι. Thuc. iii. 103. They try to induce them to attack Argos.

The Infinitive alone would be more usual here.

Cf. Thuc. viii. 45, ώστε ἀποκτείναι. Soph. O. C. 969, ώστε $\theta \alpha \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu}$, ordaining, requiring, to the effect, that he should die.

4. The definitive force (denoting the character, degree, or extent) is well shown in the following:-

> πείσομαι γάρ οὐ τοσούτον οὐδὲν ὥστε μὴ οὐ καλῶς θανείν.

SOPH. Ant. 97.

I shall suffer naught

So great as not to die a noble death (i.e. nothing which will prevent my dying, etc.).

For $\mu \hat{\eta}$ ov see chapter on the Negatives.

5. $\omega\sigma\tau\epsilon$ is freely used with the Infinitive, where the Infinitive alone might be used.

πάνυ μοι ἐμέλησεν ὥστε είδέναι. ΧΕΝ. Cyr. vi. 3. 19. I was greatly concerned to know.

πότερα παίδες είσι φρονιμώτεροι ὥστε μαθεῖν ἢ ἄνδρες; XEN. Cyr. iv. 3. 11.

Are boys more sensible at learning than men?

μείζον ή ωστε φέρειν.

Too great to bear.

Maius quam quod tolerari possit.

ψυχρον ύδωρ ώστε λούσασθαι, XEN. An. iii. 13. 3. The water is cold for bathing.

μηχαναί πολλαί είσιν ώστε διαφεύγειν θάνατον.

PLAT. Apol. xxix.

There are many shifts for escaping death.

ώs is more rarely so used, cf. XEN. Cyr. iv. 5. 15, ως έγκρατείς *ะ*โบต.เ.

Note 1. Can ώστε with the Infinitive state a fact? The Infinitive, of course, denoting as it does the abstract verbal notion, cannot, like the Indicative, definitely state a fact. ώστε, with the Infinitive, is parallel with $\pi\rho i\nu$ and the Infinitive, $\delta i\dot{\alpha}$ with the Infinitive, or any similar substantival use of the Infinitive. The fact, though not stated, is not excluded. More than this, the expression may evidently be a variant for ωστε with the Indicative, open for a writer to use. This occurs when there is no demonstrative in the Principal Sentence requiring defini-In this way πρὶν ἀπιέναι αὖτόν, before his departure, may practically mean, before he departed; διὰ τὸ μηδένα παρείναι, because of the presence of no one, may mean, because no one was present.

Κλέαρχος ήλαυνεν έπὶ τοὺς Μένωνος, ώστε ἐκείνους ἐκ- $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \chi \theta \alpha \iota$. XEN. An. i. 5. 13.

Clearchus was marching against Menon's men, so that they were in a state of amazement.

αμφί δὲ

κυκλούντο πάσαν νήσον, ώστ' άμηχανείν οποι τράποιντο. AESCH. Pers. 460.

They round about

Encircled the whole isle, so that the foe Knew not which way to turn them.

Cf. Eur. Hec. 730.

Note 2. ώστε with αν and the Infinitive. ώστε with the Infinitive may be an (oblique) Apodosis, and therefore au will go with the Infinitive.

έγω ἐπὶ τούσδε ἐλω ώστε ἄν ἀναγκασθῆναι, κ.τ.λ.

XEN. Cyr. i. 4. 20.

For ωστε οὐ with the Infinitive, see Negatives.

Digitized by Microsoft®

Note 3. $\dot{\omega}_s$ is sometimes used for $\ddot{\omega}_{\sigma\tau\epsilon}$, generally with the Infinitive.

ὁ ποταμὸς τοσοῦτος τὸ βάθος, ὡς μηδὲ τὰ δόρατα ὑπερέχειν τοῦ βάθους. ΧΕΝ. Απ. iii. 5. 7.

The river is of so great a depth, that even the spears could not reach the bottom.

(Lit. rise above the depth). Cf. XEN. Cyr. i. 5. 11. More rarely, if ever in Attic, with an Indicative.

§ 242. Consecutive Sentences in Greek and Latin.

The nearest approach in Latin to the distinction between the Infinitive and Indicative is to be found in the use of the Imperfect Subjunctive and Perfect (Aorist) Subjunctive, e.g. cecidit ut crus frangeret (ὥστε καταγνύναι), and ut crus fregerit (ὥστε κατάαξε). But the Latin distinction, even supposing it is always observed, a distinction expressed by two tenses of the Subjunctive, is a very different thing from that expressed by two moods, the Infinitive and the Indicative.

§ 243. RELATIVE CONSECUTIVE SENTENCES.

Consecutive Sentences are also expressed by Relative Pronouns, olos, őoos with Infinitive.

For τοιούτος ὥστε are used τοιούτος οἶος or οἷος alone.
,, τοσούτον ὥστε ,, τοσούτον ὅσον οr ὅσον.
The Negative is μή.

τοιοῦτός εἰμι οἷος μηδενὶ ἄλλφ πείθεσθαι ή λόγφ. ΡιΑΤ.

I am of such a character as to yield to nothing but reason.

Is (or eiusmodi) sum ut nulli alii rei nisi rationi paream.

οὖκ ἢν ὥρα οἴα ἄρδειν τὸ πεδίον. XEN. An. ii. 3. 13. It was not the season for irrigating the plain.

νεμόμενοι τὰ ἐαυτῶν ἔκαστοι ὅσον ἀποζῆν. Thuc. i. 2. Each tribe cultivating just enough of its land to obtain a subsistence from it.

Cf. Thuc. iii. 49, τοσοῦτον ὅσον ἀνεγνωκέναι Digitized by $\overset{\circ}{N}$ icrosoft®

τοιαῦτα εἰπόντες οἷα καὶ τοὺς πάροντας ἄχθεσθαι. Plat. Gorg. 457. Saying such things that even the company was annoyed.

"Οστις (more rarely ὅς), with the Indicative, is Consecutive (parallel to ὅστε with Indicative). Negative οὐ.

τίς οὕτως εὐήθης ἐστὶν ὑμῶν ὅστις ἀγνοεῖ ταῦτα ; Dem.

Who of you is so simple that he is ignorant of this? Quis vostrum tam stultus est ut (qui) haec ignoret? οὐδεὶς ἄν γένοιτο οὕτως ἀδαμάντινος ὅς ἄν μείνειεν.

PLAT. Rep. ii. 360 B. No one would prove so steeled against temptation as to remain firm.

αν μείνειεν is, of course, an Apodosis. For 6s see Soph. Phil. 409, 444, Ai. 471.

§ 244. LIMITATIVE OR RESTRICTIVE SENTENCES¹

ώστε (ώστε $\mu\eta$), έ ϕ ' $\mathring{\psi}$, έ ϕ ' $\mathring{\psi}$ τε ($\mu\eta$). On condition that, on the understanding that.

ωστε (ωστε μη) with Infinitive.

 $\vec{\epsilon}\phi'$ $\mathring{\psi}$, $\vec{\epsilon}\phi'$ $\mathring{\psi}\tau\epsilon$ with Infinitive (Negative $\mu \acute{\eta}$), or Future Indicative (Negative $o\vec{v}$ or $\mu \acute{\eta}$).

έξην αὐτοῖς τῶν ἄλλων ἄρχειν Ἑλλήνων ώστ' αὐτοὺς ὑπακούειν τῷ βασιλεῖ. DEM. 6, 11.

It was in their power to rule the rest of the Greeks if they themselves would obey the King.

With ωστε Limitative compare the use of ut in Latin: Bonis viris ita fides habetur ut nulla sit iis fraudis suspitio. Cic. Off. ii. 33.

Good men are trusted only when there is no suspicion of dishonesty against them.

Digitized by Microsoft®

- άφίεμεν σε έπὶ τούτω ἐφ' ὧτε μηκέτι φιλοσοφείν. ΡιΑΤ.
- We acquit you, on the understanding that you no longer pursue philosophy (ita ut philosophari desinas).
- Ευνέβησαν ἐφ' ὧτε ἐξίασιν ἐκ Πελοποννήσου ὑπόσπονδοι (καὶ μηδέποτε ἐπιβήσονται αὐτῆς).

THUC.

They surrendered on the condition that they should leave the Peloponnese under truce (and never again set foot on it).

Observe that the Future Indicative is used in Historic Sequence.

Note. The Nominative Attraction is observed:—
ῶς ἄν οῦτος ἐθέλοι τὰ ἀλλότρια ἀποστερεῖν ἐφ' ῷ κακόδοξος εἶναι. ΧΕΝ, Αg. 4. 1.

CHAPTER VII.

§ 245. CAUSAL SENTENCES.

Causal sentences are expressed in a variety of ways.

- A. By Causal Particles and a Finite Mood.
- **B.** By Relative Sentences.
- C. By Participles.
- D. In various miscellaneous ways.

A. Causal Particles.

The common Causal Particles are also the Temporal Particles, $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\dot{\iota}$, $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\iota\dot{\delta}\dot{\eta}$, $\dot{\omega}_{S}$ (cf. Latin cum). The negative is $o\dot{v}$, and the Indicative is the Mood.

τίθημί σε όμολογοῦντα ἐπειδὴ οὐκ ἀποκρίνει. Pl. Ap. I assume that you consent, since you do not answer.

έπεὶ οὐκ ἐδύναντο λαμβάνειν τὸ χώριον ἀπιέναι ἤδη ἐπεχείρουν. ΧΕΝ. Απαδ. v. 2. 5.

As they were not able to take the place they were now trying to go away.

For $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \eta$ with Imperfect, Thuc. i. 102. For $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota$, see Xen. An. v. 2. 5. For δs , Soph. Phil. 46, 914.

Note 1. When, however, the sentence is explanatory of what has preceded, $\delta\tau\iota$, $\delta\iota\delta\tau\iota$, $\delta\iota\delta\tau\epsilon\rho$ are used. These particles cannot introduce a Causal Sentence like $\epsilon \pi\epsilon i$, etc. (cf. $\delta\tau\epsilon$ Temporal).

τριήρης δε σεσαγμένη ἀνθρώπων διά τί ἄλλο φοβερόν ἐστι η ὅτι ταχὺ πλεῖ; διὰ δε τί ἄλλο ἄλυποι ἀλλήλοις οι ἐμπλέοντες ἢ διότι εν τάξει κάθηνται; ΧΕΝ. Oec. viii. 8. For what other reason is a trireme full of men dangerous, except because it sails fast? And for what other reason do those who are on board occasion no alarm to one another, except because they sit still in their ranks?

Digitized by Microsoft®

Note 2. A Causal Sentence in Historic Sequence is found in the Optative when it expresses the indirect words or thoughts (virtual oratio obliqua).

τὸν Περικλέα ἐκάκιζον, ὅτι στρατηγὸς ὤν οὐκ ἐπέξαγοι.

THUC. ii. 21.

They were abusing Pericles, because, though general, he did not lead them out into the field.

ὅτι οὖκ ἐπεξῆγεν would have been the simple explanation of the historian.

In Periclem invehebantur quod, cum praetor esset, non educeret.

Cf. Liv. ii. 7. Matronae annum ut parentem Brutum luxerunt, quod tam acer ultor violatae pudicitiae fuisset.

ώs occurs similarly in Xen. Symp. iv. 6, οἶσθα ἐπαινέσαντα αὐτὸν τὸν ᾿Αγαμέμνονα, ὡς βασιλεὺς εἴη ἀγαθός, because (as he said) he was. Both ὅτι and ὡς may, however, be regarded as introducing an Oblique Statement, the verb of saying being implied in ἐκάκιζον and ἐπαινέσαντα. But in Xen. Mem. i. 4. 19, we have ἐπείπερ ἡγήσαιντο, which must be causal or explanatory. And in Xen. Hell. vii. 1. 34, we have εἶχε λέγειν ὡς διὰ τοῦτο πολεμήσειαν, ὅτι οὖκ ἐθελήσαιεν, where we have a real blending of ὅτι causal and ὅτι of the Oblique Statement.

Note 3. $\ddot{o}_{\tau\epsilon}$ (just like cum in Latin) besides being Temporal, is also Causal.

ότε τοίνυν τοῦθ' οὕτως ἔχει, προσήκει προθύμως ἐθέλειν άκούειν. DEM. Ol. i. 9. 3.

Since then this is so, it behoves you to be heartily willing to listen. Quae cum ita sint, etc.

Observe that this Causal $\sigma \tau \epsilon$, unlike the Temporal $\sigma \tau \epsilon$, can begin a sentence.

ότ' οὖν τοιόνδε χρησμὸν εἰσηκούσαμεν.

SOPH. El. 38, Phil. 428, Ant. 170, Ai. 1231.

Cum vero huiusmodi oraculum accepissemus.

Observe that őri cannot be elided.

όπότε is similarly used. Thuc. ii. 60, Pl. Leg. 895 B.

εδτε causal is poetical (Soph. Ai. 715): ὅπου, causal only in Ionic.

δθούνεκα (ὅτου ἔνεκα) in a causal sense occurs in Soph. Ai 123, 153.

Digitized by Microsoft®

B. Causal sentences are also expressed by relatives δ_S , δ_S γ_e , $\delta\sigma\tau\iota_S$, $\delta\sigma\tau\iota_S$, $\delta\sigma\tau\iota_S$, with the Indicative.

Latin qui or quippe qui with Subjunctive.

πῶς φέρεις γὰρ ὅς γε αὐτὸς ὀχεῖ; Ακ.

Why how d'ye bear, when you're being carried yourself?

θαυμαστον ποιείς δς ήμιν οὐδεν δίδως. ΧΕΝ.

You are acting strangely in giving us nothing.

= ότι οὐδεν δίδως.

Mirum facis qui nobis nihil des.

πῶς οὐ κάκιστος ὅστις περὶ πλείονος ποιεῖ τοὺς κακούργους; Must you not be a scoundrel since you are making much of evildoers? DEM. 24. 107.

ős γε, SOPH. El. 911. őστις, SOPH. Ant. 696.

 $\it Note.$ Other relatives (pronouns and adverbs) are similarly used.

τὴν μητέρα ἐμακάριζον, οἵων τέκνων ἐκύρησε. Hdt. i. 31.

They were counting the mother happy in having been blest with such children.

= ὅτι τοιούτων ἐκύρησεν.

εὐδαίμων μοι ἐφαίνετο, ὡς ἀδεῶς ἐτέλευτα. PLAT. Phaed. ii. I deemed him happy, so fearlessly did he meet death.

= ὅτι οὕτως ἀδεῶς, not an uncommon use of ὡς.

C. Participles are frequently used in a Causal Sense. The Negative is où. $\ddot{\alpha}\tau\epsilon$ ($\ddot{\alpha}\tau\epsilon$ $\delta\dot{\eta}$), olov, ola $\delta\dot{\eta}$ often accompany the Participles in this use (also $\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ in Herodotus).

ό Κύρος, ἄτε παῖς ὤν, ἥδετο τ $\hat{\eta}$ στολ $\hat{\eta}$. XEN. Cyr. i. 3. 3. Cyrus, boy-like, was charmed with the dress.

ούδ' ἄν ἔνι ὑπεικάθοιμι δείσας θάνατον. PLAT. Apol. xx.

There is not a man to whom I would yield through fear of death.

λέγω δὲ τοῦδ' ἔνεκα, βουλόμενος δόξαι σοὶ ὅπερ ἐμοί.

PLAT. Phaed. 102 D.

I speak for the following reason, because I want you to think just as I do.

See also Genitive and Accusative Absolute.

D. Causal Sentences are also often expressed by $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ and the Infinitive.

ού πλεονεξίας ενεκα ταῦτ' επραξεν άλλὰ τῷ δικαιότερα ἀξιοῦν Θηβαίους ἤ ἡμᾶς. Dem. ii. 13.

It was not for sake of greed that he did this, but because the Thebans made a juster demand than we.

Causal Sentences are also very often and very simply expressed by a Preposition and its case, sometimes by a Preposition with the Infinitive, e.g.

διὰ τὸ φίλους αὐτοὺς εἶναι.

Because they are friends.

Cf. especially διά and κατά.

CHAPTER VIII.

§ 246. EXPRESSIONS OF A WISH.

- I. A Wish that refers to the Future, and which therefore may be fulfilled, is expressed—
 - A. By the Simple Optative.
- **B.** By the Optative with $\epsilon i \theta \epsilon$, $\epsilon i \gamma \alpha \rho$ (ϵi and $\omega \epsilon$, $\pi \hat{\omega} \epsilon$ $\alpha \nu$; in poetry).

The Negative is always $\mu \dot{\eta}$.

ἄ παῖ, γένοιο πατρὸς εὐτυχέστερος, τὰ δ' ἄλλ' ὁμοῖος, καὶ γένοι ἄν οὐ κακός. Soph. Boy, mayst thou prove more fortunate than thy father,

Like in all else, so shouldst thou prove not vile.

Observe that $\gamma \acute{\epsilon} \nu o \iota o$ alone expresses a wish, $\gamma \epsilon \nu o \iota' \stackrel{\circ}{\alpha} \nu$ is an Apodosis.

μὴ ζώην μετ' ἀμουσίας. ΕUR. May I not live without culture!

εὶ γὰρ γενοίμην, τέκνον, ἀντὶ σοῦ νεκρός. Eur. Hipp. 1410. Would to God, my child, I were dead in thy stead.

εἴθ' ὑμὶν ἀμφοῖν νοῦς γένοιτο σωφρονεῖν. SOPH. Ai. 1264. Would that to you twain judgment were granted for discretion εἴ μοι γένοιτο φθόγγος ἐν βράχιοσι. EUR. Hec. 836.

Oh, that a voice were given me in these arms.

ώς ὁ τάδε πορών ὅλοιτο. SOPH. El. 126. Ah, that he who contrived this might perish.

Cf. Eur. Hipp. 407.

πως αν αντ' έμου τρέφοιτε τήνδε την νόσον;

SOPH. Phil. 794.

Would that in my stead ye might hug this plague.

μή πως ἐγώ τοσαύτας δίκας φύγοιμι. PLAT. Apol. iii. May not I be indicted on charges so serious / Digitized by Microsoft®

II. A Wish which refers to the Past, and which therefore can no longer be fulfilled, is expressed—

Imperfect Indicative (of pre-**A.** With $ei\theta e$, $ei \gamma a \rho$ ($\mu \dot{\eta}$) and bitual actions).

Aorist Indicative (of present time, continued or habitual actions).

B. ἄφελον (Aorist), sometimes ἄφελλον (Imperfect), from ὀφείλω, I owe, with the Infinitive (Present or Aorist).

 $\epsilon i\theta \epsilon$, $\epsilon i \gamma a\rho (\mu \eta)$ may accompany $\delta \phi \epsilon \lambda o\nu$, sometimes δc in poetry.

εἴθ' εἶχες, ὦ τέκουσα, βελτίους φρένας.

EUR. El. 1061.

Would that thou hadst, O mother, better judgment (but thou hast not).

είθε σοι, ὁ Περίκλεις, τότε συνεγενόμην.

XEN. Mem. i. 2. 46.

I wish I had been with you then, Pericles.

ώς ὤφελον πάροιθεν ἐκλιπεῖν βίον. SOPH. El. 1131. Ah, would that I had first forsaken life.

εἴθ' ὤφελες τοιάδε τὴν γνώμην πατρὸς θνήσκοντος είναι. SOPH. El. 1021.

Would thou hadst been thus minded on the day thy father died.

μήποτ ώφελεῖν λιπεῖν SOPH. Phil. 969. τὴν Σκῦρον.

Would that I ne'er had left

My Scyros!

ώς πρίν διδάξαι γ' ἄφελες μέσος διαρραγήναι.

ARIST. Ran. 955.

Pity, ere you taught 'em, that you didn't burst asunder in the midst. Digitized by Microsoft®

Note 1. A wish may be made to depend on a condition which is expressed by $o\tilde{v}\tau\omega$. Instead of the sentence which logically should follow "so may $1 \dots as$," an Indicative or an Imperative is substituted.

ούτω νικήσαιμι τ' έγω καὶ νομιζοίμην σοφός,

ώς ύμας ήγουμαι είναι θεατας δεξιούς. Ar. Nub. 520.

So may I win and be considered wise, as I hold you to be a clever audience.

ούτως όναίμην των τέκνων, μισω τὸν ἄνδρ' ἐκείνον.

AR. Th. 469.

So may I be blest in my children . . . I do hate that fellow.

ούτως ὄναισθε τούτων, μὴ περιίδητέ με. DEM. Aph. ii. 842. 9. So may you enjoy this . . . do not neglect me.

Instead of saying—on this condition, namely, that you do not neglect me.

This is exactly like the well-known Latin idiom:— Sic te diva potens Cypri

Ventorumque regat pater Navis . . .

Finibus Atticis

Reddas incolumem precor. Hor. Od. 1. iii. 1.

Sic venias hodierne . . . tibi dem turis honores.

TIBULL. 1. vii. 33.

Note 2. Explanation of expressions of a wish.

(1) Optatives and Indicatives with ϵi , ϵi $\gamma \acute{a}\rho$, $\epsilon i'\theta \epsilon$ are clearly Protases with suppressed Apodoses.

εἰ γὰρ ταῦτα γένοιτο (sc. καλῶς ἄν εἴη), εἰ γὰρ ταῦτα ἐγένετο (sc. καλῶς ἄν ἢν).

Latin is parallel—

O si haec ita fiant, fierent, facta essent. With \(\odots \) compare \(ut, \) utinam in Wishes.

(2) $\mbox{$\omega$}\phi\epsilon\lambda\omega\nu$ (I ought, or had ought), on the other hand, is an Apodosis with a suppressed Protasis; used like $\chi\rho\hat{\eta}\nu$, $\mbox{$\epsilon$}\delta\epsilon\iota$ without an $\mbox{$a\nu$}$.

Digitized by Microsoft®

Latin again supplies a parallel—

(Eum) si ulla in te pietas esset, colere debebas. Cic. Phil. ii. 38.

Tunc ego debueram capienda ad Pergama mitti: Tunc poteram magni, si non superare, morari Hectoris arma meis. Ov. Met. xii. 445.

CHAPTER IX.

§ 247. RELATIVE SENTENCES.

Relative sentences are introduced by either (1) Relative Pronouns, or (2) Particles of Relative origin, $\dot{\omega}_{S}$, $\ddot{\sigma}_{TE}$, $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{l}$, $\ddot{\sigma}\phi\rho a$, etc.

The chief distinction between Relative Sentences is that of Definite and Indefinite, a distinction explained in § 172. It applies to many Relative Particles as well as Pronouns, e.g.

A. Definite-

ἴστε ὁπόθεν ὁ ἥλιος ἀνίσχει, καὶ ὅποι δύεται. XEN. You knew where (lit. whence) the sun rises, and where (lit. whither) it sets.

 $\mathring{\omega}$ γαῖα δέξαι θανάσιμόν $\mathring{\mu}$, ὅπως ἔχω. Soph. O Earth! receive me, as I am, in death. Accipe me, ut sum.

B. Indefinite—

όποι αν στρατηγον εκπεμψητε, οι έχθροι καταγελώσι. Dem.

Wheresoever you send out a general, your enemies ridicule you.

έξεστι χρήσθαι ὅπως ἃν βούλωνται. X_{EN} . You may make whatever use (of it) you please.

Digitized by Microsoft® Definite Relative sentences present no difficulty. Indefinite Relative sentences freely form Subordinate sentences of every kind,—Conditional, Temporal, Modal, Consecutive, etc., and will be found under these several heads. Some Relative Particles denote Place (ὅποι, ἵνα, etc.), but a special chapter on Local Sentences is unnecessary.

Other uses of the Relative will be seen by reference to the Index. Especial attention should be paid to the syntax of the Negatives with Relatives; see chapter on the Negatives, Part III.

PART III.

PREPOSITIONS, NEGATIVES, ORATIO OBLIQUA, AND FIGURES.

CHAPTER I.

§ 248. PREPOSITIONS.

Prepositions in Attic are particles which have a double use. Either (1) they are joined in Composition with Verbs; or (2) they serve as links between the oblique case of Nouns or Pronouns and other words, especially Verbs, in the sentence. As such links they denote Place, Time, and various figurative relations, Agency, Cause, Means, etc., more distinctly than could be done by the oblique cases alone. Prepositions also help to form compound adjectives and substantives. Philology and Epic Poetry, however, enable us to trace the origin and usage of Prepositions further back than their Attic uses, and to account for those uses.

§ 249. Introductory Note to Prepositions.

1. Prepositions appear to have been originally caseforms. Thus ὑπό (Ερ. ὑπαί) was Locative, meaning on the
under side; ὑπέρ (Sanskrit upari), on the upper side; διά (Ερ.
and Poet. διαί), in the space between; ἀντί is Locative, ἄντα
Accusative (cf. ἄντην, coram); περί Locative; πρός (προτί)
Locative; παρά (παραί) Locative; πρό is Ablative. As cases

Digitized by Microsoft®

they must all have been capable of taking a Genitive. Thus $\dot{\nu}\pi a i \chi \theta o \nu \delta s$, on the under side of the earth; $\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho \pi o \delta \delta s$ ($\pi a \rho a i$), Pindar, P. 10. 97, on the near side of the foot; $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon i o$, Il. xxi. 481, on the opposite side of me. This is confirmed by the fact that all the quasi-prepositions, which are cases of nouns, are joined to a Genitive, e.g. $\theta \dot{\nu} \rho a \xi \epsilon$, Eur. Bacch. 331. This stage, however, is previous to all written literature, and belongs to Philology rather than Syntax.

- 3. A transitional period, that of the Homeric poems, succeeds. Originally the Noun went straight with the Verb; the relation between the two might be more particularly defined by an Adverb. Thus ἀγαγεῖν δόμον, to lead home; ἀγαγεῖν δόμον, to lead from home (Genitive of separation or Ablative): ὅμους βάλὶ αἰγίδα, on his shoulders (Locative) he cast his shield. Compare these with εἰςῆγον θεῖον δόμον, Od. iv. 43; ἐκ δ᾽ ἄγαγε κλισίης, Il. i. 346: ἦγεν ἐς κλισίην, Il. i. 89: ἀμφὶ δὲ χαῖται ἄμους ἀίσσονται. In the first two of these last four examples the Adverbs εἶς and ἐκ further define the direction of the Verb, and form Compound Verbs which "govern" respectively the Accusative and Genitive. But in the last two the Adverb serves as a link between Noun and Verb, and becomes a Preposition.
- 4. Prepositions thus come to furnish new analytic cases, the old cases with their blunted and confused suffixes being

¹ This is Curtius' view (Elucidations, Ch. xvii.) It must apply to Prepositions only when they do not denote separation (in which case they would be joined to an Ablative). Such a connection between Prepositions and the Genitive is said not to exist in Sanskrit, and on this ground Delbruck (Syntaktische Forschungen, iv. ch. ix. p. 134) only partially accepts Curtius' theory.

² No distinction is here intended in speaking of Prepositions as caseforms and as Adverbs. All oblique cases are, of course, adverbial, except the true Genitive, which is adjectival or attributive.

insufficient to express all the new relations between Noun and Verb which were suggested by the expansion of Greek thought and knowledge. When a Preposition links a case to any other word but a Verb, to an Adjective or Noun, a verbal meaning is readily understood. In determining the force of a Preposition we must carefully consider three points: the meaning (1.) of the Preposition, (2.) of the Case, (3.) of the Verb (or verbal word). In the stereotyped phrases with Prepositions which have practically become Adverbs or Adjectives, it will be easy enough to supply the verbal notion which the phrase requires to complete it logically.

5. A few instances may elucidate the foregoing remarks.

a. The noun linked by a preposition to its case has a

verbal meaning.

διαλλαγαὶ πρός τινα, reconciliation with any one, ISOCR. 60 B, compared with διαλλαχθηναι πρός τινα, to be reconciled with any one.

τοξότης ἀφ' ἵππου, compare with τοξεύειν ἀφ' ἱπποῦ.

b. The Preposition with its Case is a fuller expansion of the Simple Case.

Thus we may say, χρηστοῦ πρὸς ἀνδρός ἐστι, οτ χρηστοῦ

άνδρός έστί, it is the part of a good man.

Lysias writes $\mu\nu\eta\sigma\theta\hat{\eta}\nu\alpha\hat{\iota}$ $\tau\iota\nu\sigma$: Thucydides, $\mu\nu\eta\sigma\theta\hat{\eta}\nu\alpha\iota$ $\pi\epsilon\rho\hat{\iota}$

τινος: Demosthenes, μνησθηναι ὑπέρ τινος.

So we may say, εἰρήσεται πρὸς ὑμᾶς, οτ ὑμῖν, ἡ ἀλήθεια, the truth shall be told you; μάχεσθαι τῷ λίμφ καὶ τῷ δίψει, XEN., to fight with hunger and thirst; μάχεσθαι πρὸς ἐπιθυμίας, PLAT., to fight against desires.

Similarly, $\dot{\eta}$ $\pi \alpha \rho'$ $\dot{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{v}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \ddot{v} \nu o \iota \alpha = \dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \ddot{v} \nu o \iota \alpha$ $\mu o v$, my goodwill (Subjective Gen.); $\dot{\tau} \dot{o}$ $\pi \alpha \rho'$ $\dot{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{v}$ $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\delta} \dot{\kappa} \kappa \eta \mu \alpha = \dot{\tau} \dot{o}$ $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\delta} \dot{\kappa} \kappa \eta \mu \dot{\alpha}$ $\dot{\mu} o v$, the

wrong done by me (Objective).

- 6. Improper or Spurious Prepositions are those which can be joined with Cases, e.g. ἄνεν, ἔνεκα with Genitive, ὡς with Accusative, but which are not compounded with Verbs.
- 7. Tmesis is a late Grammarians' term to denote the separation between Preposition and Verb. The term is unnecessary, for, as we have seen, in early Greek it is not the separation, but the combination, which has to be accounted for. Tmesis in Attic is but a survival of the earlier usage: it is practically confined to professional a few colloquialisms,

e.g. δι' ἀρ' ὀλώλαμεν, Eur. I.T. 1371, ἀπο' σ' ὀλῶ. It is seldom found except when a particle intervenes. Aesch. Sept. c. Theb. 672 forms an exception.

8. Dissyllabic Prepositions are oxytone. They become Paroxytone (i.e. Barytone) in certain cases: (1.) When they stand immediately after their Verb or Case, e.g. $\partial \lambda \epsilon \sigma as \ \delta \pi o \pi \delta \nu \tau as \ \epsilon \tau a \epsilon \rho ovs$, $\mu \delta \nu g s \pi \epsilon \rho \iota$. This is called Anastrophe. Apply, $\delta \nu \tau i$, $\delta \nu a$ are not liable to Anastrophe. Monosyllabic Prepositions take the acute accent by Anastrophe, but only when they come at the end of a line. (2.) When they are equivalent to a Compound Verb, generally a Substantive Verb, $\delta \nu a = \delta \nu \delta \sigma \tau \eta \theta \iota$ $\mu \epsilon \tau a = \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota$, similarly $\epsilon \nu \iota$, $\epsilon \pi \iota$, $\epsilon \mu a \rho \iota$, and perhaps $\delta \nu \pi o$. (3.) $\delta \pi o$ and $\delta \nu e \rho \iota$ when used as Adverbs.

Oxytone Prepositions with elision lose their accent, e.g. $\pi a \rho' \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho a \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \pi' a \dot{\sigma} \tau \hat{\psi}$.

§ 250. The Prepositions and the Three Cases.

The Accusative is the case of the Direct Object of the Verb. This, however, implies direction to, or on, and thus Prepositions with the Accusative denote motion to, extension along or over.

The Genitive is the Case of Connexion and Separation. Prepositions with the Genitive denote connexion with, or separation from: in the former sense the true Genitive is used, in the latter the old Ablative use has been handed on to the Genitive.

The Dative is connected with Prepositions chiefly in its locative sense. Prepositions with the Dative denote nearness to, or rest at, by, with, or near.

§ 251. Collected usages of Prepositions.

¹ But it must be remembered that the notion of motion must originally have come from the combined signification of Verb, Preposition, and Case.

Digitized by Microsoft®

 $\pi\rho$ ós (in poetry and Ionic prose) with Gen. παρά (the agent as the source) with Gen. $\dot{a}\pi\dot{a}$ (in some special prose uses) with Gen. διά (the intermediate agent) with Gen.

2. Means by-

 $\delta\iota\dot{\alpha}$ with Gen. (the regular expression to denote the Means: the others are special). $d\pi 6$. έκ with Gen.

έν with Dat.

ξύν with Dat.

3. The usages of certain Prepositions are closely parallel:—

4. The usages of certain Prepositions are sharply con trasted :-

> εis --- ἐκ ύπέρ — ύπό κατά, etc.

5. Synonymous phrases are formed by different Prepositions with Cases, e.g.:-

> καθ' ἡμέραν, μέθ' ἡμέραν, πάρ' ἡμέραν. οἱ ἀμφὶ Πλάτωνα, οἱ περὶ Πλάτωνα.

- 6. Verbs of rest are used with Prepositions expressing motion. This is known as the Constructio Praegnans. $d\pi \acute{o}$, $\acute{e} \acute{E}$, $\acute{e} \acute{i}$ s, $\acute{e} \nu$.
- 7. Prepositions are used, (1) in their literal sense denoting place, or time, (2) in a figurative sense denoting various moral relations.

The Attic use of Prepositions is marked off from the Epic chiefly in two ways: (1) by the disuse of the adverbial senses, (2) by the development to figurative on anings.

§ 252. PREPOSITIONS AND THE CASES.

- I. Prepositions with one Case.
 - a. Accusative: $\dot{a}\nu\dot{a}$, $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{i}\varsigma$ ($\dot{\epsilon}\varsigma$), $\dot{\omega}\varsigma$ ($\dot{a}\mu\phi\dot{\iota}$ practically in prose).
 - b. Genitive: ἀντί, ἀπό, ἐξ (ἐκ), πρό (ἄνευ, ἕνεκα, ἕκατι, χωρίς, ἄχρι, μέχρι).
 - c. Dative : ἐν, σύν (ἄμα, ὁμοῦ).
- II. Prepositions with two Cases.
 Accusative and Genitive: διά, κατά, ὑπόο, μετά.
- III. Prepositions with all three Cases. ἀμφί, ἐπί, παρά, περί, πρός, ὑπό.

I.—PREPOSITIONS WITH ONE CASE ONLY.

(a.) WITH ACCUSATIVE ONLY.

§ 253.

'Ανά.

' $A\nu\acute{a}$, up to, through, opposed to $\kappa a\tau\acute{a}$ (compare the two throughout), Eng. on, Gothic ana, Germ. an. ' $A\nu\acute{a}$ related to $\acute{e}\nu\acute{\iota}$ ($\acute{e}\nu$) as on and in.

Lat. an-helo, I breathe up, Curt. Etymol. i. 381.

Up along:

τὰ πλοῖα ἀνὰ τὸν πόταμον οὐ δύνανται πλέειν. ΗΕΚΟD.

The boats cannot sail up the stream (cf. κατά).

Throughout or Among:

ἀοίδιμος ἀνὰ τὴν Ἑλλάδα ἐγένετο. Herod. He became famous in song throughout all Greece. οἰκεῖν ἀνὰ τὰ ὄρης/Μπαι Μαϊκάτο 16, to live in the hills.

Distributively:

έπορευθήσαν ἀνὰ πέντε παρασσάγγας της ήμέρας.

XEN.

They marched at the rate of five parasangs a day.

So ἄνα ἐκατόν, in bodies of a hundred, in centuries. Cf. S. Luke ix. 14, S. Matth. xx. 10.

PHRASES:

ἀνὰ κράτος (φεύγειν), with all one's might (cf. κατὰ κράτος: ἀνὰ λόγον (φύομενα δένδρα), PLAT. Phaed. lix. trees growing) in proportion; ἀνὰ στόμα ἔχειν, to have in one's mouth, at the tip of the tongue.

N.B. ἄνα has the sense of ἀνάστηθι, up! arise! sursum! Cf. Soph. Ai. ἀλλ' ἄνα ἐξ ἑδράνων, come, up from thy seat!

Note. $dv\acute{a}$ is also used in Homer, in Lyric poetry (Pindar), and in Choruses of the Tragedians with the Dative (e.g. Eur. I. A. 754).

In Composition:

(1.) Up, $dva\beta\lambda\epsilon\pi\omega$, I look up. (2.) Reverse action, back, $dvav\epsilon\omega$, I throw the head back. (3.) Again, $dva\beta\lambda\epsilon\pi\omega$, I recover sight.

§ 254.

Eis or es.

Eis or $\dot{\epsilon}_{S}$, into, to, opposed to $\dot{\epsilon}_{S}$, out of. Original form perhaps $\dot{\epsilon}_{\nu S}$, from $\dot{\epsilon}_{\nu l-S}$. In Pindar $\dot{\epsilon}_{\nu}$ means in and into. Cf. Latin in and inter with Accusative.

(1) Of Place:—

Motion to, into, for:

Σικελοὶ ἐξ Ἰταλίας διέβησαν ἐς Σικελίαν. Thuc. The Sicels crossed over out of Italy into Sicily (or for Sicily).

With verbs of rest:

κατακλείειν ές την νήσον. Thuc. i. 109. Το shut up in the islandy Microstructio praegnans.)

(To speak) before:

λέγειν εἰς τὸ πλῆθος. . Το speak before the people. Cf. εἰσιέναι, στῆναι ές.

Looking towards:

τὸ ἐς Παλλήνην τεῖχος. Thuc. i. 56. The wall facing Pallene.

PHRASES:

εἰς ἀκόντιον, εἰς δόρατος πληγὴν (ἀφικνεῖσθαι). Το get within javelin's throw, spear thrust. Opposed to έξ.

(2) OF TIME :--

Up to, until:

είς την έω, till dawn; ές εμέ, up to my time.

At such a time, by such a date:

εἰς ἐσπέραν, εἰς τρίτην (with or without ἡμέραν), ἐς τὴν ὑστεραίαν (ἥκειν), to come at even, on the third day (or in three days), on the morrow.

PHRASES:

ès καιρόν, in season; εἰς αὔριον, on the morrow; εἰς ἔπειτα, henceforward; εἰς ἄπαξ (or εἰσάπαξ), once for all, etc.

(3) WITH NUMBERS:-

Denoting limit, up to, amounting to:

ναῦς ἐς τὰς τετρακοσίας. Thuc. Ships to the number of four hundred.

ές δραχμην διαδούναι. ΤΗυς.

To pay each man up to (i.e. as much as) a drachma.

PHRASES:

els ενα, els δύο, els τέσσαρας. One, two, four deep.

εἰς δύναμιν, to the extent of one's ability; εἰς ὑπερβολήν, in excess.
Digitized by Microsoft®

(4) OF RELATION TO:-

άμαρτάνειν είς τινα, to do wrong to any one.

Purpose:

ès τόδε ηκομεν, for this purpose we are come. εἰs κάλλος ζῆν, ΧΕΝ., to live for show.

(5) SPECIAL PHRASES:—

έs ἄνδρας (Ἑλληνας, etc.) τελεῖν, to come to man's estate (to be enrolled among, belong to Greeks). Cf. ἐγγράφω. ἔς τι (τ. ῦτο) τελευτῶν, to end in a certain way.

ës παν ἀφικέσθαι, to come to everything, i.e. to try every means.

εἰs ἀπολλῶνος, Διόνυσου, to Apollo's, Dionysus' temple, ad Apollinis, ad Bacchi. So εἰς διδασκάλου, εἰς ἐμαυτοῦ (φοιτᾶν), sc. οἶκον or some such word.

N.B. ϵis is not used in Attic of motion to individual persons; $\pi \rho \delta s$ or δs must be employed.

In Composition:

Into. Examples unnecessary.

§ 255.

°Ως.

 $\dot{\omega}_{S}$, to, with Persons only, not with things. with $\pi\rho\dot{\phi}_{S}$, $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\iota}_{S}$, $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\dot{\iota}_{I}$, and alone.

ώς "Αγιν ἐπρεσβεὖσαντο. ΤΗυς. They sent an embassy to Agis.

(b.) WITH GENITIVE ONLY.

§ 256.

'Αντί.

ἀντί (original sense, over against, opposite to), instead of, in exchange for; ἄντα, Epic adv. and prep. over against, face to face; ἄντην, Ep. adv. over against. Cf. ἐν-αντι-ος ἀντι-κρύ. In Homer ἀντί is still an adverb rather than a preposition. Lat. apper forig marked of Germ. ant-wort.

(1) Of Place, opposite to:
This sense is Epic.

(2) Instead of:

κακὰ πράττει ἀντ' ἀγαθῶν. Plat. He does evil instead of good.

So μεῖζον, πλέον, ἀντί, instead of Comparative and Simple Genitive, SOPH. Ant. 182, Tr. 577.

(3) In return for:

δεὶ τὰ μεν ἀντὶ ἀργυρίου ἀλλάξασθαι. PLAT. We must exchange some things for money.

ἀνθ' ῶν, wherefore (also because, cf. Soph. Antig. 1068). Rarely like $\pi \rho \acute{o}s$, for the sake of (lit. over against, in the presence of), with verbs of entreaty, see Soph. O. C. 1326.

In Composition:

Many meanings, (1) Against, i.e. opposite or in opposition, ἀντιβαίνω, I plant the foot against, also I resist. (2) Reciprocity, substitution, or equality, ἀντιβοηθῶ, I help in turn; ἀνθύπατος, proconsul; ἀντίτυπος, struck, or striking back, corresponding; ἀντίθεος, godlike.

§ 257.

'Από.

' $A\pi\delta$, away, off, from. Sansk. apa, away, Lat. ab, Germ. ab, Eng. off, of. ' $A\pi\delta$ (Sansk. apa) connected with $\epsilon\pi\iota$ (Sansk. api, further, after).

(1) OF PLACE :--

Away from:

ἀπὸ τῆς αὐτῶν ὁρμῶνται. Thuc.
They advance from their own country.

With verbs of rest (from the observer's point of view):

 ϵ βόασεν ἀπὸ πέτρας σταθείς. Eur. Shouted from the rock, standing (on it).

Hence many phrases: of $d\pi \delta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \acute{\nu} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$, the men on the towers; $d\pi \delta \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$, $d\phi' \delta \pi \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \acute{\alpha} \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, to fight on board ship, on horseback.

Digitized by Microsoft®

PHRASES:

ἀπὸ σκοποῦ, καιροῦ, wide of the mark (cf. ἀπὸ γνώμης, SOPH. Tr. 389); ἀπὸ τρόπου (PLAT.), unsuitably, opposed to πρὸς τρόπου, κατὰ τρόπου.

ἀπό δένδρων καταδείν (XEN.), to tie to trees.

(2) Of Time :—

After, since:

ἀπὸ τῶν σίτων διαπονεῖσθαι. XEN. Το work after meals.

PHRASES:

τὸ ἀπὸ τοῦδε, henceforth; ἀφ' οδ, ex quo, since, ἀπὸ παλαιοῦ, ἀρχαίου, of old; ἀφ' ἐσπέρας (Thuc. vii. 29), at even.

(3) Origin :—

οί μεν ἀπὸ θεῶν γεγονότες, οἱ δ' έξ αὐτῶν τῶν θεῶν Isoc.

Some descended (remotely) from gods, others begotten (directly) by the gods themselves.

Material:

κρᾶσις ἀπό τε τῆς ἡδονῆς συγκεκραμένη ὁμοῦ καὶ ἀπὸ τῆς λύπης.

PLAT. Phaed. ii.

A combination consisting partly of pleasure and partly of

Means:

 $\xi \hat{\eta} v \ d\pi \delta \ \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu o v \ (\gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma i as)$. Thuc. and Xen To live by war (husbandry).

Περικλής ἀπὸ διακοσίων νεῶν κατεπολέμησεν τὴν Σάμον. Is. 15. 11.

Pericles with 200 ships reduced Samos.

Cf. Thuc. i. 91, ἀπὸ παρασκευῆς.

Cause, in consequence of or for:

ἀπό τινος θαυμάζεσθαι, ἐπαινείσθαι, διαβάλλεσθαι.
Το be admired, praised, slandered in consequence of anything.
ἀπ' αὐτῶν τῶν ἔργων σκοπείτε. Thuc. i. 17.
Judge from facts themselve Microsoft®

Agent, less direct than ὑπό:

έπράχθη ἀπ' αὐτῶν οὐδέν. Thuc. i. 17. Nothing was achieved by them.

See Poppo's note.

ἀπό with a case is often a periphrasis for a case alone, e.g. δ ἀπὸ τῶν δοροφόρων φόβος, fear of the body guard, Xen. Hier. x. 3; τῶν ἀπὸ τῶν δημοῦ τις, one of the people, Thuc. iv. 130. Thucydides's partiality for a free use of ἀπό is remarkable.

(4) Phrases:-

οἱ ἀπὸ Πυθαγόρου, Πλάτωνος, the school of Pythagoras, Plato.

οἱ ἀπὸ τῆς ᾿Ακαδημείας, Στοᾶς, the Academics, Stoics (the Academy, the Porch).

οί ἀπὸ σκηνης, actors.

ἀπὸ σπουδῆs, earnestly; ἀπὸ τοῦ ἴσου (τῆς ἴσης), ἀπ' ἴσης, equally; ἀπὸ τοῦ προφανοῦς, openly; ἀπὸ γλώσσης εἶπεῖν, to state by word of mouth (Thuc. vii. 10), to repeat by heart (XEN. Symp. iii. 5), from hearsay (AESCH. Ag. 813); ὀμμάτων ἀπό (Eur. Med. 216), with one's own eyes; ἀπὸ τοῦ αὐτομάτου (ταὐτομάτου), spontaneously, sponte, casu quodam; ἀπὸ σημείου, at a given signal.

IN COMPOSITION:

Separation, hence completion, and ceasing, ἀπολούω, I wash off; ἀπεργάζομαι, I finish off, i.e. I complete; ἀπολήγω, I leave off, desist. (2) Restoration, ἀποδίδωμι, I give back.

Separation also becomes practically privative, e.g. ἀπαγορεύω, I forbid; ἀποχρήματος, without money.

§ 258.

Έκ, Έ ξ .

 E_{κ} , E_{κ} , out of; opposed to ϵis , into. $\epsilon \kappa$ and $a\pi o'$ run parallel throughout. Lat. ex, e(ec).

(1) Of Place:—

Out of:

έκ Σπάρτης φεύγει. He is banished from (out of) Sparta. Denoting change; (cf. ἀντί).

πόλιν ἐκ πόλεως ἀλλάττειν. Plat. To change city after city.

With verbs of rest:

έκ δένδρων ἀπάγχεσθαι. THUC. iii. 81. To hang themselves on trees.

PHRASES:

Hence many phrases (observe that the first three or four are instances of Constructio Praegnans): τοὺς ἐκ τῆς ναυμαχίας (οὖκ ἀνελομένους), PLAT. Apol. XX., those in the seafight; οἱ ἐκ νῆσων κακοῦργοι, THUC. i. 8, the evil-doers in (of) the islands; οἱ ἐκ τῶν πύργων, those on the towers, THUC. iii. 22; τὸ ἐξ Ἰσθμοῦ τεῖχος (opposed to τὸ ἐς Παλλήνην), THUC. ii. 64, the wall on the side of the Isthmus; ἐκ δεξιᾶς, on the right; ἐξ ἀριστερᾶς, on the left; ἐκ νόμων, in accordance with the laws; ὀρθὸς ἐξ ὀρθῶν δίφρων, SOPH. El. 742, erect in chariot erect; ἐκ τῆς ψυχῆς (ἐκ θυμοῦ, HOM.) with all one's heart; ἐκ σαυτῆς (λέγεις), self-prompted, SOPH. El. 344, cf. ἀπό; ἐκ πολλοῦ, at a long distance, XEN.; ἐκ τόξου ῥύματος, XEN., at bow-shot; ἐκ χερὸς μάχην ποῖεισθαι, XEN., to fight hand to hand.

(2) OF TIME :-

Since, after:

έξ οὖ (χρόνου), since, ex quo; ἐκ τοῦ ἀρίστου, after breakfast. (So ἀπό.)

ἐκ τούτου, after this (ἐκ τούτων gen. in consequence of this). ἐκ τοῦ λοιποῦ (τῶν λοιπῶν) for the future, XEN. and Plat.

After, denoting change:

έκ δακρύων γελάν. ΧΕΝ.

To laugh after tears (weeping).

τυφλὸς ἐκ δεδορκότος καὶ πτωχός ἀντὶ πλουσίου.

SOPH. O. T. 454.

Blind after seeing, and poor instead of (being) rich.

Ever since:

έκ νέου, έκ παιδός from youth from childhood.

(3) Origin :--

ἀγαθοί καὶ ἐξ ἀγαθῶν.

PLAT. (Cf. SOPH. Ant. 466.)

Good, and born of good parents.

Material:

τὸ ἄγκιστρον ἐξ ἀδάμαντος. PLAT. Rep. 616. The hook is of adamant.

Agent (HERODOT. and poetry, rare in Attic):

ἐκ τῶνδ' ἄρχομαι. Soph. El. 264. By them am I ruled.

Cf. Soph. Ant. 957. 973, Thuc. iii. 69, Xen. Hell. iii. 96.

Cause, Consequence, or Means:

ἐκ πολέμου εἰρήνη βεβαιοῦται. Thuc. i. 120. Peace is secured by means of war. (Cf. διά.)

Dependence upon:

παρρησία έξ άληθείας ἤρτηται. DEM. 1397. 1. Plain speaking depends on truth (comes of).

Cf. ἀπάγχεσθαι ἐκ, above.

Mixed Phrases:

οὶ ἐξ ᾿Ακαδημείας, ἐκ τοῦ Π εριπάτου (cf. ἀπό). The Academics, the Peripatetics.

So N. Test. οἱ ἐκ πίστεως, the adherents of the Faith.

ὁ ἐξ ὑμῶν πόθος, Soph. Tr. 631, your desire (like a gen.), so ὅμνος ἐξ Ἐρινύων, the song of the Erinyes. Aesch. Eum. 344.

Adverbial Phrases:

ἐκ βίαs, by force; ἐκ τοῦ φανεροῦ (προφανοῦς), openly (cf. ex improviso, ex consulto, etc., Latin); ἐκ τοῦ εἰκότος, Thuc. iv. 17, in all likelihood; ὡς ἐκ τῶν παρόντων, Thuc. iv. 17, so far as present circumstances allow; ἐξ ἴσων (τοῦ ἴσου) equally; ἐκ τοῦ αὐτομάτου, by chance, or accident, XEN. (less common than ἀπό).

Periphrasis for a case:

at $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\xi}$ 'A $\theta \eta \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \iota$, the maids of Athens.

IN COMPOSITION:

 Separation, removal, completion (cf. ἀπό), ἐκβαίνω, I go out ; ἐκπέρθω, I sack utterly, out and out.

§ 259.

Πρό.

 $\Pi \rho \acute{o}$, before, in front of. Cf. $\pi \rho \acute{o}$ s. Lat. prod, pro.

(1) OF PLACE:—

Before, in front of:

Μινώα ἡ νῆσος κεῖται πρὸ Μεγάρων. THUC. The island of Minoa lies off (in front of) Megara.

Hence in defence of, for the sake of. (Cf. $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho$): $\ddot{\eta}\theta\epsilon\lambda\epsilon$ $\theta\alpha\nu\epsilon\hat{\nu}\nu$ $\pi\rho\dot{\nu}$ $\kappa\epsilon\dot{\nu}$ Eur. Alc. 18. She willed to die for him.

PHRASE.

πρὸ ὁδοῦ, forwards, onwards.

(2) OF TIME:-

οί προ ήμων γεγονότες. Isoc.

Those who were born before us (our forefathers).

δ πρδ, το \hat{v} χρόνος, the former time, aforetime.

(3) Of Relation :-

In preference to, cf. ἀντί, περί:

πρὸ τῶν βελτίστων τὰ βραχύτερα αἰρεῖσθαι. Plat. To choose more unimportant things in preference to the highest things.

πρὸ πολλοῦ ποιεῖσθαι (τιμᾶσθαι). Το esteem highly, (lit. in preference to much).

In Composition:

Forth, forward, in front, of place and so of pre-eminence, of substitution or defence, προβάλλω, I put forward; πρόθυρον, front door; πρόεδρος, president; πρόμαχος, champion.
 Before, of time, προαισθάνομαι, I learn beforehand.

§ 260.

" $A\nu\epsilon\nu$.

"Aνευ, without, opposed to σύν.

(1) Without the help of, or order of : τί βροτοῖς ἄνευ Διὸς τελεῖται; AESCH. Ag. 1487, what comes to pass among men without the will of Zeus? (iniussu Iovis, Iove nolente). Cf. Thuc. viii. 52. In Plat. Gorg. 518 d, without reference to. (2) Except, besides (like χωρίς): πάντα ἄνευ χρυσοῦ, Plat. Crit. 112 c, all things except gold, omnia praeter aurum.

In SOPH. O. C. 502 avev comes after its case.

§ 261.

Ένεκα, ἔνεκεν.

"Ενεκα, ένεκεν (Ion. είνεκα; είνεκεν), Poet. ούνεκα.

Generally after its case, sometimes separated from its case

(Ar. Ecc. 105-6). Lat. gratia, causa.

For the sake of: κολακεύειν ἔνεκα μισθοῦ, XEN. Hell. v. 1.
 to flatter for the sake of (in order to get) a reward. (2) So far as concerns: ἐμοῦ γε ἔνεκα, so far as I am concerned; ἔνεκα τῶν ὀφθαλμῶν, Plat., so far as depends on the eyes.

Note. Sometimes pleonastically with other Prepositions: ἀπὸ βοῆς ἔνεκα, Thuc. vii. 92; ἀμφὶ σοῦ ἔνεκα, Soph. Phil. 534; τίνος δὴ χάριν ἔνεκα; Plat. Leg. 701 d.

§ 262.

Έκατι.

"Ekati (Ep. with the help of).

(1) Because of, on account of : ἀρετῆς ἔκατι, SOPH. Phil. 670, on account of (i.e. as a reward for) valour. (2) So far as concerns (like ἔνεκα): $\pi\lambda\dot{\eta}\theta$ ους ἕκατι, AESCH. Pers. 337, so far as numbers went.

§ 263.

Χωρίς.

Xωρίς, without.

Without help of: πόνου τοι χωρὶς οὐδὲν εὐτυχεῖ, SOPH.
 El. 945; without toil nothing prospers. (2) Far from: χωρὶς ἀνθρώπων στίβου, SOPH. Phil. 487, far from track of men. (3) Without considering, besides: χωρίς δόξης, apart from reputation Plat. Apol. xxiv. (4) Different from, Plat. Lach. 195 A.

Note. Ywpis is also used Adverbially.

§ 264. Besides the Prepositions, a greater number of old Cases, which have become Adverbial, are used like Prepositions with a Genitive.

I. $\mu \in \chi \rho \iota$ and $\mathring{a} \chi \rho \iota$, as far as.

(1) Of Place: μέχρι τῆς πόλεως, THUC., as far as the city.
(2) Of Time: μέχρι τοσούτου, τούτου, so far, up to this time.
(3) μέχρι τοῦ δικαίου (δυνατοῦ), so far as is right (possible).

Π. χάριν. (1) For the sake of: τοῦ χάριν;=τοῦ ἔνεκα; for the sake of what, or wherefore? (2) Because of: χάριν χλιδᾶς = χλιδᾶς ἔκατι, because of pride, SOPH. O. T. 888. (3) So far as concerns: <math>δακρύων χάριν, if tears could avail, SOPH. Fr. 501.

Note. ἐμὴν χάριν, σὴν χάριν, for my sake, thy sake, not χάριν ἐμοῦ, σοῦ, mea, tua causa, gratia.

πρὸς χάριν is also redundantly used, with reference to, for the

sake of, SOPH. Ant. 30, 908.

III. Several old Accusatives adverbially used, meaning like, after the fashion of: $\delta(\kappa\eta\nu)$ (lit. usage); $\tau\rho\delta\pi\nu$ (way); $\delta\epsilon\mu\alpha$ s (Epic only, form or body). Cf. Lat. instar.

IV. $\mathring{a}\lambda\iota s$, $\mathring{a}\delta\eta\nu$, enough; $\delta\iota\chi a$, apart; $\lambda \acute{a}\theta\rho a$, $\kappa\rho\acute{\nu}\phi a$, secretly.

V. Many old local cases.

έγγύς, near (also takes Dative); εἴσω, ἐντός, within; ἔξω, ἐκτός, without; μεταξύ, between; πρόσω, πόρρω, ἄποθεν, far from; πρόσθεν, ἔμπροσθεν, in front of; ὅπισθεν, κάτοπιν, behind; ἀμφοτέρωθεν, ἐκατέρωθεν, ἔνθεν καὶ ἔνθεν, on both sides of; πέρα, πέραν, beyond, across; ἀντίπερας, καταντίπερας, ἀντικρύ, καταντικρύ, opposite.

(c.) WITH DATIVE ONLY.

§ 265.

 $^{\prime}\mathrm{E}\nu.$

'Eν (poet. εἰν, ἐνί, εἰνί), in, within, opposed to εἰς, into, and ἐξ, out of. Lat. in, Eng. in, Germ. in. Cf. ἀνά.

[The old Adverbial usage of $\check{\epsilon}\nu$ still continues in the phrase $\check{\epsilon}\nu$ $\delta\check{\epsilon}$, and among, and therein, and besides. Several instances occur in Sophocles.]

(1) OF PLACE (see Dative of Place) :-

At, near, by, on:

Λεωτυχίδης ήγειτο των εν Μυκάλη Έλλήνων. Thuc. Leotychides commanded the Greeks at Mycale.

Τραπεζούς οἰκεῖται ἐν τῷ Εὐξείνῳ πόντῳ. XEN. Trapezus is built on the Euxine sea.

Among:

νόμοι ἐν πᾶσιν εὐδόκιμοι τοῖς Έλλησιν. Plat Laws famous among all the Greeks.

Elliptically (supply οἴκφ, or some such word), mostly with proper names in Attic. Cf. εἰς.

ėν Αϊδου, in Hades.

έν 'Αοίφρονος, Plat. Prot. 320 A, in the house of Ariphron; έν Διονύσου, in the temple of Dionysus, DEM. 21. 8.

ἐν παιδοτρίβού, ἐν κιθαριστοῦ, at (the school) of the gymnastic master, the cithara-player.

Also an Epic use (the complete construction sometimes occurs, e.g. εἰν Αἰδάο δόμοισι, Od. iv. 834).

With Verbs of Motion (Constructio Praegnans). Cf. eis, converse construction.

οὶ ἐν τῷ Ἡραίῳ καταπεφευγότες. XEN. Hell. iv. 5. 5. Those who had fled to (and were in) the chapel of Hera.

(2) Of Time (see Dative of Time):--

Within a space of, during:

ἐν ταῖς σπονδαῖς, during the armistice, XEN. ἐν τούτφ, meanwhile; ἐν ῷ, ἐν ὅσφ, whilst.

(3) OF RELATION :--

Occupation, Condition:

οί έν τοις πράγμασι, οί έν τέλει. ΤΗυΟ.

Those who are engaged in public affairs, those who are in office, i.e. ministers of state, the authorities, the government Digitized by Microsoft®

έν φιλοσοφία, έν λόγοις, έν τῆ τέχνη είναι. To be engaged in philosophy, in oratory, in an art. είναι έν φόβω, έν αἰσχύνη, έν σιωπή, έν έλπίδι. To be in a state of fear, shame, silence, hope.

Phrases:

έν όργη έχειν or ποιείσθαί τινα, to feel angry towards any one; έν αιτία έχειν, to blame; έν ήδονη είναι ἄρχοντες, ΤΗυς. 1. 99, to give satisfaction as rulers; ἐν καλῷ εἶναι (=καλως ἔχειν), Eur. Heracl. 971, to be well; ἐν δίκη δικαίως, in justice; εν παρασκευή είναι, to be in a state of preparation, THUC. ii. 80; έν ὅπλοις είναι, to be under arms (so ἐν τόξοις, equipped with arrows; ἐν φορτίοις τρέχειν, to run with burdens on the back, XEN.).

Dependent on:

έν ταις γυναιξίν έστιν ή σωτηρία. ARIST. The safety (of Greece) depends on the ladies.

So, very often, $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu$ γ' $\hat{\epsilon}\mu o i$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu$ $\sigma o i \gamma \epsilon$, etc., penes me, quantum in me est, so far as lies, depends on me, thee.

έν τῷ θεῷ τὸ τέλος ἢν, οὖκ ἐν ἐμοί. DEM. 292. 21.

The issue rested with God, not with me.

Cf. Soph. O. C. 1443, O. T. 314.

With respect to or at:

γέλωτ' ἔν σοι γελῶ. SOPH. Ant. 551. I laugh a laugh at thee.

A rare use, but found with Compounds, ἐγγελῶ, ἐνυβρίζω.

Instrument, Means, Manner (a special use, originally denoting Place):

έν ὀφθαλμοῖς (ὄμμασιν) ὁρᾶν, Hom. and Attic.

To see with the eye (in oculis).

Sophocles is fond of this ev. Cf. Phil. 60, 102, 1293. Antig. 691. Cf. also Eur. Bacch. 277, Thuc. i. 77 (vóμοις), vii. 11 (ἐπιστολαίς).

IN COMPOSITION:

 In, at, near, ἐμβάλλω, I throw in; ἐγγελῶ, I laugh at. (2) Of inherent qualities, εμφωνος, endowed with voice, cf. ἔννομος. Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 266.

Σύν.

Σύν (ξύν, old Attic form), with, together with, opposed to ἄνευ. Lat. cum. Compare throughout with μετά.

Together with:

ἐπαιδεύετο σὺν τῷ ἀδελφῷ. ΧΕΝ.

He was being educated with his brother.

Conformity with (opp. to $\pi a \rho a$), with the help of:

σὺν τῷ νόμφ ψῆφον τιθέναι. ΧΕΝ.

To vote in accordance with the law (παρὰ τὸν νόμον, contrary to law).

PHRASES:

σὺν $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$, with God's blessing, or help, please God (deo favente). (σὸν, $\theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ εἰπεῖν, Plat., Arist.)

οἱ σύν τινι, one's friends, party, followers. XEN.

σύν τινι μάχεσθαι (εἶναι, γίγνεσθαι), to fight on one's side (μετά τινος more usual). ΧΕΝ.

Accompanying circumstances:

σὺν ναῦσι προςπλεῖν, ΧΕΝ. Hell. ii. 2. 7, to sail with ships (commoner in Epic than Attic).

Expletive use. Cf. Dative:

σὺν τῷ σῷ ἀγαθῷ, to your advantage, cum tuo commodo, XEN. Cyr. iii. 1. 15. Cp. Soph. Ant. 172.

σὺν τῆ βία, with violence (cf. πρὸς βίαν, βιαίως). σὺν τῷ χρόνφ, at length, XEN. Cyr. viii. 6.

Old Adverbial use:

μη ξὺν κακῶς ποιεῖν αὐτούς. THUC. iii. 13. Not jointly (i.e. together with the Athenians) to injure them.

Cf. SOPH. Ai. 960, AESCH. Ag. 586 (=furthermore), SOPH. Ant. 85 (moreover), El. 299.

IN COMPOSITION:

Together with, συναγορεύω, I speak with another. (20. Completely, συμπληρῶ, I fill completely, cf. comple g. With numerals, a distributive force is given, e.) σύντρεις, three taken togethers the three apiece.

§ 267. Note on $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu$ and $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$.

σύν, together with, denotes mere addition. μετά, together with, in the midst of, in the company of, denotes participation with, community of action.

Thus (in Homer):

μετὰ δμώων πίνε καὶ ἥσθιε. Od. x. 140. He was drinking and eating in the company of the slaves. ἤλυθε σὺν δίφ Μενελάω. Π. iii. 206. He came with god-like Menelaus (i.e. both came).

Cf. Soph. Antiq. 115, 116.

So συλλαμβάνω, I take or get together (τοὺς στρατιώτας, the soldiers).

μεταλαμβάνω, I take together with others, i.e. I share. συνέχω, I hold together, comprise, contain. μετέχω, I have with others, partake, share. ἔπεσθαι μετά τινων, to follow in the midst of others. ἔπεσθαι σύν τισι. to follow with (as well as) others.

In Attic, it is to be observed, that $\sigma \acute{\nu} \nu$ with the Dative is used in Poetry where $\mu \epsilon \tau \acute{a}$ with the Genitive is used in Prose. Xenophon, however, apparently following poetical, i.e. earlier or Homeric, usage, is the one Attic prose writer who uses $\sigma \acute{\nu} \nu$ with the Dative.

§ 268. One or two old Adverbial Cases, $\mathring{a}\mu a$ and $\mathring{o}\mu o\hat{v}$, are joined like Prepositions to the Dative.

I. ἄμα, mostly Temporal: ἄμα ἔφ, ἄμα ἔφ γιγνομένη, at dawn, daybreak; but also of accompaniment, of ἄμα Θόαντι, Hdt. vi. 138, those who were with Thoas. Cf. Thuc. vii. 57.

II. $\delta\mu$ oῦ, together with; ὕδωρ $\delta\mu$ οῦ τ ῷ $\pi\eta$ λῷ, Thuc. vii. 84, water together with the mud; θ εοῖς $\delta\mu$ οῦ= σ ὸν θ εοῖς, Soph. Ai. 767, with the help of the gods.

III. ἐγγύς (see Genitive), near. 1. When used of Place is chiefly Epic, and takes a Genitive, but, in Eur. Herac. 37, a Dative. 2. Of Time or Numbers, ἐγγὺς ἐνιαυτοῦ, ΧΕΝ. Hell. iii. 1. 28, near a year. In Thuc. vi. 5, ἔτεσι ἐγγὺς εἴκοσι, near twenty years; ἐγγύς is Adverbial, as post may be in Latin, viginti post annis.

IV. έφεξης: τὰ τορτομε έφεξηνιο Poste what follows.

II.—PREPOSITIONS WITH TWO CASES. WITH ACCUSATIVE AND GENITIVE.

§ 269.

Διά.

 $\Delta\iota\dot{a}$ (old and poetical form $\delta\iota a\dot{\iota}$), between, apart, through. Cf. $\delta\iota\dot{a}$, $\delta\dot{\iota}$, $\delta\dot{\iota}$, $\delta\dot{\iota}$.

A. WITH GENITIVE.

1. OF PLACE:

Through (right through, and out of):

έπορεύθησαν διὰ Χαλύβων. ΧΕΝ.

They marched through the country of the Chalybes.

All through, along:

θορύβου ήκουσε διὰ τῶν τάξεων ἰόντος. XEN. He heard a din passing all along the ranks.

Distributively, intervals of space:

διὰ δέκα ἐπάλξεων πύργοι ἢσαν. ΤΗυς.

At every ten battlements were towers.

So διὰ πολλοῦ, διὰ πλείστου, δι' ἐλάσσονος, at a great distance, greatest distance, short distance.

Cf. Eur. Andr. 1251 (ἄλλον δι' ἄλλου).

2. OF TIME:

Throughout, cf. $\pi a \rho a'$ with Accusative:

ταῦτα δι' όλου τοῦ αἰῶνος μοχθοῦσι. ΤΗυς.

Thus throughout their whole life they labour.

So διὰ νυκτός, δι' ἡμέρας, etc., διὰ παντός, διὰ τέλους, continually ; δι' ὀλίγου, for a little while.

Of intervals after:

ἔοικε διὰ πολλοῦ χρόνου σ' έωρακέναι. ARIST. It seems that it is a long while since he has seen you.

Cf. SOPH. Phil. 285 (χρόνος διὰ χρόνου, of succession in time).

Digitized by Microsoft®

Distributively:

διὰ πέμπτου ἔτους, or διὰ πέντε ἐτῶν, every fifth year, quinto quoque anno; δι' ἔτους, δι' ἐνιαυτοῦ, every year, year by year.

3. CAUSAL:

The notion is that of a coming between or intervening.

The intermediate agent, through the medium of, by the agency of:

έλεγε δι' έρμηνέως. ΧΕΝ.

He was speaking by means of an interpreter.

δι' ἐαυτοῦ ποιεῖν τι, to do a thing by oneself, all alone, i.e. without the intervention of another.

Cf. $d\pi \delta$, $\dot{\epsilon}\xi$.

Means or instrument. Cf. the Dative (which denotes more direct means):

ή διὰ τῶν ὀμμάτων σκέψις. PLAT. Phaed. 83. Examination by means of the eyesight.

διὰ χειρὸς ἔχειν, λαβεῖν τι, to hold, to take in the hand.

ADVERBIAL PHRASES:

A great number of Adverbial phrases are formed with διά and the Genitive. In these διά appears to be used sometimes in its local, sometimes in its causal sense.

διὰ μάχης ἰέναι, ἔρχεσθαι (τινι), to go to, engage in battle with; δι' ἔχθρας γίγνεσθαι (τινι), to be hostile to; διὰ φιλίας ἰέναι (τινι), to be friendly with; διὰ λόγων ἰέναι (τινι), to converse with; δι' αἰτίας ἔχειν, ἄγειν (τινα), to hold guilty; δι' ὀργῆς, φυλακῆς, οἴκτου ἔχειν (τινα, τι), to be angry with, keep in prison, feel pity for.

διὰ στόματος ἔχειν, μνήμης, στέρνων, to have on one's lips, in one's memory, in the breast.

δι' ὀργῆς, angrily; διὰ σπουδῆς, hastily; διὰ βραχέων, shortly; διὰ μακρῶν, at length (e.g. τοὺς λόγους ποιεῖσθαι, to sneak) ο διὰμιτάχους, quickly, shortly.

B. WITH ACCUSATIVE—generally denotes close contiguity.

1. OF PLACE AND TIME:

Throughout, during:

Epic and poetical only. Cf. AESCH. Supp. 15, SOPH. O. T. 867.

2. CAUSAL:

Of the Antecedent, not the Final Cause. Of the person or thing whose intervention helps towards a result.

Owing to, because of, on account of, with the help of (see note).

οί 'Αθηναῖοι δι' ἀρετήν, ἀλλ' οὐ διὰ τύχην ἐνίκησαν. ΙSAE.

The Athenians conquered through valour, not through chance.

διά τοὺς εὖ μαχομένους αἱ μάχαι κρίνονται.

XEN. Cyr. v. 2. 35.

Battles are decided by (owing to the conduct of) those who fight well.

Cf. Soph. O. C. 1129 (διά σε).

Through the fault of:

διὰ τοὺς ἄδίκως πολιτευομένους ἐν τῆ ὀλιγαρχία ἡ δημοκρατία γίγνεται.

LYS. 25. 27.

Owing to the unjust administration of rulers in an oligarchy, democracy springs up.

εί μη διά, had it not been for, but for:

εἰ μὴ διὰ τὸν Πρύτανιν ἐνέπεσεν ἄν. Plat. Gorg. 516 E. Had it not been for the President he would have been thrown into prison. Cf. Thuc. ii. 18.

PHRASES:

δι' ἐνδειαν, because of, owing to poverty (XEN. An. viii. 6); δι' ἄγνοιαν, διὰ καῦμα, διὰ χειμῶνα, because of ignorance, heat, winter piδιὰκοδρέψημε κέναι, because they were

allies. Similarly the common expressions διὰ τί, why? wherefore? διὰ ταῦτα, on this account, because of this; δι' ὄ, δι' ὄ, on which account.

Note. For the sake of this, denoting a final cause or purpose, would be τούτου ἔνεκα, not διὰ ταῦτα.

For the sake of, in order to:

A very rare use of διά with the Accusative. It occurs seemingly four times in Thucyddes, iv. 40, δι' ἀχθη-δόνα, in order to vex; ii. 40, διὰ τὴν σφέτεραν δόξαν, for their own glory; iv. 102, διὰ τὸ περιέχειν αὐτήν, in order to enclose the city; v. 103, διὰ τοῦ θύματος τὴν ἔςπραξιν, in order to exact the sacrifice.

Note. It is difficult sometimes to distinguish between the causal uses of $\delta\iota\acute{a}$ with Genitive and $\delta\iota\acute{a}$ with Accusative. It is extremely difficult to account for the causal use of $\delta\iota\acute{a}$ with Accusative. Consult RIDDELL's Digest of Platonic Idioms for instances of $\delta\iota\acute{a}$ with Accusative in Plato and the Orators, meaning with the help of.

IN COMPOSITION:

Through, and so throughly or thoroughly, διαβαίνω, I go through; διαφεύγω, I escape thoroughly.
 Δpart, διασκεδάννυμι, I scatter asunder.

§ 270.

Κατά

Kaτά, down, opposed to $\dot{a}ν\dot{a}$. Old form κaτaί, cf. κaται-βάτης.

A. WITH GENITIVE.

Note. $\beta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \kappa a \tau \hat{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \tau \rho \eta s$ originally may have meant "to go downwards with regard to the rock," i.e. either down from, or down upon.

1. OF PLACE:

Down from:

άλόμενοι κατά της πέτρας. ΧεΝ. Leaping down from the making Down upon or over:

φέρε παι ταχέως κατὰ χειρὸς ὕδωρ. ARIST. Come boy, quickly, pour water on my hand.

Cf. Lucian's late use, κατὰ κόρρης πατάξαι, to box on the head, for the earlier ἐπὶ κόρρης.

Cf. Plat. Rep. 398 A. In Soph. El. 1433 (κατ' ἀντιθύρων,

towards the vestibule).

Down into, and under:

ή ᾿Ατλαντὶς νῆσος κατὰ τῆς θαλάττης δῦσα ἠφανίσθη. PLAT. Tim. 25.

The isle of Atlantis sank under the sea and disappeared.

2. FIGURATIVELY:

Against:

οί καθ' ἡμῶν λόγοι, DEM. 15. 25, arguments against us. Cf. Soph. Phil. 65.

Cf. κατηγορῶ, καταγιγνώσκω τινός, ψεύδομαι κατά τινος.

Concerning, with respect to:

τοῦτο εἴρηται κατὰ πασῶν τῶν πολιτειῶν. Ar. Pol. v. 7. 11. This has been asserted of all governments.

So σκοπείν, λέγειν, ζητείν, κατά τινος, often in Plato (see RIDDELL, Digest, 163).

PHRASES:

κατ' ἄκρας, utterly (Ep. κατ' ἄκρης, a culmine); κατὰ τέκνων ὀμνύναι, DEM., to swear by one's children; καθ' ὅλου (later καθόλου, see Lexicon), on the whole.

B. WITH THE ACCUSATIVE, $\kappa a \tau a'$ denotes close proximity.

1. OF PLACE:

Motion down upon or after:

οί 'Αθηναῖοι κατὰ πόδας ἔπλεον τῶν Λακεδαιμονίων. Χεν.

The Athenians were sailing in the wake of the Lacedaemonians.

κατὰ ῥόον, down stream (cf. ἀνὰ ῥόον). Digitized by Microsoft®

After, in search of:

ἐσκεδασμένοι καθ' ἀρπαγήν. XEN. Scattered in quest of plunder.

Over against, opposite:

οί 'Αθηναίοι κατὰ Λακεδαιμονίους έγένοντο. XEN.
The Athenians were posted opposite the Lacedaemonians.

Extension throughout:

ή εὐλάβεια σκότον ἔχει καθ' Ἑλλάδα. Ευκ. Discretion is under a cloud throughout Hellas. κατὰ γῆν καὶ κατὰ θάλασσαν, by land and sea.

In, connected with, belonging to:

κατ' ἀγοράν, in the market; αἱ κατὰ τὸ σῶμα (τὴν ψυχὴν) ἐπιθυμίαι, bodily (mental) desires; τὰ κατὰ τὴν πόλιν, public affairs, politics; τὰ κατὰ τὸν πόλεμον, military matters.

2. Hence FIGURATIVELY:

Of fitness, according to:

κατὰ νοῦν λέγεις. PLAT. You speak to my liking.

Cf. AESCH. Ag., κατ' ἄνδρα σώφρονα, like a discreet man (with a man's discretion); AR. Av. 1001, κατὰ πνίγεα, like an oven; κατὰ φύσιν, agreeably to nature (opposed to παρὰ φύσιν); κατὰ τὸν ἀκριβῆ λόγον, in strict statement; Plat. Ap. i., οὐ κατὰ τούτους ῥήτωρ, an orator of a different stamp from these men; κατὰ ξυμμαχίαν, by virtue of an alliance.

Especially with Comparatives:

είδεν νεκρον μείζω ή κατ' ἄνθρωπον. Plat. He saw a corpse of superhuman size.

Cf. Thuc. vii. 75, μείζω ἢ κατὰ δάκρυα πεπονθότας.

Digitized by Microsoft®

3. Of Time:

Contemporary with:

Θεμιστοκλής ὁ τῶν καθ' ἐαυτὸν ἀπάντων ἐνδοξότατος.

DEM. 20. 73.

Themistocles, the most illustrious man of all his contemporaries.

οὶ κατὰ Πλάτωνα, Plato and his age; κατ' ἀρχήν, originally; κατ' εἰρήνην, in time of peace.

4. DISTRIBUTIVELY:

Of Place, Time, Money, etc., a common use:

κατοικούνται οἱ Μήδοι κατὰ κώμας. ΗΕΠΟD.

The Medes live in separate villages.

καθ' ένα, one by one; κατὰ μίαν καὶ κατὰ δύο λαβείν, DEM. 20. 77, to take (ships) one and two at a time; κατ' ἄνδρα, man by man.

καθ' ἡμέραν (in dies), day by day; κατὰ μῆνα, κατ'

ένιαυτόν.

κατὰ τὰς πέντε καὶ εἴκοσι μνᾶς πεντακοσίας δραχμὰς εἰςφέρειν. DEM. 815. 11.

To contribute 500 drachmas on every 25 minae.

5. Miscellaneous Phrases, many adverbial:

καθ' ἐαυτόν, καθ' αὐτούς, left to themselves, i.e. alone or singly; κατὰ μόνας, alone; κατὰ μικρόν, κατ' ὀλίγον, little by little; κατὰ δύναμιν, to the best of one's ability; τὸ κατ' ἐμέ, so far as concerns me; κατὰ ταὐτά, in the same way; κατ' Αἴσχυλον, as Aeschylus has it; κατὰ χώραν, in statu quo, "as you were."

κατὰ τάχος, quickly; κατὰ κράτος (per vim), by force;

κατὰ σπουδήν, hastily; καθ' ήσυχίαν, quietly.

κατὰ τοῦτο, on this ground; κατὰ τί; on what ground, wherefore? κατὰ τῆν ἀσθένειαν, on the ground of, by reason of, weakness.

IN COMPOSITION:

(1) Down, καταβαίνω, I go down.
 (2) Of isolation or abandonment, καταλείπω, I leave behind or abandon.
 (3) Against, κατηγορῶ, I accuse; καταγιγνώσκω, I condemn.
 (4) All over, i.e. completely, κατακόπτω, I chop up in pieces.

Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 27I.

Υ π $\acute{\epsilon}$ ρ.

' $\Upsilon\pi\epsilon\rho$ (poet. $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\epsilon\ell\rho$), above, over, beyond. Sansk. upari (above), Latin super, English over, German über. ' $\Upsilon\pi\epsilon\rho$ for $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\epsilon\rho\iota$ is a Comparative of $\dot{\upsilon}\pi\delta$.

A. WITH THE GENITIVE.

1. Of Place:

Over, above :

ό θεὸς έθηκε τὸν ἥλιον ὑπὲρ γῆς. Plat. God placed the sun above the earth.

Motion over :

ἐκκυβιστᾶν ὑπὲρ τῶν ξιφῶν. XEN. To turn a somersault over the swords.

In the interior of a country:

ἥρξατο ἐξ Αἰθιοπίας τῆς ὑπὲρ Αἰγύπτου. ΤΗυς. It began in Aethiopia which is beyond Aegypt, i.e. higher up, further inland.

2. FIGURATIVELY:

To protect, in defence of, cf. $\pi \rho \delta$:

νῦν ὑπὲρ πάντων ἀγών. ΑΕSCH.

Now is the contest in defence of our all.

Joined with $\pi \rho \acute{o}$, Eur. Alc. 690.

In the interest of:

οἱ ὑπὲρ τοῦ βελτίστου λέγοντες. DEM. 9. 63. Those who speak in the cause of what is best.

On account of:

κλαύματα βραδυτήτος ὑπέρ. SOPH. Ant. 932. Tears as a punishment for slowness.

Instead of:

ἀποκρίνεσθαι ὑπέρ τινος, PLAT. Rep. 590, to answer for one. Cf. Thuc i 141 μύπερ έαυτοῦ.

With a view to:

ύπερ τοῦ μὴ πράττειν τὸ προςταττόμενον, ISOC. 152 D, in order not to do what was bidden. Cf. RIDDELL, Digest, p. 167.

B. WITH ACCUSATIVE. Chief signification figurative, beyond, i.e. in excess of.

1. OF PLACE:

Beyond:

τῶν οὐρέων τῶν ὑπερ Μέμφιν πόλιν κειμένων τὸ μεταξύ.

The space between the hills which lie beyond the city of Memphis.

2. FIGURATIVELY:

In excess of:

ουκ έστιν ύπερ ἄνθρωπον τοῦτο. ΡΙΑΤ.

This is not beyond the power of man.

ύπὲρ ἐλπίδα, past, beyond hope; ὑπὲρ ἡμᾶs, beyond our power; ὑπὲρ δύναμιν, beyond one's ability.

More than :

ἔπεσον ὑπὲρ τεσσεράκοντα ἄνδρας. HEROD. v. 64. There fell over more than 40 men.

3. OF TIME:

Before:

ό πρὸς τὸν Αἰγινητῶν ὑπὲρ τὰ Μηδικὰ πόλεμον.

Тнис. і. 41.

The war with the Aeginetans before the Persian wars.

As an adverb:

Very rarely; cf. Eur. Med. 627, St. Paul ad Cor. II. xi. 23, ὑπèρ ἐγώ.

IN COMPOSITION:

 Across or beyond, hence of excess or transgression, ὑπερβαίνω, I go beyond, I transgress, omit, surpass. (2) For, in defence of, ὑπεραλγῶ, I grieve for (also exceedingly) as by (1); ὑπερμαχῶ, I in the same

§ 272.

Μετά.

Meτά, With, among, between, after. Compare with σύν. Cf. μετα-ξύ, between, μέταζε, afterwards. Sansk. mithas (alternately), mithu (together), German mit. Curtius (Etym. i. p. 258) denies the direct relationship between μετά and μέσος. In Attic, μετά is chiefly used with the Genitive. Compare with σύν.

A. WITH GENITIVE.

With, among:

ή ψυχὴ ἀεὶ μετὰ τῶν θεῶν διάγει. ΡΙΑΤ.

The soul lives for ever with the gods (in their society). μετὰ ξυμμάχων κινδυνεύειν, Thuc. viii. 23, to run risk in common with allies.

On the side of:

ούκ είκὸς τὸ τῆς τύχης οἴεσθαι ἀεὶ μεθ' ὑμῶν ἔσεσθαι.

THUC. iv. 18.

It is not reasonable to suppose that the influence of fortune will ever be on your side. Cf. Plat. Apol. xxii., μετὰ τοῦ νόμου.

Joined to:

ίσχός τε καὶ κάλλος μετὰ ὑγιείας. PLAT. Rep. 591 B. Strength and beauty joined to health.

Modal, cf. $\sigma \dot{\nu}_{\nu}$, and Dative alone:

ίκέτευσε τοὺς δικαστὰς μετὰ πολλῶν δακρύων.

PLAT. Apol. xxiii.

He besought the judges with many tears.

PHRASES:

μετά τινος είναι, to be on one's side; οι μετά τινος, one's companions; μετ' άληθείας, truly.

B. WITH ACCUSATIVE (rare in Attic).

Next in order to:

μετὰ θεοὺς ψυχὴ θειότατον. Plat. Leg. 726. Next to the gods the soul is most divine.

Other usages of perá with the Accusative, going among, going in quest or search of, according to, are chiefly Epic. them the Lexicon should be consulted.

Note 1. With the Accusative μετά denotes either (1) motion to the midst of, or (2) extension over the midst of. The idiomatic phrases with μετά and Accusative will fall under one of these two heads. Thus (1) μετὰ ταῦτα, next to, after this (lit. going into the midst of, and so succeeding, or coming next to); (2) $\mu \in \theta$ ημέραν, interdiu, in the daytime (during, extending over the day); μετά χείρας έχειν, to have in hand.

Note. 2. In Homer and in poetry μετά is also used with the Dative to denote presence among, one among others, without the close connexion denoted by the Genitive.

μετά δε τριτάτοισιν ανασσεν, Il. i. 252, he was ruler among (in the presence of) the third generation.

Cf. Eur. Erechth, 26,

As an adverb:

Among, amid, next, afterwards, often in Homer. In Herod. also afterwards. μετὰ δέ, ὅπλωτε κ.τ.λ., i. 128.

IN COMPOSITION:

- Together with, μετέχω, I have together with or share. (2) Going to, among, or extending over, or in the midst of: μεταίχμιον, the space between armies; μεθίημι, I let loose (among). (3) Of succession, alternation, change, μεταδόρπιος, after dinner; μεταγιγνώσκω, I change my mind; μετάνοια, repentance.
- N.B. Coming among implies following some, and so succession and alternation. Thus if a bead is put among or between others in a necklace, it comes after, and alternates with, other beads.

III.—PREPOSITIONS WITH ALL THREE CASES. 'Αμφί. § 273.

'Aμφί, on both sides (about, around). Cf. άμφω, άμφότερος. Sansk. abhi, Lat. amb, (am-, an-), amb-o, amb-io. 'Αμφί related in form to ἀμφίς as ἐκ to ἐξ. Compare throughout with mental by Microsoft®

Note. In Attic Prose $\dot{a}\mu\phi i$ is practically used with the Accusative only.

A. WITH ACCUSATIVE.

About the time of, cf. $\pi \epsilon \rho i$:

ήδη ἦν ἀμφὶ ἀγορὰν πλήθουσαν. XEN.

It was now already about full market time (forenoon).

ἀμφὶ πεντήκοντα ἔτη, about 50 years.

(Employed) about:

ἀσκοῦσι τὰ ἀμφὶ τὸν πόλεμον. ΧΕΝ.

They practise the arts of war.

άμφί τι (e.g. ἴππους, ἄρματα, δείπνον, etc.) ἔχειν, εἶναι, διατρίβειν, etc., to engage in, set about, be concerned with anything.

PHRASES:

οἱ ἀμφὶ Πρωταγόραν (Πλάτωνα), the school of Protagoras (of Plato). The phrase (especially in later writers) is a periphrasis for Pythagoras himself.

So οἱ ἀμφὶ Ξέρξεα, the army of Xerxes, HEROD., but οἱ ἀμφὶ Μεγαρέας καὶ Φλιασίους (HDT. ix. 69) the

Megarians and Phliasians.

Less common uses :

(Epic and poetical) ἢλθες ἀμφὶ Δωδώνην, AESCH. P. V., 830, thou camest nigh (about) Dodona, cf. 419; μερίμνα δ' ἀμφὶ πόλιν, AESCH. Sept. c. Theb. 843 (care about the city).

B. WITH GENITIVE (the uses are very rare and wholly Epic, Ionic, and Poetic).

Concerning, cf. $\pi\epsilon\rho i$:

άμφὶ σῆς λέγω παιδός, Eur. Hec. 580 (I tell thee of thy child). Cf. Soph. Phil. 554. Aesch. Ag. 67, ἀμφὶ γυναικός (in the cause of).

Round about :

HDT. viii. 131 (αμφὶ πόλιος οἰκέουσι).

C. WITH DATIVE (wholly Epic, Ionic, and Poetic).

Among:

(ἀμφὶ κλάδοις έζόμενα, Eur. Phoen. 1518 (seated among branches).

Concerning:

άμφ' έμοι στένεις, SOPH. El. 1180 (thou sighest for, about me).

PHRASES, cf. περί:

άμφὶ τάρ β ει (φό β ψ), prae pavore, for fear. In poetry. As an adverb, on either side. Homeric use.

§ 274.

$^{\circ}$ E πi .

 $E\pi i$, on the surface of, upon, by, to. Cf. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i$, then. Sansk. api, further, after, Lat. ob. See $a\pi o$.

A. WITH GENITIVE.

1. OF PLACE:

Upon, with verbs of rest:

πᾶς ὅ τ' ἐπὶ γῆς καὶ ὑπό γῆς χρυσός. ΡΙΑΤ.

All the gold on earth and under the earth.

So $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$ $i\pi\pi\sigma\sigma\nu$, very often.

With verbs of motion:

ἔπεμψαν αὐτοὺς ἐπὶ τριήρους. XEN.
They sent them away on board a trireme.

And in Constructio Praegnans, ἀναβήναι ἐπὶ πύργων, Xen., to climb up, and be on towers.

Towards (a common use):

οί ξύμμαχοι ἀνεχώρησαν ἐπ' οἴκου. Thuc. The allies returned homewards.

Cf. i. 60, ἐπὶ Θράκης. Digitized by Microsoft®

In, by, near, at:

έπὶ νήσου, in the island; γῆς ἐπὶ ξένης (Soph. O. C.), in a foreign land; ἐν ἀγορὰ ἐπὶ τῶν τραπεζῶν, Plat. Ap. 1, in the market at (by) the tables of the banks; ἐπὶ δικαστηρίου, ISAE., in court.

τὰ ἐπὶ Θράκης, Thuc. (see Poppo and Krüger) (the parts in) the neighbourhood or the district of Thrace or Thracewards. So ἐπὶ τῆς Λακωνικῆς, v. 34. ἐπὶ τῆς αὐτῶν μένειν, to remain in their own country, Thuc. iv. 118.

In presence of, coram. Cf. παρά. ἐξελέγχεσθαι ἐπὶ πάντων. DEM. 781. 4. Το be convicted in presence of all.

2. Of Time (very common):

ἐπὶ Κύρου βασιλεύοντος, in the reign of Cyrus; ἐπὶ Θ'εμιστοκλέους ἄρχοντος, in the archonship of Themistocles; ἐπὶ τῶν πατέρων, in the time of our fathers; ἐπ' ἐμοῦ, in my time; ὡς ἐπὶ κινδύνου, as in time of danger (Thuc. vi. 34).

3. FIGURATIVELY:

Set over, engaged in:

ἔμενεν ἐπὶ τῆς ἀρχῆς. XEN. He was continuing in command.

PHRASES:

So μένειν ἐπί τινος, to abide by a thing; ἐπὶ τῶν πραγμάτων (τοῦ πολέμου) εἶναι, to be engaged in business, in war; ἐπὶ γνώμης γίγνεσθαι, to come to an opinion, Dem. 42. 4.

δ ἐπὶ τῶν ὁπλιτῶν (ὅπλων), ἐπὶ τῶν ἱππέων, the commander of the infantry, cavalry; ὁ ἐπὶ τῆς διοικήσεως, the controller of the treasury, paymaster-general.

Resting, dependent upon, ¿¢' ¿autou, etc.:

έφ' ἐαυτοῦ, of or by oneself, independently or separately, spontaneously, is a common phrase; ἐφ' ἑαυτοῦ πλεῖν, Thuc., to sail by oneself or alone; ἐφ' ἑαυτοῦ οἰκεῖν, Digitized by Microsoft®

XEN., to live apart, separately; $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\phi}'$ $\dot{\nu}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$ $a\dot{\nu}\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ $\beta\dot{\alpha}\lambda$ - $\lambda\epsilon\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$, HDT., to consider by yourselves; $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\phi}'$ $\dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ $\delta\iota\alpha$ - $\lambda\dot{\epsilon}\gamma$ ov $\tau\alpha\iota$, HDT., they speak a language or dialect of their own, a distinct dialect; $\dot{\epsilon}\pi'$ $\dot{a}\gamma\kappa\nu\rho\dot{\epsilon}\omega\nu$, at anchor, HDT. i. 188.

In the case of:

With λέγω, αἰσθάνομαι, σκοπῶ (I examine or consider), κρίνω, I decide or judge.

å ἐπὶ τῶν ἄλλων ὁρᾶτε, ταῦτ' ἐφ' ὑμῶν αὐτῶν ἀγνοεῖτε. Is. viii. 114.

What you see in (the case of) others, that you are ignorant of in your own case.

So ἐπ' ἐμοῦ λέγειν, Plat. Rep. 475, to speak in my own case, to take myself as an instance; ἐπὶ πάντων ὁμοίως, in all cases alike.

Called after:

κεκλησθαι, ὀνομασθηναι ἐπί τινος (HDT.), to be named after a person; ἡ εἰρήνη ἡ ἐπὶ ἀνταλκίδου, DEM., the peace of Antalcidas.

έπί ονόματος είναι, to bear a name, DEM. 1000. 21.

Military phrases:

έταχθησαν έπὶ τεττάρων. ΧΕΝ. Απ. i. 2. 15.

They were drawn up four deep.

Generally of the depth, sometimes of the length, of a line. ἐπὶ πεντήκοντα ἀσπίδων συνεστραμμένοι.

XEN. Hell. vi. 4. 12.

Massed in column fifty shields deep.

τὸ μέτωπον ἐπὶ τριακοσίων, τὸ δὲ βάθος ἐφ' ἑκατόν.

XEN. Cyr. ii. 4. 2.

The length of the line was four hundred, its depth one hundred.

ἐφ' ἐνός, in single file; ἐπ' ὀλίγων τάσσεσθαι, to be drawn up in a long line (or a shallow column) (Xen. and Thuc.).

πλείν ἐπὶ κέρως (cf. Accus.), to sail in column (towards the wing), (κατορμήτερεπογήτρους. Επισ. ii. 19, in single file).

Miscellaneous phrases:

έπὶ τοῦ εὐωνυμοῦ, ἐπὶ τῶν πλευρῶν, on the left, on the flanks.

ἐπὶ πάντων, DEM., on all occasions ; ἐφ' ἑκάστων, PLAT., on each occasion.

ξπὶ τελευτῆς, at last; ἐπὶ σχολῆς, at leisure, leisurely; ἐπ' ἴσης, equally (Soph. El. 1061); ἐπὶ προφάσιος, Hdt., as a pretext; ἐπὶ ὅρκου, on oath (Hdt. ix. 11); ἐπὶ προςπόλου μιᾶς, dependent on one handmaid, Soph. O. C. 746.

B. WITH THE DATIVE.

[The uses should be compared with those of the Genitive. They often run closely parallel. ' $E\pi i$ with Dative, meaning upon, is commoner in Prose than with the Genitive; the poets use both cases indifferently. ' $E\pi i$ with the Dative implies closer connection than $\epsilon \pi i$ with Genitive.]

1. OF PLACE:

Over, on:

οί Θρậκες ἀλωπεκίδας ἐπὶ ταῖς κεφαλαῖς φοροῦσι. ΧΕΝ

The Thracians wear fox-skin caps (fitted to) on their heads. Cf. Eur. Bacch. 757.

N.B. $\epsilon \phi' i\pi\pi \phi$ must not be used for $\epsilon \phi' i\pi\pi \phi v$.

τοὺς ὁπλίτας ἐπὶ ναυσὶν ὁλίγαις εὐθὺς πέμπουσιν.

THUC. ii. 80 (cf. iv. 10).

They at once despatch the hoplites in (on board) a few ships.

Against:

ai νῆες ἐφ' ἡμῖν τετάχαται. Thuc. iii. 13 (cf. iv. 70). The ships are drawn up against us.

Cf. Soph. Ai. 51, ἐπ' ὅμμασι βάλλειν (Constr. Praegn.).

In, at, near, by:

οἰκέοντες ἐπὶ Στρύμονι, HDT., living on the shores of, or near, the Strymon.

Digitized by Microsoft®

οἱ τῶν ἀρίστων Περσῶν παίδες ἐπι ταῖς βασιλέως θύραις παιδεύονται. ΧΕΝ. Απ. i. 9. 3.

The sons of the noblest Persians are brought up at (close by) the king's gate (at the "Sublime Porte").

Cf. SOPH. Tr. 1100, Phil. 353.

Next after:

τὰ ἐπὶ τούτοις, the next step.

Cf. Hom. Od. vii. 216, οὐ γάρ τι στυγέρη ἐπὶ γαστέρι κύντερον ἄλλο, naught more blatant next to (than) the belly.

 οἱ ἐπὶ πῶσιν, the rear; ὁλίγοι τῶν ἐπὶ πῶσιν ὑπὸ τῶν ψιλῶν ἀπέθανον, few of the rear were slain by the lightarmed, XEN. Hell. i. 1. 34.

2. Of Time (rarely):

Generally of succession, after, or following:

έκτη έπὶ δεκάτη οι τῆ έκτη ἐπὶ δέκα.

DEM. 279. 18, 288. 29.

On the 16th of the month (sixth after the tenth).

Near, about (very rare in Attic):

ην ηλιος ἐπὶ δυσμαῖς. XEN. An. vii. 3. 34. It was near sunset (the sun was at his setting).

3. FIGURATIVELY:

Set over and actively engaged in:

τοὺς ἐπὶ τοῖς πράγμασιν ὄντας αἰτιῶνται. DEM. They accuse those who are engaged in public affairs.

So οἱ ἐπὶ ταῖς μηχαναῖς, ἐπὶ τοῖς καμήλοις, XEN., these in guard of the engines, the camels, etc.

Generally at, in, of circumstances:

ἐπὶ τῷ παρόντι, Thuc. ii. 36, on the present occasion (to speak); ἐπὶ τῷ δείπνφ, Xen., at supper.

With: (by no means an infrequent use).

Cf. Eur. Bacch., èπ' εὐάσμασι, with joyous shouts (cf. 1368).
Cf. also Soph. Ant. 556, ἐπ' ἀρρητοῖς λόγοις, with words unspoken. ἐπ' ἐξειργασμένοις, when a deed is done and over, Aesch. Ag. 1379, Soph. Ai. 377, Eur. Bacch. 1039.

In reference to, in case of, connected with:

νόμον τιθέναι ἐπί τινι, Plat., to make a law for, in the case of, a person (for or against him); so νόμος κείται ἐπί τινι, Dem.

τὸ ἐπὶ τῷ σώματι κάλλος, PLAT., beauty of person.

Upon, i.e. accumulated on, added to:

πήματα ἐπὶ πήμασιν πίπτοντα. Soph. Ant. 595. Woes falling on woes.

So έπὶ τούτοις, thereupon, on this, very frequently in Attic.

Hence probably phrases connected with meals: ἐπὶ τῷ σίτφ πίνειν ὕδωρ, ΧΕΝ., to drink water with one's food.

Dependent upon, in power of, with είμι and γίγνομαι:

εὶ ἐπὶ τοῖς πολεμίοις ἐγένοντο τί ἂν ἔπαθον;

XEN. An. v. 8. 17.

If they had fallen into the hands of the enemy, what would have been their fate?

τὸ ἐπ' ἔμοί, τὸ ἐπὶ σοί, so far as in my, thy, power. Cf. Acc. τὸ ἔπὶ σφᾶς εἶναι.

(Be named) after, on the ground of:

ἐπὶ τῷ ἔχθρᾳ στάσις κέκληται, Plat. Rep. 470, see Stall-baum and references there, sedition is so called from (intestine) hatred.

Causal, with words of emotion, at, for, because of:

ἐπί τινι μάλιστα ἀγάλλη; ΧΕΝ. In what do you most take delight?

So with χαίρω, I rejoice; σεμνύνομαι, I pride myself, δυσχεραίνω, I am. vexed, etc., and corresponding adjectives, and substantives such as ἔπαινος, φιλοτιμία, etc.

So ζημιοῦσθαι ἐπί τινι. DEM. to be fined for a thing.

Condition:

ἐπὶ τούτῳ ὑπεξίσταμαι τῆς ἀρχῆς. ΗΕΠΟΟ.

On this condition I resign my command.

So very often ἐπὶ τούτψ, ἐπι τούτοις, ἐφ' ῷ (τε), ἐπ' οὐδένι, ἐπὶ τοῦς εἰρημένοις, on the conditions expressed.

Motive:

ψεύδεται τε καὶ ἐπὶ τῆ ἐμῆ διαβολῆ λέγει.

PLAT. Apol. v.

He is lying, and is speaking with a view to prejudice you against me.

ἐπὶ κακουργία, Thuc. i. 37, for knavish purposes; οὐκ ἐπὶ τῷβρίζεσθαι ἀλλὶ ἐπὶ τῷ ἡγεμόνες εἶναι, Thuc. i. 38, not in order to be insulted, but in order to be rulers; ἐπὶ τῷ κέρδει, XEN. for gain; ἐπὶ σοφία, to get wisdom, Plat.

N.B. In Plat. Prot. 358 B, with Gen., ἐπὶ τοῦ ἀλύπως ζῆν, with a view to living a painless life; Liddell and Scott.

The reward or price:

ξυγγενέσθαι Ομήρῷ ἐπὶ πόσῳ (sc. μισθῷ) ἄν τις δέξαιτο ; PLAT. Apol. xxxii.

For what price would any of you be willing to meet Homer?

So ἐπὶ δραχμῆ δανείζειν, DEM. 816. 12.

To lend money at twelve per cent. See Dict. of Antiq.

έπὶ ἀνδραπόδοις δανείζειν. DEM. 822. 8.

To lend money on the security of slaves (i.e. to hold a mortgage on the slaves).

PHRASES:

λέγειν ἐπί τινι, to speak in any one's praise (perhaps over the body of). AESCH. Ag. 1400.

C. WITH ACCUSATIVE (1) Direction to, or (2) Extension over.

DIRECTION:

Upon:

δεὶ ἀναβηναι ἐπὶ τὸν ἵππον. XEN. He must mount (on) his horse.

To:

προτρέπετε τοὺς νεωτέρους ἐπ' ἀρετήν. Is. 3. 57. Urge the younger to (the pursuit of) virtue.

As far as:

ή ἀρχὴ ἡ Ὀδρυσῶν ἐπὶ θάλασσαν καθήκει. Thuc. ii 97.

The kingdom of the Odrysae stretches as far as the sea.
(See Phrases.)

Against:

ούκ εἰκὸς ἀρχὴν ἐπὶ ἀρχὴν στρατεῦσαι. ΤΗυς.

It is not likely that empire will advance against empire.

For, for purpose of:

έπλεον ούχ ώς έπὶ ναυμαχίαν. ΤΗυς.

They were sailing not as though for the purpose of a sea-fight.

To fetch:

πέμπουσιν ἐπὶ Δημοσθένην καὶ ἐπὶ τὰς εἴκοσι ναῦς. ΤΗυς. iii. 105.

They send for Demosthenes and for the twenty ships. So καλεῖν ἐπὶ δεῖπνον, to summon to supper.

EXTENSION:

Over, in space or time:

τὸ ὅμμα δύναται ἐπὶ πολλὰ στάδια ἐξικνεῖσθαι. XEN. The eye (sight) can reach over many stades.

έθύετο έπὶ τρεῖς ἡμέρας. ΧΕΝ.

He was sacrificing for the space of three days.

PHRASES: (1) DIRECTION.

ἐπὶ πῶν ἐλθεῖν, to come to an extremity, try every means; ἐπὶ τὸ μεῖξον κοσμεῖν, to exaggerate (be extravagant in embellishing), Thuc. i. 21. (Cf. viii. 74.)

To produce (of a purpose):

έπὶ τὰ γελοιότερα, Plat., to raise a laugh. So ἐπὶ τὰ αἰσχίονα (καλλίω, τὸ βέλτιον, τὸ ἀμεῖνον), changing to, resulting in in isomething processed better, etc.).

τὸ ἐπί:

τὸ ἐπί τινα, τοὖπ' ἐμέ, τοὖπί σε, Trag., as regards me, thee; τὸ ἐπὶ σφῶς εἶναι, Thuc. iv. 28, so far as regards them.

Military Phrases:

ἐπὶ δόρυ ἀναστρέψαι, to face to the spear (the right); ἐπ² ἀσπίδα ἀναστρέψαι, to face to the shield (the left); ἐπί πόδα ἀναχωρεῖν, to retire on the foot (with the face to the enemy); ἐπὶ κέρας πλεῖν, to sail towards or on the wing (in column). Cf. Gen.

ἐπὶ δεξιά, ἐπ' ἀριστερά, to the right, to the left; ἐπὶ τάδε, on this side; ἐπ' ἀμφότερα, both ways; ἐπ' ἐκείνα (ἐπεκείνα), on the yonder side, beyond, ultra; ἐπὶ τὰ ἔτερα.

έπὶ θἄτερα, on the other side.

PHRASES: (2) EXTENSION.

Up to, as far as to:

ἐπὶ διηκόσια ἀποδιδόναι, to yield two hundred fold, HDT. i. 193; ἐφ' ὅσον δεῖ, so far as is necessary; ἐπὶ σμικρόν, ἐπὶ βραχύ, ἐπ' ὀλίγον, ἐπὶ πλέον, etc., to a slight, to a greater extent, a little way, etc.

ἐπὶ πολύ (ἐπιπολύ), over a large extent or space; ἐπὶ πλεῦστον ἀνθρώπων (extending to or over) the greater part of mankind, Thuc. i. 1. Cf. ἐπὶ πλεῦστον ὁμίλου,

ii. 34.

έπὶ τὸ πολύ, for the most part, Aristot.

Time:

έπὶ πολὺν χρόνον, for a long time; ἐπὶ χρόνον τινά, ἐπὶ τρίς, Act. Ap. x. 16. See Liddell and Scott, τρίς and ἐς τρίς.

In Composition:

Upon, over, ἐπίκειμαι, I lie upon; ἐπιπλέω, I sail over; ἐποίχομαι, I go over, survey.
 Το, i.e. for, ἐπινεύω, I nod assent to.
 Το, i.e. against, ἐπιστρατεύω, I march against.
 In addition, ἐπίδιωμι, I give in addition; ἐπίτριτος, with a third added to one, i.e. 1½.
 Causally, over, at, ἐπιχαίρω, I rejoice at.
 Of time, after, ἐπιγίγνομαι, I am born after, succeed.
 From the joint notion of advancing and addition such words as ἐπιγαμία, right of intermarriage; cf. ἐπινομία, ἐπεργασία.
 Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 275.

Παρά.

 $\Pi a \rho \acute{a}$ ($\pi a \rho a \acute{l}$, $\pi \acute{a} \rho$), by the side of, to the side of (the primitive notion being that of going through or crossing). Sansk. $par \acute{a}$, away and towards, Lat. per, Eng. from (Goth. fra, fram). $\Pi a \rho \acute{a}$ and $\pi \epsilon \rho \acute{l}$ are related forms from the root PAR, to fare or go through.

A. WITH GENITIVE, coming or proceeding from (but originally aside, at the side, or sideways from).

Coming from:

έξελθείν παρά τινος, to come from a person's house, or country, or court.

γίγνεσθαι παρά τινος, PL. Symp. 179 B, to be born of or sprung from.

ἔχειν παρά τινος (DEM.), to receive from; μανθάνειν παρά (EUR.), to learn from.

The Agent with passive verbs:

παρά τινος δίδοσθαι, λέγεσθαι, συμβουλεύεσθαι, to be given, said, advised by any one.

Periphrastically for the Genitive, etc.:

αὶ παρὰ τῶν δήμων δωρεαί. ΕΕΜ. 20. 15.

The gifts of democracies.

So ή παρά τινος εὔνοια, τὸ παρ' ἐμοῦ ἀδίκημα (the wrong done by me), XEN.

PHRASES:

οί παρά τινος, one's friends, dependants, messengers, etc., Thuc. and Xen.; τὰ παρά τινος, one's commands, purposes, opinions; παρ' ἐαυτοῦ διδόναι, to give of one's resources, or spontaneously; παρ' ἐμοῦ, Pl. Prot. 322 D, by my advice.

¹ The Genitive with παρά appears to represent the Ablative; thus π αραχωρεῖν τοῦ βήματοs would first have meant to move sideways from the tribune.

Digitized by Microsoft®

B. WITH DATIVE, by the side of, near, by, with. (Of persons, seldom of places, $\pi \alpha \rho \acute{a}$ oo, at your side.)

Among, with:

παρ' ὑμῖν ἐτράφην, Aeschin., I was brought up among you.

καταλύειν παρά τινι, DEM., to lodge with any one, chez quelqu'un.

Belonging to:

τὸ μὲν χρυσίον παρὰ τούτ ψ , οἱ δὲ κίνδυνοι παρ' ὑμῖν.

Aeschin. iii. 240.

This man gets the gold, you the dangers.

In presence of:

είς κρίσιν καθιστάναι τινὰ παρά τινι. Thuc., Dem. To bring any one to trial before another.

In the judgment of:

παρὰ τοῖς φρονοῦσιν εὐδοκιμεῖν. Is. 9. 74.
Το be in good repute with sensible people.
So παρ' ἐμοί, me iudice. παρὰ σαντῷ, Plat.

PHRASES:

οἱ $\pi \alpha \rho$ ' ἐμοί (ἡμῖν), my own people; τὰ $\pi \alpha \rho$ ' ἐμοί, my affairs.

- C. WITH THE ACCUSATIVE:
- Motion to (with persons, παρά σε, to your side), with verbs of motion.
- Motion or extension alongside, with verbs of rest.
- 3. Parallelism and comparison, side by side, figuratively

figuratively.

I. OF PLACE:

1. Motion to:

έπεμψαν παρ' 'Αθηναίους πρέσβεις. ΤΗυσ

They sent envoys to the Athenians.

cloriévai, φοιτᾶν παρά τινα, to enter, go to any one's house. Cf. Genitive and Dative.

2. Extension along or beside:

ή παρὰ θάλασσαν Μακεδονία. Thuc.

The seaboard of Macedonia. Cf. Xen. An. iii. 5. 1,

Soph. El. 183.

3. Parallelism and Comparison:

Side by side (with verbs of examining):

παρ' ἄλληλα ἔσται φανερώτατα. Dem.

Set side by side they will be most conspicuous.

 π αρ' $\ddot{\alpha}$ μμα, before one's eyes, Eur. Supp. 484.

Compared with (often implying superiority):

μεγάλη ροπή ή τυχή παρὰ πάντα τὰ τῶν ἀνθρώπων πράγματα. Dem. 2. 22.

Fortune is a mighty makeweight compared with all human influences. Of. Xen. Apol. i. 4. 14 (so used especially with comparatives).

Beyond and contrary to, opposed to $\kappa a \tau a'$:

Many phrases: παρὰ δύναμιν, beyond one's strength.

παρὰ τὸ δίκαιον, τὰς σπονδάς, τοὺς νόμους, φύσιν, γνώμην, δόξαν (λόγον), contrary to, or in violation of, justice, the treaty, the laws, nature, opinion, expectation (praeter opinionem, spem).

Note. Several peculiar and much debated constructions occur with $\pi a \rho a$ and the Accusative.

CAUSAL:

Owing to, in consequence of, cf. $\delta\iota\acute{a}$ with Accusative.

ἔκαστος οὐ παρὰ τὴν ἑαυτοῦ ἀμελείαν οἴεται βλάψειν τὴν πόλιν, THUC. i. 141, each man imagines that he will not in consequence of his own neglect injure the state. Cf. DEM. Phil. in the consequence of his own neglect injure the state.

Besides, in addition to .

οὖκ ἔστι παρὰ ταῦτ' ἄλλα, ARIST. Nub. 698, there's nothing else besides this; παρά ταῦτα πάντα ετερόν τι, PL. Phaed. xix., besides all this something quite different. πληγη παρά πληγην, AR. Ran. 643, blow for blow (implying alternation).

II. OF TIME:

During:

τον δόλιον ἄνδρα φεῦγε παρ' ὅλον τον βίον.

MENAND.

Avoid a cunning man thy whole life long.

So παρὰ πάντα τὸν χρόνον, παρὰ πότον, AESCHIN., inter potandum. Cf. Lat. per totam vitam.

At the moment of:

παρὰ τοιοῦτον καιρόν, DEM., at such a moment; παρ' αὐτὰ τάδικήματα, DEM. 21. 26, at the very moment of the wrong-doing, flagrante delicto. Cf. ἐπ' αὐτοφώρω, in the very act.

Note 1. $\pi \alpha \rho' \dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \rho \alpha \nu$ is generally taken to mean on each alternate See SOPH. Ai, 475. Lobeck and Jebb consider that it rather means day by day, as each day comes. The phrase occurs in Dem. viii. 70. In Soph. O. C. 1455, παρ' ημαρ αδθις appears to mean on the following day. παρὰ μῆνα τρίτον, ARISTOT., every third month.

Note 2. παρά (motion to), in certain phrases denoting the limit reached, is used of excess or defect, and so describes the difference of two things.

παρά τοσοῦτον ή Μυτιλήνη ήλθε κινδύνου. Thuc. iii. 49. Mitulene came within such a distance of danger.

Cf. Thuc. vii. 2, vi. 37 (παρὰ τοσοῦτον γιγνώσκω, so much within the mark is my opinion); iv. 106, παρὰ νύκτα ἐγένετο λαβείν, came within a night of taking, i.e. one night only stood in the way of taking.

To this construction belong a great many phrases, e.g. παρὰ μικρόν, πολύ, όλίγον, βραχύ έλθεῖν, γενέσθαι, ἀποφεύγειν, νικᾶν, to come within a little to have a narrow etc. escape, to win a narrow or a hollow victory. Similarly παρ' οὐδέν, μικρόν, ὀλίγον ποιεῖσθαι, ἄγειν, θέσθαι, εἶναι, to hold of no, little, account, be of little account.

IN COMPOSITION:

Alongside, παρίστημι, I set alongside; παραβάλλομαι, I expose or stake; παράλληλος, beside one another. Hence
 of alternation, παραλλάσσω, I make alternate. (3) Aside, beside the mark, amiss, παραβαίνω, I transgress.

§ 276.

Περί.

Περί, round about, beyond, over, very (cf. adverbial use). Cf. π έρι- ξ , round about; π ερισσός, excessive;— π ερ, however much. Sansk. pari, round about, Lat. per(magnus). See π αρά.

Compare throughout with $d\mu\phi i$.

A. WITH GENITIVE.

In prose the meanings are figurative: the local use is Epic and poetical (cf. Eur. Tro. 818).

The Object for or about which:

άγωνίζεσθε πάντες περὶ άρετης. ΧΕΝ.

Strive all of you after excellence.

So δ δ γ ω ν (δ κ ℓ ν δ ν ν ν os, etc.) π ϵ ρ δ ψ ν χ $\hat{\eta}$ s (π ϵ ρ δ τ $\hat{\omega}$ ν μ ϵ γ ℓ o τ ω ν) ϵ o τ ℓ , the struggle is for life (for the highest objects).

With verbs of caring, thinking, fearing, etc.:

μέλει μοι, βουλεύομαι, φοβοῦμαι περί τινος, I care etc. for a thing.

Also of saying and hearing:

άγγέλλω, λέγω, ἀκούω, μέμνημαι περί τινος.

The use of these verbs with $\pi\epsilon\rho i$ should be compared with the use of the simple Genitive in Epic and in Poetry.

PHRASES:

έμπείρως ἔχειν περί τινος, AESCHIN., to be experienced in a thing. (Cf. XEN, An, vi. 2. 1. Grenitive without $\pi \epsilon \rho i$.)

Periphrastically:

αί περὶ Ἡρακλέους πράξεις, PLAT., the deeds of Heracles.

ποιείσθαι, ἡγείσθαί τι περὶ πολλοῦ (σμικροῦ, οὐδένος, παντός), to esteem a thing highly, etc. περί hero contains the old meaning beyond, cf. περιγίγνομαι, I get beyond, i.e. I surpass, excel.

B. WITH DATIVE.

Comparatively rare in Prose: in a local sense the Dative denotes a closer connexion than the Genitive, cf. $\epsilon \pi i$ with Genitive and Dative.

Close round, around and upon; the literal meaning leads on to the figurative:

εἶδε περὶ τῆ χειρί τοῦ νεκροῦ χρυσοῦν δακτύλιον. ΡΙΑΤ.

He saw round the finger of the corpse a golden ring.

A good example in Xen. An. vii. 4. 4, περὶ τοῖς στέρνοις, etc. κείται δὲ νεκρὸς περὶ νεκρῷ. Soph. Ant. 1244. He lieth dead, clasping close the dead.

Transfixed by a weapon:

Common in HOMER (so ἀμφί, Od. xii. 395).

πεπτῶτα τῷδε περὶ νεορράντῳ ξίφει. Soph. Ai. 828. Fallen upon this new-reeking sword.

The Object about which, very rare in Prose (cf. Gen.):

περὶ τῷ Σικελίᾳ ἔσται ὁ ἀγών. Thuc. vi. 34. The struggle will be for Sicily.

See Poppo.

 π ερὶ τ $\hat{\phi}$ χωρί ϕ έδεισαν. THUC. i. 67. They feared for (about) the place.

Cf. Plat. Prot. 314 A, περί τοῖς φιλτάτοις: Phaed. 114 D, περί τῷ σώματι.

The cause (poetical). Cf. $\dot{a}\mu\phi i$:

περὶ φόβψ, περὶ τάρβει, περὶ χάρματι. (In Poetry.)
For fear, for terror, for joy.
Digitized by Microsoft®

C. WITH ACCUSATIVE.

[Motion round about, Epic.]

1. Of Place, the literal meaning sometimes running into the Figurative:

Rest round about, near, at, by, in:

οἱ ἔφηβοι κοιμῶνται περὶ τὰ ἀρχεῖα. ΧΕΝ.

The Ephebi sleep by (in the neighbourhood of) the town-hall.

. καὶ τῆς κεφαλῆς κατέαγε περὶ λίθον πεσών.

Ar. Ach. 1180.

And he fell on a stone and has cracked his crown.

Cf. Dem. 21. 4, περὶ αὐτά καταρρεῖν.

Fall in ruins (lit. about themselves).

So Thuc. vii. 23, περὶ ἀλλήλας ταραχθείσαι.

Cf. the Dative, $\pi \epsilon \rho i \xi i \phi \epsilon i$, etc.

ή περὶ Λέσβον ναυμαχία. Xen. Hell. ii. 3. 22. The sea-fight off Lesbos.

2. Time:

About or near, with numbers:

ήδη ἢν περὶ πλήθουσαν ἀγοράν. ΧΕΝ.

It was now near full-market hour,

So περὶ τούτους τοὺς χρόνους. Thuc.

About this period, or time.

περί έβδομήκοντα, Thuc. i. 54, about seventy.

3. FIGURATIVELY:

Be busied about, engaged in. Cf. ἀμφί:

With είναι, γίγνεσθαι, διατρίβειν, σπουδάζειι

ὄντι αὐτῷ π ερὶ ταῦτα ὁ Εὐρυμέδων ἀπαντῷ. Thuc. vii. 31. While he was engaged in this Eurymedon met him.

See Phrases below.

Towards, i.e. with reference to:

περί τὸν θεὸν ἀσεβοῦσιν. ΑΝΤΙΡΗ.

They are impious with regard to (in their dealings with, or duty towards) the god.

With Verbs:

So εὖσεβεῖν ἀμαρτάνειν σωφρονεῖν σπουδάζειν

With Adjectives :

$$\begin{cases} \pi \circ \nu \eta \rho \circ s, \\ \mathring{a} \gamma \alpha \mathring{\theta} \circ s, \\ \text{etc.}, \end{cases}$$
 $\begin{cases} \pi \epsilon \rho i \quad \tau \iota \quad bad \\ good \end{cases}$ in the matter of.

dγαθός περὶ τὴν πόλιν. Good as regards the state, i.e. a patriotic citizen.

With Substantives, Periphrasis for Genitive or Adjective:

οἱ νόμοι οἱ περὶ τοὺς γάμους, Plat. Crito, 50 D, the laws which relate to marriage, marriage laws; οἱ περὶ Λυσίαν λόγοι, Plat. Phaedr. 279 A, the speeches of Lysias; ἡ περὶ Φίλιππον τυραννίς, XEN. Hell. v. 4. 2, Philip's despotism; τὰ περὶ τὰς ναῦς, Thuc. i. 3, naval affairs; τὰ περὶ Κῦρον, HDT. i. 95, the deeds or history of Cyrus.

PHRASES:

οἱ περί τινα, a person's retinue or suite; οἱ περὶ Ἡράκλειτον, Plat., the school of Heracleitus; οἱ περὶ ᾿Αρχίαν πολέμαρχοι, XEN., Archias and his fellow-polemarchs.

οί περὶ μουσικήν, φιλοσοφίαν, τὴν ποίησιν, τοὺς λόγους οντες.

Those engaged in music, philosophy, poetry, oratory; musicians, philosophers, poets, orators.

ό περὶ τὸν ἵππον. ΧΕΝ.

The groom.

πέρι, after its case (Anastrophe), is found in Thuc. and Plat. Once in Plato, Leg. 809 E, it is put far from its case, something like a German separable particle. (See Liddell and Scott.)

In Composition:

Around, beyond, exceedingly, $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \beta \acute{a} \lambda \lambda \omega$, I put around; $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \acute{a} \tau \omega$, I fall around, embrace, fall foul of, into; $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \acute{b} \gamma \iota \gamma \iota \omega \omega$, I get beyond, excel, survive, escape; $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \chi \alpha \rho \acute{\eta} s$, exceedingly glad.

§ 277.

Πρός.

 $\Pi \rho \delta_{S}$ (Ep. and Dor. $\pi \rho \sigma \tau i$, $\pi \sigma \tau i$), towards, to, in front of, before, opposite, beside. Sansk. prati, towards, Eng. forth-with. $\Pi \rho \sigma \tau i$ is formed from $\pi \rho \delta$.

Cf. $\pi \rho \delta \sigma - \theta \epsilon \nu$, in front.

A. WITH GENITIVE, generally of direction towards, or with reference to, without implied motion.

Towards:

In presence or in sight of:

ο τι δίκαιον έστι καὶ πρὸς θεῶν καὶ πρὸς ἀνθρώπων ΧΕΝ

Whatever is right in the sight of gods and men.

τὸ πρὸς Σικυῶνος τεῖχος ἐξετείχισαν. XEN.
They completed the wall which faced Sicyon.

A very common usage in prose and poetry (the verb, such as $\epsilon \hat{l} v a \iota$ or $\kappa \epsilon \hat{l} \sigma \theta a \iota$, is readily understood).

So in entreaties:

πρὸς νύν σε πατρός, πρός τε μητρός, ὧ τέκνον. ἱκέτης ἱκνοῦμαι. Soph.

Now by thy father, by thy mother, boy,

Suppliant I supplicate thee.

Note. A very common use. Observe (1) that $\sigma\epsilon$ is often inserted thus, $\pi\rho\delta$ s $\sigma\epsilon$ $\pi\alpha\tau\rho\delta$ s, cf. per te deos oro; (2) the verb is often omitted, $\pi\rho\delta$ s $\Delta\iota\delta$ s, $\pi\rho\delta$ s $\theta\epsilon\hat{\omega}\nu$, $\mu\hat{\eta}$ $\pi\rho\delta$ s $\gamma\epsilon\nu\epsilon\hat{\iota}$ ου, $\mu\hat{\eta}$ $\pi\rho\delta$ s $\sigma\epsilon$ $\gamma\circ\hat{\iota}$ νων.

On the side of:

'Αλκιβιάδης λέγεται πρὸς πατρὸς 'Αλκμαιοιδῶν εἶναι. Dem.

Alcibiades is said to have been descended from the 'Alcmaeonidae on the father's side.

¹ Observe that the Genitive with $\pi \rho \delta s$ is a genuine Genitive and not a representative of the lost Ablative,—connection, not separation, being denoted.

Cf. Soph. Ai. 1305, τοὺς πρὸς αἴματος, blood relations. Cf. Soph. El. 1125 and 1075 (τὰ πρὸς τέκνων).

Belonging to (periphrastically for Genitive alone):

οὐ πρὸς ἰατροῦ σοφοῦ θρηνεῖν ἐπφδὰς πρὸς τομῶντι πήματι. Soph. 'Tis not a wise physician's part To mumble spells o'er sore that needs the knife.

So πρὸς γυναικός, like a woman, etc.

And with qualities, $\pi\rho\delta s$ $\delta i\kappa\eta s$, in accordance with justice. Soph. O. T. 1014; or $\pi\rho\delta s$ $\tau\eta s$ $i\mu\epsilon\tau\epsilon\rho as$ $\delta\delta\xi\eta s$, Thuc. iii. 59, it does not accord with your reputation.

In favour of:

πρὸς τῶν ἔχοντων Φοίβε τὸν νόμον τίθης. ΕUR. Thou makest this law in favour of the rich, Phoebus.

On the part of, at the hand of:

ἐπαίνου τεύξεται πρὸς γοῦν ἐμοῦ. Soph. He shall meet with praise at least from me.

The agent with Verbs and Adjectives (very common in Ionic and in poetry):

Κῦρος ὁμολογεῖται πρὸς πάντων κράτιστος γενέσθαι. ΧΕΝ, Απ. i. ix. 20.

Curus is admitted by all to have been most excellent.

Cf. Aesch. P. V. 650, Soph. An. 919 ($\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\eta\mu$ os $\pi\rho$ òs ϕ i $\lambda\omega\nu$). Cf. El. 562 (with a Substantive).

In poetry also, rarely, of things. SOPH. El. 1236.

B. WITH DATIVE.

Near or beside, in rest:

οί ποταμοὶ πρὸς ταῖς πηγαῖς οὐ μεγάλοι εἰσίν. ΧΕΝ Rivers near their sources are not big.

Soph. O. T. 1169, $\pi \rho \delta s \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \hat{\varphi}$, on the brink of horror.

In presence of:

In Demosthenes: $\pi\rho$ os τ os kritas, in the presence of the jurymen: $\pi\rho$ os τ os flection details, etc. (Ne γ ew).

Engaged in:

πρὸς τῷ εἰρημένψ λόγψ ἢν ὁ Σωκράτης.

PLAT. Phaed. xxxv. 84 C.

Socrates was absorbed in the conversation held.

Cf. totus erat in sermone. Hor. Sat. i. 9. 2.

Note. A frequent prose usage : εἶναι, γίγνεσθαι, διατρίβειν, τὴν γνώμην ἔχειν πρός τινι.

In addition to:

πρὸς τοῖς παροῦσιν ἄλλα προςλαβεῖν θέλεις.

AESCH.

In addition to thy present woes thou wouldst add other woes,

πρὸς τοῖς ἄλλοις, in addition to the rest.

So constantly πρὸς τούτοις, praeterea, in addition to, besides this, seldom πρὸς τούτφ.

C. WITH ACCUSATIVE:

. (i) Direction towards, or to, implying motion.

(ii) Relation to or connection with (a very free and post-Epic usage).

Towards, to, literally and figuratively:

έφυγον πρός την γην. ΧΕΝ.

They fled to the shore.

ή φιλοτιμία παροξύνει πρὸς τὰ καλά. ΧΕΝ.

Ambition spurs to noble aims.

With verbs of speaking:

εἰρήσεται πρὸς ὑμᾶς πᾶσα ἡ ἀλήθεια. ΕΕΜ.

The whole truth shall be told you (spoken out before you).

Very commonly, εἶπεῖν, λέγειν, φράζειν, ἀποκρίνεσθαι πρός τινα. Soph. El. 640, πρὸς φῶς (to proclaim), publicly, in broad daylight, in luce.

λέγειν πρός τινα, to speak in reply, advorsus aliquem. λέγειν κατά τινος, to speak against (in accusation of), in aliquem. With verbs of considering:

λογίσασθε πρὸς ύμᾶς αὐτοὺς τί συμβήσεται. ΣΕΜ. Consider with yourselves what will happen.

So ένθυμεῖσθαι, ἀναμνησθηναι περί τινος. Cf. Soph. El. 285. αὐτὴ πρὸς αὐτήν, alone by myself.

Of dealings with:

σπονδάς (συνθήκας) ποιοθμαι πρός τινα, I make a truce, treaty with.

So ξυμμαχία, φιλία, ἔχθρα, ἀπιστία, πόλεμος πρός τινα. πρὸς τοὺς δικαστάς, in the presence of the jury.

Against :

πρὸς τοὺς Μήδους ἐγένοντο ἀγαθοί. ΤΗυς. i. 86. They proved themselves brave men against the Medes.

Cf. XEN. Cyr. ii. 3. 13.

πρός κέντρα μη λάκτιζε. Prov.—Kick not against the pricks.

Generally, with reference to:

οὐδὲν αὐτῶ πρὸς τὴν πόλιν ἐστί. DEM, 528, 16.

He has nothing to do with the city.

ἀσφαλῶς ἔχειν πρός τι, XEN., to feel safe or comfortable about.

MISCELLANEOUS PHRASES:

With a purpose:

γρη προς το παρον αεί βουλεύεσθαι. Isoc.

We should ever deliberate with an eye to the present.

So ετοιμος, χρήσιμος, ίκανός πρός τι, ready, etc., for a purpose. According to:

πρὸς ἄλλον ζην, Dem., to live according to the standard of another.

πρός την δύναμιν, according to one's ability (pro viribus). πρὸς τὰς τύχας (Eur. Hipp. 701), suited to one's fortunes.

In consequence of, on hearing:

χαλεπαίνειν πρός τι. THUC. To be annoyed on hearing. άθυμως έχειν πρός τι. Το be despondent.

πρὸς ταύτην την φήμην. HDT. Ad hanc famam.

πρὸς ταῦτα:

πρὸς τί; wherefore? πρὸς ταῦτα, therefore.

Sometimes introducing a defiance or challenge, so then e.g. SOPH. O. T. 455.

Compared with:

πολλή ὢν εἴη ἀπιστία τῆς δυνάμεως πρὸς τὸ κλέος αὐτῶν. ΤΗUC. i. 10.

There would be a strong disbelief in their power as compared with their reputation.

Cf. Hdt. iii. 34: also iii. 94 (implying superiority), and iii. 94 (τὸ μέσον πρός, the mean between).

πέντε πρὸς τρία, ARISTOT. Five to three.

Exchange:

ήδονὰς πρὸς ήδονὰς καὶ λύπας πρὸς λύπας καὶ φόβον πρὸς φόβον καταλλάττεσθαι. Plat. Phaed. xiii. 69 A.

To exchange pleasures with pleasures, pains with pains, and fear with fear.

Cf. Hom. Il. vi. 235.

Of Time (a rare use), towards, near, about:
 πρὸς ἐσπέρον, drawing towards evening: πρὸς ἠῶ, towards
 daybreak. Plat. and Xen.

PHRASES:

τὰ πρὸς τὸν πόλεμον, res militares, military affairs.

τά πρὸς τοὺς θ εούς (SOPH. Phil. 1441); duty to the gods.

πρὸς ἡδονὴν λέγειν, to speak with a view to gratify or please; so, πρὸς χάριν δημηγορεῖν, to make a popular speech, talk clap-trap or "bunkum"; πρὸς ἔχθραν ποιεῖσθαι λόγον, DEM. (calculated to inspire dislike); ἄπαντα πρὸς ἡδονὴν (ητεῖν, to make pleasure one's sole aim (omnia ad voluptatem referre).

Adverbial phrases:

πρὸς βίαν (πρὸς τὸ βίαιον, ΑΕSCH. Ag. 130), violently, by force; πρὸς ἀνάγκην, of necessity (cf. ὑπ' ἀνάγκης, δι' ἀνάγκην, ἐξ ἀνάγκης, σὺν ἀνάγκη).

πρὸς μέρος, proportionately, DEM.; πρὸς εὖσέβειαν, piously, SOPH.; πρὸς ὀργήν, angrily, SOPH. and DEM.; πρὸς καιρόν, seasonably, SOPH.

πρὸς χάριν τινος, alicuius gratia, for the sake of a person.

προς ἐσχύος χάριν, Eur. Med. 538 (laws not made) in support of violence; cf. SOPH. Ant. 30, πρòs χάριν βορας, for the sake of food. In such phrases, πρὸς χάριν is almost like eveka.

πρὸς αὐλόν, Eur. Al. 346, to the accompaniment of the

As an Adverb: in addition, besides:

άλογία καὶ ἀμαθία γε πρός, PLAT. Meno, 90 E. absurditu and unreasonableness to boot. Cf. Eur. Or. 622.

In Composition:

(1) Towards, προςέρχομαι, I approach. (2) Near, beside, besides, πρόςκειμαι, I lie near; προςτίθημι, I apply, I add.

§ 278.

$\Upsilon \pi \acute{\alpha}^{1}$

 $\Upsilon\pi o'$ (Epic. $\delta\pi ai'$), under, = Sansk. upa (thither, to, with). Lat. sub.

A. WITH GENITIVE.

1. Of PLACE.

Under:

τὰ ὑπὸ γῆς δικαστήρια. ΡΙΑΤ.

The courts of justice under the earth.

From under:

νεοσσόν τόνδ' ὑπὸ πτερῶν σπάσας. Eur. And. 441. Drawing from under the wings this chick.

An Epic but rare Attic use.

¹ ὑπό, like the Latin sub, seems originally to have meant upwards. from below towards a place above. Compare υπτιος with supinus, facing upwards, ΰψι, aloft, surgo (i.e. sub-s-rigo), succedo. Hence ὑπό means going to meet (ὑπαντιάζω), supporting, and so agency or cause. More generally ὑπό comes to denote under the power or influence of, and even accompanying circumstance, sometimes almost like ent with a Dative. e.g. AESCH. Sept. c. Theb. 821, ὑπὸ φόνφ. With the Genitive ὑπό denoting separation from, the Genitive must represent the Ablative; on the other hand when into means under, the true Genitive, denoting sphere within which anything occurs, whether of place or time, etc., is employed. Diaitized by Microsoft®

2. FIGURATIVELY; under the influence of.

Of Persons:—the Agent, like Lat. a, ab:

With Passive Verbs:

οἱ Πέρσαι ἐνικήθησαν ὑπὸ τῶν Ἑλλήνων. The Persians were defeated by the Greeks.

Of Things :- the cause :

πάντα ὑπὸ δέους ξυνίσταται. Thuc. They all hold together through fear.

A very common use, ὑπὸ νόσου, ὑφ' ἡδονῆς, ὑπ' ὀργῆς, by, in consequence of, from, for, disease, pleasure, anger.

ύπὸ κήρυκος εὐχὰς ἐποιοῦντο. THUC. vi. 32.

At the direction of a herald they were offering prayers, praeeunte praecone.

Hence of accompanying circumstances:

Frequently of music:

έστρατεύετο ὑπὸ συρίγγων. HDT. i. 17. He used to march to war to the sound of the pipe.

Cf. SOPH. El. 711. EUR. Bacch. 156.

So πίνειν ὑπὸ σάλπιγγος, AR. Ach. 1001, to drink to the trumpet's sound. ὑπ' εὐφήμου βοῆς θῦσαι, SOPH. El. 630, to sacrifice with auspicious cry. ὑπὸ φανοῦ πορεύεσθαι, to march by torchlight. ὑπὸ πομπῆς, in procession. HDT. ii. 45.

Note. ὑπό has this sense with the Dative in early and late Greek, e.g. Hesiod and Lucian (see Liddell and Scott); also rarely in Attic with the Accusative, Plat. Leg. 670 a, ὑπ' ὄρχησιν καὶ ψδήν: Xen. Sym. 6. 3, ὑπὸ αὐλόν.

PHRASES:

ύφ' ἐαυτοῦ (ποιεῖν τι), to do anything spontaneously, of oneself, sua sponte. ὑφ' ὑμῶν αὐτῶν καὶ μὴ ὑπὸ τῶν πολεμίων, Thuc. iv. 64; of your own free-will, and not compelled by the enemy. ὑπό here denotes the Agent.

B. WITH DATIVE.

 $i\pi\delta$ means under, in a local sense, less frequently in Prose than in Poetry.

Under:

εὐκλεὴς θανεῖ

γυνή τ' ἀρίστη τῶν ὑφ' ἡλίφ μακρῷ. Eur. Al. 150. Glorious thou wilt die,

The noblest woman far beneath the sun.

Cf. Xen. An. i. 2. 8, $i\pi \hat{o} \tau \hat{\eta} \hat{a} \kappa \rho o \pi \hat{o} \lambda \epsilon i \epsilon \hat{i} \nu a i$.

Covered by:

τί ἔχεις ὑπὸ τῷ ἱματίῷ; Plat. Phaedr. 228 D. What have you conceated under your cloak? Cf. Aesch. Ag. 1030, ὑπὸ σκότω.

Under power of persons or things:

ην έτι ύπὸ νόμοις καὶ πατρί. Plat. Rep. 574 E.

He was still in subjection to laws and to a father.

ύφ' ἐαυτῷ ποιεῖσθαι, to bring under one's power; cf. Hdt. vii. 157; Thuc. vii. 64 (and see Accusative).

Classed under:

τὰ ὑπὸ ταῖς γεομετρίαις λέγεις. Plat. Rep. 511 A.

You are speaking of what comes under the head of geometrical pursuits (various branches of geometry).

More rarely with Accusative, see LEXICON.

C. WITH ACCUSATIVE.

Motion under:

άνεχώρησαν ύπο το τείχος. ΧΕΝ.

They retired under the walls.

ύπο δικαστήριον, into (under control of) a law court.

HDT. vi. 104.

Extension or position under:

τὸ Πελασγικὸν τὸ ὑπὸ τὴν ἀκρόπολιν ἐξωκήθη.

THUC. ii. 17.

The Pelasgicum which lies (extends) under the acropolis was crowded.
τὰ ὑπὸ τὴν ἄρκτον. Hdt. v. 10, the northern districts.

Digitized by Microsoft®

Subjection to:

είκὸς αὐτοὺς πάντα πειράσασθαι ὑπὸ σφάς ποιεῖσθαι. Τημο

It is likely they will try to bring all under their power. οἱ ὑπό τινα. XEN., those who are in subjection to any one.

Cf. Dative.

Of Time: near, about:

ύπο του σεισμόν. ΤΗυς.

At the time of the earthquake.

ύπο νύκτα, towards night, at nightfall, sub noctem.

ύπὸ τὴν κατάλυσιν τοῦ πολέμου, just at the end of the war. ΧΕΝ. Μεπ. ii. 8. 1.

PHRASES:

ύπ' αὐγὰς ὁρᾶν τι, to hold up to the light (cf. Eur. Hec. 1154).

ύπό τι, Plat. and Aristoph., to a certain degree, aliquatenus.

IN COMPOSITION:

(1) Up to, ὑπαντιάζω, I go up to meet, I face; and so of accompaniment, ὑπᾶδω, I accompany in song. (2) Under, ὑπειμι, I am under. (3) Secretly, slightly, gradually, ὑποφαίνω, I show or shine a little; ὑποβάλλω, I suggest, suborn, substitute; ὑπέρυθρος, reddish.

CHAPTER II.

THE NEGATIVES.

Introductory Note.

§ 279.

Où negat, Mý infitiatur.

οὐ contradicts or denies.¹ The following are typical instances of its use: ταῦτα οὖκ ἐγένετο, these things did not take place; ταῦτα οὖκ ἄν γένοιτο, these things would not take place; οὖ φημι, I do not assert, i.e. I deny. The statement may take an interrogative form, οὖ ταῦτα ἐγένετο; did not these things take place? where an affirmative answer is expected, the person addressed being challenged or dared to say οὖκ ἐγένετο.

M $\hat{\eta}$ on the other hand deprecates or repudiates. The following instances taken from HOMER are typical: $\mu\hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\epsilon}\mu\hat{\epsilon}$ $\lambda\hat{\alpha}\beta$ o ι $\chi\hat{\alpha}\lambda$ os, may not anger seize me / $\mu\hat{\eta}$ $\sigma\epsilon$ $\kappa\iota\chi\hat{\epsilon}\iota\omega$, let me not meet thee / $\hat{\eta}$ $\mu\hat{\eta}$ π o ι $\psi\hat{\alpha}\sigma\theta\hat{\epsilon}$; what ! say ye ! (be it not that ye say!) a statement put deprecatingly or repudiated: Surely no! you don't

say, etc. = do you say?

Hence $\mu\eta$ naturally expresses a prohibition, $\mu\eta$ μ' ἐρέθιζε, provoke me not! It also naturally expresses fear, apprehension, surmise: $\mu\eta$ $\mu\epsilon$ $\sigma\tau$ $i\beta\eta$ δα μ δα η i fear (or perchance) the frost shall overpower me. The surmise may be expressed independently, as above, or it may be attached to a verb, and so pass into a Subordinate Sentence: δείδω $\mu\dot{\eta}$ γένω μ i i fear that i may become. Again the surmise, or result deprecated, may prove true: δείδω $\mu\dot{\eta}$ νη μ ερτέα εί π εν, i fear she spake the truth.

¹ Oi denies, μή declines, Curtius. Oi denies, μή rejects: oi is the negative of fact and statement, μή of the will and thought, Goodwin in Liddell and Scott Oi denies a predication, μή forbids or deprecates (further on, disclaims), Monro's Homeric Grammar.

 $^{^2}$ M $\dot{\eta}$ is identical with the Sanskrit $m\dot{d}$. In Sanskrit $m\dot{d}$ is used with the Conjunctive, Optative of wishing, and Imperative like $\mu\dot{\eta}$ in Greek, Curtius, Etym. i. p. 415. For instances see Delbrück and Windisch, Syntaktische Forschungen, Der Gebrauch des Conjunctivs und Optativs im Sanskrit und Griechischen, p. 112 and following. Max Müller, Oxford Inaugural Lecture, Note C., gives an instance (from Wilson) of the prohibitive $m\dot{d}$ with what may be equally well called an Infinitive or Dative: $m\dot{d}$ kāpalāya, lit. not for unsteadiness, i.e. do not act unsteadily. This seems to trace back $\mu\dot{\eta}$ as far as we can go.

In all the above instances of contradicts downright a statement of fact, whereas $\mu\dot{\eta}$ deals with conceptions or thoughts. A line is thus drawn between the two negatives—a line, on the whole, clearly marked throughout Attic Greek, although subsequently blurred. M $\dot{\eta}$ is thus used with Wishes, Prohibitions, Conditions, and Purposes. A negative consequence conceived ($\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ with the infinitive) requires $\mu\dot{\eta}$, a negative consequence achieved as a fact ($\ddot{\omega}\sigma\tau\epsilon$ with the Indicative)

requires ov.

Mή is used generally with abstract conceptions as opposed to known and definite facts. Thus of où πιστεύοντες means those particular (known) persons who do not believe; of μὴ πιστεύοντες, all or any persons who do not believe (if any do not believe); ἐπειδὴ οὖκ ἦλθον, when, or since (as a matter of fact), they did not come; ἐπειδὴ μὴ ἔλθοιεν, whenever (the number of times not being specified) they did not come; ἡ οὖκ ἐμπειρία, the inexperience (of some known person), the fact that some one is inexperienced; ἡ μὴ ἐμπειρία, inexperience in the abstract (without predicating of any particular person); ὁ οὖκ ἄν, he who is not existing, the dead man; τὰ μὴ ὄντα, all things whatsoever are not, a vast limbo outside of our actual knowledge.

The construction of the sentence may change $o\vec{v}$ to $\mu \acute{\eta}$, yet even so, if it is necessary to contradict point blank a word or statement, $o\vec{v}$ may be used. See examples at the end of

this chapter.

Whatever applies to où and $\mu\dot{\eta}$ applies equally to their compounds, où deis, $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon$ is: où de, $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon$ etc., etc.

§ 280.

Où PRIVATIVE.

 $O\dot{v}$ prefixed to a word deprives that word of its affirmative meaning and gives it exactly the opposite sense. Hence it is called *privative* (privativum).

Especially noticeable under this head is the idiomatic use of $o\dot{v}$ with verbs of saying and thinking: $o\ddot{v}$ $\phi\eta\mu\iota$, $o\dot{v}$ $\phi\acute{a}\sigma\kappa\omega$, $o\dot{v}$ $vo\mu\acute{\iota}(\zeta\omega$, $o\ddot{v}\kappa$ $o\ddot{\iota}o\mu\alpha\iota$, $o\dot{v}$ $\deltao\kappa\hat{\omega}$, $o\dot{v}\kappa$ $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{\omega}$.

¹ As Lucian (second century A.D.) is sometimes read, it may be observed that he uses $\mu\dot{\eta}$ where Attic writers use $o\dot{v}$: (1) with Participles in a Causal sense, and after $\dot{w}s$, $\ddot{v}\tau\iota$, $\ddot{v}\iota\tau\iota$, Causal, (2) after Verbs of Saying and Thinking in Oratio Obliqua.

By this idiom $o\dot{v}$ is used with the principal verb where in English the negative is joined with the following Infinitive.

 $O\dot{v}$ in fact almost coalesces with its word. Compare the use of the Latin negative (ne in nego (ne-ig-o), ne queo, nescio) which has gone a stage further than où in coalescing.

ού φασι θεμιτόν είναι. PLAT.

They say it is not right.

negant fas esse.

οὐκ ὤετο δεῖν λέγειν. ΑΕSCHIN.

He thought that he need not speak.

ου μοι δοκώ. ΡΙΑΤ.

I think not

Note 1. This use of où with the governing Verb seems more ancient than with the Infinitive. See Monro's Homeric Grammar, p. 262.

Note 2. This ov privative is sometimes retained where the

construction requires μή.

έὰν οὐ φῆτε έάν τε φῆτε. Plat. Apol. xii. 25 B.

Whether you say no or yes.

εί μεν ού πολλοί ήσαν. Lys. 13. 72.

If they were few.

Cf. Thuc. i. 121 (εἰ οὐκ ἀπεροῦσι); ΧΕΝ. Αn. i. 7. 18 (εἰ οὐ μαχείται); SOPH. Ài. 1131, 1242, 1268; El. 244: Eur. Med. 88.

But generally the $\mu\dot{\eta}$ required by construction is used. έὰν μὴ φῆ ὁ ἔτερος τὸν ἔτερον ὀρθῶς λέγειν. PLAT. Gorg. 457 D.

Note 3. Ov exerts this privative or contradictory force on any word to which it is prefixed.

(a) Verbs:οὐ στέργω, I hate. ούκ έω, οὐ κελεύω, I hinder, forbid.

ούκ ὑπισχνοῦμαι, I refuse. ού προςποιοθμαι, dissimulo.

ούκ άξιῶ, I consider that not (like οὐ δοκῶ), I require or expect that not (THUC. ii. 89), I disdain or refuse (AESCH. P.V. 285).

ού συμβουλεύω, I advise one not to, etc. THUC. and HDT.

(b.) Other words:-

τὰ οὐ καλά, immorality.

οὐ καλῶς, immorally; οὐκ ὀρθῶς, wrongly.

ούχ ϵ îs, ούκ δ λίγοι= π ολλοί, many.

οὐκ ἐλάχιστος=μέγιστος.

οὖκ ἥκιστα ἀλλὰ μάλιστα, ΗDT. iv. 170.

της Αευκάδος η οὐ περιτείχισις, Thuc. iii. 95, the non-investment of Leucas.

ἡ οὐκ ἐξουσία, ΤΗυς. v. 50; ἡ οὐ διάλυσις, i. 137; ἡ οὐκ ἀπόδοσις, v. 35.

έν οὐ καίρφ, unseasonably, Eur. Bacch. 1288.

Note 4. In some of these cases the negative doubtless is due to the Greek reserve and abatement of positive assertion (litotes), e.g. $\sigma \chi \eta \kappa \iota \sigma \tau a$, not least, i.e. (by implication) most.

§ 281. Oὐ AND μή WITH ADJECTIVES, PARTI-CIPLES USED AS ADJECTIVES, ADVERBS, AND SUBSTANTIVES.

(For Infinitives used as Substantives see § 283.)

Οů.

 $M\dot{\eta}$.

When definite and known individuals or members of a class are spoken of, so that a fact is stated, où is used.

When the members of a class are indefinite, so that the expression is virtually conditional: (or when certain attributes are thought of, so that it is consecutive:) or when the expression is a mere vague conception, something thought of rather than known, $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is used.

Instances with $\mu\eta$ much outnumber those with $o\dot{v}$. of $o\dot{v}\kappa$ dyadod $\pi o\lambda i\tau a\iota$. of $\mu\eta$ $\kappa a\theta a\rho oi$ τas $\chi \epsilon i\rho as$. Those (particular) citizens Antiph. who are not good. All who are of impure hands.

οί οὐ πιστεύοντες.
Those who do not believe.
(Special known persons spoken of.)
ii qui non credunt.

ai οὐκ ὀρθαὶ πολιτείαι αὖται. PLAT. Rep. These wrong forms of government.

Here, as in many such cases, the ov is privative; under which rule are given examples of adverbs and substantives. οί μη πιστεύοντες.

Those, i.e. any (all) who do not believe = if any do not believe.

= οἴτινες μὴ πιστεύουσι. ὅσοι ἄν μὴ πιστεύωσι. si qui non credunt.

τῶν στρατιωτῶν οἱ μὴ δυνάμενοι. ΧΕΝ.

Such of the soldiers as are unable.

τὰ δρατὰ καὶ τὰ μή (δρατά). Plat. Phaed.

The things which are seen and those which are not seen.

δ μη ιατρός ανεπιστήμων.

PLAT. Gorg.

He who is not a physician is inexperienced.

δεινον έστιν ή μη έμπειρία. Απ. Εςς.

A sad thing is inexperience.

Here no statement is made that any particular person is inexperienced, but the mere conception is spoken of.

Note on Substantives. When of is used with a Substantive, the expression is equivalent to a negative objective sentence. Thus $\dot{\eta}$ of $\dot{\epsilon}$ $\dot{\epsilon}$

§ 282. Οὐ AND μή WITH PARTICIPLES.

Où.

Mή.

 $O\dot{v}$ is used when the Participle states fact: \mathbf{a} the Participle is often Causal.

 $M\acute{\eta}$ is used when the Antecedent to the Participle is indefinite, so that the Participle is Conditional.

ού πιστεύων. Since (as, when, etc.) he does not believe.

μή πιστεύων. If he does not believe.

do this.

αίσχύνομαι οὐ ποιῶν ταῦτα. I am ashamed because (that) I do not do this.

αἰσχύνομαι μὴ ποιῶν ταῦτa. I am ashamed if I do not

δηλώσω οὐ παραγενόμενος.

ANTIPH. I will prove that I was not

present.

καν ὦφλε χιλίας δραχμάς οὐ μεταλαβών τὸ πέμπτον μέρος των ψήφων.

PLAT. Apol. xxv. He would even have been condemned to pay a thousand drachmae, because he had not obtained a fifth of the votes.

ούκ εύτυχοῦσαι δόξετ' ούχὶ δυστυχείν.

Eur. Bacch. 1263. Although not fortunate, ye shall seem not to be unfortunate. Cf. 270, νοῦν οὖκ ἔχων (void as he is of sense).

ούκ ἀν δύναιο, μη καμών, εὐδαιμονεῖν. Thou couldst not be happy, unless thou shouldst toil.

Note. $\dot{\omega}s$ ($\ddot{\omega}\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$) où is more usual with the Participle than $\dot{\omega}s$ $\mu\dot{\eta}$. $\dot{\omega}s$ $\mu\dot{\eta}$ appears to be used when the construction of the Sentence demands $\mu\dot{\eta}$ (e.g. when an Imperative or a Conditional particle precedes), though even then $\dot{\omega}s$ où may be used when a plain statement of fact is intended.

έθορυβείτε ώς οὐ ποιήσοντες ταῦτα. Lys. 12. 73 (cf. 27. 16,

ώσπερ ού).

Cf. Thuc. iv. 5; vi. 82. 2, Xen. An. iv. 4. 15.

For $\dot{\omega}_{S} \mu \dot{\eta} :=$

ως έμου μηδέποτε άμελήσοντος, ούτως έχε την γνώμην,

XEN. Oyr. i. 6. 11.

But, - ἀφίετέ με η μη ἀφίετε ως έμοῦ οὐκ ἄν ποιήσοντος ἄλλα. PLAT. Apol. xvii. 30 B; THUC. i. 78. l. βραδέως βουλεύεσθε ως οὐ περὶ βραχέων.

ώς $\mu \dot{\eta}$, with the Participle, may denote several characteristics, e.g. δίδασκε μ ' ώς $\mu \dot{\eta}$ είδότα, as one who knows not,

SOPH. O. C. 1154.

\S 283. Où AND $\mu\dot{\eta}$ WITH THE INFINITIVE.

 $O\mathring{\upsilon}$. $M\acute{\eta}$.

 $M\dot{\eta}$ is the regular Negative with the Infinitive.

When $o\vec{v}$ is found with an Infinitive, it is chiefly in Indirect Statements after verbs of Saying and Thinking, $o\vec{v}$ being the proper construction in Oratio Obliqua.

An infinitive used as a Substantive with or without

truth.

the Article regularly takes μή.

ἔφη οὐκ ἐκβῆναί με ἐκ τοῦ αἰσχρον μὴ ἀληθεύειν.

πλοίου. Antiph. It is wrong not to speak the

He stated that I did not

leave the ship $= o\dot{v}\kappa$ é $\phi\eta$ é $\kappa\beta\hat{\eta}\nu a\iota$.

έλεγον οὐκ εἶναι αὐτόνομοι. χρη ΤΗUC. π

χρη μη καταφρονείν τοῦ πλήθους. Isaeus.

They were saying that they We should not despise the were not independent. multitude.

Digitized by Microsoft®

ένόμισεν οὐκ ἄν δύνασθαι τὸ μὴ δικαίως ἀπολέσαι. μένειν. ΧΕΝ.

He thought that they would An unjust sentence of death.

not remain.

δμώμοκεν οὐ χαριείσθαι.

PLAT. Apol. xxiv. 35 C.

A striking instance; verbs of swearing usually are followed by $\mu \dot{\eta}$, see note 4 below.

For other instances, cf. SOPH. Ant. 378, 755; PLAT. Apol. xvii. 29 B.

Note 1. When où is exceptionally used with the Infinitive, it is generally due either to the order of or emphasis on a word or sentence. Sometimes où is privative. A positive negation is always made.

οὐδενὸς ἁμαρτεῖν δίκαιός ἐστιν. ANTIPH. iv. Tetr. Γ . a. 6. There is nothing which he deserves to miss.

=οὐδέν έστιν οδ άμαρτείν.

άξιω έγω ων δμωμόκατε παραβήναι οὐδέν.

XEN. Hell. ii. 4. 48.

I beg you to violate no single point of your oath.

=οὖκ ἀξιῶ.

Observe that où is used although a Petition strictly requires $\mu\dot{\eta}$. Cf. Thuc. i. 39. 2.

Cf. Soph. Phil. 88, $\epsilon \phi v \nu o \delta \delta \epsilon \nu = o \delta \kappa \epsilon \phi v \nu$.

κελεύει οὐκ ἐν τῆ ἐκκλησίᾳ ἀλλ' ἐν τῷ θεάτρῷ τὴν ἀνάρρησιι γίγνεσθαι. ΑΕSCH. 3. 204.

(The law) requires the proclamation to be made, not in the Assembly, but in the Theatre.

Emphasis on the parenthesis.

δοκείς χαιρήσειν ή οὐκ ἀποθανείσθαι; Andok. i. 101. Do you expect to rejoice, or escape death? οὐκ ἀποθανείσθαι is perhaps privative.

Note 2. $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$ ($\chi\rho\dot{\eta}\nu$, $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\rho\dot{\eta}\nu$) are followed by both $\mu\dot{\eta}$ and où with the Infinitive. $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$ où may be considered to stand for où $\chi\rho\dot{\eta}$.

SOPH. Phil. 1363, χρην μήτε μολείν, κ.τ.λ. And. 607, χρην μη κινείν.

EUR. Androm. 100, χρη δ' οὐποτ' εἰπεῖν, so 214. Hipp. 507, χρην οὐ σ' ἁμαρτάνειν. Med. 294, χρη δ' οὐπότ' ἐκδιδάσκεσθαι.

Note 3. $M\dot{\eta}$ is not seldom found with the Infinitive in an Indirect Statement. In some, but not all such instances, the Statement is general, and bears the character of a Conception.

άπεκρίνατο μηδενὸς ήττων είναι. XEN. Hell. ii. 3. 11. He replied that he was inferior to none; cf. iii. 2. 31; iv. 4. 5; Mem. i. 2. 39.

οἱ μάντεις λέγονται ἐαυτοῖς μὴ προορᾶν τὸ ἐπιόν.

XEN. Symp. iv. 5.

Prophets are said not to foresee the future for themselves.

This is not, however, the strict Attic use, and Xenophon is often exceptional. See Xen. Mem. i. 2. 39. Oτ and μή occur in co-ordinate clauses in Soph. Phil. 1058; Plat. Prot. 319 B.

Note 4. Many Verbs which imply an effort of thought or will prefer $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with the Infinitive. Such are Verbs of making an admission, $\delta\mu\lambda\lambda\gamma\hat{\omega}$, Plat. Phaed. xlii. 98 d, $\sigma\nu\gamma\chi\omega\rho\hat{\omega}$: cf. conviction, $\pi\iota\sigma\tau\epsilon\dot{\nu}\omega$, Xen. An. i. 9. 8; $\pi\epsilon\pi\epsilon\omega\mu\alpha\iota$, Plat. Apol. xxvii. 37 A: of witnessing, swearing, $\delta\mu\nu\nu\mu\iota$, Ar. Vesp. 1047, 1281 (also an Epic usage), $\epsilon\rho\hat{\omega}=\delta\mu\hat{\omega}\mu\alpha\iota$, Xen. Cyr. vii. 1. 18; $\epsilon\gamma\gamma\nu\hat{\omega}\mu\alpha\iota$, Plat. Prot. 336 d. (For other constructions of $\delta\mu\nu\nu\mu\iota$, see Lexicon.)

Verbs of Perception, ἐπίσταμαι, are found with μή and the Infinitive in SOPH. Epiglised 1992 incressoft®

§ 284. DIRECT AND INDIRECT STATEMENT. Ov. Mú.

The Direct Statement takes $o\dot{v}$.

ουκ έξέβην έκ τοῦ πλοίου.

ANTIPH.

I did not leave the ship.

Indirect Statement with $\delta \tau \iota$ or $\dot{\omega}_{S}$ takes $o\dot{v}$.

For the Indirect Statement in the Infinitive, cf. supra.

παρέχομαι μάρτυρας ώς οὐκ έξέβην έκ τοῦ πλοίου.

ANTIPH.

I produce witnesses (to prove) that I did not leave the ship = $o\dot{\nu}\kappa$ $\dot{\epsilon}\xi\dot{\epsilon}\beta\eta\nu$.

εἶπεν ὅτι οὐδὲν αὐτᾳ μέλοι τοῦ θορύβου. Lys. He said he did not care about the disturbance = οὐδέν μοι μέλει.

§ 285. INDIRECT STATEMENT WITH THE PARTICIPLE.

ήγγειλε τὴν πόλιν οὐ πολιορκηθείσαν. ΧΕΝ. He reported that the city had not been besieged. Recta: οὐκ ἐπολιορκήθη. Note. But Verbs of Perception sometimes take $\mu\dot{\eta}$. See Soph. Ant. 1063-64, O. C. 65; Thuc. i. 76. 1; ii. 17. 2; similarly after $\delta\epsilon\dot{\iota}\kappa\nu\nu\mu\iota$, Eur. Tro. 970.

ἔγνωσαν οὐ πραχθεῖσαν τὴν ξυμμαχίαν. THUC. They discovered that the alliance had not been concluded.

Recta: οὐκ ἐπράχθη. $O\vec{v}$ in both cases is regular, going with an Oblique

Statement.

DIRECT QUESTIONS. § 286.

 O_{ν} expects the answer "yes" (nonne?). M_{η} expects the answer "no" (num?). They are often associated with other particles: $\mathring{a}\rho'$ où; $\mathring{a}\rho a \mu \eta$; o $\mathring{v}\kappa o v v$; $\mu \hat{\omega} v$ (i.e. $\mu \hat{\eta} \circ \hat{v} \hat{v}$); $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \circ \hat{v}$; $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \hat{\eta}$; $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \circ \hat{v} \nu$; $\hat{\eta} \circ \hat{v}$; $\hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\eta}$;

καλώς. PLAT.

Yes, rightly.

φής η ού; πάνυ γε. Do you assent, or do you not (assent)? i.e. Yes or no? Certainly (I do assent).

 O_{v} interrogative with a Future Indicative is equivalent to an Imperative. ουκ άξεθ' ώς τάχιστα;

SOPH. Ant. 885.

Will you not lead her away instantly? (i.e. lead her away).

Followed by an imperative καὶ ἄφετε. Digitized by Microsoft®

ταῦτ' οὐχὶ καλῶς λέγεται; μή σοι δοκοῦμεν τῆδε λειφθηναι μάχη; AESCH. Is not this rightly said? Think'st thou we were inferior in this fight?

> Note. μή in an oblique question, like num in Latin, loses this force of expecting a negative answer. So SOPH. Ant. 1253, Eur. Herac. 482.

οὔκουν καθεδεὶ δῆτ' ἐνθαδί, γάστρων; Ar. Ran. 200. Sit ye down there, Paunch. Cf. SOPH. Ant. 244, Ai. 593, Phil. 975, O. C. 834.

Note. Similarly οὐκ ἄν with optative, οὐκ ἄν φράσειας; which is a gentle φράσον, Soph. Phil. 122. But οὐ in combination with που and δή (οὖ που; οὖ τί που; οὖ δή; οὖ δή που;) means surely it is not so ? Cf. Soph. Phil. 900; AR. Ran. 522, 526;—the question here is really outside the words "surely not—eh?"

§ 287. DELIBERATIVE QUESTIONS.

Mή is used in Deliberative Questions.

μὴ ἀποκρίνωμαι; PLAT.

Απ Ι not to answer?

λέγετε, εἰσίω ἤ μή; PLAT

Speak, must I enter or no? Cf. Soph. Ai. 668, $\tau i \mu \dot{\eta}$;

§ 288. INDIRECT QUESTIONS.

(a.) Indirect Single Question. The Negative is οὐ.
ἠρώτησα, διὰ τί οὐκ ἔλθοι.
I asked him why he did not

come. Digitized by Microsoft®

PLAT.

Πρωταγόρας ἐρωτᾳ εἰ οὐκ αἰσχύνομαι. Plat. Protagoras asks me if I am

Protagoras asks me if I am not ashamed.

Obs. et here is interrogative, not conditional.

PLAT.

Let us consider whether it is becoming or not.

όπως ίδης είτ' ένδον είτ' οὐκ ένδον.

SOPH.

That thou may'st see Whether he be within or not within.

Note. Où rather than $\mu\dot{\eta}$ seems to represent simply the original direct double question. M $\dot{\eta}$ seems to import a doubt into the question, or to represent it as a conception. Professor Jebb, in a note to Soph. Ai. 6, and Antiphon (Attic Orators, p. 161), draws a subtle distinction in every case. Thus, he says $\sigma \kappa o \pi \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \hat{\iota} \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\eta}$ means, let us consider the question of abstract fitness: but $\sigma \kappa o \pi \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \hat{\eta} \hat{\sigma} \hat{\sigma}$; let us see whether the matter in hand is fit or no.

In this passage of Antiphon, $\epsilon i \ddot{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta} - \epsilon i \ddot{\eta}$ ov occur in sequent clauses. Similarly in ISAEUS, viii. 9, we have, in three sequent clauses, $\epsilon i \tau \epsilon \epsilon i \tau \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} - \kappa \alpha i \epsilon i \ddot{\eta}$ ov $-\kappa \alpha i \epsilon i \ddot{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta}$.

§ 289. INDIRECT PETITION.

 $\mu\eta$ is always used whether the Petition is Direct or Indirect.

τι τε ύπονοία καὶ δ μή.

A child is incapable of deciding what is allegory

and what not.

Digitized by Microsoft επτε or μη κλέψης.

a. Direct.

μη άξιοῦτέ με ταῦτα δρᾶν.
Do not require me to do this.

b. Indirect.

έλεγον αὐτοῖς μὴ ἀδικεῖν.

THUC.

They were telling them not to do wrong.

ίκέτευου μη στρεβλωθήναι. ΑΝDOK.

They were begging not to be tortured.

Note. For exceptions see under of and $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with Infinitive. See also $\ddot{o}\pi\omega_{\rm S}$ $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with Future Indicative (Index).

§ 290.

CONDITIONAL.

Oů.

Mή.

The Apodosis or Principal Sentence takes ov.

The Protasis or Subordinate Sentence takes μή.

For Examples see Conditional Sentences.

Note 1. Where of is found in a Protasis it is joined privatively to some special word. (Cf. supra, p. 347.)

Note 2. ϵi interrogative, not conditional, takes oi not $\mu \hat{\eta}$.

Note 3. When ϵi is used like $\delta \tau \iota$ after verbs of emotion $(\theta \alpha \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega)$, $\kappa \alpha \tau \sigma \iota \kappa \tau \epsilon \dot{\iota} \rho \omega$, $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\nu} \nu$, and the like), $o\dot{v}$, not $\mu \dot{\eta}$, follows, for we may either say that a statement of fact is

Digitized by Microsoft®

made, or that ei is virtually causal. Cf. Isoc. 11 D. μη θαυμάσης εί οὐ πρέπει, DEM. 197 D. οὐκ αἰσχρὸν εἰ οὐ;

Note 4. Also sometimes ei ov is found when a direct statement is quoted, ϵi , $\dot{\omega}_{S}$ νῦν φήσει, οὐ παρεσκευάσατο. DEM. 1266. 2, so virtually EUR. Ion, 347.

§ 29I.

CONCESSIVE.

Où.

Καίπερ (καί, καὶ ταῦτα, καί τοι), with a Participle take ov.

Καίπερ όντες ού δεινοί μεμνησθαι μνημονεύετε.

DEM.

Though you are not quick at remembering, you remember.

Cf. Soph. Phil. 377; Eur. Alc. 352 = even being.

Mή.

 E_{i} , $\epsilon \acute{a}\nu$ ($\mathring{\eta}\nu$, $\mathring{a}\nu$), concessive, take $\mu\eta$, being truly Conditional.

§ 292.

CAUSAL.

Oů.

Mή.

The regular Negative is ov.

έπειδη ούκ έδύναντο λαμβάνειν το χωρίον ἀπιέναι ήδη ἐπεχείρουν. ΧΕΝ.

Since they were unable to take the fort they now were trying to depart.

So διότι. THUC. iv. 11. 2.

Note. See Introduction on use of μή in Causal Sentences in late Greek.

Digitized by Microsoft®

thing.

§ 293. CONSECUTIVE AND RESTRICTIVE.

Οů.

ωστε with the Indicative takes ου.

ούτω διακείμεθα ώστε οὐδὲν πρᾶξαι δυνάμεθα. DEM. We are in such a mood that we are unable to do any-

Note. of is found with an Infinitive.

δείν' ἐπηπείλει τελεῖν ὥστ' οὔτε νυκτὸς ὕπνον οὔτ' ἐξ ἡμέρας ἐμὲ στεγάζειν ἡδύν.

Soph. El. 782. She threatened to fulfil a dread revenge, so that, nor day, nor night, did sweet sleep shroud me.

Cf. Eur. Hel. 107, Phoen.
1357, Thuc. v. 40. 2, viii.
70. 6, Plat. Apol. xiv. 26 d.

Note. It is very important to distinguish between the regular and the exceptional use of ὅστε οὐ with the Infinitive. The regular use (e.g. Plat. Apol. xiv.) is due to Oratio Obliqua. The example in SOPH. El. 782 is excep-

Mή.

ωστε with the Infinitive takes μή.

ούτως ἀλόγιστός είμι ὥστε μή δύνασθαι λογίζεσθαι.

PLAT.

So unreflecting am I that I cannot reflect.

cannot reflect.
ἀφίεμεν σε ἐφ' ῷ τε μηκέτι
φιλοσοφεῖν. PLAT.
We set you free on the understanding that you no
longer pursue philosophy.
Cf. Thuc. i. 103. 1. (Fut.
Indic.)

For the rule of $\dot{\epsilon}\phi$, $\ddot{\phi}$ $\tau\epsilon$, see p. 274.

tional. But in all cases we may perhaps say that there is a negation of fact.

§ 294. TEMPORAL AND LOCAL SENTENCES.

Oů.

When the Time or Place is definite $o\hat{v}$ is used.

 ϵ πειδη δ ϵ υηρ ϵ υκ ϵ φαίνετο ϵ φχομην πλέων. Antiph. When the man was not forthcoming I went on my voyage.

κως μεν οἱ σύμμαχοι οὐκ εἰχον ὅποι ἀποσταῖεν ἔκρυπτον τὴν πρὸς ὑμᾶς ἔχθραν. XEN. Hell.
 So long as the allies did not know what side to revolt to, they concealed their dislike to you.

Mή.

When the Time or Place is indefinite $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is used (e.g. with $\delta \tau a \nu$, $\delta \pi \dot{\sigma} \tau a \nu$, $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \nu$, $\delta \pi o \nu \ \ddot{\alpha} \nu$, etc., with Subjunctive: or $\delta \tau \epsilon$, etc., with Optative).

ουκούν, όταν δὲ μὴ σθένω, πεπαύσομαι. SOPH.

So, when I have no strength, I will give o'er.

 $(\delta \tau a \nu \text{ denotes Indefinite})$

όπότε μη φαίεν ἀπάγοντες ἀπεκτείναν. ΤΗυς.

Whenever they said "no," they led them off and executed them.

Obs. That $\delta\pi\delta\tau\epsilon$ changes ou φημι to $\mu\dot{\eta}$ φημι.

§ 205. FINAL SENTENCES, ETC.

- (a.) Final Sentences.
- (b) $5\pi\omega_{S}$ with Future Indicative.
- (c.) Verbs of Fearing.

With these Constructions the regular Construction is

§ 296. $O_{\vec{v}}$ and $M_{\vec{\eta}}$ with Relatives.

Οů.

Mή.

The Relative takes ov when the Antecedent is definite, so that a fact is spoken of.

ζητοῦσα φάρμαχ' εὖρον οὐχ ἃ 'βουλόμην. Ευκ.

à 'βουλόμην, i.e. à έβουλόμην.

In seeking drugs I found not what I sought.

Cf. XEN. An. ii. 2. 3.

Note. οὐδεὶς ὅστις οὐ, οὐκ ἔστιν ὅστις οὐ take οὐ. Thuc. iii. 39; vii. 87; Hdt. v. 97. Plat. Prot. 323 c.

In Thuc. iii. 81, οἱ δὲ πολλοὶ τῶν ἱκετῶν ὅσοι οὐκ ἐπείσθησαν, the actual fact is perhaps emphasised. With a negative preceding τοιοῦτος, οὐ always follows (MADVIG, § 203, note):

νόμον τίθεμεν, οἴκησιν καὶ ταμιεῖον μηδενὶ εἶναι μηδὲν τοιοῦτον, εἶς ὁ οὐ πᾶς βουλόμενος εἴσεισιν.

PLAT. Rep. iii. 416 D. A treasury which not every one who wishes shall enter.

Cf. S. Matt. vii. 21, οὐ πᾶς εἰσελεύσεται.

The Relative takes $\mu\eta'$ when the Antecedent is indefinite. The use of $\mu\eta'$ with Relatives is the same as its use with ϵi .

 ἡ μη οἶδα οὐδὲ οἴομαι εἰδέναι. PLAT.

Whatever I know not I do not think that I know.

ολ ἐμὲ μὴ ἴσασι. Plat.

Any (all, such as) do not know me.

όστις μη αὐτάρκης ἐστὶν οὖτος χαλεπὸς φίλος ἐστί. ΧΕΝ. Μεπ. ii. 6. 2.

Whoever is not self-sufficient is a dangerous friend.

Cf. Aesch. Eum. 618, 661, Soph. O. T. 281 (with Subjunctive and Optative with $\mathring{a}\nu$).

This indefinite or generic use of $\mu\dot{\eta}$ shades off into a Consecutive or Final use.

ψηφίσασθε τοιαθτα έξ δυ μη δεπότε δμιν μεταμελήσει.

ANDOK 3. 41.

Pass such a sentence that you will never repent of.

μέλλουσι γάρ σ' ένταῦθα πέμψειν ἔνθα μή ποθ' ἡλίου φέγγος προσόψει.

SOPH. El. 380.

They are purposing to send thee where thou never more shalt see the glory of the sun.

Cf. Soph. Ai. 359, 470;

Phil. 408, 588.

Cf. the Restrictive ὅσον μή, ὅσα μή, καθ' ὅσον μή, ὅτι μή.

 $o\dot{\nu}$ and $\mu\dot{\eta}$ are both used with the Relative in a Causal Sense. The analogy of Causal Sentences seems to show that $o\dot{\nu}$ must be the normal construction; $\mu\dot{\eta}$ is used where perhaps the fact is delicately put, as for instance $\epsilon\dot{\iota}$ is put for $\delta\tau\iota$ after $\theta a\nu\mu\dot{\alpha}\zeta\omega$. This use of $\mu\dot{\eta}$ arises from its generic use.

θαυμαστον ποιείς δς οὐδεν δίδως.

Xen. Mem. ii. 7. 13. You are acting strangely in giving nothing.

So Ar. Nub. 692 (ήτις οὐ στρατεύεται), Eur. Med. 589. ταλαίπωρός τις σύ γε ἄνθρωπος εἶ ὧ μήτε θεοὶ πατρῷοί εἰσι μήτε ἱερά κ.τ.λ. Plat. Euth. 302. You are a miserable sort of being since you have

πῶς ἂν ὀρθῶς ἐμοῦ κατεγιγνώσκετε, ῷ τὸ παράπαν πρὸς τουτονὶ μηδὲν συμβόλαιον ἐστίν;

sacrifices.

neither national gods nor

DEM. Apat. 903. 22.

How could you have rightly condemned me, since I have no contract at all with this man?

Digitized by Microsoft AR. Ran. 1459.

\S 297. M $\acute{\eta}$ is used in Expressions of a Wish.

Μηκέτι ζώην έγώ.

AR. Nub. 1255.

May I no longer live!

μή ποτ' ἄφελον λιπείν την Σκύρον.

SOPH. Phil. 969.

Would I ne'er had left

My Scyros.

= I ought never, $\mu \dot{\eta}$ like $\mu \dot{\eta}$ after $\delta \epsilon \hat{\iota}$.

έγώ θράσυς οὔτ' εἰμὶ μήτε γενοίμην. DEM. 8. 68.

I am neither bold nor might I become so.

έγὼ δ' ὅπως σὰ μὴ λέγεις ὀρθῶς τάδε οὖτ' ἄν δυναίμην μητ' ἐπισταίμην λέγειν. SOPH. Ant. 685.

But that these words thou speakest are not right I neither could nor may I learn to say.

οὖτ' ἄν δυναίμην is an Apodosis, and therefore οὐ is required: μητ' ἐπισταίμην is a wish; the μή with ὅπως is far more difficult to explain, for it is an Indirect Statement.

But observe that $\delta \pi \omega s \mu \eta$ depends on a verb of perception, $\epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau a \mu a \iota$ (see note 4 $\mu \eta$ with Infin.). Also $\delta \pi \omega s \mu \eta$ expresses doubt, and is much less positive than $\delta \tau \iota = o \iota$. Moreover the wish $\mu \eta \delta' \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \tau a \iota \mu \eta \nu$ may throw its shadow over the previous line.

§ 298. $M\dot{\eta}$ and $\mu\dot{\eta}$ où with the Infinitive.

A. After a Principal Sentence containing Verbs and expressions of *denying*, *hindering*, *forbidding*, and *avoiding*, $\mu\eta$ is used with the Infinitive where in English we use no negative.

φης η καταρνεί μη δεδρακέναι τάδε; SOPH.

Dost own or dost deny that thou hast done this?

ηναντιώθην μηδεν πράττειν παρά τους νόμους.

PLAT.

I opposed your doing anything contrary to the laws.

ἀπαγορεύω μὴ ποιεῖν ἐκκλησίαν. Απιστορή.

I forbid your calling an assembly.

ήπίστουν μὴ εἶναι τοὺς τὰ ὅπλα παραδόντας τοῖς τεθνεῶσιν ὁμοίους. ΤΗυς. iv. 40.

They did not believe that those who had given up their arms were like those who had fallen.

θνητούς γ' ἔπαυσα μὴ προδέρκεσθαι μόρον.

AESCH. P. V. 248.

Ay, I let mortals from foreseeing their doom.

Note 1.

φυλάσσομαι,

ἔχω (and compounds), εἴργω (and compounds), hinἐμποδῶν εἶναι, der. κωλύω, άπαγορεύω, forbid.

So also ἀπολύομαι, Thuc. i. 128; ἀποκρύπτομαι, ii. 53; ἀποστρέφω, viii. 108; ὑπεκτραπέσθαι, Soph. O. C. 565; φυλάσσω, O. C. 667. Digitized by Microsoft®

Note 2.

 M_{η} , however, as in the English idiom, is not seldom omitted.

τοῦτό τις εἴργει δρᾶν ὄκνος. Plat. Some scruple prevents me from doing this.

Cf. SOPH. O. T. 129; THUC. i. 62; PLAT. Phaed. 108 E.

Note 3.

Other constructions are (1) $\tilde{\omega}s\tau\epsilon$ $\mu\tilde{\eta}$ with the Infinitive, (2) $\tau\delta$ $\mu\tilde{\eta}$ with the Infinitive, (3) $\tau\sigma\tilde{v}$ or $\tau\sigma\tilde{v}$ $\mu\tilde{\eta}$ with the Infinitive.

- (1.) ἀγγέλλων ὅτι τὰς ναῦς ἀποστρέψειε ὥστε μὴ ἐλθεῖν.

 Thuc. viii. 108. 1.

 Announcing that he had diverted the ships from coming.
- (2.) εἶργον τὸ μὴ κακουργεῖν. Thuc. iii. 1. They prevented them from inflicting damage.

Cf. Aesch. Eum. 691; Soph. Antig. 263.

(3.) ἐκώλυσε τοῦ καίειν ἐπιόντας. XEN. An. i. 6. 2. He kept them from advancing and burning. ἔξει τοῦ μὴ καταδῦναι. XEN. An. iii. 5. 11. It will keep them from sinking.

Cf. Thuc. i. 76, ii. 49, iii. 75; Xen. Cyr. ii. iv. 23.

B. But when the Verbs themselves take a Negative or quasi-Negative, $\mu \dot{\eta}$ où and not $\mu \dot{\eta}$ alone is used with the Infinitive.

Here also in English we use no negative in the subordinate sentence.

τίνα οἴει ἀπαρνήσεσθαι μὴ οὐχὶ ἐπίστασθαι τὰ δίκαια; Plat.

Who do you think will deny that he is acquainted with justice? (=no one will deny).

οὐ λήξω μὴ οὐ πᾶσι προφωνεῖν. Soph. I will not cease to publish unto all. τί έμποδων μη ούχὶ ἀποθανεῖν; ΧΕΝ.

What is there to hinder us from being put to death? (= no hindrance).

Cf. Aesch. P. V. 627 ($\tau i \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota s$;); Soph. Ai. 540, 728; Xen. Symp. iii. 3 ($\tau \delta \mu \dot{\eta} \circ \dot{v}$).

C. Also when the Principal Sentence is negative, $\mu \hat{\eta}$ or and the Infinitive is used after expressions denoting what is *impossible*, wrong, repugnant, and the like. Here in English we use a Negative.

ἀδύνατα ἢν μὴ οὐ μέγαλα βλάπτειν. Thuc. It was impossible not to inflict great harm.

οὐδεὶς μ ' ἂν πείσειεν το μ η οὐκ ἐλθεῖν. ARISTOPH. No one shall persuade me not to go.

ύπέσχου ζητήσειν ώς ούχ ὅσιόν σοι ὂν μὴ οὐ βοηθεῖν δικαιοσύνη. Plat. Rep. 427, E.

You promised to search, on the ground that it would be impious for you not to assist justice.

Cf. Plat. Symp. 218 C ($\mathring{a}v\delta\eta\tau ov\ \mu\mathring{\eta}\ o\mathring{v}$).

D. Sometimes $\mu \dot{\gamma}$ où and the Infinitive follows a Principal Sentence which is not Negative in form.

αἰσχρόν ἐστι σοφίαν μὴ οὐχὶ πάντων κράτιστον φάναι.

PLAT. Prot. 352 D.

It is immoral not to assert that wisdom is the highest of all possessions.

In these cases the aloxpov is practically condenning, blaming, dissuading from a course.

ωστε πάσιν αἰσχύνην είναι μὴ συσπουδάζειν.

XEN. An. ii. 3. 11.

So that all were ashamed not to co-operate heartily.

Compare these two examples with Xen. Cyr. vii. 7. 16, $\tau i \nu a$ $a \ddot{i} \sigma \chi \iota o \nu \mu \dot{\eta}$ $\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \dot{\eta}$ $\tau \dot{o} \nu$ $a \dot{\delta} \epsilon \lambda \phi \dot{\phi} \nu$; where a quasi-Negative Principal Sentence is followed by $\mu \dot{\eta}$ only.

See HEROD. i. 187, δεινόν μὴ οὐ λαβεῖν.
Digitized by Microsoft®

§ 299. $M\dot{\eta}$ où with the Participle.

 $M\hat{\eta}$ of is found with the Participle denoting circumstance (conditionally, or restrictively), after a Principal Sentence expressing what is impossible or repugnant.

 $M\dot{\eta}$ ov is practically equal to $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta}$, except, unless.

οὐκ ἄρ' ἔστι φίλον τῷ φιλοῦντι οὐδέν, μὴ οὐκ ἀντιφιλοῦν ;

PLAT. Lys. 212 D.

No creature then is a friend to a friend, unless it love in return, (without loving).

ηκεις γὰρ οὖ κενή γε, τοῦτ' ἐγὼ σαφῶς ἔξοιδα, μὴ οὖχὶ δεῖμ' ἐμοὶ φέρουσά τι. Soph. O. C. 359. Thou comest not empty, this I know full well, unless thou bring'st some horror to mine ears.

δυσάλγητος γὰρ ἄν εἴην, τοιάνδε μὴ οὐ κατοικτείρων ἔδραν. Soph. 0. Τ. 11.

hard of heart were I,

Compassionating not so sad a session. (Cf. O. T. 220.)
Other instances will be found in HEROD. ii. 110, vi. 9. and

106. ISOCRAT. Laud. Hel. 47.

So entirely was $\mu \hat{\eta}$ ov eventually regarded as equivalent to $\epsilon \hat{\iota}$ $\mu \hat{\eta}$ that in Dem. de Fals. Leg. 379. 7, we find it used without a participle expressed: a $\hat{\iota}$ $\tau \epsilon$ $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon_{is}$ $\pi o \lambda \lambda a \hat{\iota}$ $\kappa a \hat{\iota}$ $\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi a \hat{\iota}$ $\lambda a \beta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$ $\mu \hat{\eta}$ ov $\chi \rho \delta \nu \psi$ $\kappa a \hat{\iota}$ $\pi o \lambda_{io} \rho \kappa \hat{\iota} \zeta$, the cities were numerous and difficult to take except by long waiting and by siege (sc. $\lambda \eta \phi \theta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma a \iota$).

Variant Constructions of B. C. D. (pp. 336, 7).

After a Negative Principal Sentence are used sometimes (1) the Infinitive alone; (2) $\mu\dot{\gamma}$ alone instead of $\mu\dot{\gamma}$ ov with the Infinitive; (3) $\tau\dot{o}$ $\mu\dot{\gamma}$ ov; (4) $\tau o\hat{v}$ $\mu\dot{\gamma}$ ov.

(1) ταθτα οὐκ ἐξαρνοθνται πράττειν. AESCHIN. iii. 250. They do not deny that they so act.

Φίλιππον παρελθεῖν οὐκ ἠδύναντο κωλῦσαι.

DEM. de Pac. 62. 10.

They were not able to prevent Philip advancing.

(2) οὐ πολὺν χρόνον μ' ἐπέσχον μὴ με ναυστολεῖν ταχύ. Soph. Phil. 348.

Not long while they held me from quick setting sail. (Cf. Antig. 443.)

Digitized by Microsoft®

(3) οὐκ ἐναντιώσομαι τὸ μὴ οὐ γεγωνεῖν πᾶν.

AESCH. P. V. 786.

I'll not refuse (lit. oppose thee) to declare the whole.

XEN. Symp. iii. 3.

(4)- τίς Μήδων σου ἀπελείφθη τοῦ μή ἀκολουθεῖν ;

XEN. Cyr. v. 1. 25.

Who of the Medes failed to follow you?

Instances of omission of $\mu\dot{\eta}$ and $\mu\dot{\eta}$ or are said to be rare. There are however a good many.

- 1. M\u03c3 omitted after an Affirmative Principal Sentence, Antiph. Tetr. B. B. 4. and 7. Thuc. iii. 39. 3. Soph. Ai. 70, O. T. 129. Eurip. Or. 263. Arist. Ach. 127. Xen. Hell. v. 21. Plat. Apol. xix. 31 d, xxxi. 39 e.
- 2. M $\acute{\eta}$ for $\mu\grave{\eta}$ où after a Negative Principal Sentence, ANTIPH. Tetr. B. B. 3. SOPH. Phil. 349. THUC. iii. 39. ISOCR. Laud. Hel. 47. (Infin. alone after a Negative Sentence.)

§ 300. M $\dot{\eta}$ and $\mu \dot{\eta}$ où with the Subjunctive.

 $M'\eta$ with the Subjunctive expresses anxiety, apprehension, suspicion, surmise, and so may often be translated perhaps.

μη τοῦτο ἀληθὲς η.

Perhaps this is true.

μη ἀγροικότερον $\mathring{\eta}$ το ἀληθὲς εἰπεῖν. Plat. Perhaps it is somewhat blunt to tell the truth.

The addition of $o\vec{v}$ gives the opposite or negative meaning:

μη οὐ τοῦτο ἀληθὲς η.

Perhaps this is not true.

άλλὰ μὴ οὐ τοῦτ' ἢ χαλεπόν, θάνατον ἐκφυγεῖν.

PLAT. A pol.

It looks as if this were not the real difficulty—to escape death.

Cf. Crit. ix. 48 c. Phaed xi 67 B. Digitized by Microsoft® 2 A

Note 1. M\u00ed o\u00f3 is found graphically with the Indicative in questions.

άλλ' ἄρα μὴ οὐχ ὑπολαμβάνεις; PLAT. Prot. 312 A. But perhaps then you do not suppose?

Note 2. $\mathring{o}\pi\omega_s \ \mu \mathring{\eta}$, $\mathring{o}\pi\omega_s \ \mu \mathring{\eta}$ of is similarly used with the Subjunctive and Indicative (Pres. and Future), Plat. Crat. 430 d, Meno 77 A, Phaedo 77 B; RIDDELL's Digest, p. 140.

Note 3. The same constructions of $\mu\dot{\eta}$ and $\mu\dot{\eta}$ οὐ occur even more commonly after a Principal Verb like φράζομαι, ὁρῶ, $\sigma\kappa\sigmaπῶ$, ἀθρῶ, ἐννοοῦμαι, αἰσχύνομαι, ὀκνῶ, κίνδυνός ἐστι, φοβοῦμαι, etc.

(a.) With Subjunctive:

φροντίζω μὴ κράτιστον $\hat{\eta}$ μοι σιγ $\hat{\alpha}$ ν. XEN. Mem. iv. 2. 39. I am considering whether it is not best for me to be silent.

ταῦτα ἀπιστίαν παρέχει περὶ τὴς ψυχῆς μὴ οὐδαμοῦ ἐτι ἦ. PLAT. Phaed. xiv. 70 A.

This causes a doubt about the soul that possibly it no longer exists.

(b.) With Indicative:

a. Present Indicative:

όρωμεν μη Νικίας οἴεταί τι λέγειν. PLAT. Lach. 196.

Cf. Soph. Ant. 1253; Eur. Tro. 178, Phoen. 92 (quoted p. 267).

b. Imperfect Indicative:

ὅρα μὴ παίζων ἔλεγεν. PLAT. Theaet. 145.

c. Future Indicative :

ὄρα μὴ δεήσει. ΧΕΝ. Cyr. iii. 1. 27. φοβοῦμαι μὴ εὕρήσομεν. PLAT. Phileb. 13. A. δέδοιχα ὅπως μὴ τεύξομαι. ARIST. Eq. 112.

Cf. Plat. Crat. 393 c., Rep. 451 A.

d. Perfect Indicative:

φοβουμεθα μη αμφοτέρων ήμαρτηκαμεν. Thuc. iii, 53.

e. Aorist Indicative:

δείδω μη πάντα νημερτέα εἶπεν. ΗοΜ. Od. v. 300.

§ 301. Oὖ μή WITH SUBJUNCTIVE AND FUTURE INDICATIVE.

A. $O\dot{v} \mu \dot{\eta}$ with the Subjunctive (generally the Aorist, but sometimes the Present) expresses an emphatic negative future statement.

ου μή παύσωμαι φιλοσοφών. Plat. Apol. xvii. I will never give up philosophy.

οὖτοι σ' 'Αχαιῶν, οἶδα, μή τις ὑβρίση. SOPH. Αi. 560.

None of the Achaeans, I know it, shall ever insult thee.

B. $O\vec{v}_{i} \mu \eta'$ with the Future Indicative has the same meaning.

άλλ' είσιθ'. οὔ σοι μὴ μεθέψομαί ποτε.

SOPH. El. 1052.

Enter within. I ne'er will follow thee.

εἶπεν ὅτι ἡ Σπάρτη οὐδὲν μὴ κάκιον οἰκιεῖται αὐτοῦ ἀποθανόντος. ΧΕΝ. Hell. i. 6. 32.

He said that Sparta would be governed not one whit the worse after his death.

Observe that the example is in the Graphic Oratio Obliqua.

C. 1. $O_{\nu}^{i} \mu_{\eta}^{i}$ Interrogative with the Future Indicative (second person singular) expresses a strong prohibition.

ποίος Ζεύς; οὐ μὴ ληρήσεις; οὐδ' ἔστι Ζεύς. ΑRIST. Nub. 367.

Zeus quotha! don't talk twaddle. There's no Zeus.

ὧ θύγατερ, οὐ μὴ μῦθον εἰς πολλοὺς ἐρεῖς ; Ευπ. Supp. 1066.

Daughter, tell Resitting toll imments the crowd.

- 2. $O\vec{v} \mu \acute{\eta}$ with the Future Indicative (second person) in the first clause is followed by a second clause expressing (a.) an affirmative command (b.) a negative command or prohibition.
- (a.) οὐ μὴ διατρίψεις, ἀλλὰ γεύσει τῆς θύρας;
 ARIST. Ran. 462.
 Don't shilly-shally, but taste the door.
- (b.) οὐ μὴ προσοίσεις χείρα, μηδ' ἄψει πέπλων ; Ευκ. Hipp. 606.

Bring not thy hand near, and touch not my robes.

3. O_{ν}^{2} with the Future Indicative (second person), denoting an affirmative command, is followed by a Future Indicative (with $\kappa a i \mu \dot{\eta}$, $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$) denoting a negative command or prohibition.

οὐχὶ συγκλήσεις στόμα, καὶ μὴ μεθήσεις αὖθις αἰσχίστους λόγους ; Έυκ. Ηίρρ. 499.

Set a seal upon thy lips, and let not fall again most shameful words.

οὐ σῖγ' ἀνέξει, μηδὲ δειλίαν ἀρεῖς; SOPH. Ai. 75. Keep silence, and awake not cowardice. (Lit. wilt thou not silently endure?)

Some make these two separate questions, one with over (nonne?), the other with $\mu\dot{\eta}$ (num?), wilt thou not endure silently? and wilt thou play the coward?

§ 302. Further Examples of $\vec{ov} \mu \hat{\eta}$.

A. οὐ μή WITH SUBJUNCTIVE.

οὐκέτι μὴ δύνηται βασιλεὺς ἡμᾶς καταλαβεῖν.

XEN. An. ii. 2, 12,

There is no longer any likelihood of the King overtaking us.

Obs. The Present Subjunctive is here used. So also in

Digitized by Microsoft®

PLAT. Rep. 341 C. (où $\mu\dot{\eta}$ ofos $\tau\epsilon$ $\hat{\eta}$ s): and in Soph. O. C. 1023, (ἐπεύχωνται, one MS. reads ἐπεύξωνται).

τὸ μέγιστον κακὸν έκων οὐδεὶς μή ποτε λάβη.

PLAT. Leg. 731 C.

No one is ever likely voluntarily to choose the greatest evil.

οὐ μή σε κρύψω πρὸς ὅντινα βούλομαι ἀφικέσθαι.

XEN. Cyr. vii. 3. 13.

I will not conceal from you whom I wish to march against.

See further SOPH. O. C. 408, 450, 649, 1024, 1702; THUC. v. 67; XEN. Hell. iv. 2. 3; PLAT. Rep. 499 B; AR. Av. 461.

οὐ μὴ σκώψης, μηδὲ ποιήσης ἄπερ οἱ τρυγοδαίμονες οὖτοι, ἀλλ' εὐφήμει. Arist. Nub. 299.

Don't you flout, and don't behave like your poor comedy hacks, but, hold your peace.

Elmsley changes σκώψης of the MSS. to σκώψει, Fut. Indic. Mid. Similarly in Nub. 505, οὐ μὴ λαλήσης has been changed to λαλήσεις. See Goodwin, Moods and Tenses, pp. 186, 187.

If $\sigma\kappa\dot{\omega}\psi\eta_S$ is right, this is you won't jest, a possible way of saying don't jest: if $\sigma\kappa\dot{\omega}\psi\epsilon\iota$, $\pi\sigma\iota\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\iota$ s, the construction is interrogative like that of **C**. 1 above, p. 371.

B. of $\mu\eta$ WITH FUTURE INDICATIVE.

οὐ μή σ' ἐγὼ περιόψομαι ἀπελθόντα. ARIST. Ran. 508. I''ll not suffer you to depart.

οὔ τοι μήποτέ σ' ἐκ τῶν ἑδράνων, ὧ γέρον, ἄκοντά τις ἄξει. SOPH. O. C. 178.

No one, be sure, from these abodes, Old Sir, shall drag thee hence.

2d person in the same meaning as the above, i.e. denoting not a strong prohibition, but a negative statement.

οὖκουν ποτ' ἐκ τούτοιν γε μὴ σκήπτροιν ἔτι ὁδοιπορήσεις (Schneidewin, ὁδοιπορήσης.) SOPH. O. C. 848. Never henceforth, on these props leaning, thou Shalt journey hence.

τοὺς γὰρ πονηροὺς οὐ μή ποτε ποιήσετε βελτίους. ΑΕSCHIN, in Ctes. 177.

You will never make the bad better.
Digitized by Microsoft®

The following example may denote either a prohibition or a statement.

οὐ γιγνώσκω σε ού μὴ εἴσει εἰς τὴν οἰκίαν. ISAEUS, viii. 24. I do not know you, you shall not enter the house; or οὐ μὴ εἴσει; don't enter.

C. οὐκ ἐς κόρακας; οὐ μὴ πρόσιτον; ARIST. Ran. 609. To the crows with you. Be off!

Observe here that the 2d person dual is used.

ω μιαρώτατε, τί ποιείς ; οὐ μὴ καταβήσει; ARIST. Vesp. 397. You scoundrel, what are you at? don't come down.

οὖ μὴ 'ξεγερεῖς τὸν ὕπνφ κάτοχον κάκκινήσεις κάναστήσεις φοιτάδα δεινὴν νόσον, ὧ τέκνον; SOPH. Tr. 978.

Observe that ἐκκινήσειs joined by καί is prohibitive co-ordinately with ἐξεγερεῖς.

οὐ μὴ καλεῖς μ', ὧνθρωπ', ἱκετεύω, μηδὲ κατερεῖς τοΰνομα; ARIST. Ran. 298.

Don't call me, Sirrah, I pray thee, nor blab out my name.

ού μὴ δυσμενὴς ἔσει φίλοις, παύσει δε θυμοῦ, καὶ πάλιν στρέψεις κάρα . . δέξει δὲ δῶρα καὶ παραιτήσει πατρός ; Ευκ. Med. 1151.

Be not wroth with friends, Forbear displeasure, turn thy face again, Accept these offerings, and entreat thy father.

οὖ μὴ προσοίσεις χεῖρα, βακχεύσεις δ'ἰών, μηδ' ἐξομόρξει μωρίαν τὴν σὴν ἐμοί; Eur. Bacch. 343. Lay not thy hand on me, go play the bacchanal, Nor smudge me with thy folly.

οὔκουν καλεῖς αὐτὸν καὶ μὴ ἀφήσεις ; Plat. Symp. 175 a. Call him, and don't send him away.

οὐ θᾶσσον οἴσεις, μηδ' ἀπιστήσεις ἐμοί; SOPH. Tr. 1183. Give me thy hand quick, and distrust me not.

3. Oð $\mu\dot{\eta}$ is found in the Obliqua with a Future Optative representing a Future Indicative of the Recta.

τά τ' ἀλλὰ πάντ' ἐθέσπισεν καὶ τάπὶ Τροίας πέργαμ' ὡς οὐ μή ποτε πέρσοιεν, εἰ μὴ τόνδ' ἄγοιντο SOPH, Phil. 611.

In the Recta this would be où $\mu\eta$ πότε πέρσετε έὰν $\mu\eta$ ἄγησθε. A striking instance, for here the 2d person of the Future would clearly be a negative statement.

All the rest he prophesied, And how they ne'er should sack the towers of Troy Unless they brought him with them.

οὐ μή is also found with the Future Infinitive.

σαφῶς γὰρ εἶπε Τειρεσίας οὐ μή ποτε σοῦ τήνδε γῆν οἰκοῦντος εὖ πράξειν πόλιν. Eur. Phoen. 1590. Recta, οὐ μή ποτε εὖ πράξει ἡ πόλις.

Cf. Plat. Lach. 197 D, καὶ γάρ μοι δοκεῖς οὐδὲ μὴ ἠσθῆσθαι, unless for οὐδὲ μή we substitute οὐδαμῆ as has been suggested.

§ 303. REPETITION OF THE NEGATIVE.

I. Where a *simple* Negative follows a Negative in the same clause, two Negatives make one Affirmative, as in English.

ούδεὶς οὐκ ἔπασχε. ΧΕΝ.

No one was not suffering (i.e. every one was suffering).

ού μόνον ού πείθονται.

Not only do they not obey.

ού δύναμαι μη γελάν. Ακ.

I am not able to keep from laughing.

II. But where a Compound Negative follows a Negative in the same clause the first Negation is continued and strengthened.

ακούει δ΄ οὐδεν οὐδεὶς οὐδενός. ΕUR. Cycl. 120.

No one obeys anybody in anything.

Digitized by Microsoft®

μη λανθανέτω σε μηδὲ τοῦτο. XEN. Cyr. v. 2. 36. Let not even this escape you.

θεους φοβούμενοι μήποτ' ἀσεβες μηδεν μηδε ἀνόσιον μήτε ποιήσητε μήτε βουλήσητε.

XEN. Cyr. viii. 7. 22.

Fear the gods, and never do or intend anything either impious or unholy.

§ 304. Οὐδείς, Μηδείς, Οὐδέν, Μηδέν, etc.

A. Oỷ $\delta\epsilon$ ís and $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon$ ís are used as declinable Substantives both in the Singular and Plural, with or without the Article, of persons.

Much more rarely ὁ, ἡ, οὐδέν, ὁ, ἡ, μηδέν.

- **B.** Οὐδέν and μηδέν are used as indeclinable neuter predicates of persons.
- C. $\tau \delta$ $\mu \eta \delta \delta \nu$, an indeclinable substantive, is very freely used both of persons and things.

All these constructions are chiefly poetical with the exception of (B), which is also Platonic. Herodotus also uses (A) and (B).

We may observe with regard to them :-

- (1.) That οὐδείς, οὐδέν denotes what is known or proved to be actually non-existent or worthless. (Cf. $\dot{\eta}$ οὐκ ἐξουσία under oὐ privative.) Οὐδέν is actually nothing.
- (2.) Μηδείς, μηδέν denotes an indefinite conception of what \cdot is anything non-existent or worthless. (Cf. $\mathring{\eta}$ μ $\mathring{\eta}$ έξουσία.) Μηδέν is abstract nonentity, hence $\tau \grave{\delta}$ μηδέν.
 - (3.) The two sets often seem to be used indifferently, but though οὐδείς is plainer and blunter, yet μηδείς may be really more contemptuous, "as nothing," "no better than a mere cipher."
 - (4.) The construction of the sentence (with ϵi or an imperative) may favour $\mu \eta$ rather than $o \dot{v}$.
 - (5.) Both sets of phrases are the reverse of $\tau\iota\varsigma$ ($\tau\iota$) $\epsilon \tilde{\iota}\nu\alpha\iota$, $t\epsilon$ be $\iota\iota$ somebody.

EXAMPLES:

ό νῦν μὲν οὐδείς, αὔριον δ' ὑπέρμεγας. ΑRIST. Eq. 158. Nobody now, exceeding great to-morrow.

Φρονούσι δήμου μείζον όντες οὐδένες.

Eur. Androm. 700; cf. I. A. 371.

ἄνετε μ' έκποδών

τὸν οὖκ ὄντα μᾶλλον ή μηδένα. SOPH. Ant. 1326.

Lead me hence

Who am no more than him that is as nothing.

ού γὰρ ήξίου τοὺς μηδένας. SOPH. Ai. 1114.

τοὺς ζώντας εὖ δράν κατθανών δὲ πάς ἀνὴρ

γη καὶ σκιά τὸ μηδὲν είς οὐδὲν βέπει. ΕUR. Meleager.

i.e. what was believed to be nothing now proves to be actually nothing.

For the sentiment compare the Epitaph on Gay:

"Life is a jest, and all things show it; I thought so once, but now I know it."

(δαίμων) ἡμιν δ' ἀπορρει κάπι μηδεν ἔρχεται. SOPH. El. 1000. Our future is at ebb, and comes to naught.

Compare the $\mu\eta\delta\epsilon\nu$ here with $\circ\imath\delta\epsilon\nu$ in the Meleager.

ανδρες ήμετεροι είσιν οὐδέν. PLAT. Rep. 556 D.; cf. 562 D. έὰν δοκῶσί τι εἶναι μηδὲν ὄντες. PLAT. Apol. xxxiii. 41 E. If they think they are something, when they are nothing.

Here $\epsilon \acute{a} \nu$ favours $\mu \eta \delta \acute{\epsilon} \nu$ rather than $o \mathring{v} \delta \acute{\epsilon} \nu$.

ότ' οὐδὲν ὤν τοῦ μηδὲν ἀντέστης ὑπέρ.

SOPH. Ai. 1231; cf. 1275.

ύμᾶς τὸ μηδὲν ὄντας ἐν τροπή δορός

έρρύσατο. Soph. Ai. 1274; cf. Eur. El. 369.

κεί τὸ μηδὲν ἐξερῶ φράσω δ' ὅμως. Soph. Ant. 234.

τοίγαρ σὺ δέξαι μ' ἐς τὸ σὸν τόδε στέγος την μηδέν είς τὸ μηδέν. SOPH. El. 1165.

Examples in Herodotus occur in i. 32, vi. 137, ix. 58, 79.

Note.

οὐδὲν (μηδὲν) λέγειν, to talk nonsense or idly.

τὸ οὐδ' οὐδέν, Plat. Theaet. 190 A, the absolute nothing.

ό μηδεν ὤν γοναίσι=δυσγενής, SOPH. Ai. 1094.

οὐδὲν (μηδὲν) εἶναι, to be doomed to death, as good as dead SOPH. El. 1166: EUR. Androm. 1077.

\S 305. M $\acute{\eta}$ with Oaths and Assertions.

 $M\acute{\eta}$ is sometimes found with the Indicative after an oath or a strong assertion.

μὰ τὴν ᾿Αφροδίτην . . . μὴ ᾿γω σ΄ ἀφήσω.

ARIST. Ecc. 999, cf. Av. 195, Lysist. 917.

Cf. also Il. x. 330, xv. 41.

This use of $\mu\dot{\eta}$ should be compared with $\mu\dot{\eta}$ and the Infinitive after verbs of swearing and testifying (see $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with Infin. *Note* 4).

The construction is Epic. In Homer $\mu\dot{\eta}$ is found both with the Infinitive and the Indicative after an oath or protestation.

 $M\acute{\eta}$ repudiates the charge.

ἴστω νῦν τόδε γαῖα, κ.τ.λ., μή τί σοι κακὸν βουλεύσεμεν.

Od. v. 184.

Be witness earth to this—far from me be it to contrive harm to thee.

ἴστω νῦν Ζεὺς αὐτός, κ.τ.λ., μὴ ἀνὴρ ἐποχήσεται ἄλλος.

Il. x. 329.

Be witness Zeus himself—no other man shall ride.

\S 306. M $\acute{\eta}$ where $o \grave{v}$ might have been expected.

M η , where $o\tilde{v}$ might otherwise have been expected, is used where the structure of the sentence requires or has a natural affinity with $\mu\eta$. Such cases are where (1) an Imperative precedes, (2) where the sentence is Conditional, (3) where the whole cast of the sentence is of the nature of a conception, so that the statement denied is not real fact.

1. ψηφίσασθε τὸν πόλεμον, μὴ φοβηθέντες τὸ αὐτίκα δεινόν.

THUC. i. 124.

Vote the war without fearing the immediate danger.

ταῦτα σκοπεῖτε, ὅτι μὴ προνοία μᾶλλον ἐγίγνετο ἤ τύχη.

Antiph. v. 21.

Consider this, that it happened not so much designedly as by accident.

A very exceptional use of $\mu\acute{\eta}$, hardly explained by the preceding Imperative.

Cf. XEN. Cyr. iii. 1. 37, SOPH. Ant. 546, DEM. 27. 59

 ὁ παῖς εἴπερ ἐστὼς φανερὸς ὑμῖν ἐστι μὴ βληθείς, δηλοῦται διὰ τὴν αὑτοῦ ὡμαρτίαν ἀποθανών.

ANTIPH. Tetr. B., c. 5.

As to the child, if it is proved to you that he was not struck when he was standing still, it is evident that he was killed by his own fault.

 οζιμαι μὴ ἄν δικαίως τούτου τυχεῖν ἐπαίνου τὸν μὴ εἰδότα τί ἐστι νόμος.
 ΧΕΝ. Μεπ. i. 2. 41.

I think that one who does not know the meaning of law would not deservedly receive this praise.

The first $\mu\dot{\eta}$ is exceptional, but the example is from Xenophon, an exceptional writer.

η δοκεί σοι οδόν τε είναι έτι έκείνην την πόλιν είναι καὶ μη ἀνατετράφθαι, έν η αι γενόμεναι δίκαι μηδὲν ἰσχύουσιν; PLAT, Crit, xi. 50 B,

Do you really think it possible for a state to continue to exist and not be overthrown, in which verdicts which have been passed have no avail?

This may be regarded as regular, the $\mu\dot{\eta}$ coming after of $\tau\epsilon$ and not after $\delta\kappa\kappa\hat{\epsilon}$.

Riddell, Digest 135, collects some extreme Platonic instances.

§ 307. Miscellaneous Instances showing the power of $o\hat{v}$ to make a downright Negative Statement. Cf. the use of $o\hat{v}$ in Emphasis, p. 352.

This power is very marked in contrasts:

ξυμβαίνει γὰρ οὐ τὰ μέν, τὰ δ' οὔ. AESCH. Pers. 800. It is not that some things are happening, while others are not (i.e. all things are being fulfilled).

ην ὁ ποταμὸς δάσυς δένδρεσι παχέσι μὲν οὔ, πυκνοῖς δέ. ΧΕΝ. Αn. iv. 8. 2.

The river was overgrown with trees which, though not big, were numerous.

ἀπώλετο δ' οὐχί, ἀλλ' ἐλύθη. Lys. vi. 27. He was not condemned to death, but acquitted. Bigitized by Microsoft®

Of course the construction may change οὐ to μή: σκοπεῖτε μὴ τοῦτο, εἰ τάλαντον ἔδωκε, ἀλλὰ τὴν προθυμίαν. DEM. 470. 26,

Consider not this point, whether he gave a talent, but his will.

Yet even in spite of the construction où may assert itself:

εἰ γνωσθησόμεθα ξυνελθόντες μέν, ἀμύνεσθαι δὲ οὺ

ΤΠUC. i. 124.

τολμώντες. Thuc. 1. 124. If we shall be known to have met together, and yet not to be

yearturing to protect ourselves.

This power of ov to assert itself under difficulties is seen very strikingly in some passages:

μὴ ὄ γε οὐ χρὴ ποίει. Plat. Euthyd. 307 B.

Don't do what is actually wrong.

The generic $\mu\dot{\eta}$ might be expected:

έγω γάρ, εἰ μὲν μὴ ἄμην ἥξειν παρὰ θεούς, ἠδίκουν ἄν οὐκ ἀγανακτῶν τῷ θανάτῳ. Plat. Phaed. viii. 63 B.

i.e. I should be acting wrongly in not grieving, as in reality I do grieve.

In spite of the Conditional structure:

Cf. Soph. O. T. 551, εἰ νομίζεις οὐχ ὑφέξειν.

§ 308. Note on $\mu\dot{\eta}$, $\mu\dot{\eta}$ où, with the Infinitive and Participle.

1. M $\acute{\eta}$ with the Infinitive. This construction is perfectly natural and intelligible. Indeed the Infinitive without it, though allowable in Greek as in English, may be somewhat ambiguous. Thus $\emph{\'ov}$ $\emph{\'ove\'e\'e}$ $\emph{\'e}\rho \emph{\'e}v \emph{\'e}\acute{\mu} \emph{\'e}\nu$ would in itself mean whom I rescued for dying. The addition of $\emph{\'e}\acute{\eta}$ makes it perfectly clear that the net result is negative.

The negative was thus used in our earlier English:

You may deny that you were not the cause.

SHAKSPERE, Rich. III. 1. 3.

First you denied you had in him no right.

Comedy of Errors, iv. 2.

Precisely parallel in Greek is the use of οὐ with ὅτι and the Indicative after verbs of denying: ἀντέλεγον ὅτι οὐκ ἐγχωροίη, ΧΕΝ. Hell. ii. 3. 16; ἀρνηθῆναι ὡς οὐκ ἀπέδωκε, Lys. iv. 1.

¹ The double negative is not unknown even in Ciceronian Latin. Cf. Cic. De Offic. iii. 102, 118.

2. Mè où with Infinitive. Here it is much more difficult to see the force of each negative, especially as in translating the Greek into English we make no difference between $\mu \tilde{\eta}$ and $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ov. Thus we translate $\ddot{o}\sigma \iota o \nu \mu \dot{\eta} \beta o \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$, it is pious not to help; οὐχ ὅσιον μὴ οὐ βοηθεῖν, it is impious not to help. we may be sure that the force of each negative was, originally at least, felt in Greek. Observe that the double negative is only used with the Infinitive when there is a negative, actual or virtual, in the principal clause. Thus there is an additional negative over and above that in the preceding construction $(\mu \dot{\eta})$ with Infinitive). Just as $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with the Infinitive repeats and sums up the net negative result of the principal verb, so when the principal clause is negative, this additional negative is repeated with the Infinitive, and sums up the effect of the principal clause.1

That this was not always felt to be necessary is shown by

the examples under B. C. D.

3. Mì où with Participle must be explained in the same way. E.g. in Soph. O. T. 12, (1) Affirmatively: I should be kindly—(net result)—in refusing pity (μ) κατοικτείρων). (2) Negatively: I should be unkindly—(net result)—in not refusing pity (μη οὐ κατοικτείρων). The Participial construction is required either because, as in the three instances from SOPHOCLES, the Participle agrees with the subject of the principal sentence, or because (as in HEROD. vii. 106) it is in the Genitive Absolute. The Participle denotes circumstance generally, and more specially condition, restriction, etc., which are only kinds of circumstance.

Wünder (Excursus to SOPH. O. T. 12, 13), while pointing out the above reason for the Participle, denies that it is conditional, although in O. T. 221 he translates μὴ οὐκ ἔχων, unless I had. In SOPH. O. T. 12, 13, he says that with an impersonal construction we might write δεινὸν αν είη or αἰσχύνη αν μοι είη μη οὐ κατοικτείρειν. It is true that we might thus give the sense of this one passage, but we could not so analyse the other passages, while the above explanation seems to suit this as well as the others.

¹ Mr A. Sidgwick communicates the following note: Just as in κωλόω μὴ δρᾶν the negatived infinitive gives the total effect of hindrance, viz. : the prevented act, so in οὐ κωλύω μὴ οὐ δρῶν the doubly negatived infinitive gives the total effect, viz. the not prevented act.

To this superfluous $\mu\dot{\eta}$ after verbs of hindering, etc., the French offers an exact parallel: Empêchez qu'il ne se mêle d'aucune affaire. Compare too the redundant ne after comparatives:-Ces fruits sont meilleurs que je ne le croyais. With verbs of doubting, denying, etc., used positively, the French idiom follows the English:—je doute qu'il soit ainsi; but with such verbs used negatively the French ne corresponds to the Greek μη ον:—je n'ai jamais nié qu'il ne soit ainsi.

§ 309. Note on $\mu\dot{\eta}$ and $\mu\dot{\eta}$ où with the Subjunctive.

The Attic construction is chiefly Platonic and Aristotelian (cf. Eth. N. x. 9. 6, Pol. iv. 4. 11, ii. 2. 8). But the construction is as old as Homer, e.g. Od. v. 467, μή με στίβη τε κακή καὶ θηλυς έκρση δαμάση, Perchance cruel rime and soft dew shall blast me. We have here the original deprecatory force of $\mu \dot{\eta}$, let it not. In a writer like Plato this $\mu \hat{\eta}$ has become simply a suggestion put politely, and with a delicate irony. Closely allied to this is the interrogative use of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ in the example quoted from the Protagoras (312 A). We need not call the construction elliptical any more than μη γένοιτο need be called elliptical. When a Principal Verb (such as ὁρῶ) is expressed, the thought is more logically and fully stated, and the clause with un has become subordinate: but the two constructions are parallel and synonymous.

 $M\dot{\eta}$ où after a Principal Verb is also found in Homer, Π . xv. 164, φραζέσθω μὴ μ' οὐδὲ κρατερός περ ἐὼν ἐπιόντα ταλάσση μειναι, Let him look to it whether, stout though he be, he endure not to await my coming. Or is strictly negative or privative here, as in the Attic examples. Thus in the construction of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ and un ov both particles exert their legitimate force. Mý ov with

the Subjunctive occurs also in HEROD, vi. 9.

Note on $o\dot{v} \mu \dot{\eta}$ with the Subjunctive and § 310. the Future Indicative.

Both constructions are post-Homeric. It is impossible to trace them with historical certainty, and therefore any explanation suggested must be theoretical.

1. οὐ μή with the Subjunctive. This construction is found both in Prose (Herodotus, Xenophon, Isaeus, Plato, Demosthenes), and in Verse (Aeschylus, Sophocles, Euripides, Aristophanes).

Both ov and $\mu\dot{\eta}$ appear to exert their proper force. The construction seems to be the negative of $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with the Subjunctive. (See note on that construction, § 309.) Thus $\mu\dot{\eta}$ $\pi i\theta\eta\tau a\iota$ would mean far be it that he obey; ov negatives this apprehension: it is not a case of such surmise, there is no likelihood of his obeying, he will not obey. Such a construction in the second person is tantamount to a prohibition, as in the example from the Clouds of Aristophanes. If this view is correct, we need no more understand an ellipse of $\delta\epsilon$ or $\delta\epsilon$ or $\delta\epsilon$ or $\delta\epsilon$ between the ov and the $\mu\dot{\eta}$ here than in $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with the Subjunctive.

οὐ δέος, οὐ δεινόν fully expressed occur often enough (HDT. i. 84; PLAT. Apol. ch. xvi. 28 B, Phaed. 84 B, Rep. 465 B; XEN.

Mem. ii. 1. 25; ARIST. Ecc. 650).

2. où µ½ with the Future Indicative is far more difficult. In the first place the construction is almost wholly poetical. It occurs in HDT. iii. 162, Plato, Aeschines, as a rare idiom in each. It is very common in Sophocles, Euripides, Aristophanes.

(a) Is the phrase Interrogative ? 1

In favour of $o\dot{v}$ $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with 2d person of the Future being interrogative are the following considerations: A positive command is commonly expressed by $o\dot{v}$ interrogative with the Future, e.g. ARIST. Lys. 459, $o\dot{v}\chi \, \tilde{\epsilon}\lambda \, \hat{\xi}\epsilon\tau'$, $o\dot{v}\pi ov\dot{\eta}\sigma\epsilon\tau'$, $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$.; followed by imperatives $\pi\alpha\dot{v}\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon$, $\kappa.\tau.\lambda$. Sometimes $o\dot{v}$ $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with the Future (expressing a negative command) appears side by side with $o\dot{v}$ and the Future (expressing a positive command). The juxtaposition is very striking in ARIST. Ran. 200-2, a passage which shows that in the time of Aristophanes the two idioms could be used as exact opposites.

Professor Goodwin's objection to the Future being interrogative, derived from the single passage in the Clouds (296), where an Imperative and not a Future is joined by ἀλλά to οὖ μή with a Subjunctive (v. l. a Future), is not convincing. The inference (supposing that the Future is the true reading) need only be that οὖ μή with the Future had become a stereotyped Imperative. And in Arist. Lys. 459 (above), Soph. Ant. 885 we have the Imperative immediately following οὖ with the Future used interrogatively, though not joined by a conjunction to it.

01011 00 10.

¹ Mr. A. Sidgwick writes: "It is to me quite clear that οὐ μή with the Future is usually interrogative; when not, it is a form of οὐ μή with the Subjunctive."

Digitized by Microsoft®

Against the phrase being interrogative may be urged that such a theory assigns a different origin not only to où $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with the 2d person of the Future from où $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with Subjunctive, but also from où $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with the 1st and 3d persons of Future. This difficulty is increased by the fact that où $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with the 2d person of the Future may, though rarely, express a negative statement, like où $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with Subjunctive.

If, in spite of this, the Interrogative theory is maintained, we should have to assign a different origin to this special idiom; doubtless a serious but not perhaps a fatal objection, for the evolution of popular idioms is as manifold as it is

obscure.

(b) $O\mathring{v}$ and $ο\mathring{v}$ $μ\mathring{\eta}$ followed by καί, ἀλλά, καὶ $μ\mathring{\eta}$, μηδέ:

If où $\mu\dot{\eta}$ is interrogative the explanation is simple. Où throws its force over each connected clause which follows. The simplest case is SOPH. Tr. 978, where $\kappa a\dot{\imath}$ follows. The most complex is Eur. Bacch. 343, where the process would be où $\mu\dot{\eta}$ $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\sigma\dot{\sigma}\epsilon\iota s$;—où $\beta a\kappa\chi\epsilon\dot{\iota}\sigma\epsilon\iota s$; (joined by $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$)—où $\mu\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\xi}\sigma\dot{\tau}$. Will you not avoid bringing near? Will you not play the bacchanal? and will you not avoid viping off?

If οὐ μή is not interrogative each subsequent clause will have to be differently explained. Eur. Bacch. 343 would run thus—Οὐ μὴ προσοίσεις, you shall not bring near; βακχεύσεις δέ, but you shall play the bacchanal (like πρὸς ταῦτα πράξεις, Soph. O.C. 956); μὴ ἐξομόρξει could only be explained on the assumption of μή with the Future being prohibitive, a con-

struction which has yet to be established.

The interrogative theory of ov $\mu\dot{\eta}$ finds decided support here, not only from the extreme abruptness of each clause thus made independent, but from the grammatical difficulty

thus occasioned.

(c) Professor Goodwin (Moods and Tenses, § 89) considers that in où $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with the Future, où is added (not interrogatively) to $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with the Future Indicative used as a Prohibition. But (1) $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with the Future Indicative thus used is a construction of extreme rarity, if it exists at all. Some of the instances quoted (Moods and Tenses, § 25, Note 5 (b)), e.g. Soph. Ai. 572, are probably not to the point, and in others, assuming the Future Indicative to be the correct reading, a different explanation seems possible. (2) Assuming the existence of $\mu\dot{\eta}$ with the Future Indicative as a Prohibition, it is

difficult to see how a Prohibition can be got out of οὐ μή with the Future as a statement. An analysis of the phrase of (you shall not) μή ποιήσεις (don't do) would land us in a meaning precisely opposite to that required. On the other hand, we get the right meaning if the phrase is interrogative, ov, won't you, μή ποιήσεις; abstain from doing?

In or un with the Subjunctive Professor Goodwin does not attempt to account for the μή. He considers the Subjunctive as "a relic of the common Homeric Subjunctive used as a

weak Future."

(d) Mr. Riddell (Digest of Platonic Idioms, p. 177) explains the double use of the negative on the principle of "simultaneity of force;" i.e. both particles, like a double-barrelled gun, concentrate their fire on one verb. It is quite true that in course of time the two particles formed one strong reduplicated negative, their origin being quite lost sight of. Such cases as SOPH. Phil. 611, Eur. Phoen. 1590, clearly show this. Still the question remains, How is it that ov and μή, differing as they do, combine their force?

It is μή which requires explanation, not où. Où on any

theory exerts its simple contradictory force.

If οὐ μή with the Future is interrogative, οὐ μὴ ποιήσεις; must mean, Won't you abstain from or avoid doing? It is always objected that this explanation gives $\mu \dot{\eta}$ the privative force of ου. Not so, for μη ποιήσεις need not represent a privative ου ποιῶ, but rather a deprecated future act. The use of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with the Future Indicative would help us to understand how the idiom might arise. Now the independent use of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with the Future is extremely uncommon. It occurs, rarely, in questions (e.g. Plat. Rep. 405 A., αρα μή τι μεῖζον εξεις λαβεῖν τεκμήριον). Μή interrogative is simply $\mu\eta$ denoting an apprehension. It occurs after oaths and similar assertions (T. x. 330, Arist. Ecc. 991). But the Future Indicative, graphically substituted for the Subjunctive, is fairly common (φοβουμαι μη ευρήσομεν, Plat. Phileb. 13, and the Future Indicative is joined co-ordinately to the Subjunctive in several places (e.g. AESCH. Pers. 124; SOPH. El. 43; cf. Arist. Ecc. 495).

If οὐ μή is not interrogative then it will be a more vivid and graphic substitution of ou un for the Subjunctive.

process would be of $\mu \hat{\eta}$ poingns, it is not the case (of) $\mu \hat{\eta}$ poingns (of apprehending that you may do), or of $\mu \hat{\eta}$ poings (that you really will do). This readily passes into a command (cf. Eur. Med. 1320, $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\iota}$ d' of $\psi \alpha \acute{\iota} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ point, thou shalt not touch, i.e. touch not).

A list of passages in which où $\mu\dot{\eta}$ occurs with the Future is given for reference.

HDT. iii. 162 (οὐ μὴ ἀναβλαστήσει). AESCHIN. de Cor. 79. 12. XEN. Hell. i. 6. 32. ISAEUS, viii. 24.

Plat. Symp. 175 A. (οὔκουν καὶ μή).

SOPH. (a.) οὐ μή, 1st or 3d person:

El. 1052; O. C. 177; Phil. 611 (Optative in Obliqua).

(b.) où $\mu\eta$, 2d person:

O. T. 637 (οὐ . . . καὶ μη); O. C. 847 (not a prohibition); Ai. 75 (οὐ . . . μηδέ); Trach. 978 (οὐ μή . . . καί); 1183 (οὐ . . . μηδέ).

EURIPID. Hipp. 213; Ib. 496 (οὐχί... καὶ μή); Ib. 1601 (οὐ μή... μηδέ); Androm. 797; Supp. 1066; Bacch. 342 (οὐ μή... δέ... μηδέ).

ARISTOPH. Ran. 202 (οὐ μή ... ἀλλά); Ib. 298 (οὐ μή ... μηδέ); Ib. 462 (οὐ μὴ ... ἀλλά); Ach. 166; Vesp. 397; Nub. 296, 367, 505 (the subjunctive of the MSS. in these passages has been changed by editors to the future indicative).

CHAPTER III.

ORATIO OBLIQUA.

Introductory.

§ 311. By Oratio Recta is meant the words or thoughts of a person given at first-hand, as from his own lips, e.g.—

δώσω ἄ ἔχω. I will give what I have.

By Oratio Obliqua is meant the words or thoughts of a person given at second-hand by some one else, e.g.—

ἔφη δώσειν ἃ ἔχοι. or ἔλεγεν ὅτι (ὡς) δώσοι ἃ ἔχοι. He said he would give what he had.

If the words are reported in the following way:-

 $\tilde{\epsilon}$ λεγεν ὅτι (ώs) δώσω ἃ $\tilde{\epsilon}$ χω. He said, "I will give what I have,"

we have no Obliqua at all: $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\nu$ $\tilde{\sigma}\tau\iota$ introduces the original words just as in English we put them in inverted commas, as a quotation in fact.

e.g. προσελθόντες δέ μοι τῆ ὑστεραία Μέλητος καὶ Εὐφίλητος ἔλεγον ὅτι, γεγένηται, ϐ ἀΑνδοκίδη, καὶ πέπρακται ἡμῖν ταῦτα. ΑΝDOK. de Myst. 63.

Next day Meletus and Euphiletus came to me and said, "It has taken place, Andokides, we have done it."

But the reporter may give the words thus:-

 $\ddot{\epsilon}\phi\eta$ δώσειν \ddot{a} $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\iota$. $\ddot{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\nu$ ὅτι ($\dot{\omega}$ s) δώσει \ddot{a} $\ddot{\epsilon}\chi\epsilon\iota$.

Here we have a kind of Obliqua extremely common in Greek, and often alternating in the same paragraph with

the Obliqua given above. From a love of what is graphic and vivid the Greeks keep the original mood while only changing the person. Or we may say that they keep the mood which would be used if the Obliqua were in Primary Sequence:

e.g., λέγει ὅτι δώσει ἄ ἔχει.

Observe then that in Oratio Obliqua-

- 1. The person, whatever it was in the Recta, becomes the 3d in the Obliqua.¹
- 2. The tense of the Recta never changes. If it did, the Obliqua would not represent faithfully the time and act of the Recta.
 - 3. The Mood may either
 - (a.) be changed to the Optative in the Obliqua (of Historic Sequence),
 - (b.) be retained as it was in the Recta, or in Primary Sequence.

By Oratio Obliqua is here meant reported speech in Historic Sequence. Oratio Obliqua in Primary Sequence involves (in Greek) no change of Mood in the Adverbial and Relative Sentences, and therefore can at once be dismissed with one brief example by way of illustration.

Oratio Recta:

διαμενῶ τως ἄν ἐπανέλθωσιν οὕς πέμπω. I will remain until they return whom I am sending.

Oratio Obliqua:

φησι $\begin{cases} \delta \iota \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu \\ \delta \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \ \delta \tau \iota \ (\dot{\omega} s) \end{cases} \delta \iota \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu \epsilon \omega s \ \ddot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \pi \alpha \nu \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega \sigma \iota \nu \ o \tilde{\upsilon} s \ \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota . \end{cases}$

He says that he will remain, until they return, whom he is sending.

νομίζω, ἂν τοῦτ' ἀκριβῶς μάθητε, μᾶλλον ὑμᾶς τούτοις μὲν ἀπιστήσειν, ἐμοὶ δὲ βοηθήσειν. DEM. Onet. 870. 24.

I consider that, if you learn the truth of this, you will be more likely to distrust them, and help me.

^{&#}x27;Unless the speaker quotes his own words, or those of a person whom he is addressing, e.g. "I told you that I knew nothing of the matter:" "You stated that you would lend me ten pounds."

Sub-direct and Sub-oblique.

When Recta is changed to Obliqua, the Principal Sentence (i.e. the Substantival Sentence, whether Oblique Statement, Question, or Petition), becomes itself subordinate to the reporter's verb (He said, asked, requested). Such a Sentence is technically called Sub-direct, i.e. subordinate to Recta.

What were the subordinate sentences of the Recta, i.e. Adverbial or Relative Sentences, become subordinate to a Principal Sentence which itself is subordinate. They are now technically called Sub-oblique, i.e. subordinate to an Oblique clause. For brevity's sake these terms, Sub-direct and Sub-oblique (i.e. Adverbial and Relative Sentences in Oratio Obliqua), will be used in this chapter.

The terms have been explained in the Introductory Chapter,

p. 11.

§ 312. Rules for Sub-direct Clauses in Oratio Obliqua.

Such clauses are either (1) Oblique Statements with $\delta\tau\iota$ and δs , or Oblique Questions. Oblique Petitions take an Infinitive, so that their construction is just like an Oblique Statement in the Infinitive after $\phi\eta\mu\iota$. Sentences with $\delta\tau\omega$ s and $\delta\tau\omega$ s $\mu\eta$ (with Future Indicative or Subjunctive) follow the construction of the Oblique Question. The Oblique Statement in the Participle presents no difficulty.

Co-ordinate Sentences follow the construction of those to

which they are joined.

A. In Primary Sequence, i.e. when the Principal Sentence takes a Primary tense, the Mood and Tense of the Sub-direct Sentence undergo no change.

B. In Historic Sequence the Sub-direct Sentence may either

(1.) be just what it was in Primary Sequence, undergoing no change—(this is called the Graphic Construction)—or,

(2.) the Verb may be changed to the same tense of

the Optative.

But N.B. The Imperfect and Pluperfect Indicative must remain in the Indicative, and not Digitized by Microsoft®

be changed to the Optative. If they were changed we could not distinguish them from Present and Perfect Optatives. Historic Tenses of the Indicative with $a\nu$ must also remain in the Indicative.

A few instances occur where the Present Optative represents an Imperfect Indicative of the Recta. In such cases however no ambiguity exists.

τὰ πεπραγμένα διηγοῦντο, ὅτι αὐτοὶ μὲν πλέοιεν τὴν δὲ ἀναίρεσιν τῶν ναυαγῶν προστάξαιεν. XEN. Hell. i. 7. 5. They were describing the facts, explaining that they themselves were sailing (against the enemy), and that they had commissioned (proper persons) to pick up the shipwrecked seamen.

Recta, αὐτοὶ ἐπλέομεν καὶ προσετάξαμεν. Cf. vii. 1. 38, ἐθέλοι, βουλεύοιτο.

- Obs. 1. The Tense of an Infinitive in a Sub-direct Clause is the same as in the Recta, e.g. $\epsilon\gamma\rho\alpha\psi\alpha$, I wrote; $\epsilon\phi\eta$ $\gamma\rho\delta\psi\alpha$, he said that he had written; $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon$, speak; $\epsilon\kappa\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\nu\epsilon\nu$ avoid $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\nu$, he was ordering him to speak. The time of the Infinitive Tense may therefore be instantly discovered by turning it back to the Recta. So with the time of a Participle.
- Obs. 2. No verb takes $\tilde{a}\nu$ because of its conversion from Recta to Obliqua. If in the Obliqua a Finite Verb, Infinitive, or Participle takes $\tilde{a}\nu$, it is because it had an $\tilde{a}\nu$ in the Recta. The tables of converted Conditional Sentences will show this.

§ 313. Types of Sub-direct Clauses in Historic Sequence.

A. I. ORIGINAL RECTA (STATEMENT).

- 1. ταῦτα μανθάνω.
- 2. ταθτα μαθήσομαι.
- ταῦτα μεμάθηκα.
 ταῦτα ἐμάνθανον.
- 5. ταθτα έμεμαθήκη.
- 6. ταθτα έμαθον.

Digitized by Microsoft®

II. CONVERTED TO OBLIQUA IN HISTORIC SEQUENCE.

Principal. ἔλεξεν ὅτι, ὡς.

Sub-direct. 1. ταθτα μανθάνει, Graphic.

ταῦτα μανθάνοι. Strict Sequence.

2. ταθτα μαθήσεται. Graphic. ταθτα μαθήσοιτο. Strict Sequence.

3. ταῦτα μεμάθηκε. Graphic. ταῦτα μεμαθηκώς εἴη. Strict Sequence.

4. ταῦτα ἐμάνθανε.

5. ταθτα έμεμαθήκει.

6. ταθτα έμαθε. Graphic. ταῦτα μάθοι. Strict Sequence.

B. I. ORIGINAL RECTA (QUESTION).

1. τί μανθάνεις;

2. τί μαθήσει;

3. τί μεμάθηκας: 4. τί ἐμάνθανες;

5. τί έμεμαθήκεις:

τί ἔμαθες ;

II. Converted to Obliqua.

ήρετο.	1. ὅτι or τί.	μανθάνει. Graphic.
		μανθάνοι. Strict Sequence.
	2. ,,	μαθήσεται. Graphic.
	•	μαθήσοιτο. Strict Sequence.
	3. ,,	μεμάθηκε. Graphic.
		μεμαθηκώς εἴη. Strict Sequence.
	4. ,,	<i>ϵμάνθανϵ</i> .
	5. ,,	ἐ μεμαθήκει.
	6. ,,	$\ddot{\epsilon}\mu a \theta \epsilon$. Graphic.
		μάθοι. Strict Sequence.

Note. The Aorist Indicative is preferable to the Optative whenever it avoids ambiguity. Thus οὐκ εἶχον ὅτι δράσειαν might mean either they did not know what to do (Recta, τί δράσωμεν; a deliberative Subjunctive), or, they did not know what they had done (Recta, τί ἐδράσαμεν;). Almost always the first construction is intended.

Digitized by Microsoft®

C. Similarly with $\delta\pi\omega_s$, $\delta\pi\omega_s \mu\dot{\eta}$ (a much rarer construction after verbs of commanding, etc., than the Infinitive).

Recta: $\mathring{\sigma}\pi\omega_s \mu \mathring{\eta} \mathring{\epsilon}\sigma\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon \mathring{a}v \mathring{a}\xi_{ioi} \mathring{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon v\theta\epsilon\rho ias.$ See that you be not unworthy of freedom.

παρηγγείλεν ὅπως μὴ ἔσοιντο \ Strict Sequence.

D. With Deliberative Questions.

Recta, ποῖ φύγω; Obliqua, ἠπόρει ποῖ (ὅποι) φύγη \ Graphic. φύγοι \ Strict Sequence.

§ 314. Rules for Sub-oblique Clauses in the Oratio Obliqua.

A. In Primary Sequence they undergo no change of Mood or Tense in passing from the Recta.

- B. In Historic Sequence:
 - By the graphic construction they undergo no change, continuing to be what they were in Primary Sequence.
 - 2. The verb is changed to the same tense in the Optative, in Strict Sequence.

But N.B. The Imperfect, Pluperfect, and Aorist Indicative must remain in the Indicative and not be changed to the Optative. Exceptions will be noticed further on.

§ 315. Note to accompany the following Tables.

The construction of Sub-oblique as well as Sub-direct Clauses is shown in Conditional Sentences converted from the Recta to the Obliqua. The Recta will be found by referring to Conditional Sentences (page 198), and need not be repeated here. The Apodosis is the Principal Sentence in the Recta and the Sub-direct in the Obliqua. The Protasis is the Sub-direct in the Recta, and the Sub-oblique in the Obliqua. The Protasis may be taken as the type of any Adverbial Sub-oblique Clause by substituting $\hat{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\iota\delta\eta$, $\delta\tau\epsilon$, $\tilde{\epsilon}\omega$ s, $\pi\rho\acute{\iota}\nu$, etc., for $\epsilon \acute{\iota}$ or $\hat{\epsilon}\acute{\iota}\omega$. It may equally well stand as the type of any Relative Sub-oblique Clause, but for the sake of completeness a Relative Conditional Table is given converted to the Obliqua.

Observe that in the Sub-oblique Clauses, Adverbial or Relative, the Imperfect, Pluperfect, and Aorist Indicative of the Recta are not converted to the Optative but continue in the Indicative.

The conversion of General Suppositions may be thus shown:

Recta:

ην έγγὺς ἔλθη θάνατος οὐδεὶς βούλεται θνήσκειν. ἀλλ' εἴ τι μὴ φέροιμεν ἄτρυνεν φέρειν.

Obliqua after ην έλθη—βούλεται—Graphic,

«λεξε ὅτι εἰ «λθοι—βούλοιτο.

εἴ τί μὴ φέροιεν--- ἄτρυνεν.

έφη

ην ἔλθη—οὐδένα βούλεσθαι—Graphic.

εὶ ἔλθοι-οὐδένα βούλεσθαι.

εί τι μη φέροιεν-ότρύνειν φέρειν.

He said that, if they were not fetching anything, he was ordering them to fetch it.

§ 316. Types of Oratio Obliqua, showing Sub-direct and Sub-oblique Clauses in the Obliqua. The Protasis is the Sub-oblique, the Apodosis the Sub-direct Clause.

If you do this you are doing wrong becomes, when reported by another person, He said that if he did it he was doing wrong.

I. With λέγω ὅτι, and a finite mood:

1. Primary Sequence:

Sub-oblique (the Protasis). Sub-direct (the Apodosis). ί ταῦτα ποιεῖ άδικεῖ εί ταυτα ποιεί
πεποίηκε
εἰ ταῦτα ἐποίει
· ἐποίησε
ἐὰν (ἤν) ταῦτα ποίη
ποίηση
εἰ ταῦτα ποιοίη οτ
ποιήσειε
εἰ ταῦτα ποιόσει
εἰ ταῦτα ἐποίεο ήδίκει ήδίκησε άδικήσει άδικοίη ἄν or άδικήσειεν ἄν άδικήσει ηδίκει ἄν ήδίκησεν ἄν εἰ ταῦτα ἐποίησε Digitized by Microsoft®

2. HISTORIC SEQUENCE:

2. IIIDIOINIO	Ondonion.	
	[εἰ ταῦτα ποιοίη	άδικοίη
	εί ταθτα έποίει	ηδίκει
	<i>ἐποίησε</i>	άδικήσειε
	εἰ ταῦτα ποιοίη	άδικήσοι
W) 6. # . /5.\	ποιήσειε	
ἔλεξε ὅτι (ὡς)	ι εί ταθτα ποιοίη	άδικοίη ἄν
	ποιήσειε	άδικήσειεν ἄν
	εί ταῦτα ποιήσοι	άδική σο ι
	εί ταῦτα ἐποίει	ηδίκει ἄν
	εὶ ταῦτα ἐποίησε	ήδίκησεν ἄν

In the graphic construction the construction after $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\tilde{\xi}\epsilon$ $\tilde{\sigma}\tau\iota$ will be just the same as after $\lambda\epsilon\gamma\epsilon\iota$ $\tilde{\sigma}\tau\iota$. The Future and Perfect Indicative (graphic) are commoner than their corresponding Optatives.

II. With $\phi \eta \mu i$ and an Infinitive:

1. PRIMARY SEQUENCE:

II I IVIIII		W1400	•	
	(A .	PRESENT	εί ταῦτα ποιεῖς	ίδικεῖν
I.	В.	PAST	εἰ ταῦτα ξέποίεις ξε ἐποίησας ξ ἐάν ταῦτα ξποίης ποιήσης	ίδικεῖν ίδικησαι
φημί or $ σε$	C.	Future a .	έάν ταῦτα { ποίης κοιήσης	ίδικήσει ν
·		b.	$\epsilon i \tau a \hat{v} \tau a \begin{cases} \pi o i o i \eta s & o \\ \pi o i \eta \sigma \epsilon i a s & o \end{cases}$	ίδικεῖν ἄν ιδικῆσαι ἄν ιδικήσειν
II.	A . B.	PRESENT PAST	εί ταθτα έποίεις δ	ιδικείν ἄν ιδικείν αν ιδικήσαι ἄν

Note. An Imperative in Apodosis would of course depend on a Verb of commanding (Indirect Petition), e.g. $\kappa \acute{o}\pi\tau \epsilon \ \tau \grave{\eta}\nu$ $\theta \acute{\nu}\rho a\nu$, knock at the door; $\epsilon \acute{l}\pi\epsilon \ \kappa \acute{o}\pi\tau\epsilon\iota\nu \ \tau \grave{\eta}\nu \ \theta \acute{\nu}\rho a\nu$, he told him to knock at the door.

2. STRICT HISTORIC SEQUENCE:

		εί ταθτα ποιοίης	άδικεῖν
I.		εἰ ταῦτα { ἐποίεις ἐποίησας	άδικεῖν άδικῆσα ι
		. εὶ ταῦτα ξποιοίης ποιήσειας	άδικήσ <i>ειν</i>
	<i>b</i>	. εἰ ταῦτα ποιήσειας	άδικεῖν ἄν άδικῆσαι ἄν
	(c	εί ταῦτα ποιήσοις	άδικήσειν
	Digitize	d by Microsoft®	·

II. { A. PRESENT εἰ ταῦτα ἐποίεις ἀδικεῖν ἄν
 B. PAST εἰ ταῦτα ἐποίησας ἀδικῆσαι ἄν

Note. Et with the Optative in the Strict Historic Obliqua stands for three distinct forms. Thus $\epsilon i \pi \sigma \iota \iota \iota \iota \eta_s$ may represent (a) $\epsilon i \pi \sigma \iota \iota \iota \iota \iota_s$, a present condition; (b) $\epsilon \iota \iota \iota \iota_s$, an ordinary future condition; (c) $\epsilon \iota \iota \iota_s$ $\iota \iota_s$, a less graphic future condition.

§ 317. The Apodosis in the Participle.

1. PRIMARY SEQUENCE.

οίδά σε, εἰ ταῦτα ποιεῖς, ἀδικοῦντα, and so on, the Participle in each case being in the same tense as the corresponding Infinitive.

2. HISTORIC SEQUENCE.

ήδη σε, εί ταῦτα ποιοίης, άδικοῦντα, and so on.

Note. εἰ ταῦτα ποιῶ ἀδικῶ becomes οἶδα εἰ ταῦτα ποιῶ ἀδικῶν.

§ 318. A Relative Sentence in the Sub-oblique Clause.

Recta.

å ἔχει δίδωσι
 å εἶχε οτ ἔσχε ἐδίδου οτ ἔδωκε
 å ἔχοι διδοίη ἄν
 å ἔξει δώσει
 å εἶχεν ἐδίδου ἄν
 å ἔσχεν ἔδωκεν ἄν

Note. Observe that \mathring{a} and $\mathring{\epsilon}$ χ_{η} becomes in the Obliqua \mathring{a} $\mathring{\epsilon}$ χ_{0} : whereas \mathring{a} $\mathring{\epsilon}$ $\mathring{\epsilon}\chi_{\varepsilon}$ remains \mathring{a} $\mathring{\epsilon}$ $\mathring{\epsilon}\chi_{\varepsilon}$, and is not converted into \mathring{a} $\mathring{\epsilon}\chi_{0}\iota$. \mathring{a} $\mathring{\epsilon}\chi_{0}\iota$ represents three forms, \mathring{a} $\mathring{\epsilon}\chi_{\varepsilon}\iota$, \mathring{a} $\mathring{a}\nu$ $\mathring{\epsilon}\chi_{\eta}$, \mathring{a} $\mathring{\epsilon}\chi_{0}\iota$, but the Apodosis is in each case sufficient to prevent ambiguity. If, however, \mathring{a} $\mathring{\epsilon}\mathring{\epsilon}\chi_{\varepsilon}$ $\mathring{\epsilon}\mathring{\delta}\mathring{\delta}\delta v$ were changed to \mathring{a} $\mathring{\epsilon}\chi_{0}\iota$ $\mathring{\delta}\iota\delta o'\eta$ the ambiguity would be Digital by Microsoft®

Obliqua.

Note. After $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\xi\epsilon$ $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\iota$ or $\tilde{\omega}s$, by the Graphic Construction the clauses would remain unchanged: but the real Obliqua would be as follows:—

ἐλεξε ὅτι (ὡς) ἀ ἔχοι διδοίη ἀ εἶχε οτ ἔσχε ἐδίδου οτ ἔδωκε (οτ, instead of ἔδωκε, δοίη)
 ὰ ἔχοι διδοίη ἄν
 ὰ ἔξοι δώσοι (δώσει)
 ὰ εἶχεν ἐδίδου ἀν
 ὰ ἔχεν ἔδωκε, δοίη)

Note. Observe the retention of the Aorist Indicative, which is not changed to the Optative. If we were to write $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\xi\epsilon$ $\tilde{\epsilon}\tau\iota$ $\delta\omega\sigma\iota\iota$ ($\delta\omega\sigma\epsilon\iota$) \tilde{a} $\lambda\alpha\beta\iota\iota$, or $\tilde{\epsilon}\phi\eta$ $\delta\omega\sigma\epsilon\iota\nu$ \tilde{o} $\tau\iota$ $\lambda\alpha\beta\iota\iota$, we should rightly take this to mean, he said that he would give whatever he took; \tilde{a} $\lambda\alpha\beta\iota\iota$ would represent a Recta \tilde{a} $\tilde{a}\nu$ $\lambda\alpha\beta\iota\iota$, and not \tilde{a} $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\alpha\beta\iota\nu$, what I actually took.

§ 319. Some real Examples analysed.

PRINCIPAL. SUB-DIRECT. SUB-OBLIQUE.

1. ἔφη $\lambda \eta \pi \tau \acute{\epsilon} o \nu \epsilon \emph{l} v a \iota \lambda \pi a \rho a - \lambda \eta \pi \tau \acute{\epsilon} o \nu \epsilon \emph{d} v a \iota \lambda \pi a \rho a - \lambda \eta \pi \tau \acute{\epsilon} o \nu \epsilon \emph{d} \tau \iota \lambda \sigma a c - \lambda \eta \pi \tau \acute{\epsilon} o \nu \iota \acute{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \prime \sigma a c - \lambda \eta \pi \tau \acute{\epsilon} o \nu \iota \acute{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \prime \sigma a c - \lambda \eta \pi \tau \acute{\epsilon} o \nu \iota \acute{\epsilon} \sigma \iota \iota \prime \sigma a c - \lambda \eta \pi \tau \acute{\epsilon} o \nu \iota \acute{\epsilon} \sigma \iota \iota \prime \sigma a c - \lambda \eta \pi \iota \sigma a c - \lambda \eta \pi \iota \sigma a c - \lambda \eta \pi \iota \sigma a c - \lambda \iota \sigma a c - \lambda$

Recta: ληπτέον ἐστὶ παραστάτας εἴ τι μάχης δεήσει.

I must get comrades if there shall be need of a battle.

The Obliqua is partly Graphic, partly strict Historic.

2. ἔφη | οὐδὲν αὐτῷ μέλειν | ἐπειδὴ εἰδείη. | ΕΥS. xii. 74. | Η said | that he cared not | since he knew.

Recta: οὐδέν μοι μέλει ἐπειδή οἶδα.

3. ἐβουλεύοντο ὅπως ἴοιεν | ἐπειδὴ γένοιντο παρὰ | τῷ ποταμῷ.

Adapted from Thuc. vii. 80.

They were con- how they should go when they came to sidering the river.

Recta: $\pi\hat{\omega}$ s ἴωμεν, ἐπειδὰν νενώuε θ a; a Deliberative Question.

PRINCIPAL.	SUB-DIRECT.	Sub-oblique.		
4. ἐσκόπει	πως αὐτῷ ἔσοιτο	οστις θάψοι.		
He was considering.	how he should find one	ISAE. ii. 10. to bury him.		
Recta:	πῶς μοι ἔσται	οστις θάψει;		
5. "Ανυτος έφη	ούχ οἷόν τε εἶναι τὸ μὴ ἀποκτεῖναί με	ρο.		
Anytus said that	it was impossible for you not to sentence me to death	T. Apol. xvii. 29 c. when once I had been brought into this court.		
Recta:	οὖχ οἷόν τέ ἐστιν τὸ μὴ ἀποκτεῖναι Σωκράτη	έπειδη είσηλθε δεῦρο.		
Observe that the Aorist Indicative of the Recta is not changed to the Obliqua.				
6. λέγουσι δὲ	ώς ἐν τῆ γῆ ἀπέθανεν ὁ ἀνὴρ, κάγω λίθον αὐτῷ ἐνέβαλον εἰς τὴν κεφα- λήν,	παράπαν έκ τοῦ		
They say	ANTIPH. that the deceased was murdered ashore, and that I struck him on the head with a stone,	of fact I never left		
Observe here that the Aorist Indicative is kept in the Sub- direct Clauses, and also (of course) in the Sub-oblique Clause.				
7. ἔφη	μέχρι τούτου δεῖν μαν- θάνειν	έως ἴκανός τις γέ- νοιτο, εἴποτε δεήσειε, κ.τ.λ. ΧΕΝ. Μεπ. iv. 7. 2.		
	1	447 7		

Recta: μέχρι τούτου δεῖ μανθάνειν, ἕως ἂν γένηται, ἐάν πότε δεήση.

Digitized by Microsoft®

He said

that it was necessary to go on learning for so long a time until one became capable, if ever it should be necessary, etc.

§ 320. The Infinitive, and $\delta \tau \iota$ (δs) with Finite Moods in the Sub-direct Sentences.

Both these Constructions occur in the Sub-direct Sentence, i.e. in the Principal Sentence of the Original Recta. But the Infinitive is unquestionably the most common, as it is the most natural, simple, and easy mode of expression. Greek writers seem unconsciously to slide into it, even after an Obliqua has been introduced in the first instance by $\delta\tau\iota$ or δs . In consequence of this love for the Infinitive, one or two peculiarities should be observed.

1. An Obliqua (indirect words or thoughts) is often suddenly introduced without any introductory Principal Verb. A Particle is the only warning given, said he, he thought, it was said, or some such expression was in the writer's mind and can be easily supplied. And in such a case it should be noticed that the Predicate in the Nominative accompanies the Infinitive when referring to the Subject of the Infinitive and of the chief Verb.

Latin and English have the same free and natural usage.

(a.) ^{*}Αγις τοὺς πρέσβεις ἐς Λακεδαίμονα ἐκέλευσεν ἰέναι· οἰ γὰρ εἶναι κύριος αὐτός, κ.τ.λ. ΧΕΝ. Hell. ii. 2. 12. Agis recommended the envoys to go to Lacedaemon (explaining that) he was not himself competent, etc.¹

(b.) Πλάτων δὲ ὅδε, ὡ ἄνδρες ᾿Αθηναῖοι, καὶ Κρίτων καὶ Κριτόβουλος καὶ ᾿Απολλόδωρος κελεύουσί με τριάκοντα μνῶν τιμήσασθαι, αὐτοὶ δ᾽ ἐγγυᾶσθαι.

Plato here, and Crito, and Critobulus, and Apollodorus, wish me to propose thirty minae (desiring me to say that) they themselves are the securities.

δυοῖν χρησίμοιν οὐ διαμαρτήσεσθαι τὴν πόλιν ἡγούμην πλευσάντων ἡμῶν ἢ γαρ Φίλιππον, ἄ μεν εἴληφει τῆς πόλεως, ἀποδώσειν, τῶν δὲ λοιπῶν ἀφέξεσθαι, ἢ, μὴ ποιοῦντος ταῦτα, ἀπαγγελεῖν ἡμᾶς εὐθέως δεῦρο, κ.τ.λ. DEM. 388.15. One of two useful ends I considered the state would not lose. Either Philip would restore the possessions of the state

¹ English expresses this just as neatly, with still less warning: "Agis recommended the envoys to go to Lacedaemon. He himself was not competent, etc."

which he had taken, and would hold his hand from the remainder, or, if he were not to do this, we should at once bring back word here, etc.

- 2. In the same way, but not nearly so often as an Infinitive, an Optative may be introduced by an explanatory $\gamma \acute{a} \rho$.
 - (a.) ἔλεγον ὅτι παντὸς ἄξια λέγει Σεύθης· χειμὼν γὰρ εἴη,κ.τ.λ. ΧΕΝ. Απ. vii. 313.

They said that what Seuthes said was quite right: for it was winter, etc.

The whole paragraph 13 is very instructive, and should be carefully read. Observe that the Obliqua ends with a direct indicative of the writer, $\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\delta\kappa\epsilon\iota$.

(b.) Or the Optative continues the Obliqua after a preceding Optative with $\delta \tau \iota$ or δs .

άπεκρίναντο αὐτῷ, ὅτι ἀδύνατα σφίσιν εἴη ποιεῖν ἃ προκαλεῖται ἄνευ ᾿Αθηναίων παῖδες γὰρ σφῶν καὶ γυναῖκες παρ᾽ ἐκείνοις εἴησαν δεδιέναι δὲ καί, κ.τ.λ. ΤΗUC. ii. 72.

Obs. That after the Optative the writer slides naturally into the Infinitive δεδιέναι.

They answered him that it was impossible for them to comply with their proposals without consulting the Athenians, for their wives and children were with them; moreover they were afraid, etc.

(c.) In SOPH. Phil. 615, an Optative is still more abruptly introduced.

εὐθέως ὑπέσχετο
τὸν ἄνδρ' 'Αχαιοῖς τόνδε δηλώσειν ἄγων
οἴοιτο μὲν μάλισθ' ἐκούσιον λαβών.
εἰ μὴ θέλοι δ', ἄκοντα· [καὶ τούτων κάρα
τέμνειν ἐφεῖτο τῷ θέλοντι μὴ τυχών].
Straightway he promised

To bring and show this man to the Achaeans. Most like with his consent he thought to take him. Should he refuse, then in his spite, etc.

Out of ὑπέσχετο is to be supplied (ἔλεξεν ὡς) before οἴοιτο. And observe, as in the preceding passage of Xenophon, the Direct Indicative ἐφεῖτο is resorted to, relieving the artificial strain of the Optative. Of. also Plat. Phaed. 95 D, ζψη... ἀπολλύοιτο: Rep. 420 C, ἐναληλυμμένοι εἶεν. With the last Digitized by Microsoft®

instance compare Soph. O. T. 1245, $\delta \phi'$ $\delta \nu$ $\theta \delta \nu \omega$. . . $\lambda \ell \pi \omega$. Here, although in a Relative Sentence, the Optative crops up; it is equal to $\tilde{\epsilon}\lambda\epsilon\xi\epsilon\nu$ $\tilde{\delta}\tau\iota$ $\tilde{\nu}\pi\delta$ $\tau o\tilde{\nu}\tau\omega\nu$ $\theta \delta \nu \omega$, so that the clause is virtually Sub-direct rather than Sub-oblique, being introduced by $\mu\nu\eta\mu\eta\nu$ $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\sigma\nu\omega$. The passage is discussed in Madvig's Syntax, p. 116, note 2, and Goodwin, Moods and Tenses, § 77, 1 (e).

- 3. The Infinitive and $\delta \tau \iota$ ($\dot{\omega} s$) with a Finite Mood alternate in the same Obliqua.
 - οἱ Λακεδαιμόνιοι εἶπον, ὅτι σφίσι μὲν δοκοῖεν ἀδικεῖν οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι, βούλεσθαι δὲ καὶ τοὺς πάντας ξυμμάχους παρακαλέσαντες ψῆφον ἐπαγαγεῖν. ΤΗυς. i. 87.

Obs. ὅτι μεν δοκοῖεν co-ordinate with βούλεσθαί δε.

The Lacedaemonians told them that their own judgment was that the Athenians were in the wrong: they wished, however, to summon all the allies as well as themselves, and to put the matter to the vote.

λέγεις σύ, ὁ πάτερ, ὡς ἐμοὶ δοκεῖ, ὅτι, ὥσπερ οὐδὲ γεωργοῦ ἀργοῦ οὐδὲν ὄφελος, οὕτως οὐδὲ στρατηγοῦ ἀργοῦ οὐδὲν ὄφελος εἶναι. ΧΕΝ. Cyr. i. 6. 18.

You say, father, as I understand you, that, just as an idle husbandman is of no use, so an idle soldier is of no use.

Observe that the verb $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota$ is omitted in the sentence introduced by $\delta\sigma\pi\epsilon\rho$, and the finite construction with $\delta\dot{\tau}\iota$ is not carried out at all.

- 4. And this is the greatest peculiarity. Such is the natural Greek yearning for the Infinitive, that Sub-oblique clauses, both Adverbial and Relative, instead of taking a Finite Mood, are actually followed by an Infinitive. In some cases the writer, after beginning with if, since, when, which, etc., seems mentally to throw in a "said he," "it was said," "it was agreed or thought," and passes to an Infinitive: in others the preceding Infinitive seems to exercise an assimilating influence over the Sub-oblique Verb.
 - (a.) ἔφη δέ, ἐπειδὴ οδ ἐκβῆναι τὴν ψυχήν, πορεύεσθαι μετὰ πολλῶν. Plat. Rep. 614 B.

He said that when his soul had gone out of him (i.e. his body), he was journeying with many.

Several similar instances occur from 614 to end of the book after $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\dot{\phi}$, ous, ore, $\dot{\epsilon}$ is o, où, $\dot{\omega}$ s.

(b.) λέγεται καὶ 'Αλκμαίωνι τῷ 'Αμφιάρεω, ὅτε δὴ ἀλᾶσθαι αὐτὸν μετὰ τὸν φόνον τῆς μητρός, τὸν ᾿Απόλλω ταύτην την γην χρήσαι οἰκείν. ΤΗUC. ii. 102.

There is a tradition moreover that Apollo by oracle directed Alcmaeon, the son of Amphiaraus, when he was a wanderer after the murder of his mother, to inhabit this district.

Strictly $\delta \tau \epsilon \dot{\eta} \lambda \hat{a} \tau o$.

(c.) Γύγην φασὶν ἰδόντα τὸ χάσμα καὶ θαυμάσαντα καταβηναι, καὶ ἰδεῖν ἄλλα τε θαυμαστά καὶ ἵππον χαλκοῦν κοῖλον, θυρίδας ἔχοντα, καθ' ἃς ἐγκύψαντα ίδειν ενόντα νεκρόν, ώς φαίνεσθαι, μείζω η κατ' ανθρωπον τοῦτον δὲ αλλο μὲν ἔχειν οὐδέν, περὶ δὲ τῆ χειρὶ χρυσοῦν δακτύλιον, δν περιελόμενον

έκβηναι. PLAT. Rep. ii. 359 D.

Gyge's, the story runs, seeing the abyss and marvelling at it, descended and saw, among many other marvellous things, a hollow brazen horse, fitted with windows. through which he peeped and saw inside a corpse, so it seemed, of more than human stature. It had nothing but a golden ring on its finger, which Gyges took off, and so made his way out.

καθ' ας είδεν-ώς έφαίνετο-άλλο μεν είχε-ον περιελόμενος έξέβη.

Though Latin has the same construction of the Relative with the Infinitive, yet Cicero in translating this does not avail himself of the identity of idiom (see De Offic. iii. 38).

Note. Latin has, though very rarely, this idiom of the Relative with the Infinitive: the often quoted instance from Liv. xxiv. 3 appears to rest on an incorrect reading, but in Liv. xxx. 42 an undoubted example occurs.

Quorum oratio varia fuit, partim purgantium, quae questi erant missi ad regem legati, partim ultro accusantium socios populi Romani, sed multo infestius M. Aurelium, quem ex tribus ad se missis legatis, dilectu habito, substitisse et se bello lacessisse contra foedus, et saepe cum praefectis suis signis conlatis pugnasse.

They spoke on a variety of topics. At one time they endeavoured to clear themselves of the charges brought by the commissioners sent to the dies by an another time they were bringing

countercharges against the allies of the Roman people, with much greater rancour however against M. Aurelius, who (they said), out of the three commissioners sent to them, had levied troops, stayed behind, and had commenced hostilities against them contrary to treaty, and had fought several downright battles with their officers.

§ 321. Assimilation of Optatives.

A. After an Optative in a Principal Sentence it is usual for another Optative to follow in an Adverbial or a Relative Sentence as if in Historic Sequence. As the Optative is not in itself past, but on the contrary almost invariably refers to future time, we can only explain this on the principle of assimilation.

(α.) τεθναίην ὅτε μοι αηκέτι ταῦτα μέλοι.

MIMNERMUS, i. 2.

Then might I die whensoe'er this is no longer my care. For $\delta \tau a \nu \ \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta$.

(b.) πως αν τις, α γε μη επίσταιτο, σοφός αν είη;

XEN. Mem. iv. 6, 7.

How could one be wise in what he does not know for certain?

Instead of å ἐπίσταται, or å äν μὴ ἐπίστηται.

(c.) εἰ ἀποθνήσκοι μὲν πάντα ὅσα τοῦ ζῆν μεταλάβοι, ἐπειδὴ δὲ ἀποθάνοι, μένοι ἐν τούτφ τῷ σχήματι καὶ μὴ πάλιν ἀναβιώσκοιτο, ἄρ' οὐ πολλὴ ἀνάγκη, τελευτῶντα πάντα τεθνάναι καὶ μηδὲν ζῆν;

PLAT. Phaed. xvii. 1. 72.

If all things whatsoever partake of life should die, and when they die, abide in this condition and not come to life again, does it not inevitably follow that in the

end all things will be dead and nothing living?

For όσα ἄν μεταλάβη—ἐπειδὰν ἀποθάνη.

But τίς οὐκ ἄν μισήσειεν Φίλιππον, εἰ φάινοιτο τούτοις ἐπιβουλεύων, ὑπὲρ ῶν ὁ πρόγονος αὐτοῦ προείλετο κινδυνεύειν; Isoc. Phil. 77.

Because προείλετο was Aorist Indicative in the Recta.

Who would not detest Philip if he should be proved to be conspiring against those in whose behalf his ancestor deliberately decided to face danger?

Note. After an Optative denoting a wish, the sentence may be assimilated.

θύμον γένοιτο χειρὶ πληρῶσαί ποτε ἔν' αἱ Μυκῆναι γνοῖεν ἡ Σπάρτη θ' ὅτι χὴ Σκῦρος ἀνδρῶν ἀλκίμων μήτηρ ἔφυ. Soph. Phil. 324.

For ἴνα γνῶσι. It is generally stated that a Final Sentence is never assimilated. See SOPH. Phil. 961, an often quoted instance. See also SOPH. Trach. 955; Eur. Bacch. 1252 (and consult the note in Sandys' edition).

In Eur. Bacch. 1384, we get both constructions, Assimila-

tion and non-Assimilation combined:

έλθοιμι δ' ὅπου μήτε Κιθαιρων μιαρός μ' ἐσίδοι μήτε Κιθαιρων' ὅσσοισιν ἐγώ, μήθ' ὅθι θύρσου μνῆμ' ἀνάκειται* Βάκχαις δ' ἄλλαισι μέλοιεν.

- § 322. B. 1. Occasionally this Assimilation does not take place.
 - (a.) Τίς ἄν δίκην κρίνειεν ἢ γνοίη λόγον πρὶν ἄν παρ' ἀμφοῖν μῦθος ἐκμάθη σαφῶς;
 ΕUR. Her. 179.
 πρὶν ἄν ἐκμάθη, and not πρὶν ἐκμάθοι. Cf. Hel. 176,
 Ion 672, Plat. Rep. ii. 359 C (ὅ τι ἄν βούληται).
 - (b.) Κῦρος προσκαλῶν τοὺς φίλους ἐσπουδαιολογείτο, ὡς δηλοίη, οὕς τιμᾳ. ΧΕΝ. Απ. i. 9. 28.

ούς τιμά, and not τιμώη.

- 2. An Indirect Statement with $\delta\tau\iota$ or $\dot{\omega}$ s, an Indirect Question, or a Sentence with $\delta\tau\omega$ s when following an Optative, is not so assimilated, nor usually a Final Sentence.
 - (a.) οὐ δ' ἃν εἶς ἀντείποι ὡς οὐ συμφέρει τŷ πόλει. DEM. 202. 23. Not even one would reply that it is not expedient to the state.

Here ἄν ἀντείποι is a Principal Sentence in Primary Time.

Digitized by Microsoft®

(b.) εἴ τις λέγοι ἄνθρωπον ἐστηκότα, κινοῦντα δὲ τὰς χεῖράς τε καὶ τὴν κεφαλήν, ὅτι ὁ αὐτὸς ἔστηκέ τε καὶ κινεῖται, οὐκ ἄν ἀξιοῦμεν οὕτω λέγειν δεῖν.

PLAT. Rep. iv. 436 D.

If one should say of a man who is standing still, but is moving his hands and his head, that the same man is both stationary and in motion, we should not allow this to be a correct mode of expression.

- (c.) ὁ πρωρεὺς τῆς νεως . . . καὶ ἀπων ἄν εἴποι, ὅπου ἕκαστα κεῖται καὶ ὅποσα ἔστιν. ΧΕΝ. Θες. viii. 14.
- (d.) ὀκνοίην ἄν εἰς τὰ πλοῖα ἐμβαίνειν, ἃ Κῦρος ἡμῖν δοίη, μὴ ἡμᾶς αὐταῖς ταῖς τριήρεσι καταδύση.

XEN. An. i. 3. 17.

§ 323. Examples of Mixed Graphic and Strict Obliqua.

(a.) προείπον ὑμῖν ὅτι εἰ μὴ παρεσόμεθα συστρασευσόμενοι, ἐκεῖνοι ἐφ' ἡμᾶς ἴοιεν. XEN. Hell. v. 2. 13.
 I told you beforehand that if we should (shall) not be present to join them, they would march against us.

(b.) ἐφοβεῖτο μὴ οἱ Λακεδαιμόνιοι σφᾶς, ὁπότε σαφῶς ἀκούσειαν,
 ΤΗυς. i. 91.

He was afraid that the Lacedaemonians would no longer let them go, whenever they heard of it.

(c.) εἶπον τῇ βουλῷ ὅτι εἰδεἶην τοὺς ποιήσαντας, καὶ ἐξήλεγξα τὰ γενόμενα ὅτι εἰσηγήσατο μὲν πινόντων ἡμῶν ταύτην τὴν βουλὴν Εὐφίλητος, ἀντεῖπον δὲ ἐγώ, καὶ τότε μὲν οὐ γένοιτο δι' ἐμέ.
ANDOK. de Myst. 61.

I told the Council that I knew who had committed the act, and I established the facts that Euphiletus had suggested this scheme, and that I had opposed it, and that on that occasion it was not executed owing to my opposition.

. . . εἰσηγήσατο Εὐφίλητος, ἀντεῖπον δὲ ἐγώ, οὐκ ἐγένετο.

§ 324. Virtual Oratio Obliqua.

Virtual Oratio Obliqua occurs when the words, thoughts, and motives, not of the writer, but of the subject of the sentence, are given rather by implication or allusion than directly introduced.

- (b.) οἱ δ' ὤκτειρον, εἰ ἀλώσοιντο. XEN. An. i. 4. 7. Others were pitying them if they were to be captured (felt pity at the thought).

The thought was οἰκτροί ἔσονται εἰ ἀλώσονται.

- (c.) οἶσθα ἐπαινέσαντα "Ομηρου τὸν 'Αγαμέμνονα ὡς βασιλεὺς εἴη ἀγαθός. XEN. Symp. iv. 6. You know that Homer praises Agamemnon as being a good king.
- Cf. laudat Africanum Panaetius quod fuerit abstinens. Cic. De Offic. ii. 76.
- (d.) τἄλλα, ἤν ἔτι ναυμαχείν εἶ ᾿Αθηναῖοι τολμήσωσι, παρεσκεύαζοντο. Thuc. vii. 59. They were making all other preparations in case the Athenians should venture on a battle.

Here, observe, the graphic $\mathring{\eta}v$ τολμήσωσι is used instead of $\epsilon \mathring{\iota}$ τολμήσειαν.

(e.) Compare

πρὸς τὴν πόλιν, εἰ ἐπιβοηθοῖεν, ἐχώρουν. Thuc. vii. 100. They were advancing on the city in case the citizens should march out against them.

Εί and ἐάν often allude in this way to a thought. See Soph. O. C. 1770, ἐάν πως διακωλύσωμεν: Soph. Ai. 313, εἰ μὴ φανοίην.

§ 325. Past Tenses of the Indicative in Oratio Obliqua.

I. For instances of the Imperfect and Pluperfect Indicative in Sub-direct Clauses, see XEN. An. i. 2. 21, Hell. vii. 1. 34.

II. For instances of the Imperfect, Pluperfect, and Aorist Indicative in Sub-oblique Clauses, see XEN. Mem. ii. 6. 13; Thuc. vii. 80 (οὐς μετέπεμψαν); DEM. 869. 9 (ἄν ἀπέδοσαν); XEN. An. i. 9. 10 (ὑπρλιενεντηνιώς Currelii. 2. 9 (ἡν ἔγραψα).

The Indicative may be accounted for on the same or analogous principles in the following passages:

(a.) έχρῆν τοὺς ἄλλους μὴ πρότερον περὶ τῶν ὁμολογουμένων ξυμβουλεύειν, πρὶν περὶ τῶν ἀμφισβητουμένων ἡμῶς ἐδίδαξαν. Isoc. Panegyr. 19.

Here πρὶν διδάξειαν would represent πρὶν ἄν διδάξωσι.

(b.) ήδέως ἄν Καλλικλεῖ ἔτι διελεγόμην, ἔως αὐτῷ τὴν τοῦ ᾿Αμφίονος ἀπέδωκα ῥῆσιν ἀντὶ τῆς τοῦ Ζήθου.

PLAT. Gorg. 506 B.

 $\tilde{\epsilon}$ ως $\tilde{\alpha}\pi\tilde{\epsilon}\delta\omega\kappa\alpha$ and not $\tilde{\epsilon}\omega$ ς $\tilde{\alpha}\pi\sigma\delta\sigma(\eta\nu)$, which would represent $\tilde{\epsilon}\omega$ ς $\tilde{\alpha}\nu$ $\tilde{\alpha}\pi\sigma\delta\tilde{\omega}$.

§ 326. Apparently Abnormal Obliqua.

Sometimes, but rarely, instead of either the Graphic or the real Obliqua, we get an Indicative. An examination of passages seems to show that the writer throws in the mood and tense from his own point of view instead of giving the mood which would be required if he were quoting words or thoughts.

(α.) Κύρος ὑπέσχετο τοῖς Μιλησίοις φύγασιν, εἶ καλῶς καταπράξειεν, ἐψ' ἃ ἐστρατεύετο, μὴ πρόσθεν παύεσθαι, πρὶν αὐτοὺς καταγάγοι οἴκαδε. ΧΕΝ. Απ. i. 2. 2.

The Recta would be ην καταπράξω, έφ' α στρατεύομαι ού παύσομαι πρὶν αν καταγάγω. έφ' α έστρατεύετο is really a bit of the writer's narrative.

(b.) λέγεται δ' αὐτὸν (Παυσανίαν) μέλλοντα ξυλληφθήσεσθαι γνῶναι ἐφ' ῷ ἐχώρει. ΤΗυς. i. 134.

It is said that Pausanias, when on the point of being arrested, knew for what purpose he (the ephor) was coming.

 $\dot{\epsilon}\phi'$ $\dot{\phi}$ $\chi\omega\rho\epsilon\dot{\eta}$ or $\chi\omega\rho\epsilon\hat{\iota}$ would be the usual construction; $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\omega\rho\epsilon\dot{\iota}$ is the mood and tense of the writer rather than of the subject Pausanias.

(c.) ἔλεγον οὐ καλῶς τὴν Ἑλλάδα ἐλευθεροῦν αὐτὸν, εἰ ἄνδρας διέφθειρεν, κ.τ.λ. ΤΗυς. iii. 32.

They told him that he was not liberating Greece in the right way, if he was destroying men, etc.

Obliqua would require $\epsilon i \delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \iota$, or $\delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \iota$. Cf. Thuc. vi. 29, $\epsilon i \rho \gamma a \sigma \tau o$ (taking $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \nu$ as Imperfect. It may be Aorist).

Precisely in the same way it is open in Latin for the writer to employ an Indicative or a Subjunctive. Thus we might say, legati, mirante consule, quod morabantur, venerunt (or quod morarentur); morabantur would give the writer's statement (morarentur would express the consul's feelings).

(d.) The most peculiar instance perhaps is in Arist. Vesp. 283, λέγων ώς φιλαθήναιος ην καὶ κατείποι, where the λέγων ώs seems to necessitate a quotation of words (ώς ἐστί or εἴη).

§ 327. LONG SPEECHES IN OBLIQUA.

Long Speeches in the Oratio Obliqua, such as we find in Livy, are rare in Greek. Greek is too lively, too anxious constantly to recur to the present, and cannot bind itself to the formal regularity which characterises a Roman Obliqua. The introductory verb $\epsilon \phi \eta$, $\epsilon \lambda \epsilon \xi \epsilon$, $\eta \rho \epsilon \tau o$, $\epsilon \ell \pi \epsilon \nu$, is repeated, or the writer breaks away suddenly into the Recta.

For longer specimens of the Obliqua see Plat. Symp. 189, Rep. 614 B, THUC. vi. 49, XEN. Cyr. viii. 1. 10, 11.

A very instructive example occurs in Andokides de Mysteriis, 38, etc., which is here given at length:-

ἔφη γὰρ Διοκλείδης εἶναι μὲν ανδοάποδον οἱ ἐπὶ Λαυρίω, δεῖν δε κομίσασθαι ἀποφοράν. ἀναστὰς δὲ πρώ ψευσθεὶς τῆς ὥρας βαδίζειν είναι δὲ πανσέληνον. έπεὶ δὲ παρὰ τὸ προπύλαιον τοῦ Διονύσου ην, δραν ανθρώπους πολλούς άπὸ τοῦ ώδείου καταβαίνοντας είς την δρχήστραν δείσας δε αὐτούς, εἰσελθων ὑπὸ την σκιάν καθέζεσθαι μεταξύ τοῦ κίονος καὶ τῆς στήλης ἐφ' ή ὁ στρατηγός ἐστιν ὁ χαλκοῦς. δράν δὲ ἀνθρώπους τὸν μὲν άριθμὸν μάλιστα τριακοσίους, έστάναι δὲ κύκλω ἀνὰ πέντε καὶ δέκα ἄνδρας, τοὺς δὲ άνà είκοσιν' όρων δε αύτων πρός $-\dot{\eta}$ ν σ ϵ λ $\dot{\eta}$ ν η ν τ \dot{a} π ρ \dot{o} εμπa τ \dot{u} ν \dot{u} ε ach \dot{u} ε he looked he recog-

Diokleides stated that he had a slave at Laurium, and that he had occasion to fetch a payment due. Rising early he mistook the time started: there was a full moon. When he was by the gateway of Dionysus, he saw several persons coming down from the Odeum into the Orchestra, Afraid of them, he withdrew into the shade and crouched down between the column and the pedestal on which stands the Bronze General. He saw some three hundred standing round about groups of fifteen and twenty

πλείστων γιγνώσκειν. καὶ πρῶτον μέν, ὢ ἄνδρες, τοῦθ' ὑπέθετο δεινότατον πράγμα, οίμαι, ὅπως έν έκείνω είη οντινα βούλοιτο 'Αθηναίων φάναι τῶν ἄνδρων τούτων είναι, οντινα δε μη βούλοιτο, λέγειν ὅτι οὖκ ἦν. ίδων δὲ ταῦτ' ἔφη ἐπὶ Λαύριον ίέναι, καὶ τῆ ὑστεραία ἀκούειν ὅτι οί Έρμαι είεν περικεκομμένοι. γνώναι οθν εθθύς ότι τούτων είη τῶν ἀνδρῶν τὸ ἔργον. ήκων δὲ είς ἄστυ ζητητάς τε ήδη ήρημένους καταλαμβάνειν καὶ μήνυτρα κεκηρυγμένα έκατὸν μνᾶς.

ίδων δὲ Εὔφημον τὸν Καλλίου τοῦ Τηλεκλέους άδελφὸν έν τῶ χαλκείω καθημένον, αναγαγών αὐτὸν είς τὸ Ἡφαιστεῖον λέγειν άπερ υμίν έγω είρηκα, ώς ίδοι ήμας έν έκείνη τη νυκτί οὔκουν δέοιτο παρά τῆς πόλεως χρήματα λαβεῖν μᾶλλον ἢ παρ' ἡμῶν, ωσθ' ήμας έχειν φίλους.

είπειν οθν τον Ευφημον ότι καλώς ποιήσειεν είπών, καὶ νθν ήκειν κελεύσαι οἱ εἰς τὴν Λεωγόρου οἰκίαν, ἵν' ἐκεῖ ξυγγένη μετ' έμου 'Ανδοκίδη και έτέροις οίς δεί. ήκειν έφη τη ύστεραία, καὶ δὴ κόπτειν τὴν θύραν, τὸν δὲ πατέρα τὸν ἐμὸν τυχεῖν έξιόντα, καὶ εἰπεῖν αὐτόν ἆρά γε σὲ οἴδε περιμένουσι; χρη at the Digitized by Microsoft®

nised most of their faces by the moonlight. Now in the first place, gentlemen, this story on which he bases his evidence is a most extraordinary thing; his object, I take it, being that it might rest with him to include in this list any Athenian he wished, or to exclude any he did not wish. After seeing this he stated that he went on to Lauri m, and next day heard of the mutilation of the Hermae. So he knew it was the work of these persons. Returning to town he found the commissioners of inquiry chosen and a reward of a hundred minae offered for information.

Seeing Euphemus the son of Kallias and brother of Telekles sitting in his forge, he brought him up to the Hephaesteum, and told him exactly what I have said to you, how he had seen us that night. Now he did not (so he said) desire to receive money from the state more than from us, if we would be his friends.

Euphemus then told him that he had acted rightly in telling him, and now he asked him to come to the house of Leogoras, to meet me there, said he, with one Andokides and other needful persons. He said that he went next day, and just as he was knocking at the door my father hap-

μέντοι μὴ ἀπωθεῖσθαι τοιούτους φίλους· εἰπόντα δὲ αὐτὸν ταῦτα οἴχεσθαι.

καὶ τούτφ μὲν τῷ τρόπφ τὸν πατέρα μου ἀπώλλυε, συνειδότα άποφαίνων. είπειν δε ήμας στι δεδογμένον ήμιν είη δύο μεν τάλαντα άργυρίου διδόναι οί άντὶ τῶν ἐκατὸν μνῶν τῶν ἐκ του δημοσίου, έὰν δὲ κατάσχωμεν ήμεις α βουλόμεθα, ένα αὐτὸν ἡμῶν είναι, πίστιν δὲ τούτων δοθναί τε καὶ δέξασθαι. αποκρίνασθαι δὲ αύτὸς πρὸς ταθτα ότι βουλεύσοιτο. δε κελεύειν αὐτὸν ήκειν είς Καλλίου τοῦ Τηλεκλέους, κάκεινος παρείη. τὸν δ' κηδεστήν μου ούτως ἀπώλλυεν. ήκειν έφη είς Καλλίου, ήμιν πίστιν καθομολογήσας δοῦναι ἐν ἀκροπόλει, καὶ ἡμᾶς συνθεμένους οἱ τὸ ἀργύριον εἰς τὸν ἐπιόντα μῆνα δώσειν διαψεύδεσθαι καὶ οὐ διδόναι. ήκειν οδν μηνύσων τὰ γενόμενα.

pened to be going out, and said, "Oh, is it you these people are expecting? Well, one ought not to reject such friends." So saying, he was off.

In this way he tried to ruin my father by denouncing him as an accomplice. (According to him) we said that we proposed to give him two talents of silver instead of the hundred minae offered by the Treasury, and that if we gained our object he was (should be) one of our number, and that we exchanged pledges of this. His own reply to this was that he would think it over: we, however, told him to come to the of Kallias son Telekles whose presence we desired. Again in this he tried to ruin my relation. He came, so he said, to the house of Kallias, and according to agreement he gave us pledges on the Akropolis, and we, after stipulating to give him the money by the next month, break our promise and refuse to give it. Consequently he is present to inform of the facts.

CHAPTER IV.

FIGURES OF RHETORIC, ETC.

§ 328. Alliteration.

Alliteration, or the repetition of the same letter.

e.g. Who shall decide when doctors disagree?

Subdola cum ridet placidi pellacia ponti. Luc. ii. 559.

Tympana tenta tonant palmis et cymbala circum Concava, raucisonoque minantur cornua cantu.

ID. ii. 618,

θανάτου θᾶττον θεῖ. Plat. Apol. xxix. 39 A. It (wickedness) fleeth faster than fate. ἢ τῷ πανώλει πατρὶ τῶν μὲν ἐξ ἐμοῦ παίδων πόθος παρεῖτο; Soph. El. 544. Or by thy felon father, for the family I bore him, was all fondness flung away?

τὸν δ' ἀγρίοις ὄσσοισι παπτήνας ὁ παῖς πτύσας προσώπφ. SOPH. Ant. 1231.

Cf. Soph. Ant. 50, where an initial a occurs seven times.

Instances may easily be collected. Ours is the most alliterative of languages. Shakspere abounds with natural and beautiful examples. As is well known, Early English alliterative poetry consisted of couplets, in which each section contained two or more accented words beginning with the same letter.

In a somer seson, I shope me in shroudes, In habite as an heremite, Went wyde in pis world,

whan soft was the sonne, as I a shepe were, unholy of workes, wondres to here.

Piers the Plowman

Shakspere ridicules the abuse of Alliteration:

Whereat, with blade, with bloody blameful blame, He bravely broached his boiling bloody breast.

"Hortatur me frater, ut meos malis miser mandarem natos" of Accius (Cic. Tusc. iv. 77) is little better.

§ 329. Anakoluthia.

Anakoluthia or Anakoluthon is the term used where the structure of the sentence is not grammatically followed out. It is either natural and unstudied, or artificial and rhetorical. It is natural and unstudied in Herodotus, whose irregular constructions arise from his writing just as if he were talking. It is natural and unstudied again in Aeschylus, whose thoughts and emotions are too big for his words, and in Thucydides, who thinks more of matter than manner. It is rhetorical in Plato, who purposely imitates the easy freedom of ordinary conversation. Sometimes Anakoluthia arises from mere slovenliness, as in Andokides,

During the progress of a sentence a new idea strikes the writer; a new expression is thus introduced and becomes a disturbing influence. Or an explanation may be necessary; and a parenthesis, more or less long, is inserted. The sentence thus may wander far away from its original construction. Generally the writer is aware that he has gone astray, and goes back, not to the grammar, but to the sense of the passage, resuming often in a different construction with a particle $\delta \epsilon$, $\delta \hat{\eta}$, $o \hat{v} \nu$, so, then, as I was saying.

There are many kinds of Anakoluthia, and the figure is constantly recurring. One or two specimens are given just

to show what is meant:

άνδροῖν δ' ὁμαίμοιν θάνατος ὧδ' αὐτόκτονος, οὐκ ἔστι γῆρας τοῦδε τοῦ μιάσματος.

AESCH. S. c. Theb. 681.

Here $\theta \acute{a} \nu a \tau os$, the subject, has no verb $(\gamma \eta \rho \acute{a} \sigma \kappa \epsilon_i)$. Instead of the verb the writer solemnly pauses, adding a second sentence nearly complete in itself.

But blood of brothers shed by fellowly hands— There is no age for such pollution. τὰ πάντα γάρ τις ἐγχέας ἀνθ' αἴματος ἐνός, μάτην ὁ μόχθος. AESCH. Ch. 521. Pour all the atoning offerings in the world For one life spilt—vain were thy toil.

Grammatically: $\mu \acute{a} \tau \eta \nu \ \mathring{a} \nu \ \mu o \chi \theta o \acute{l} \eta s$.

οἱ 'Αθηναῖοι νόσφ ἐπιέζοντο κατ' ἀμφότερα, τῆς τε ὥρας τοῦ ἐνιαυτοῦ ταύτης οὔσης, ἐν ἢ ἀσθενοῦσιν ἄνθρωποι μάλιστα, καὶ τὸ χωρίον ἄμα, ἐν ῷ ἐστρατοπεδεύοντο, ἑλῶδες καὶ χαλεπὸν ἦν. ΤΗυς. vii. 47.

Grammatically it should have been τοῦ χωρίου ελώδους καὶ

χαλεποῦ ὄντος.

The Athenians were suffering from sickness arising from two causes, first, because this was the time of year when sickness is most prevalent, and next, the ground on which they were encamped was swampy and unhealthy.

Cf. iv. 23, καὶ $\pi\epsilon\rho$ ὶ $\Pi \dot{\nu} \lambda o\nu - \tau \dot{\varphi}$ $\tau\epsilon i \chi \epsilon \iota$. HDT. vii. 74, καὶ

πολλά-άμύνασθαι.

One simple instance from Plato may suffice to show how he imitates the freedom of ordinary talk:—

ηλθον ἐπί τινα τῶν δοκούντων σοφῶν εἶναι . . . καὶ διαλεγόμενος αὐτῷ, ἔδοξέ μοι οδτος ὁ ἀνὴρ δοκεῖν μὲν εἶναι σοφός, κ.τ.λ., εἶναι δ' οὔ. PLAT. Apol. vi. 21 B.

1 went to see one of those who had the reputation of being wise. And talking with him, this man seemed to me to be considered wise, without being really so.

As if it were $\delta\iota a\lambda\epsilon\gamma\delta\mu\epsilon\nu$ os $a\mathring{v}\tau\widetilde{\psi}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\delta\delta\xi a\sigma a$, conversing with him I thought.

§ 330.

Antiptosis.

ANTIPTOSIS. The Subject of the Subordinate Clause is

the object of the Principal Clause.

The stock instance is "nosti Marcellum, quam tardus sit" for "nosti quam tardus sit Marcellus." "I know you not, whence ye are."

This is a common construction in Greek, Latin, and

English.

ἰτέον οὖν σκοποῦντι τὸν χρησμὸν τί λέγει. Plat. Apol. vii. 21 ε.

I must go on then examining the oracle, what it means (i.e. examining what the oracle means, or the meaning of the oracle).

Digitized by Microsoft®

οΐδε μὲν οὐδεὶς τὸν θάνατον οὐδ' εἰ τυγχάνει πάντων μέγιστον ὄν τῶν ἀγαθῶν. Plat. Αροί. xvii. 29 a.

No one knows (with regard to) death, even whether it is (not) the greatest possible blessing.

We may say that the Accusative and the Subordinate Sentence together become the object of the principal Verb.

Antiptosis is commonly explained as above, but the simpler and more rational account is that the Subordinate Clause expands and explains the Object or Accusative of the Principal Clause.

§ 331.

Asyndeton.

Asyndeton, or the omission of Conjunctions, stock instances of which are Shakspere's

Unhousel'd, disappointed, unanel'd;

and Cicero's

Abiit, excessit, evasit, erupit.

Cf. Milton's

Unrespited, unpitied, unreprieved. Unshaken, unseduced, unterrified. Exhaustless, spiritless, afflicted, fallen.

ἄκλαυστος, ἄφιλος, ἀνυμέναιος. Soph. Ant. 877. Unwept, unloved, unhymned.

ἄφιλον, ἔρημον, ἄπολιν, ἐν ζῶσιν νεκρόν. Soph. Phil. 1018. Friendless, lone, citiless, midst the living dead.

The use of the figure is to set forth each idea separately, and pointedly. It is so common that further instances are unnecessary.

§ 332. Binary Structure.

One conception is stated twice over, so that two aspects of it are given. This double presentment enables the reader to obtain a fuller view of the conception as a whole. Mr. Riddell aptly describes this artifice as giving a rhetorical "binocular vision." It is commonly employed in Similes.

αν δ' 'Αγαμέμνων

ταῦτα ἐγὼ δοκῶ ἀκούειν, ὥσπερ οἱ κορυβαντιῶντες τῶν αὐλῶν δοκοῦσιν ἀκούειν . . . καὶ ἐν ἐμοὶ αὕτη ἡ ἡχὴ . . . βομβεῖ. Plat. Crito, 54 D.

οὐ ταὐτὸν τοῦτο πεπόνθασιν, . . . ἀκολασία τινὶ σώφρονές

είσιν; PLAT. Phaed. 67 E.

ούτωσί σοι δοκώ, οὐδένα νομίζω θεὸν εἶναι:

PLAT. Apol. xiv. 26 E.

Binary Structure in giving two descriptions of the same object differs from Apposition, which gives but one description, though in certain forms there is a resemblance between the figures. Asyndeta and Anakoluthia often occur in this structure. The artifice is used by all Greek writers, but it is employed in an almost endless variety of subtle forms by Plato. See Riddell, pp. 196-209, whence the above examples are taken.

Antiptosis is a form of Binary Structure.

§ 333. Brachylogy or Abbreviated Construction.

(Including Zeugma, Constructio Praegnans, Brachylogy of Comparison.)

Brachylogy is a kind of Ellipse; but where Ellipse actually suppresses a word or sentence altogether, Brachylogy leaves them to be supplied from some corresponding expression in the context. Brachylogy is thus more essentially artificial than Ellipse.

έφρασας ὑπέρτεραν της τότε χάριτος (sc. ὑπέρτεραν χάριν, the

χάριν supplied from χάριτος). SOPH. El. 1265.

A Substantive, an Adjective, a Pronoun, a Conjunction, or a Verb may thus be supplied from the context.

τὰ μὲν ἄλλα, ὅσαπερ καὶ πάντες ὑμείς ἐποιεῖτε.

XEN. Cyr. iv. 1. 3.

i.e. τὰ μὲν ἄλλα (sc. ἐποίει, supplied from ἐποιείτε).

In the common phrases oὐδὲν ἄλλο η, τί ἄλλο η, ἄλλο τι η, a different verb of more general meaning is supplied from a special verb in the context.

οί Λακεδαιμόνιοι ἄλλο οὐδὲν ἢ ἐκ τῆς γῆς ἐναυμάχουν.

THUC. iv. 14.

i.e. ἄλλο οὐδὲν ἐποίουν ἢ. PLAT. Apol. 19 D.

ταῦτα καὶ ποιεῖν καὶ πάσχειν ἃ πάσχει. Plat. Phaed. 98 A, supply καὶ ποιεῖ.

§ 334. Zeugma and Syllepsis.

Zeugma is another form of Brachylogy. There is only one verb in the sentence, but more than one noun. The verb strictly applies only to one of the nouns, but suggests the verb required by the other.

άλλ' ή πνοαίσιν ή βαθυσκαφεί κόνει

κρύψον νιν.

SOPH. El. 435; cf. El. 72, Ai. 632, Eur. Bacch. 142. No, or to the winds (sc. $\mu \in \theta \in S$) or in the deep-dug soil bury them.

A violent instance of Zeugma:

έσθητα δὲ φορέουσι τη Σκυθική δμοίην, γλώσσαν δὲ ἰδίην.

HDT. iv. 106.

They wear a dress like the Scythian, but (speak) a language of their own.

Cf. the old Tyne ballad: "He wears a blue bonnet, wi' a dimple on his chin."

προθυμία χρώμενοι καὶ παρακελευσμώ. ΤΗυς. iv. 11. With energy and with mutual exhortation.

χρώμενοι goes with both nouns not quite in the same sense. This sort of Zeugma is sometimes distinguished as Syllepsis.

1 Cor. iii. 2, γάλα ὑμᾶς ἐπότισα οὐ βρῶμα, is a stock instance from the New Testament, ἐπότισα suiting γάλα only. Cf. L. i. 64.

Constructio Praegnans. § 335.

Constructio Praegnans is a form of Brachylogy. Two Sentences are compressed into one.

οδ έδει κακοπαθείν τῷ σώματι ένταυθοί οὐδέν με ὡφέλησεν ἡ

έμπειρία. Antiph. de Caed. Her. 2.

Where I ought to have endured personal ill-treatment hither (i.e. here, whither they have brought me), my experience proved no help to me.

It is common with certain Prepositions (eis, ev, ex) and with Relative Adverbs.

e.g. ταις έν τη γη καταπεφευγυίαις (sc. ναυσί). The ships which had fled to the shore, and were on the shore. Digitized by Microsoft®

κείνος δ' ὅπου βέβηκεν, οὐδεὶς οἶδε. Soph. Tr. 10. Where (for whither) he is gone none knoweth.

őπου for őποι. Cf. Phil. 256.

Constructio Praegnans is very common in the New Testament. A stock instance is $\Phi i\lambda \iota \pi \pi \sigma s$ $\epsilon i \rho \epsilon \theta \eta$ $\epsilon i s$ 'A $\xi \sigma \tau \sigma \nu$,' ACT. AP. viii. 40. See 2 Tim. iv. 18, MATT. v. 22, $\epsilon \nu \sigma \chi \sigma s$ $\epsilon i s$ $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu \nu \sigma \nu$.

§ 336. Brachylogy of Comparison.

Brachylogy of Comparison, or Comparatio Compendiaria. The stock example is from Π. xvii. 51, κόμαι χαρίτεσσιν όμοῖαι, i.e. κόμαι ὁμοῖαι χαρίτων κόμαισι, hair like the (hair of) the Graces.

'Ηφαίστου δ' ἵκανε δόμον Θέτις ἀργυροπέζα ἄφθιτον ἀστερόεντα, μετάπρεπε' ἀθανάτοισιν. ΗοΜ. ΙΙ. xviii. μεταπρεπέα δόμοισιν ἀθανάτων.

 i.e. μεταπρεπέα δόμοισιν άθανάτων.
 Silver-footed Thetis came unto the house of Hephaestus Incorruptible, starry, conspicuous among the Immortals.

χείρον ἀρσένων νόσον ταύτην νοσοῦμεν. Ευκ. Androm. 220. i.e. χείρονα ἀρσένων νόσου νόσου νοσοῦμεν.

Worse than men this plague we are plagued withal.

όμο δ αν τα δ ς δούλαις ε δ χε την δ σθητα. ΧΕΝ. Cyr. v. 1. 3. Cf. REV. xiii. 11, ε δ χε κέρατα δύο ὅμοια ἀρνίφ.

§ 337. Catachresis.

The use of a word not in its strict meaning.

ὑποπτεύω, I expect; δαιμόνιος, extraordinary; θανμαστός θανμάσιος, strange, eccentric, funny, capital, excellent; μέγας (sc. λόγος, Plat. Phaed. 62 B), puzzling; ὑπερφνῶς ὡς (ὁμολογῶ), I decidedly do (assent); ἀμηχανῶς γε ὡς σφόδρα, most decidedly. See Riddell, Digest, p. 240.

§ 338. Ellipse and Aposiopesis.

The suppression of a word or sentence.

e.g. ἡ αὖριον (sc. ἡμέρα). ἐς κόρακας (sc. βάλλετε, ἔρρετε, οἴχεσθε). Το the crows! Digitized by Microsoft® The suppressed word or sentence can, of course, be easily supplied. The figure is mechanically and unconsciously employed in many common every-day phrases. The object of its artificial use is to give brevity and pith to the expression.

ἡμῖν μὲν εὐχὰς τάσδε (sc. εὔχομαι). Aesch. Cho. 142. For us these prayers—

The omission of the Subject with its Verb, of the copula $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota$, of the substantive with its epithet or genitive (o $\dot{\iota}$ $\dot{\alpha}\gamma\alpha\theta$ o $\dot{\iota}$, $\dot{\circ}$ $\Phi\iota\lambda\dot{\iota}\pi\pi\sigma\upsilon$), are common instances of unconscious Ellipse.

Instances of unconscious Ellipse of Sentences occur in the phrases οὐχ ὅτι, μὴ ὅτι, οὐχ ὅπως, etc., and more or less so in

the suppression of a Protasis, or of an Apodosis.

Aposiopesis is a form of Ellipse. In animated and excited expressions the speaker breaks off abruptly, leaving the rest of the sentence to be understood.

μηδὲν πρὸς ὀργήν πρὸς θ εῶν (sc. δράσης). Soph. El. 369. By Heaven! naught in anger.

μή τριβὰς ἔτ' (sc. πορίζετε or some such verb). Antig. 577. No longer tarrying !

μή μοι πρόφασιν. AR. Ach. 345. No shuffling!

μή μοί γε μύθους. Ar. Vesp. 1179. Come! no tales!

VERGIL'S "quos ego: sed motos praestat componere fluctus," is QUINTILIAN'S stock instance. "Quid multa?" "quid plura?" are common cases.

§ 339. Euphemism.

The substitution of a colourless or an agreeable expression for a strong or disagreeable one. It is the reverse of "calling a spade a spade." The Greeks carefully avoid the mention of death especially, e.g. $\epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \xi$ $\delta \pi \omega s$ $\epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \xi \epsilon$.

e.g. άλλο τι παθείν. Plat. Crito, iv. 44 E, to suffer something

else.

^{1 &#}x27;Αποσιώπησιs. Reticentia, Cic. Obticentia, Celsus. Interruptio al. Quint. Inst. ix. 2. Digitized by Microsoft®

So we say "in the event of anything happening."

έγὼ γὰρ ε $\tilde{\iota}$ μ' ἐκε $\tilde{\iota}$ σ' ὅποι πορευτέον. Soph. Ai. 690. For I shall go thither where all must go.

Spoken by Aias when contemplating suicide.

δέδοικ' ένω

μή μοι βεβήκη.

SOPH. Phil. 494.

Where Philoktetes fears that his father may no longer be alive.

§ 340.

Hypallage.

• A change of case, so that a word does not agree with the case which logically it qualifies. In such constructions the word agrees with a compound expression, so that the figure is a form of Synesis rather than Hyperbaton. The stock instance is from Horace—

Nec purpurarum sidere clarior Delenit usus.

where the adjective *clarior*, instead of agreeing with *pur-purarum* (purple robes) agrees with the compound substantive usus purpurarum.

ὧ πατρῷον ἐστίας βάθρον. SOPH. Ai. 860.

Seat of my father's hearth.

For πατρώας έστίας βάθρον.

So Antig. 794, νείκος ἀνδρῶν ξύναιμον, where νείκος ἀνδρῶν forms one word: Trach. 817, ὄγκον ὀνόματος μητρῷον.

τὸν δ' ἀθλίως θανόντα Πολυνείκους νέκυν. Soph. Ant. 26. For θανόντος Πολυνείκους.

In Lucretius, i. 474, we have an instance of true Hypallage: Ignis Alexandri Phrygio sub pectore gliscens. Mr. Munro, in his note on the line, collects some striking parallels from other writers.

§ 341. Hyperbaton, Chiasmus, Hysteron-Proteron.

The displacement of the natural order of words. Its chief use is to give emphasis to a word. It also enables language to represent the rapidity of thought, one word instantly catching up another word.

Easy and familiar instances are-

 $\epsilon i\pi \epsilon$, δ πρὸς Διός, Μέλητε. Plat. Apol. xiii. 25 c. Like the Latin

Per te Deos oro.

Certain words in particular are thus displaced, especially $\gamma \dot{\epsilon}$, $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau o \iota$, $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$, $\ddot{\epsilon} \tau \iota$, $\ddot{\iota} \sigma \omega s$, $o \dot{\iota} \kappa$ in $o \ddot{\iota} \phi \eta \mu \iota$, etc.

άρ' οὖν ἄν με οἴεσθε τοσαῦτα ἔτη διαγενέσθαι;

PLAT. Apol. xxi. 32 E.

τίς ην έν η ματτόμεθα μέντοι τἄλφιτα; ARIST. Nub. 788. τάχ' ἄν ὀρθῶς ἴσως μέμφοιτο. PLAT. Leg. 640 D.

Chiasmus is a form of Hyperbaton. Chiasmus is the Inverse Parallelism of Clauses and Sentences:

πᾶν μὲν ἔργον πᾶν δ' ἔπος λέγοντας τε καὶ πράττοντας,— where the outside ἔργον belongs to the outside πράττοντας, and the inside ἔπος to the inside λέγοντας.

οὖτ' ἀδικεῖ, οὖτ' ἀδικεῖται, οὖθ' ὑπὸ θεοῦ, οὖτε θεόν.

Hysteron Proteron (ὕστερον πρότερον) reverses the order in which events occur, e.g. $\tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \nu \dot{\eta} \delta' \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \nu \tau o$.

εχεις τί κεἰσήκουσας; SOPH. Ant. 9.

άλλήλους διδάσκειν τε καὶ φράζειν. PLAT. Apol. iii. 19 D. αἰσθανόμενος μὲν καὶ λυπούμενος καὶ δεδιὼς ὅτι ἀπηχθανόμην. PLAT. Apol. vi. 21 E.

On the Hyperbaton and its forms see Riddell, p. 228.

§ 342. Litotes.

Litotes or Meiosis, smoothing or diminishing a stronger conception by a weaker statement. A common enough figure in all languages, but especially suited to Greek taste, e.g. οὐχ ἡσσον, not less, i.e. more; οὐ μᾶλλον, not so much.

εἰ μὲν γὰρ τοῦτο λέγουσιν, ὁμολογοίην ἄν ἔγωγε οὐ κατὰ τούτους εἶναι ῥήτωρ. Plat. Apol. i. 17 Β.

If this is what they mean, I must admit that I am an orator, not as they are orators (i.e. an orator of a far higher order than they).

χαίρουσιν εξεταζομένοις τοῖς οἰομένοις εἶναι σοφοῖς, οὖσι δ' οὖ ε̈στι γὰρ οὖκ ἀηδές.

Plat. Apol. xxii. 33 c.

They enjoy the cross-examination of those who think they are wise, without really being so.

(i.e. it is extremely amusing).

κείται θανων δείλαιος, οὐ μάλ' εὐτυχως. AESCH. Pers. 327. Lies low in death unhappy, not all fortunately (i.e. all ingloriously, because unburied: an euphemism also).

§ 343·

Oxymoron.

Oxymoron is the contrast by juxtaposition of opposite conceptions, e.g. from the Paradise Lost:

Our final hope is flat despair.

Dishonest shame Of Nature's works, honour dishonourable.

A universe of death . . . Where all life dies, death lives.

In King John the despairing and passionate Constance cries:

Death, death; O amiable, lovely death! Thou odoriferous stench! sound rottenness!

 $\xi_X\theta$ ρων ἄδωρα δώρα. SOPH. Ai. 665. Giftless the gifts of foes.

μαίνεται δ' ὑφ' ἡδονῆς

μήτηρ ἀμήτωρ. Soph. El. 1154.

She is mad for jou.

A mother, yet no mother.

όσια πανουργήσασα. Soph. Ant. 74. Daring a holy crime.

έξέφθινθ' αἱ τρίσκαλμοι νᾶες ἄναες ἄναες. AESCH. Pers. 680. They are destroyed those three-banked ships, ships no more, ships no more.

Cf. Catullus: funera ne funera.

Cf. Aesch. P.~V.~545, χ á ρ is ä χ a ρ is. Soph. O.~T.~1214, γ á μ os ä γ a μ os.

Oxymoron is well caricatured by Shakspere:

A tedious brief scene of young Pyramus And his love Thisbe, very tragical mirth.

Merry and tragical, tedious and brief!

That is, hot ice, and wonderous strange snow.

How shall we find the concord of this discord?

§ 344.

Periphrasis.

Periphrasis or Circumlocution is a roundabout way of using two or more words instead of one, e.g. Ίσμήνης κάρα, head of Ismene, for Ἰσμήνη; θρέμματα Νείλου (PLAT. Leg. 953), children of the Nile, i.e. Egyptians (cf. the Hebrew, children of Israel, sons of Belial, son of peace, etc.). The word χρήμα occurs in one or two phrases: ὑὸς μέγα χρήμα, HDT.; τὸ χρήμα τῶν νυκτῶν, AR. Nub. 2.

Very often the Substantive is used for an Adjective or an apposition, e.g. $\Pi \circ \sigma \in \lambda \otimes \nu \circ \kappa \circ \pi \circ \tau$, the might of Poseidon, for the mighty Poseidon (Aesch. Eum. 27); $\pi \circ \rho \circ \iota \circ \tau$ in 10°s, the virgin Io (Aesch. P. V. 898); $\mu \eta \tau \rho \circ \sigma \circ \rho \circ \sigma \circ \tau$ a revered mother (P. V. 1090). So in Latin, mitis sapientia Laeli, prisci Catonis virtus (Horace).

Periphrasis is employed in the use of Tenses, e.g. μέλλω ποιήσειν, μέλλω τεθνάναι (Plat. Apol. xviii. 30 c and xix. 32 A), ἀτιμάσας ἔχει, periturus sum, fore or futurum esse with

a Subjunctive mood.

Very often, again, both in Greek and Latin, a periphrasis is used for a simple verb, especially with $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\omega$, e.g. $\hat{\epsilon}\nu$ $\nu\tilde{\varphi}$ $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\omega$ = $\delta\iota\alpha\nu\circ\sigma\hat{\nu}\mu\alpha\iota$: $\phi\rho\circ\nu\iota\mu\hat{\omega}s$ $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\omega = \phi\rho\circ\nu\hat{\omega}$: $\theta\alpha\rho\rho\alpha\lambda\hat{\epsilon}\omega s$ $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\omega = \theta\alpha\rho\rho\hat{\omega}$ (all in Plat. Apol.): $\lambda\nu\tau\eta\rho\hat{\omega}s$ $\tilde{\epsilon}\chi\omega = \lambda\nu\tau\sigma\hat{\nu}\mu\alpha\iota$ (Soph. El. 766): $\tilde{\eta}\delta\circ\nu\tilde{\eta}\nu$ $\phi\epsilon\rho\epsilon\iota\nu = \tau\epsilon\rho\tau\epsilon\iota\nu$ (Soph. El. 286): $\phi\omega\nu\eta\nu$ $\lambda\alpha\beta\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu = \phi\omega\nu\epsilon\hat{\iota}\nu$, etc.

In fact these periphrastic verbs are of constant use both in

prose and poetry.

§ 345·

Pleonasm.

Pleonasm or Redundancy is the employment of words apparently superfluous. Apparently, for a second expression may often define or amplify a previous expression, e.g. δ ττρατηγὸς τῆς στρατιᾶς, μόνον καθ' αὐτὸν κοὐδεν' ἄλλον.

Digitized by Microsoft®

A cognate accusative is a sort of pleonasm, μάχην μάχεσθαι: or an adverb with its adjective, μέγας μεγαλωστί (κεῖτο). Il. xvi. 776, (he lay outstretched) huge with his huge length.

Adverbs are often thus combined: $\dot{\omega}_s$ $\dot{\alpha}\lambda\eta\theta\hat{\omega}_s$ $\tau\hat{\omega}$ $\ddot{o}\nu\tau\iota$: $\pi\dot{\alpha}\lambda\iota\nu$ $\alpha\dot{\vartheta}\theta\iota s$, $\alpha\dot{\vartheta}$ $\pi\dot{\alpha}\lambda\iota\nu$ $\alpha\dot{\vartheta}\theta\iota s$: $\ddot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon\iota\tau\alpha$ $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{\alpha}$ $\tau\alpha\dot{\upsilon}\tau\alpha$. The repeti-

tion of the negative and of av are cases of Pleonasm.

τί δη λέγοντες διέβαλλον οἱ διαβάλλοντες;

PLAT. Apol. iii. 19 B.

ἐπιεικῆ ἄν μοι δοκῶ λέγειν λέγων. PLAT. Āpol. xxii. 34 D. Periphrasis is a form of Pleonasm.

§ 346. Prolepsis or Anticipation.

What is intended, or expected to take place, as spoken of, by anticipation, as having already taken place.

It occurs most commonly with a predicative adjective. A

good instance is found in Juvenal:

Paullatim caluerunt mollia saxa.

i.e. caluerunt ita ut mollia fierent.

A stock instance is—

εὖφημον, ὧ τάλαινα, κοίμησον στόμα. ΑΕSCH. Ag. 1258. i.e. ὥστε εὖφημον εἶναι.

έῷα κινεῖ φθέγματ' ὀρνιθῶν σαφῆ. SOPH. El. 18. Awakes to shrillness the birds' matin songs.

See v. 14, τιμωρόν.

γονέων

έκτίμους ἴσχουσα πτέρυγας

δξυτόνων γόων. Soph. El. 242.

Restraining the wings of shrill-voiced wailings

So that they honour not a parent. Cf. Antig. 1200.

Cf. Eur. Bacch. 70, 183.

§ 347·

Puns.

(Paronomasia, Annominatio.)

Occasionally Greek writers indulge in them.

άλλὰ γάρ, δ Μέλητε . . . σαφῶς ἀποφαίνεις τὴν σαυτοῦ ἀμελείαν, ὅτι οὐδέν σοι μεμέληκε περὶ δν ἐμὲ εἰσάγεις.

PLAT. Apol. xii. 25 C

(See xiv. where the pun is repeated.)

Riddell, p. 242, collects many instances from Plato.

άπεστέρηκας τὸν βίον τὰ τόξ' έλών. Soph. Phil. 931.

where there is clearly a play on βιόν (bow) and βίον (life).

The grandest instance of punning or playing on words at a solemn moment is in Shakspere. (*Richard II.*, Act ii.), where the dying Gaunt dwells on his name:

Old Gaunt indeed, and gaunt in being old, etc.

So of Helen:

ξλένας, ξλανδρος, ξλέπτολις. AESCH. Ag. 689. Helen, the Hell of ships, the Hell of men, the Hell of towns.

Compare the pun made on the rock-built Assus recorded in Athenaeus viii. 352.

"Ασσον ιθ', ως κεν θασσον όλεθρου πείραθ' ικηαι. Π. vi. 143.

Paronomasia is the combination of words of similar sound or cognate form.

utrum propter oves an propter aves; VARRO, R. R. iii. 2.13. Träume sind Schäume (lit. dreams are bubbles).

δόσιν κακάν κακών κακοίς. AESCH. Pers. 1041.

ώρθοῦθ' ὁ τλήμων ὀρθὸς ἐξ ὀρθῶν δίφρων. SOPH. El. 742.

Αύτὸς ἐαυτόν, αὐτὸς ὑφ' ἐαυτοῦ, etc., would be familiar instances.



ENGLISH INDEX.

Reference is only made to subjects which are not easily found in the Table of Contents.

The numbers refer to the pages of the book.

Ablative represented by Genitive, 78-9. Accusative, see Table of Contents, 66-78. - Absolute, 95 - with Infinitive,1 158, 179. with Infinitive instead of Nominative, 180. in Apposition to Sentence, 25, 74.

with Prepositions, 289. Active Voice, 120. Adjectives which take a Ğenitive, 100. — which take a Dative, Adverbs which take Genitive, 100. which take a Dative, Adverbial sentences, 9. Agent, how denoted, 131. - denoted by Prepositions, 289-90. Genitive of, TOT: Dative of, 111. Anastrophe, 280. Aorist, uses of, 145-9. Sometimes the equivalent of the English, Present, Perfect, or Pluperfect, 147. Apodosis, meaning of the term, 195, footnote. — without αν, 217-9. Apposition, 5; Peculiarities of, 24-26. Article, 2 see Table of Contents, ch. ii., 27-46.

— as Personal, Demonstrative, and Relative

in Attic, 28.

Article, for Possessive, 30. with words used materialiter, 35. - not repeated with a second noun, 35. Asyndeton, 24. Attraction, 58. Attributive or Epithet, 5; Peculiarities in Construction, 23-4. Cases, Preliminary Note on, 64 Causal Sentences, see Table of Contents, 276-9.

Active Voice, Causative Active Voice, 125; do. Middle, 127. Collective Noun with Plural Predicate, 19. Comparative and Superlative, 120-3. - denoting too great a degree, 97, 120, 121. Concessive Sentences, see Table of Contents, 249-Conditional Sentences, see

Table of Contents, 103-

Sentences, examples of, 200-231. Consecutive Sentences, see Table of Contents, 269-

Co-ordinate Sentences, 7. Copula, 1; Verbs used as, 12; omitted, 13. Construction κατά σύνεσιν, 24.

Dative, see Table of Contents, 104-119.

. Dative of interest in Participial phrases, 107. of circumstance used

adverbially (e.g. σιγή), - with Infinitive, 13, 158.

- as an Oblique Predicate, 45. Dawes' Canon, note on, 267.

Deliberative or Dubitative, see Questions. Definite and Indefinite

Sentences, 194-5.
Demonstrative Pronouns as Subjects and Predicates,

18. - Pronouns preceding a sentence in Apposition,

Deponent Verbs, 131.

Dual Number, 19, 20.

Emotion, Verbs of, with Participles, 170. Verbs of, with el for ὅτι, 186.

Epithet, see Attributive.

Fearing, Verbs of, with μή, μὴ οὐ, 262-8. (1) With Subj. or Opt., 262. (2) With Fut. Indic.,

(3) With ὅπως μή and Fut. Indic. Subj. or Opt., 265. (4) With ws and Fut.

Indic., 265. (5) With Infin., 265.

(6) With el Interrogative, 266.

1 For an explanation of this construction, see Monro's Homeric Grammar, p. 158. 2 See Monro's Homeric Grammar, where the uses of the Article are arranged under three heads.

Figures of Rhetoric, see Part III., ch. iv., Table of Contents.

Final Sentences, 252, 259.
(1) With "να, ώς, ὅπως, and Subj. or Opt.,

253. (2) With ως, ὅπως ἄν and Subi. (not Opt.).

(3) Rarely With Fut. Indic., 256. Frequentative, see Itera-

Future Middle as Passive,

125 - Perfect, 150.

- Indicative, with ὅπως Final, 256, n. 4; Fut. Opt., as Obliqua of Opt., as above, 256.

Genitive, see Table of Contents, 78-104. — Absolute,1 96, 165-7. - with Infinitive, 13, 158.

as Oblique Predicate, 45.

in Apposition to another Genitive supplied in the Possessive, 26, 49.

Gnomic Tenses, 151.
Graphic (or Vivid) construction, i.e. the Substitution, in a Subordinate Clause in Historic Sequence of the Mood Primary used in the Sequence, 138, 182, etc. - see also Oratio Obliqua

passim, esp. 386, 404.

H

Hoping and Promising, Verbs of, with Pres. and Aor. Infin., 180-1.

Imperative Mood, 136-7. Imperfect Tense, uses of,

143-4-Indicative Mood-states facts or asks

questions, 132. in the Indirect State-

ment, 181, etc.

Indicative Mood-

in the Indirect Ouestion, 188

 in the Indirect Petition (Fut. Indic.), 192. — in Definite Sentences,

194-5.

in Conditional tences. see Part II. ch. ii.

(a) Present Conditions.(b) Future Conditions. (6) Past Conditions.

(d) Unfulfilled Past or Present Conditions.
- in Temporal Sentences

to denote Definite Time, see Part II. ch. iii. in Concessive tences, see Part II. ch. iv.

in Final Sentences of Past Purpose, 257. in Relative Final Sen-

tences, 258. with ὅπως Modal (Fut.

Indic.), 259, etc., 262.

— with Verbs of Fearing, 263.

with Consecutive Sentences, see Part II. ch. vi. with Limitative or Restrictive Sentences, 274.

- with Causal Sentences, see Part II. ch. vii.

with Expressions of Wishes, see Part II. ch.

- with Relative tences, see Part II. ch. ix.

for the substituted Optative by the Graphic or Vivid Construction in Historic Sequence whereever the Recta or the Primary Sequence took an Indicative.

Infinitive, see Table of Contents, 153-162.

- Epexegetical, 155-6. compared with Latin

Supine, 155.

— after a Comparative with η or ωςτε, 156.

- with ώς, ώς γε, Limitative, 156.

— for Imperative,² 159.

— denoting surprise, 160. — personal and imcon-

personal passive struction, 150.

Infinitive, with του denoting a purpose, 162.

— in Indirect Statement,

178, etc. in Indirect Petition,

with Verbs of Fearing,

 with τω, used causally, 279

Oratio Obliqua. - in introduced without Principal Verb, 398.

and Finite Mood alternating in Orat. Obliqua, 400.

with Adverbial and Relative Sentences Orat. Obliqua, 400. - in Latin Orat, Obliqua.

401. Indefinite Tenses, Definite.

- Time, three kinds of, 232. Iterative

(Frequentative) Tenses, 151.
See Temporal Sentences.

L

Limitative or Restrictive Sentences, 274-5. Locative Case, 64-5, 116.

Middle Voice,8 125-130.
—— (and Active) Verbs. Alphabetical List, 127

130. Modal Sentences with ὅπως, öπως μή, 259-262. (1) Fut. Indic. or Fut.

Opt., 260. (2) Subj. or Opt., 260. (3) ὅπη, ὅτῳ τρόπῳ, ἐξ

ότω τρόπω for όπως, 261.

(4) οπως with αν and Subj., 261.

(5) With μή for ὅπως μή, 261. Moods, see Table of Con-

tents, 132, 137.

N.

Negatives, see Part III., Ch. ii., Table of Contents

1 See Monro's Homeric Grammar, p. 167.

2 On the Infinitive as an Imperative see Monro's Homeric Grammar, p. 162. The whole of the chapter (see especially the Infin. as Subject, 157, Accus. with Infin., 158, Origin and History of Infinitive, 163), is worth careful perusal.

Begin and History of Infinitive, 163), is worth careful perusal.

Begin and History of Infinitive, 163), is worth careful perusal.

Begin and History of Infinitive, 163), is worth careful perusal.

has grown out of the Middle, in fact was originally one of the uses of the Middle.

Neuter Plural, with Verb Singular, 15.

— Plural, with Verb Plu-

ral, 15. — Singular Predicate. with Plural Subject, 15. Pronoun, (Adj. or Adv.) with Gen. (like Lat. paullum sapientiae), 85.

Nominative Case, 65. - with Infinitive, 13, 158,

with Participle, 187.

Object, Direct and Remote,

Sentence, 252, footnote.

Optative Mood--Introductory Note, 132.

- in Independent Sentences, 135, etc. A. Denoting a Wish

Exhortation. Command, or Prohibition).

B. Deliberative Questions.

- in the Indirect Statement, 181.

- in the Indirect Question, 188.

- in Deliberative direct Questions, 190 - in the Indirect Peti-

tion (Fut. Opt.), 191, 262. Sen-- in Conditional tences, see Part II. ch. ii. (a.) In Future Condi-

tions. (b.) In General or Frequentative Past Conditions.

- in Temporal Sentences. denoting Indefinite Time (which is of three kinds), see Part II. ch. iii. - in Concessive Sen-

tences with el kai, kai el, 250.

Final Sentences, 252, etc.

in Relative Final Sentences, 259. - with ὅπως Modal (Fut.

Opt.), 259. with Causal Sentences in Virtual Oratio Obliqua,

with expressions of a

Wish, 280. - used in Primary Se-

quence, 256.

Optative Mood-

the Optative with av. found in other Sentences, is always an Apodosis used subordinately. Oratio

Optative in Obliqua introduced without a Principal Verb, 399.

— Assimilation and Non-

Assimilation of Optatives,

Oratio Recta and Obliqua, 10. Virtual Obliqua, 11, 240, 243, 277,

see Chapter on Oratio Obliqua.

— Oratio Obliqua, see Part III., ch. iii. (Table of Contents).

P.

Participle, see Table of Contents, 162-177.

 Fut. Part. with Article. Neuter or Substantive, 164. — with Verbs of Percep-

tion, Emotion, etc., 170,

 in Indirect Statement, 187, etc.

Conditional Protasis,

224. - Conditional Apodosis,

227. Temporal, 247-8. — Concessive, 249.

— Final, 258. - Causal, 278. Passive Voice, 130-1.

Voice, in Greek and

Latin, 130. Perception, Verbs of, with Participle, 186.

 Verbs with ὅτι, or ὡς, 187. - Verbs with Acc. and

Gen., 88.

Verbs with Preposi-

tion, 89. - Verbs with Adjectives and Adverbs denoting Perception in Gen., 100. Verbs with μή, and

Infin., 353.

— Verbs with μή, and Particip., 354. See 364. Perfect Tense, uses of, 144 Person, 2d pers. sing., used impersonally, 22.

Petition Indirect, 191-2. Plural for Singular, 21-2. Predicate, 1, 13, contrasted with Attributive, and Apposition, 5. Supplementary, 2, 22; Oblique or Dependent, 43-5.

- agreement of, with several Subjects, 16-18.

— with Article, 37.

Prepositions, see Table of Contents, Part III., 286,

Ouasi Prepositions. 288, 301-2, 306.

Present Tense, uses of, 142. Principal and Subordinate, see Sentence. Promising, see Hoping.

Pronouns, see Table of Contents, Part I. ch. iii., 47-63.

· Personal, for Reflexive, 48.

— Possessive, for a Geni-

tive Subjective, or Objec-

tive, 49.

— in Gen., agreeing with a Personal Pronoun implied in the Possessive,

49. Reflexive, for Reciprocal, 50. - as antecedent to the

Relative, 52, n. 4, 55, n. 1. Protasis and Apodosis, meanings of, 105, footnote.

Ouestions, Indirect, 188. - Indirect, Deliberative, I90-I.

- Deliberative, in Subj. and Opt., 134-6.

R.

Recta and Obliqua, 10. Relative Sentences, 9, 284-5.1 Restrictive, see Limitative.

S.

Schema Pindaricum, 16. Sentence, parts of, z. Simple and Compound.

- Principal and Subordinate, 6.

- Co-ordinate, 7. Subordinate classified, 7-10.

Sequence of Moods, 138. Singular for Plural, 18. Statement, Indirect, Table of Contents, 178,

1 On Relative Sentences, see Monro's Homeric Grammar. Digitized by Microsoft®

Subdirect and Suboblique, 11, 389 (and Orat. Obl., passim).

Subjunctive —

Introductory Note, 132.
 in Independent Sentences, 134, 135.
 A. In Exhortations.
 B. In Prohibitions.

C. In Deliberative
Questions.
D. Denoting a future
possibility (a very
rare Attic construc-

tion).

— in Deliberative Indirect

Questions, 190.

in Indefinite Sentences
with av. 104.

with av, 194.

— in Conditional Questions (see Part II. ch. ii.).

(a.) In Future Conditions.

(b.) In General or Frequentative Present Conditions.

denoting Indefinite Time (which is of three kinds), (see Part II. ch. iii.).

Subjunctive, in Concessive Sentences with ἐὰν καί, καὶ ἐάν, 250. — in Final Sentences of

— in Final Sentences of Primary Sequence (see Part II. ch. v.) — in Modal Sentences

— in Modal Sentences with öπως, etc., as a rarer and variant construction, 260.

 with Verbs of Fearing, etc., 263, etc.

alternating with Optative, 182-184 (see Compound Sentence and Oratio Obliqua passim, and 254, n. 1).

Substituted, by the Graphic or Vivid Construction, for the Optative in Historic Sequence whereever the Recta or the Primary Sequence took a Subjunctive, see Graphic. Substantive used as Adjective or Attributive, 23.
Superlative and Compara-

tive, 120-123. Swearing and Witnessing, Verbs of, take $\mu\dot{\eta}$, 353, n. 4, (see 352).

T.

Temporal Sentences, see
Table of Contents, Part
II., ch. iii., 232-248.
Tenses, see Table of Con-

tents, Part I. ch. vii., 138-152.
Time in the Moods, 139.
Tmesis, 288.

Time in Line
Tmesis, 288.
Transitive Verbs become
Intransitive, 124.

V. Vocative, 80. Voices, see Table of Con-

tents, 124-131.

Wish, expressions of. 280-3.

1 On the Subjunctive and Optative see Monro's Homeric Grammar (Subjunctive in Principal Clauses, 196; in Subordinate Clauses, 201; Optative in Simple Sentences, 215; in Subordinate, 219; History of Subjunctive and Optative, 229, etc.).

GREEK INDEX.

The numbers refer to the pages of the book.

A privative, Adjectives compounded with, take a Gen., e.g. ἀμνήμων, ἀνήκους, 98, 103.
ἀγαθάν (εὐ, κακόν, etc.), λέγω, δρῶ, etc., with double Acc., 72 (for Passive forms, see 73, n. 2).
ἀγάλλομαι, with Dat., 112.
ἄγαμαι, with Gen., 94.
ἀγανακτῶ with Dat., 117.

άγανακτῶ, with Dat., 117. ἄγειν χειρός, 87. άγειν χειρός, with Gen., 103. άδελφός, with Gen. or Dat., 119.

άδικῶ, with double Acc., 72. `Αθηνῶν πόλις, 81.

'Αθηνῶν πόλις, 81. ἀθυμῶ, with Dat., 112. αἰδοῦμαι, with Acc., 75. aἰρῶ, with Gen. of Charge, 95. αἰσχύνομαι, with Acc., 75.

— with Dat., 112. — with Infin., 155, 172.

— with Infin., 155, 172.
 — with μή, 264.
 αἰσθάνομαι, with Gen., 86.
 — with Particip., 169, 175,

186. αἰτιῶμαι, with Gen. of Charge, 95.

άκολουθός, with Gen. or Dat., 119. άκολουθώ, with Dat., 118.

άκούω, άκροῶμαι, with Gen., 86. ἄλις, with Gen., 82. άλισκουαι with Gen.

άλίσκομαι, with Gen. of charge, 95. ἄλλος, ἀλλοῦος, with Gen.,

103. ãλλος, meaning besides, 62.

Digitized by Microsoft®

ο άλλος, meaning in general,

αμφισβητῶ, with Gen and Dat., 117, 118.

— with μή, μὴ οὐ, 365.
*Αν, see Part II., ch. ii.,
Table of Contents, 193231.

"Av, with Impera and Aor. Indic., denoting a re-

peated act, 151.

— with Aor. Infin., after
Verbs of hoping, etc.,
181.

 with Infin.; in Indirect Statement, 181.
 with Optat., in Indirect

Statement, 185.

— with Particip., in Indirect Statement, 187.

 with Aor. Indic., not denoting an unfulfilled condition, 216.

- omitted with the Subj. , in Subordinate Sentences,

retained with the Optat. in Subordinate Sentences, 246.

- with Final Sentences,

— with ὅπως Modal, 261,
 n. 4.
 — with ὥστε Consecutive.

272, n. 2. 'Ανά, Prep., 291, 292. ἀναγκάζω, with double Acc.,

73. ἀναγκαῖος, construction of,

159. ἀναμιμνήσκω τινά τι and τινά τινος, 73, n. 3.

Ανευ, 301. ἀνήρ=τις, with Gen., 83- ἀντέχω, with Dat., 118. ἀντέχομαι, with Gen., 86. ἀντίλο (Prep., 294. ἀντιλέγω μή and μὴ οὐ,

ἀντιποιῶ, with double Acc.,

72. ἀντίστροφος, with Gen. or Dat., 119.____

ἀνύτω, with Particip., 172. ἄξιος, construction of, 159. ἀξιῶ, with Gen., 93, 103. ἀπαγορεύω (ἀπεῖπον) μή, μὴ οὐ, 365.

ἀπαλλάσσω, -ομαι, with Gen., 99.

δεπ., 99. ἀπαντῶ, with Dat., 118. ἀπειθῶ, with Dat., 116. ἀπεχθάνομαι, with Dat.,

117. ἀπέχω, with Gen., 99. ἀπέχομαι μή, μὴ οὐ, 363. ἀπιστώ, with Dat., 116. — (ἀπιστίαν παρέχει) μή, μὴ οὐ, 264, 365.

Από, Prep., 295-297. ἀποδέχομαι ταῦτά τινος, 88. ἀποδόδράσκω, with Acc., 75. ἀποδίδομαι, with Gen., 93. ἀποκάμνω, with Particip., 172. αποκρύπτομαι μή, μη οὐ, 365. ἀπολαύω τί τινος, 85, 86.

απολύομαι μή, μη ού, 365. απορία, with Gen., 82. αποστερῶ, with double

Acc., 72. ἀποστρέφω μή, μη οὐ, 365. ἀποτρέπω, with Gen., 100. ἀποτυγχάνω, with Gen.,

86. ἀποφεύγω, with Gen. (of charge), 05. ἄπτομαι, with Gen., 86. ἀρήγω, (poet.), with Dat.,

αρήγω, (poet.), with Dat., 116. ἀρκῶ. doκεῖ. construction.

άρκῶ, ἀρκεῖ, construction, 173. ἀρνοῦμαι μή and μὴ οὐ. 365.

άρτι, with Present, 143. άρχω, ἄρχομαι, with Gen., 86, 88, 98.

- with Infin. and Particip.,

äτε, Causal. αὐτοκράτωρ, with Gen., 103. αὐτός, various uses of, 53-

with Dat. of Circumstance, 114.
strengthens Reflexives.

— strengthens Reflexives, 49. — subject to Infinitive, 179.

αὐτο-δικαιοσύνη, etc. (Platonic idioms), 26, 55. αὐτὸς and ὁ αὐτός, 42, 53-4. ὁ αὐτός, constructions of,

110. ἀφαιροῦμαι τινά τι, and τινά τινος, 72, 73, π. 3. ἀφίημι, with Gen. (of

αφημι, with Gen. (or charge), 95. αφίστημι, with Gen., 99. αχθομαι, with Dat., 112,

άχρειος, ἄχρηστος, with Dat., 119. *Αχρι, quasi-Prep., 302.

Aχρι, quasi-Prep., 302.

— temporal Conjunction (see μέχρι).

В

βαίνω πόδα, 77. βαρέως φέρω, with Dat. 117. βασιλεύω, with Gen., 98. βιάζομαι, with Acc., 78. βλάπτω, with double Acc.,

ρλε. βλαστείν, with Gen., 8ο. βλέπω νᾶπυ, etc., 78. βοηθῶ, with Dat., 116. βουλεύω ὅπως, with Fut. Indic., etc., 261-2.

γελώ, with Acc. 76.

γεύω, with Gen., 84, 86. γηροτροφώ, with Acc., 76. γράφομαι, with Gen. of charge, 95.

— with double Acc., 73. γυμνός, with Gen., 82, 98,

Δ

δακρύω, with Acc., 76. δέδοικα, with Infin., 155. δέρκομαι πῦρ, "Αρην, etc.,

78. δή, δήποτε, δηποτοῦν, as Suffixes, 58. δῆλος, δηλῶ, constructions,

172, 174.
δεῖ, constructions, 117.
δεῖ ὅπως, 261, π. δ.
δεῦτερος, δευτεραῖος, with

Gen., 97. Δια, Prep., 307-310. διαβάλλω, with double Acc.,

72. διαλλάσσω τινά τινι, 105. διαλέγομαι, with Dat., 109,

118. διανέμω, with Acc. and Dat., 105.

διαπλέω, with Acc., 75. διαφέρω, with Gen., 97. διαφέρομαι, with Dat., 98,

διαφερόντως έχω, with Gen., 97, 103. διάφορος, with Gen., 97,

with Dat. or Gen., 109, 119. διδάσκω, with double Acc.,

72. διέχω, with Gen., 99. δίκαιος, construction of,

159. διπλάσιος, etc., with Gen., 97.

97. διψῶ, with Gen., 87. διώκω, with Gen. of charge (ὁ διώκων), 95. δοκεί, δοκῶ, construction of,

117, 159. δυοΐν θάτερον, etc., 26. δυσέρως, with Gen., 103. δύσνους, with Dat., 119.

100

 ἐάν, see Conditional and Concessive Sentences.
 — never Interrogative, 191,

footnote, 207. έαυτοῦ, for 1st and 2d pers., 50.

— Reciprocal, 50. ἐγγύς, with Gen and Dat.,

ἐγγυῶμαι, with μή and Infin., 353.

έγω (σύ) for αὐτός, as Sub- | ject of Infin., 180. έγκαλῶ, with Dat., 117. eyκρατής, with Gen., 103. έδει, without αν, 144, 218. See Conditional and Concessive Sentences. - Interrogative. See Indirect Question. - Interrogative, with Subj. . - Interrogative, with Verbs of Fearing, 266. - for ὅτι with Verbs of Emotion, 186. with Subj., 245. εὶ (ἐάν) καί, καὶ εἰ (ἐάν), 250. ei, εi γάρ, εϊθε, with Wishes, 280-283. εί δὲ μή, 208 εί (ἐάν)=si forte, 208. εὶ ἐάν, in Virtual Obliqua, εί οὐ, 347, 358, 359. είπερ (ἐάνπέρ), quasi concessive, 250. είκὸς ην (without αν), see ěδει. είκω, with Gen., 99, Gen. or Dat., 106. είργω, with Gen., 99. μή, μη οὐ, 365.
 Εἰς, Prep. 292. είς διδασκάλου, Αϊδου, etc., 79είς ἀνήρ, with Superlative, 123. eἴσειμι, with Acc., 75. Έκ, Έξ, Prep., 297. έκατι, 301. εκδιδράσκω, with Acc., 75. ἐκβαίνω, with Gen., 100. έκεινος, uses of, 52. έκπλήσσομαι, etc., Αcc., 75. έλαττῶ, ἐλαττοῦμαι, with Gen., 98. ἐλεύθερος, ἐλευθερῶ, with Gen., 98, 99, 103. ἐλλιπής, with Gen., 113. έλκος οὐτάσαι, etc., 69.1 εμμονος (εμμένω), with Dat., IIQ. eμπλεως, with Gen., 82. έμπειρος (ἄπειρος), with Gen., 103. έμποδίζομαι (έμπηδων είναι), Dat. 116. - μή, μὴ οὐ, 365. εμφυτος, with Dat., 119.

Έν, Prep., 302.

Time, 115.

ev, when used with Dat. of

ένάντιος, with Gen. or Dat., ένδύω (έκδύω), with double Acc., 72. ένεκα, ένεκεν, 301. έννοῶ μη, μη ού, 264. έντρέπομαι, with Gen., 87. εντυγχάνω, with Dat., 109, тт8. έξ ότου τρόπου, 261. έξίστημι, with Acc., 75, with Gen., 100. structions of, 159. ἐπαινῶ, with double Acc., 72. ἐπαίρομαι, with Dat., 112. with Gen. ἐπέξειμι, charge, 95 ἐπέρχομαι, with Dat., 118. ἐπήβολος, with Gen., 90, έπηρεάζω, with Dat., 117. Έπί, Prep., 318-327 - Verbs compounded with, take a Dat., 118. έπιδέης, with Gen., 103. ἐπιδείκνυμι, with Partic., 187 (see 174 A.). ėπίδοξος, constructions of, έπίκουρος, with Gen., 103. ἐπικουρῶ, with Dat. 116. ἐπιμελής, ἐπιλήσμων, with Gen., 103. ἐπιμελοῦμαι, Modal, 260-1. - with Ínfin., 261, n. 6. ἐπίσταμαι, with Partic., 175. with Partic., and with Infin. with μή and Infin., 353. ἐπιτίθεμαι, with Dat., 117. ἐπιτήδειος, with Dat., 119, constructions of, 159. έπιτυγχάνω, with Dat., 87. έπομαι, with Dat., 109, see also 118. έρημος, with Gen., 82, 103. έρίζω, with Dat., 117. eρῶ, with Gen., 87.
— with μή and Infin., 353 ἐρωτῶ (ἠρόμην), with double Acc., 72. ἐσθίω, with Gen., 84. έστιν οί, είσιν οί, ένιοι, 60.

έχθρός, with Dat., 119. eχομαι, with Gen., Meanings of, 90. 144, 218. ἔχω, with Gen., 99. — as a Copulative Verb, 43. – μή, μη ού, 365**.** έχων, in colloquialisms, 165. ζηλώ, with Acc., 76; with Gen., 94. ηδη, with Presen 143. ή τις η ουδείς, 62. Comparative, 121. ήδομαι, with Dat., 112. ημισυς (δ) τοῦ χρόνου, etc., 85. ημῶν (ὑμῶν) αὐτῶν, Partitively, 51. ήττῶμαι, with Gen., 97-98; with Dat., 111. with ὅπως, θαρρώ, with Acc., 75. θαυμάζω, with Gen., 94. θαυμαστὸς ὅσος, etc., 59. θιγγάνω, with Gen., 86. ίδιος, with Gen. or Dat., 70, 119. iερός, with Gen., 79. ikavos, Constructions of, ινα. Final Conjunction, 253. ἴνα ἄν, not Final, 255. ισόμοιρος, with Gen., 84. ισόρροπος, with Gen. or Dat., 119. 117. ετερος, with Gen., 97, 103. εὐδαιμονίζω, with Gen., 94. к ευλαβούμαι, with Acc., 76. — with Infin., 155. — with ὅπως, 260, with μή, καί, καίπερ, καί ταῦτα, see μη ου, 264, 365. Concessive Sentences, εὐλογῶ, with double Acc.,

ἐφεξῆς, with Dat., 119. ἐφ΄ ῷ (ῷ τε), Limitative, 274. έφικνουμαι, with Gen., 86, έχρην (χρην), without av,

ή κατά, η ως (ωστε), with

ίσος, Constructions of, 110,

ίσῶ, with Acc. and Dat.,

καθαρός, with Gen., 82, 98,

καί, joining two Adjectives. 24.

euvous, with Dat., 119.

κάν, κάν εί, 209. κακούργος, with Gen., 103. καλὸς κάγαθός, 24. καλῶ, with double Acc., 71. Κατά, Prep., 310-313. κατὰ τοῦτο είναι, 157. κατακρίνω, καταγιγνώσκω,

etc., with Gen., 95. καταπολεμώ, with Acc., 76. καταφρονώ, with Gen., 87. κείρεσθαι, with Dat., 107. κελεύω, with Acc. and Dat. also with Acc. and Inf.,

тиб. κενός, with Gen., 82. κεφάλαιον (denique. adsummam), 26. κίνδυνός έστι μή, μη οὐ, 264. κιχάνω, with Acc., 86. κλύω ταθτά σου, 88. κοινός, with Gen. or Dat.,

79, 119. •κός, Adjectives ending in, with Gen., 113 κοινωνώ, with Gen. and Dat. 84. κρατῶ, with Acc. and Gen., 87, 97, 98.

κρύπτω, with double Acc., κύριος, with Gen., 103. κυρω. with Gen., 85, 87; Particip. (poet.),

173. κωλύω, with double Acc., 72. - μή, μη ου, 365.

λαγχάνω, with Gen., with Acc., 85 n. z; with Acc. and Dat., 116. λαμβάνω, with Gen. and Acc., 87. λαμβάνομαι, with Gen., 86. λανθάνομαι (and Compds.), with Gen., 86. λανθάνω, with Acc., 76. λανθάνω, λαθών constructions, 173, 174 λατρεύω, with Dat., 116. λείπομαι, with Gen., 98. λήγω, with Gen.. 99. λοιδορῶ, with Acc., 73, n. 3. λοιδοροῦμαι, with Dat. 73, n. 3, and 117. λυσιτελεί, with Dat., 117.

μά, and similar words, with Αcc., 77. μακαρίζω, with Gen. (and Acc.), 94. μᾶλλον ή, with Compar. and Positive, 121.

μάχομαι, with Dat., 100, μειονεκτώ, with Gen., 98.

μέλει μοι τούτου, 87. μέλει, μεταμέλει, Constructions of, 89, 117.

μέλει μοι, μελετώ, with ὅπως Modal, 260, 261. μέλλω, forming Periphras-

tic Future, 140. – πῶς (τί) οὐ μέλλω ; 150. μή, μη οὐ, 365. μέμφομαι, with Acc. and Dat., 73, n. 3, and 117.
- also Acc., also Gen.,

117. μέσος, with Gen., 103.

μεστός, with Gen., 82. Μετά, Prep., 316-317. μεταδίδωμι, with Gen. and Dat., 84, 105.

μεταλαμβάνω, with Gen., μεταξύ, with Particip., 165.

μεταποιοθμαι, with Gen., μέτειμι, with Acc., 75.

μετέρχομαι, with Acc., 75. μέτεστι, with Gen. and Dat., 84, 117. μετέχω, with Gen., 84: with

Acc., 85, n. 1. μέτοχος (ἀμέτοχος), with Gen., 84.

μέχρι, Conjunction, 238; quasi-Prep., 302. Mή, see Chapter on Nega-

tives. μη μή for μη οὐ, 264. μη for ὅπως μή, Modal, 261. μή, omitted after Verbs of denying, and μή for μη ου, 369. μηδέ μηδέπερ, Concessive,

249. μηδ' εἰ, μηδ' ἐάν, Concessive, 250. μηνύω, with Dat. and Acc.,

μηχανώμαι ὅπως, etc., 260, 261.

μικρού, 83. μιμνήσκομαι, with Gen., 86. μισώ, with Acc., 117. μνήμων (αμνήμων), with Gen., 103.

ναὶ μά (νή), with Acc., 77. νέμω, with double Acc., 71. νικῶμαι, with Gen., 97; Dat., 111. νομίζω, with Dat., 111.

ξυνίημι, with Gen., 86.

ο βουλόμενος (ο τυχών), 31,

ο (ὅπερ) λέγω, 60. ὅδε, ὁδί, uses of, 51, 53. ὄζω, with Gen., 86. ὁθούνεκα, for ὅπι in statements, 185; Causal, 277. οἶδα (ξύνοιδα), with Partic.

with Infin., 175.
oikelos, with Gen., 79.

οἰκτείρω, with Acc. and Gen., 94.
oluot, with Gen., 94. olov, with Superlative, 123.

οίός τε, 60. olos, Consecutive, 275;

Causal, 278. οΐσθ' ὅ δρᾶσον ; 137. οίχομαι, with Partic., 174 ολίγου, ολίγου δεί, 82; ολί-

γου δείν, 157. ολιγωρῶ, with Gen., 87. ομιλώ, with Dat., 109, 118. ομνυμι, with μή and Infin.,

353ὅμοιος (ἀνόμοιος), 119. - Constructions of, 110. ομοιῶ, with Acc. and Dat.,

ομολογώ, with Dat., 117, 119; with Partic., 187. ομόσε χωρῶ, with Dat., 117. όμοῦ, with Dat., 119. ὁμώνυμος, with Dat., 119. ὅμως, see Concessive Sen-

tences, 249 ονομάζω, with double Acc.,

öπη for öπως, with Fut.,

261. όπότε, Causal, 277. ὅπως, Final, 253; Modal

with Fut., 259, etc.; in Indirect Petition, 262; for ὅτι in Statements, 185; Temporal, 235; οπως αν, with Opt. not final, 255.

δργίζομαι, with Dat. and Gen., 94, 117.

ορέγομαι, with Gen., 86. ορφανός, with Gen., 98. όρω μή, μη ού, 264; ὅπως, 260, 261.

ös, Personal, 29; Relative, 57; Interrogative, 56; Conditional, 225, 226; Consecutive, 274; Causal, 278.

ős γε Causal, 278. οσος, see olos. ὅσον γε μ' εἰδέναι, 157.

ος πέρ, 57. οστις, Relative, 57; In-

terrogative, 56; Conditional, 225, 226; Consecutive, 274; Causal, οσφραίνομαι, with Gen., 86. οτε, Temporal, 234; Causal,

277, n. 3. ὅτι (and ως), in Statements, 178, etc.; difference be-

tween, 184. Causal, 276; with Verbs of

Fearing, 265. őri, with Superlative, 123. ότω τρόπω for όπως, 261. Οὐ, οὐκ, οὐχί, see Part III. ch. ii. (Table of Con-

tents), 345, etc. οὐ μά, with Acc., 79. οὐ μή, with Fut. Opt. and

Infin., 375. οὐδ' εἰ (ἐάν), Concessive,

οὐδέ (περ), Concessive, 249. οῦ, οἱ, ἔ, Reflexives, 50. οὐδεὶς ὅςτις οὐ, 59. ούκ οίδ' ἄν εί, 203. οὖν, as a Suffix, 58. οΰνεκα for ὅτι, 185 οῦτος, ούτοσί, Relatives, 57.

ούτος, heus tu! 66. ούτω, in Wishes (sic-ut),

ὄφρα (Epic and Lyric), final, 253. όψιμαθής, with Gen., 103.

п

πάλαι, with Present, 143. παν=quidlibet, 63. πάντα είναι, 16 Пара, Ргер. 318-332. Verbs compounded with, take Dat., 118. παραβάλλω, Constructions of, 118. παραπλήσιος, with Dat., Constructions of, 119; IIO. παρασκευαστικός, with Gen., παρασκευάζω ὅπως, etc., 260, 261. παρατάσσομαι, with Dat., παραχωρώ, with Gen., 99. παύω (Acc.), and Gen., 99; παύομαι, Gen., 99; παύω and παύομαι, with Particip., 163, 170. πένης, with Gen., 82, 103. πείθομαι, with Dat., 116. πείθου and πιθοῦ (μοι), 116.

 $\pi\epsilon\rho$, as a Suffix, 57.

Περί, Prep., 332-335.

- Verbs compounded with,

take a Dat. of reference, περιβάλλομαι, with double Acc., 72; Constructions of, 118.

περιγίγνομαι, with Gen.,

97. περίειμι, with Gen., 97. περιέρχομαι, with Acc.,

περιπλέω, with Acc., 75. πίμπλημι, with Gen., 82; Dat., 82.

 $\pi i \nu \omega$, with Gen., 84: Acc.,

πιστεύω, with Dat., 116. πιστεύω (πέπεισμαί), with μή and Infin., 353.

πίσυνος, with Dat., 119. πλεονεκτῶ, with Gen., 98. πλέως, with Gen., 103. πλήρης, with Gen., 82. πλούσιος, with Gen., 82. ποιοῦμαι, with Gen., 79. ποίος; πόθεν; in Repetitions, 56.

ποιός and ποσός (indefinite), 6т.

πολέμιος, πολεμῶ, with Dat., 119, 109. πολλή τῆς χώρας, 85. πολλοστός, etc., with Gen.,

πολλοῦ δεῖ (δέω), 83. πότερος; and ποτερός, 61. ποῦ γῆς; etc., 85, n. 3. πρακτικός, with Gen., 103. πράσσω (πράσσομαι), with double Acc., 72; πράσσω

öπως, etc. 260, 261. πρέπει, with Dat., 117. Πρό, Prep., 300.

προθυμοῦμαι, with Acc., 76; ὅπως. etc., 260, 261. προκαλούμαι, with Gen. of

charge, 95. πρόκειμαι, with Gen., 100. προςφιλής, with Dat., 119. προτιμώ, with (Acc.) and

Gen., 100. Høśs, Prep., 336. Verbs compounded with, take a Dat., 118. προςαγορεύω, with double

Acc., 71. πρόςειμι, with Dat., 118. προς έρχομαι, with

πρόςηκεί μοι τούτου, 117. προςκρούω, with Dat., 118, IIQ.

with Dat., προςτυγχάνω, 87, 109, 118. προτεραίος, with Gen., 9 πυνθάνομαι, with Gen., 86. πωλῶ, with Gen., 93. πῶς γὰρ ἄν ; 209.

πως αν: in Wishes, 215, 280, etc.

σημείον δέ, 26. σιωπῶ, with Acc., 76. σκοπῶ εἰ, Interrog., 261; ὅπως, etc. 260, 261; μή, μὴ οὐ, 264. σπουδάζω ὅπως, etc., 260,

στασιάζω, with Dat., 117. στερίσκομαι, with Gen., 99. στεφανούμαι, with Dat.,

στοχάζομαι, with Gen., 86. στρατηγώ, with Gen., 98. συγγιγνώσκω, with and Gen., 95.

συγγνώμων, with Gen., 103. συγχωρώ, with μή and In-

fin., 353. συκοφαυτῶ, with Acc., 76. συλλαμβάνω, Construction,

συμβουλεύω, with Acc. and Dat., 116.

σύμμαχος, with Dat., 110. σύμφερον, σύμφορος (ἀσύμφορος), with Dat., 119. συμφονῶ, with Dat., 117.

Σύν, Prep., 305.

— with Dat. of Circumstance, 114.

σὺν θεῷ εἰπεῖν, 157. Σύνεσις, see Construction ката̀ σύνεσιν (English Index).

 Verbs compounded with. take a Dat., 118. συνάδω (διάδω), with Dat.,

117. συναλλάσσω, with Acc. and Dat., 117

σύνειμι, with Dat., 118. συνελόντι (συντέμνοντι) είπείν, 107

σύνοιδα, Construction, 118, 175. σφάλλομαι, with Gen., 86.

σχέδον είπειν, 157. σχήμα καθ' όλον καὶ μέρη 24.

τὰ πρώτα είναι, 15. τάδε, τάδε πάντα, 52. τάσσω, with Gen., 93. τεκμήριον δέ, 26. τέμνω, with double Acc., 71. της αυτης ήμερας and τη αὐτῆ ἡμερα, 92. τί μαθών; τί παθών; (τί έχων, τί βουλόμενος), 164. τίμιος, with Gen., 103.

τιμώ, τιμώμαι, with Gen.. τιμωρούμαι, with Acc., 76: see 129. τιμωρώ, with Dat., 116. Tis, idiomatic uses of, 26. τὸ μή (μὴ οὐ), τοῦ οι τοῦ μή (μη οὐ), with Infin., after Verbs of denying, etc., 366, 367, 368. τὸ ποίον, τὸ τί; 56; τὸ ποιόν, τὸ ποσόν, 63. τοιούτος, followed by ου. 362. τοξεύω, with Gen., 87.

τοῦ, with Infin. Final, 94, see 366. τοῦ λοιποῦ and τὸ λοιπόν, 92. rυγχάνω, with Gen., 86:

with Particip., 173. τοῦτ' ἐκεῖνο, 52. τραφήναι, with Gen., 80. τρίβων, with Gen., 103. τυραννεύω, τυραννώ, with Gen., 98.

τυφλός, with Gen., 103.

ὑβρίζω, with double Acc.. υπακούω, with Gen. and with Dat., 116. ὑπάρχω, with Particip., 171. ύπεκτραπέσθαι μή, μη ού, 365. Υπέρ, Prep., 314 ύπεραλγώ, with Gen., 100. υπερβάλλω, with Acc., 75. ύπερέχω, with Gen., 97. ύπερφυης όσος, etc., 59. ὑπεύθυνος, with Gen. and Dat., 103.

υπηρετώ, with Dat., 116. ὑπισχνοῦμαι, with Dat. and with Acc., 116.

Υπό, Prep., 341.

ὑποβάλλω, with Dat., 116. ύποδύομαι, with Acc., 75. ύποπτεύω μή, μη ού, 264. υποτελής, with Gen., 103. υποτίθεμαι, with Dat., 116. ύποφεύγω, with Acc., 75. ύστερω, ύστερίζω, wi

Gen., 98. υστερός, υστεραίος, with Gen., 97.

υφίσταμαι, with Acc., 75.

φανερός, φανερόν, φαίνομαι, Constructions, 173, 174 φείδομαι (φειδώλός), with

Gen., 99, 103. φεῦ, with Gen., 94. φεύγω δίκην, 81; with Gen. of charge, 95; ο φεύγων,

95. φείγω μή, μη οὐ, 365. φθάνω, with Acc., 76; with Particip., 171.

φθονῶ, with Gen., 94; with Dat., 117. φιλικώς διάκειμαι, etc., with

Dat. φιλόδωρος, with Gen., 103. φιλομαθής, with Gen., 113 φοβούμαι, with Acc., 76: with Infin., 155; with μή, μη οὐ and variant constructions, 266, etc.

φρίσσω, with Acc., 75. φροντίζω ὅπως, etc., 260, 261; μή, μη ού, 264. φυλάσσω, -ομαι ὅπως, etc., 260, 261; μή, μη ού, 264,

φυλάσσομαι, with Acc. 76; $\mu \dot{\eta}, \, \mu \dot{\eta} \, o \dot{v}, \, 365.$

φυναί, with Gen., 8:

χαίρω, with Particip., 170.

χαλεπαίνω, χαλεπώς φέρω, with Dat., 112-117. χαρίζομαι, with Dat., 116. χάριν, quasi-Prep., 302. χορεύω θεόν, 72. χρή (χρην, έχρην), with μή and ov, 353. χρην, see έχρην.

χρήσιμος, χρηστός (ἄχρησ-τος), with Dat., 119. χρώμαι, with Dat., 111. χωρίζω, with Gen., eq. χωρίς, quasi-Prep. 201.

ψεύδομαι, with Gen., 86; with double Acc., 72. ψιλός with Gen., 98, 103; ψιλώ, with Gen., 99.

ώνητός, with Gen., 103; ώνουμαι, with Gen., 93: with öπως, etc., 260, 261. with omes, etc., 200, 201.

with omes, etc., 200, 201.

wis, Modal, 253; for omes
Modal, 261, M. 3; Final,
253; with Final Particip.,
258; for wiste, Consecutive, 275; wis an, Final,
255; in Wishes, 280, etc.;
Comparative (also wisten) with Particip., 165, 167

ὤς, with Superlative, 123. ώς (ὥςπερ) οὐ and μή, 357 ώς έπος είπειν, and similar phrases, 157.

ωςπερ αν εί, 200. ωςτε, Consecutive, 269-273; Limitative, 274

ώςτε μή, with Infin. after Verbs of denying, etc.,

ἄφελον, without αν, see έδει; in Wishes, 281-283.

TABLE OF REFERENCES.

Aeschines, B.C. 389-314 (?)
Aeschylus, B.C. 525-456.
Andocides, B.C. 440 (?)—last speech 390.
Antiphon, B.C. 480 (?)—last speech 390.
Aristophanes, B.C. 450 (?)—last play 388.
Demosthenes, B.C. 384 (?)-322.
Euripides, B.C. 480-406.
Isaeus, dates of speeches B.C. 389-352.
Isocrates, B.C. 436-338.
Lysias, B.C. 435 (?)-378.
Plato, B.C. 429 (?)-347.
Sophocles, B.C. 496-406.
Thucydides, B.C. 471 (?)-401 (?).
Xenophon, B.C. 429 (?)-356 (?).

The reference to the author is on the left hand, that to the page of the Grammar on the right hand.

					`									
LINE				PAGE	LINE				PAGE	LINE				PAGE
	AESC	HIN	IES.			Choe	phor	ae.		366,				239
					142,		٠.		417	384,				77
	. In C	tesipi	ronte	m.	200				74	431,				204
86,				39	257,				265	460,				272
177,				373	262,				43	465,				90
204,				352	290,				251	466,				239
333,			• 49	9, 122	392,				136	529,		•		210
					521,			•	412	680,				420
	AESC	HYI	LUS.		705,	•		•	92	715,				69
	Agai		***			E'	nenia			7 61,	•	•	•	237
	rigui	1166 1161	w.		_	12.167	nenii	es.		793,		•		87
37,	•	•	•	212	256,	•		•	21	800,		•		379
67,	•	•	•	318	344,	•	•	•	299	1041,		•		423
130,	•	•	•	340	6r8,	•	•	•	362	Due	o d I	eus I	<i>7:</i>	
200,	•	•	•	218	661,	•	•	•	362		mein	eus v	inci	
225, 586,	•	•		74		D.	ersae			10,	•	•	•	25 5
	•	•	•	305		4 6	7346	•		τ2,	•	•		107
642, 689,	•	•	•	29	10,	•	•	•	255	152,	•			257
788,	•	•		423	49,	•	•	•	16 82	165,	•	•		243
		•	•	77	100,		•	•		248,	•	•		365
813,	•	•		297 206	112,	•	•	•	265	285,				347
930,	•	•	•		124, 162,	•	•	•	385 26	419,		•	•	318
1050,	•	•	•	343	164,	•	•	•		481,		•	•	242
1258,	•	•	•	244 422	182,	•	*	•	102	627,	•		•	367
	•	•	•	327		•	•	•	92	650,	•	•	•	337
1379,			•	327	297,		•	•	251	7 86,	•	•	•	369
1400, 1438,	•	•	•		319,		•	•	III	830,	•	•		318
1439,	•	•	•	40 21	327,		•	•	420	86 ₅ ,	•	•	•	154
1487,			•	301	331,	•	•	•	74	898,			•	421
*40/1			•	301	337,		•	•	301	904,			•	74

										-				
LINE				PAGE	LINE				AGE	LINE	_			PAGE
987,	•	•	•	93	17,	•		122	225			sistr.		-0-
1047,	•	•	•	136	19,	•	•		225	459,	•	•	٠	383
.2.	eptem	ad T	heha	e.	21,	•	•	•	378	917,	•	•	•	378
48x,	op some			62	24,	•	•	•	218		Λ'	ubes.		
513,	•	•	•	206	25, 26,	•	•	244	, 250 397	5,		•	•	205
553,	•	•	•	778	90,	•	•	•	213	77,	•	•	٠	210
672,	•	:		289	91,	:		:	225	118,	•	•	•	204
681,				411	92,				225	153,	•	•	•	94 386
821,			not	te 341			Chor	4	- 1	296, 299,	•	:	•	373
843,		•		318		. De	Chor	euta.		341,	:		:	164
	Su	plice	s.		14,	•	•	•	209	3451				417
15,				309	15,	•	•	•	214	367,				37I
594,	•			74	29,	•	•	•	200	490,		•	•	262
					ΑĐ	TOTO	PHA	NE	2	505,	•	•	•	386
	AND	ocii	ES.				arnia		٠.	520,	•	•	•	282 363
	ı. De	Must	eriis.		_			ns.	41	692, 698,	•	•	•	331
30,				180	12,	•	•	•	41	788,		•	:	419
38,	:	:		0, 407	57,	•	•	:	250	792,	:		:	224
43,	:		24	4, 256	94,				78	819,				160
50,			• '	41	106,				56	876,				93
54,				223	166,		•		386	1027,		2	٠	150
57,		•		224	196,	•			88	1301,	•	•	٠	150
58,	59, •	•	•	218	364,		•	•	58	1368,	•	•	٠	41
61,	•	•	•	404 387	384,	•	•	•	123		P	lutus.		
63, 101,	•	•	:		418,	•	:	•	56 225	438,			٠	136
101,	•	•		353	4741	•	•	:	58	1133,	•	•	•	172
	\mathbf{H} . D	e Rea	litu.		562,	- :			219	1151,	•	•	•	255
10,				41	675,				120	ļ	R	anae.		
12,	•			223	761,			•	213	23,	•	•	٠	256
	111.	De Pa	zce.		959,		•	•	56	200,	•	•	٠	356
4I,			•	362	991,	•	•	•	215 160	202,	•	•	•	386
• •				-	1000,	•	:	277	2, 342		:	:		374 128
	ANT	'IPH	ON.		1048,	•	•	34.	52	462,				372
	11. Te	t-~ 7	R A		1055,				214	504,				235
			ъ. р.		1078,				122	522,				356
ı,		•	•	63 41, 48	1180,				334	526,		•	٠	356
2,	6, :	•		369			Aves.			609,	•	•	•	374
5,	٠, .			225	54,				137	636,	•	•	•	331
5,				379	195,		•	•	378	830,	•		•	214
6,				214	461,		•		373	866,	•			219
	II, .			45	964,			•	244	955,				281
	ıv. Te	tval	Гσ		1	Eccle	siazu	sae.		1459,				3 63
		<i>ar</i> .			105,				301		7	hesm.		
4,	•	•	•	223 352	115,		-	•	349	469,	_			282
6,	•	•	•	352	236,		•	•	16	409,		espae.		
٧.	De Co	rede k	Tero	dis.	493,	•	•	•	265	283,	ν	espae	•	407
1:	and pa	ıssim,		45	495,	•	•	•	256 383	397,	:	•	•	374
2,	:	• 1		415	650,	•	:	•	385	774,	:	•		166
7,				122	991,	•	:	:	378	1179,				417
II,	•	•	•	50	ינצפ		quites		J	1				
13,	•	•	21	7, 219		E			5, 370	*D	EMC	STH	ΕN	ES.
14,	•	•	•	357	112,	•	4	20	377		Olv	nthiac	1.	
15, 16,	•	:	:	205 223		•	•		261	15, 25				311
10,		•	•	223	920,	•				-5,				5

^{*} The references are to the pages of Reiske's edition, 1770. The numbers in brackets are the references as given in the text of this Grammar, in compiling which different editions of Demosthenes have been used. Some of the examples, about eighteen, borrowed from other books, have not been verified in Reiske. They are omitted in this list, but not in the text, as they are evidently authentic, and useful.

LINE	PAGE	LINE	PAGE	LINE				PAGE
Olynthiac II.	PAGE	381, 5,	I43	LINE				
		381, 10,	160	i	EUR	IPID	ES	
24, 14 [2, 22], .	330	388, 15,	398		A	lcestis		
Olynthiac III.		391, 9 [11], .	219	18,			•	300
29, 22 [same constructi	ion	395, 8 [§ 190],	206	150,	:	•	•	343
as in text],	70	418, 13,	75	346,	:	:	Ī	341
D7-2724-42		434, 6,	266	662,				172
Philippic 1.		In Leptinem.		671,				221
40, 1 [Phil. i. 1], .	239	460, 2 [20, 10],	7 5	690,		•		314
42, 4, 44, 12 [Phil. i. 44],	320 224	470, 26,	380	741,	•	•	•	94
45, 1 [Phil. i. 18], .	206	478, 25 [20, 73],	313	755,	•	•	٠	221
45, 11 [iv. 19], .	108			Ì	And	romac	ho	
49, 14 [Phil. i. 9], .	43	In Midiam.			217500	, Direct	,	68
49, 14 [Phil. i. 9], . 51, 27 [Phil. i. 10],	90	527, 3,	321	134,	•	•	•	18, 52
54, 20 [Phil. i. 54],	150	528, 16,	339	220,	•	•	•	416
D - 7		530, 21 [21, 51], . 582, 25,	209 206	441,		:		341
De Pace.	_	502, 25,	200	700,				377
61, 17 [de Pace iv.]	76	In Androtionen	z.	797,				386
Philippic II.		596, 17,	257	946,	•	•	٠	114
66, 12 [Phil. ii. 66],				1077,	•	•		377
00, 12 [Phil. 11. 00],	255	In Aristocraten		1251,	•	•	•	307
De Chersoneso.		660, 7 [23, 120],	16	1	Ba	cchae.		
107, 8 (viii. 70), .	331	In Timocratem		r,				71
777.777.43		734, 2 [24, 107], .	278	5,				71
Philippic 111.		734, 5 [37, 28], .	34	29,		•		74
112, 7 ,	219	763, 15 [24, 203], .	76	70,	•	•	٠	422
119, 8 [9, 31], 124, 19[same constructi	23	In Aristogit, 1.		142,	•	•		415
as in text],	314	773, 4,	261	156, 183,	•	•	•	342 422
129, 19 [129, 72],	17			250,	:	:	:	74
130, 14,	265	In Aphobum 1.		270,			:	350
	·	815, 11,	313	277,				304
Philippic IV.	_	816, 12,	325	331,	•			287
141, 3 [1, 141],	265	822, 8,	325	342,	*			386
De Rhod, Liberta	t.	834, 23,	229	343,	•	•	•	374
197, 8,	359	In Aphobum II		757, 847,	•		•	322
***	339	837, 13 [11],	572	1039,	:	•	•	71 323
Pro Megalop.		841, 17,	230	1134,			:	114
202, 23,	403	842, 9,	282	1263,				350
207, 4,	261	Pro Phano in Aph	2	1288,				348
De Corona.		(Aphob. III.)	<i></i>	1312,		•	٠	218
229, 16 [21, 26],				1353,	•	•	*	71
236, 10 [12],	331 260	849, 24,	257	1368,	•	•	•	32 3
242, 10 [242],	224	In Onetor. A.			$C_{\mathcal{Y}}$	clops.		
243, 17,	115	865, 24,	246	120,				375
246, 10,	41	869, 9,	405	131,				137
268, 28 [18, 124],	135	870, 24,	388	595,				262
2 69, 19 [18, 127] .	23	In Stephanum.			E .	ectra.		
274, 28,	207				E	ectra.		
278, 15 [21, 117], . 279, 18,	24	1113, 4 [45, 38], .	164	231,		•	•	25
288, 29,	323 323	In Polyclem.		369, 939,	•	•	•	377 62
292, 21,	304	1206, 12 [Meid.], .	206	1035,	:	:	:	16
301, 1,	77	In Cononem.		1061,		:	:	281
301, 27 [18, 220]	39	1266,2,	359	1165,				377
313,6,	261	, ,	339		<i>[-1.</i>	ecuba.		
De Fals. Legat.		In Neaeram.		580,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		270
343, 19 [19, 8], .	106	1360, 20 [viii. 70], .	331		:		•	318 272
372, I,	267	Epitaphium.		730, 836,				280
379, 7 ,	368	1397, 1,	299	1085,				111

LINE				PAGE	LINE			I	AGE	LINE				AGE
1111,	•	•	•	218 257		Or	estes.		- 1		v. Ph	ilippi	us.	
1154,	:	:	:	344	263,				369	77,	•			402
1275,		:		147	380,				54	3/7	. Arc	hida	21111	
	7-7	elena			418,				18	13,	. 21/6		700001	269
705	110	ucnu	•	360	497,				IOI	24,	•	•	1	122
107, 176,	•	•	•	403	529,		•	•	23	25 [1	20],	:	:	206
825,	:	:	:	206	622, 680,	•	•	•	34I 249	26,				242
885,				93	936,	•	:	:	172	89,	٠.	•	•	26
1358,				16	1098,				25	107 [1	38 A],		•	212
	Hero	ve Toin	Tae		1320,				149	l vii	. Are	opagr	iticus.	
	116/6			306	1593,			211	, 228		52 D],			315
37, 130,	•	•	•	24		Pho	enissa	10.						3-5
179,		:	24	3, 403	92,	2 7001			7, 370	1	VIII	De P	ace.	
248,			. '	265	518,	:	:		319	114,	•		•	321
482,		•		355	710,				169	1	1x. <i>E</i>	vagor	ras.	
699,	•	•	•	23	838,				23	74,				329
800,		•	•	23	1215,		•	•	211	' ' '				
971,	•	•	•	304	1216,	•	•	•	228	1	Hele	nae 1	.aua.	
F.	Tercui	es Fr	urens	5.	1357,	•	•	•	36o 375	28,	•		•	99 368
1054,				265	1621,		:	:	212	47,	•	•	•	300
1435,	•			250	1624,				249	XII	. Pan	ıathe1	naicus	s.
	Hib	polyt	265.			R	hesus.			97,				20
213,				386	_	21.	reus ma	•	131	1			J. 71.	
407,	:			280	415,	•	•	:	25			s. ve e etione		mu-
496,				386	4-39	·		•	-5	}		i conc.	•	
499,				372	1	Su_{j}	pplice	s.		33,	•		•	251
606,	•	•	•	372	484,				330		т х	SIAS	2	
659,		•	•	13	897,	•	•	•	836	i.		. DIA	٠.	49
701, 1103,	•	•	•	339	1066,	•	•	37	1, 386		21,	:	:	262
1410,				280		T_2	roade.	s.		iv.	I,			380
1425,				107	178,				370	vii.	27,		•	270
1601,	-	•	•	386	214,				254	xii.	44,		•	261
		Zon.			662,				55		48,	•	•	250
3471				359	735,	•	•	•	24		73, 74,	•	:	35 1 396
672,				403	818,	•	•	•	332 176	xiii.	83,		•	88
1074,				75	905,	:	- :	:	354	xix.	39,	-		97
1523,	•	•		267	970,	-	-	-	351	1	49,			59
7	phige	nia i	n Au	eZ.	1	IS	AEU	S.		xxii.	4,	•	•	245
436,				171	111	De P			red.		18, . 27,	•	•	180
489,	•	•		244	1		-				тб.	•	•	35I
754				292	32,	•	•	•	107	XXX				62
1025,	•			55	v. 1	De Di	caeog	. He	red.	i				
1047,	•	•	•	77	26,		_		74	1	PI	LATO).	
I 2	bhigen	ia in	Tai	ur.	1	De P				1		pology		
534,				71						1 : .	7 A,			184
1203,				137	6,	•	•	•	20	1	17 B, 6	12. 22	2. 212	
1371,	•		•	289	VIII	. De	Ciron	. He	red.] ;	7 C,	,	-, ,	320
	7	Tedeo	y		Q,				357		7 D,		216	, 320
88,		2 62160		347	24,	:	:		374	ii. 1	8 A,	•	95	, 159
216,	<u>.</u>	-		297	1				-	1 3	18 в,	•	•	74
233,				93		ISOC	CRAT	ES.		1 ;	18 C, 18 D,	:	- 41	37
334,	•	•		82	ī	Adi	Demo:	nicur	n.	iii.	19 B,	:	• 44	422
627,		•		315 58					60		19 C,			280
753, 1018,		•	•	112					_	1 :	19 D,	21	0, 414	, 419
1151,	:	:		374	1 1	v. Pa	-				19 E,		•	22
1271,				135	19,				12, 406	, ,	20 A,	•	•	109
. , . ,						2	2 E 2	2						

LINE			PA	GE	LINE				P.	AGE	LIN	H				PA	AGE
	20 B,	20.	215,	223	xxxi	i. 40	D, .			204	835	D,					17
	20 C,			216		40	E,			209	895						277
v.	20 E,	- 44,	250,	325		43	г., .			325	948	С,					19
	21 A,			68	xxxii	i. 4:	A, A (in	text	xxxi	ii.),	942	с,					135
vi.	21 B,		187,	412						258			7				
	21 D,		225,	249			Craty	7215.		1			1	vsis.			-60
	21 E,			419	202.0					950	212	D,	•	•	*		368
vii.	22 A,		٠ 、	68	393 C	,	•		•	370 370	216	с,			•		267 267
	21 E, 22 A, 22 B(in	textv	'III.),	151	430 1	,	٠		•	3/0	218	ь,			•		207
	22 C (1n	text	٧1. J,	13			Cri	to.					M	eno.			
V111.	22 D,			187	iii.	44 I),			257	70						370
:	22 E,			270	iv.	44 E	Ξ,			417			•				59
IX.	23 A,			209 221		45 4	۱, ۱			159	70	в,	•	•			70
-11	23 B,					45 1	3, . 5, .		191,			E,					341
AII.	25 B,	• • •			.v.	45 I	, .	•		129	90	-,		*	•		31-
viii	25 C,		•	419	ıx.	48 (Ξ,		208,				Ph	aedo.			
	25 D,			270		50 I		•		379	58	E,					278
	26 D,			360	xii.				211,		60	c,					148
	26 E,			414		50 I		•	•	335	бі	В,					369
xv.	27 D,			214		51 4	1,		•	174	62	в,					416
	28 B (in	text	xv.)		xv.	53 L	,		•	205	68	В,					211
	,		264,	383	xvi.	54 1	5,	•	•	120	69	Α,					340
	28 C,					E	uthya	lemu	s.		70	Α,			2	64,	370
xvii.	28 D, 28 E, 29 A,		212,	224	299 I					228	72	С,					402
	28 E,		234,	259	000					16	77	в (ir	tex	t 77 6	5),		242
	29 A,		٠.	413	304 E	ē.				257	1				2	51,	370
	29 6,	100,	105,	352	302 C 304 I 307 I	3.				380	84	в,		•			383
	29 C,		205,	211	3-7			•		5		c,					338
	29 D (in					- 4	Euthy	phro	١.		84	E,					267
	30 B, 30 C,	•	4	351	12 D					216		Α,	•			91,	100
					14 C					217	87	E,	•		*	-0	136
	30 C (in										000	А, в (Г	haar	1	in		242
XVIII	30 A,		•				Gorg	ras.			93	D (1	ext)	44.	111		25
	30 C,			421	457	ο,			274	347	0.5	Α,	.c.r.	,			399
	30 D,	•	203,	150	457 1				,	265		Α,					414
viv	31 D,	•	204,	260	459 1					349	08	D,					353
26.126	30 D, 31 A, 31 D, 32 A,	251.	278.	42T	477,					26	102	D.					278
xx	32 A,	,-,	, -,	68	479	٠,	•			271		E,					366
	32 B (in				479 1			٠		91		•					
	٠,		298,		489,					90	1		Pha	edru	s.		
xxi	32 D,			203	495			1		262	227						205
	33 A,			210	499,					25	228						343
	33 B,			22I	506	3,				406		Α,					40
xxii	. 33 C,	•		420		۸,		•	•	48	239	D,	., .	. :			75
	33 D,			219	5161	-,			•	309	242	CIT	hae	10],			16
	33 E,			40	ĺ		Lac	hes.				В,	*		•		23
	34 A,	•		219	180 (251	279	Α,			•		335
:::	34 D,			422	180 1	₹.				24			Ph	ilebu.	.2.		
XXIII			250,	316	195					301						٠.	
	34 D,		•	203	196,					370		A, E,				204,	370 42
vvio	35 A, 35 B,	•	•	301	1 1					٠,	~/	٠,					42
AAIV	35 C,	•		352	1		$Le_{\mathcal{E}}$	res.						iticu			
XXV	. 3б А,			350	640	D.				419	276	с Гк	aì ei], -			251
XXV	. 36 c,			243	679					21	303	Α,		., -			103
xxvii	. 37 D,			22	698	c,				113		D,					32
xxviii	. 38 A,			205	701					301	ا آ		_				
	33 в,			398	715	Ε,				122			Prot	agor	as.		
	39 A,	225,	272,	410	719					205	309						70
	30 C (e	ικαί.	in ter	κŧ	721	Α,				81	313						262
		kai e	ι),	251	726					316	320						303
XXX	. 39 E,		237,	369	731	Ξ,		•		373	321						261
	40 B,			234	737	s,			•	71	322						328
	41 E,			377	809	وٽ	1	•		335	323	c,	•	٠			362

LINE			PAG	E	LINE				PAGE	LINE			PAGE
326 D,			. 2		615 D,				205	586,	•		147, 148
329 B,			. 20	o6	616 c,				299	593,			. 356
3 3б D,			• 3	53					- 1	632,			. 415
352 D,				67		Sopi	histes.		_	659,			. 85
358 в,				25	235 A,	-			267	665,			. 420
,	_				254 A,				38	674,			151
	Rep	iblic.			, ,				-	680,			. 418
329 B,			I	00		Svin	bosiun	22.		690,			418
337 E,			1	92	175 A,				374	692,			. 147
338 D,				24	179 B,				328	715,			· 277
339 E,				92	185 E,				251	725,			. 59
344 D,				45	186 в,				22	728,			. 367
345 E,					187 D.				255	767,			114, 306
348 E,			. 1	77 86	189,				407	803,			. 100
352 C,				36	213 D,				26t	807,			. 101
354 A 81	nd B.			32	222 A,				205	816,			. 106
358 B,	,			108	,					828,			• 335
359 C,			213, 4			The	aetetu	w.		840,			413
360 B,				74	145,				370	960,			. 309
360 D,				121	145 B,				267	1094,			• 377
362 D,			-	55	155 A,		_		238	1114,			· 337
362 D (C) in t	(120	,	136	169 B,	-			21	1121,			44
	J 111 L			256	183 E,				264	1131,			347
393 E, 398 A,				311	190 A,				377	1231,			277, 377
		•		250	190 11,	•	-		577	1242,			34
404 B,	,	•		385		T_i	maeu	.2.		1264,		-	. 280
405 A,		•			25 D,				311				• 34
406 C,		•	•	25	31 B,		•		145	1274,			• 37
408 C,		•		150	86 D.	•			23				• 37
412 A,		•			00 D,				- 5	1340,			12
416 D,		•		362 86		CODI	HOCI	T C		1418,			24
424 D,			•	363		SUPE	1001	درطارد		-4,			
427 E,		•	•	25			Aias.				A1	ntigor	re.
428 C,		•	•		6,				357	9,			41
434 B ₁		•		112	27,	•			114				17
436 D,			-64	404	42,	•	•		49				, 10
451 A,			265,	370		•	•		71				. 41
459 B,				IOI	44, 51,		•		322				, 8
461 B,				75		•	•	•	369		·		302, 34
463 D,				102	70,		•	•	372		-		. 26
465 B,				383	75,		•	•	249		-	-	. 8
470 A,				IOI	122,			•	277				. 40, 5
470 B,				324	123,		•	٠,	112, 277			- 1	. 15
472 B a	ınd C,			38	153,				226	50,	•		. 41
475 A,				351	263,		•	•	237		•	•	. 20
478 B,				21	271,			•			•	Ĭ	. 42
494 B,	•			229	272,			•	234				30
499 B,			102,		275,		•		92				. 23
5II A,				343	285,		•						. 27
517 A,			229,	230	313,				405				. 12
518 C,				180	318,							•	. 11
F20 A				2б I	359,				363				. 7
545 A ((in tex	ct 535), .	38	367,				94				
549 E,				192	377,				323				. 27
562 C,				89	403,				135			•	120, 29
567 A,				255	434,				250			•	
574 E,				343	470,				36				
579 B,				82	474,				113, 17	1 223,			
579 B,				85	475,				33			•	251, 3
590 A,	-			314	496,				.24				. 1
				316	536,				20/	4 242,		•	. I
591 В, 60б В,				25	540,				36				• 3.
			:	20	557,				180	9 260,	, .		, 1°
607 C,	•	•	:	220	560,				37	263,			
610 A,	•	•	213,		564,			,	91, 25		,		. I
612 B,		•	۵۱.5,	400	567,				19:				. 2
							-		38.				. 3
614 B, 615 B,				407	572,								

$\overline{}$														
LINE			۰ ۲	age	LINE			P	AGE	LINE				AGE
400,	_		. ~	159		Εl	ectra.		- i	627,			. 94,	230
411,	:	•	:	25	9,				160	630,				342
415,	•	:		238	14.				422	640,			• .	338
443,	:	:		368	18,				422	668,			. 89,	147
444,	-			214	24,				173	676,				143
4 60,				176	25,				250	677,			•	147
466,			204,	209	32,			189,	234	679,		•		189
470,				ro6	38,				277	682,	•			100
484,				213	431			184,	385	690,	•		•	92
492,				90	49,	•	•		115	705,		•	•	113
538,				73	58,		•		257 106	707,		•	•	62
546,				378	66,					711,		•	•	342
547,				173	72,	•		•	415	736,	•	•		235
551,				304	84,				104	742,		•	298,	423
556,			45	323	85,				104	749,			•	235
589,				113	121, e	tc.,			77	766,	•	•		421
595,	-	-		324	123,			. 69,	IOI	771,				245
605,				136	141,				87	782,			360	, 36r
618,	•	-	:	106	174.				115	811,			. 91	, 104
619,				246	183.				330	817,				92
633,	-	-		102	188,				100	875,				104
675,	•	:	Ĭ	69	193,				115	908,				353
680,	•	•	. 22	, 204	225,	·	-		245	920,				94
685,	•	•	• ~2	185	244,		- 1		347	945				301
691,	•	•	•	304	248,				147	950,				19
		•	:	278	064		-		299	955,				256
696,	•	•	•	245	267,	•			236	956,				268
710,	•	•	•	106	269,	•			IIO	974				189
718,	•	•	•	62	272,	•	-		108	977,				20
75 ¹ ,	•	•	•	352	285,	•	:	:	339	979,		-		тоб
755,	•	•	•	74	286,	•	•	:	421	996,	-	- :		73
789,	•	•	•	418	293,	•	•	:	236	1000,				377
794,	•	•	•		293,	•	•	•	305	1022,		•		205
877,	•	•	•	413 204	305,	•	•	•	62	1038,		- :		236
884,	•	•	•		317,	•	•	702	177	1052,	·			371
885,	-	•	355	, 383 106	317,	•	•	102	63	1054,	·		•	80
904,	•	•	•	100	337, 338,	•	•	•	80	1059,		- 1		245
908,	•	•	•	302	330,	•	•		298	1059,	:	•	•	322
919,	•	•	•	337	344,		•	101	250	1002,	· ·	•		353
932,	•	•	•	314	364,		•	•	156	1095,	•	•	•	102
957,		•	٠.	299	369,	•	•	•	417	1117,	•	•	•	94
973,		•	. 00	, 299		•	•	•	104	1122,	•		·	268
994,	•	•	•	76	372,	•	•	•	363		•		•	281
997,	•	•	•	75	380, 381,	•	•	•	268	1131,	•	•	•	257
1025,	-	•	•	245		•	•	•			•	•	•	420
1033,	٠	•	•	87	384,		•	•	99	1154,	•		•	
x 063,	•	•	•	354 88	386,	•	•	•	236 85	1165,	•	•	*	377 377
1065,	•	•	•		390,	•	•	•			•	•		
zo68,	•	•	•	295	399,	•	•	•	22	1172,	•	•	•	270
rogo,		•	•	160	404,		•	•	85 67		•	•	•	319
1084,	•	•	•	87	415,	•	•	•		1195,	•	•	•	91
1152,	•	•	•	77	423,			•	23 4 88	1210,	•	•	•	94
1156,	•	•	•	306		•	•	•		1214,	•		•	101
1169,	•	•		24	435,			•	415	1236,		•	•	337
1178,		•	•	45	4471	•	•	•	99	1262,	•	•	•	93
1182,		•	•	102		•	•	•	92	1265,	•	•	•	414
1190,	•	•	•	189			•	•	99	1274,	•	•	•	70
1199,				29	496,			•	тоб	1308,			•	185
1200,	•		•	422				•	251	1309,		•	٠	26
120б,				81				•	112	1334,	•		•	96
1212,				122	555,		•	•	246	1343,		•		II
1229,				85	556,		•	•	77	1348,				189
1231,				410	562,			•	337	1433,		•		31
1244,				333	571,				93	1451,		•		8:
1253,		2	67, 35	5, 370	580,				267	1460,				103
1295,				44					215	1476,				18:
1295.					612,				83	1496,				

														_
LINE		ed. (7.7	PAGE					PAGE	LINE			7	AGE
38	0	ea. (.06.		65,				311	10,				340
65		•		79	88,				352	12,				175
118				354	102,	•			304	17,			206	297
178		•	•	261	122,	•	•	•	356	21,				326
305		•		373 62	169,	•	•	•	x 85	23,				120
317		•		162	197,	•	•	•	94	24,				107
337		•		63	244,	•		•	71	36,				IOI
359				368	285,	•	•	•	307	37,				207
408,				373	289,	•		•	151	38,	•	21	8, 244,	325
450,				373	348,		•	•	368					252
502,				301	349,	•	•	•	369	4T,	•	•	161,	315
509,				245	353,	•	•	•	323	44,		•		115
,56و				.17:1	377, 408,	•	•	•	359	45,	•	•		120
565,				365	400,	•	:	•	363	54,	•	•	-	334
595,				245	427,	:	:	•	274	56,	•	•		293
649,				373	444,	:	:		277	58,	•	•	•	15
667,				365	487,	- :	:		274	60, 62,	•	•	•	319
707,				62	494,		:	•	301 418	62,		•	•	366
746,				322	523,	I			68	64,	•	•	•	298
76I,				227	534,		-	- :	301	65, 67,	•	•	•	2 61
834,				356	554,				318	68,	•	•	•	333
848,				373	588,				363		•	•	•	244
956,			3	49, 384	611,			379	385	70, 71,		•	•	221
969,		•		271	674,			•	164	73,	•	:	•	145 268
_996,	•			73	764,				246	74,	:	:	•	166
1023,	•	•		372	825,				255	76,		•	354,	
1024,	•	•	•	373	900,	•			356	77,	·		334)	304
1154,	•	•	•	351	914,				276	78,			-	351
1239,	•	•	•	413	917,	•			245	86.			-	339
1441,	•	•	•	245	931,	•		•	423	87,				400
1443, 1455,	•	•	•	304	96r,	•	•	•	243	89,				59
1513,	•	•	•	331	969,	•	•	•	281	91,		I	3, 296,	404
1702,	•	•	•	39	975,	•	•	•	356	95,				112
-,02,	•	•	•	373	1030,	•	•	•	107	96,	•		• бо	, 91
	0ea	t. T	yr.		1079,	•	•	•	19	97,	•			45
II,				68, 38x	1171, 1224,	•	•	•	122	99,	•	•		236
129,		·	2	66, 369	1289,	•	•	•	70	100,	•	•		99
198,				245	1293,	•	•	•	147	102,	•	•		276
220,				368	1314,	•	•	•	304	103,	•	•		360
255,				144	1363,	•	•	•	147	104,	•	•	•	29
281,				362	1441		:	•	353 340	107,	•	•		170
302,				251			•	•	340	112,	•	•	238,	292
314,				304		Tra	chin	iae.	- 1	116,	•	•	•	68
442,	•			I54	389,				296	118,	:	•	8-	166
446,				208	545,				154	120,		:	. 85,	244 299
544,	•	•	•	410	577,				295	121,		-	121,	
551,	•	•	•	380	631,			256,	, 299	123,				23
454,	•	•	•	298	687,	•	•		246	124,			373,	280
867,	•	•	•	309	817,	•			418	125,			3/31	168
888,	•	•	•	302	978,		•	374	384	126,			131,	
1129,	•	•		309	1100,		•		323	128,			115,	
1214,	•	•	•	420	1122,		•		102	131,				99
1326,		•	•	207	1129,	•	•	•	264	132,			. :	242
1320,	•	•	•	295	1183,		•	•	374	134,				106
1387,	•	•	•	123	1342,	•		•	211	137,			246,	348
1391,	•	Ť	•	257						T40,		•		91
1437,	•	:	•	257	T	HUC	YDI.	DES.	- 1	: 41,			314, 3	30
1770,	:	:	•	405		Bo	ok I.		J		Rec	k 11.		
.,,,,,	•	•	•	405	ı,			36, 85,	205		200	re 11.		
	Philo	ctete	es.		2,	•	•	. 85,	327	3,	•	•	1	07
26,				44	3,	•	•	• 659	335	4,	•	•	. 85, 1	91
46,			:	276	4,	:		• 94,	162	5, 15,	•	•	•	23
60,				304	8,				293	17.	•	•	. 19, 3	
					-					-/-		•	343, 3	54
					Digitiza	ad his	0.0%		460					

LINE			DAGE										PAGE
18,				LINE				PAGE					
20,	•	•	. 309		•	•	•	83	50,	•	•	1	23, 244
21,	•		277, 405	40, 41,	•	•	•	310 246	57, 59,	•		•	306 405
32,	•	:	. 162	47,	•	•	•	92	64,	•	•	•	343
36,		•	. 323	60,	•	•	•	92	70,	•	•	•	255
38,			. 111	64,		:	•	342	71,			T. 2	22, 244
40,			. 310	66,	:			268	74,			,-,	55
41,			. ĭ18	70,				322	75,			I	21, 312
49,			107, 366	90,				237	77,				52
52,			. 226	102,				310	80,			39	96, 405
53,			. 365	10б,				331	81,				34
60,	•	•	. 277	118,				320	87,				362
61,	•	•	. 121	125,	•		•	168	92,				301
72,	•	•	 399 	128,	•	•	• -	261	100,			•	405
76,	•	•	 45 	130,		•	. 8	5, 297		P.	ok vii		
80,	•	•	304, 322	i .	R	ook v			_	Do		1.	
89,	•	•	• 347	_	D			-6-	ı,	•	•	•	37
92,	•	•	• 147	9,	•	•	•	160	23,	•	•	•	316
93,	•	•	• 256	10,	•	•	•	244	36,	•	•	•	39
95, 9 7 ,	•	•	· 105	17,	•	•	•	76	40, 66,	•	•	•	237
102,	•	•	. 401	32,	•	•	•	175		•	•	19	уб, 221 360
,	•	•	•	33,	•	•	•	92	70, 72,	•	•	•	34
	Boo	ok 111.		34,	•	•		320 348		•	:	•	326
ı,			. 366	35,	•		•	131	74, 85,	•	:	•	320
ro,			237, 322	40,	:	•	•	360	86,	•	•	•	34, 5
12,			. 121	46,		:		90	89,				349 3
13,			305, 322	50,			:	348	108,	:	· ·	36	5, 366
14,			. 271	- 55,				109	,				J
15,			. 31	67,				373	3	CEN	ОРН	ON.	
22,			254	74,				20	-				
29,			107, 244	103,				310		An	abasi	5.	
32,	•		406			•		265	i. 1,	Ι,.			20
37,	•		120	III,		•		76	I,	3, -		14	7, 184
39,	•		362 , 369	1	R.	ok vi		- 1	ı,		•		227
40,	•	•	. 224		Do	DE VI	•	- 1	2,		•		406
41, 46,	•	•	. 246	4,	•	•		245	2,	5, . 8, .	•		255
49,	•	•	. 123	5,	•	•	•	306	2,	8, .	•	•	343
53,	•	* 26	273 4, 267, 370	21,	•	•	٠	245	2,		•		321
54,	:	. 20	. 51, 210	32,	•	*-6		342	2,		•	18	6, 405
55,		:	209	34,	•	100		9, 333	2,		•		15 21
59,			• 337	37, 39,	•	•	1	331	2, 3,		•	•	404
64.			. 111	49,	•	•	• 5	407	4,		•	•	405
69,			• 299	50,	•	•	•	259	4,		•		6, 272
74,			. 219	54,			:	261	5,		•		62
75,			. 366	58,				245	5,				272
81,			298, 362	62,			II.	4, 238	6,	2, .			366
88,			. 184	76,				75	6,	10,			87
95,		•	348	77.				18	7, 8,	18,			347
96,	•	•	. 299	82,				351	8,	12,			150
103,	•	•	. 271	100,	•			208	9, :	3, •			323
105,	•	•	326		Rad	k vii			9,	10,			405
	Boo	ok IV.	- 1	_	Doc	A 11.	•		9, :	20,	•		337
I,			- 76, 104	2,	•	•	•	331	ii. 1,	4, .	•		217
4,	•		238	10,	•	•	•	297	Ι, (b, .	•	•	19
5,	:	:	. 351	17,	•	•	•	304	Ι, 1	5,	•	•	25
II,		264	, 359, 415	21,	•	•	•	255 60	Ι, 1		•	•	17
14,			· 414	22,	•	:	•	76	2,		•	•	362
16,			242	23,	•	•	:	334	3, 3		•	•	367
17,		36, 43	, 245, 299	29,			:	296	3, 1				273
18,			316	31,			-	334	4, 2		•	•	270
28,			. 327	34,				91	5, 1				163 205
29,			. 39					227	iii. 1, 1	. O.	•		237
33,		0	192					412	2, 6			•	259
				D:-:4:-		. 0.4:-		- 660	_,	•			-35

LINE			PAGE	LINE			PAGE	LINE			PAGE
2, 29,			242				74	v. 1, 17,			301
3, 18,			240	3, 18,	:	•	240	2, 13,	:	:	404
4, 9, .			81	3, 35,	:		6, 270	4, 2,	•	:	335
5, I, .		•	330	3, 51,	:		121	vi. 4, 12,	•	•	333
5, 7, .			273	iv. 1, 3,	·	·	414	vii. 1, 8, .			251
5, II,			366	1, 18,			261	1, 34,	- :	277	, 405
5, 16,			291	1, 21,			180	-, 57,	•	-,,	, 4-5
5, 18,		•	247	2, 25,			257	Mem	orabi	lia.	
13, 3,			272	3, 11,			271	(Apom			
iv. 2, 6, .			40	4, 21,			59	1	neun	<i>uuiu</i> . .	
4, 15,		•	351	5, 15,			272	i. 1, 4, .			277
4, 23,	•	•	75	5, 37,			239	1, 5, . 2, 6, .			216
4, 24,	•	•	24	6, 8, .	•	•	93		•	•	246
8, 2, .	•	•	379	V. 1, 25,	•	•	369	2, 7, .	•	•	264
8, 4, .	•	•	18	2, 12,	•	•	265	2, 35,	•	•	121
8, 5, . v. 1, 10,	•	•	96	2, 35,	•	•	309	2, 39,	•	•	353
2, 5, .		•	219 276	2, 36,	•	•	376	2, 41,	•	•	379 281
3, 35,	•	•	8r	vi. 2, 30,	•	•	265 271	2, 46, 4, 14,	•	•	
4, 16,	:	:	166	4, 16,	•	•	156	ii. 1, 8, .	•	•	336
4, 30,		:	92	vii. 1, 18,	:	:	358	1, 25,	:	:	344 383
7, 5, .			242	3, 13,	:	:	373	2, 39,	ì	:	264
8, 17,			324	4, 4,		:	333	3, 3, .	•	:	168
vi. 1, 21,			55	5, 13,			71	3, 18,			220
2, 1, .			232	5, 49,			246	6, 2, .			362
3, 17,			90	7, 16,			367	7, 11,			104
3, 20,	•		177	viii. 1, 10,			396	7, 13,			363
. 3, 26,	•		24	1, 10, 1	Ι, .		407	9, 8, .		•	109
vii. 1, 4, .	•	•	248	1, 48,			22	iii. 1, 6, .			177
3, 13,		•	399	3, 40,		•	113	5, I,.			37
3, 34,	•	•	323.	4, 16,	•		191	5, 17,		121	, 156
6, 4, .	•	•	76	6, 3, .	•	•	258	11, 1, .	•		. 97
*8, 6, . 8, 22,		•	309	6, 13,		•	48	13, 3,	•	121,	1272
0, 22,	•	•	54	7, 19,	•	•	152	iv. 1, 3, .	•	•	38
Cyr	ropaec	lia.		7, 22,	•	•	376	2, 36, 2, 39,	•	•	17
i. 2, 1, .			80	H,e	lleni	ca.		4, 12,	•	• ·	370 207
2, 5, .			255	i. 1, 16,			166	6, 7, .	:	:	402
2, 11,			20	1, 28,			306	7, 2, .	:		397
3, 3, .			278	I, 34,		•	323				331
3, 9, •			29	6, 32,			371	Ago	esilar	vs.	
4, 14,	•		243	7, 5, -			390	ii. 15,		_	107
4, 18,		•	166	ii. 1, 2, .			254	iv. 1, .			275
4, 20,	•	•	272	1, 25,			75				-,,
4, 25,	•	•	254	2, 7, .		•	305	H	iero.		
5, 11,	•	•	273	2, 13,	•	•	398	ж. 3, .			297
6, 4, .	•	•	94	3, 11,	•	•	353				
. 6, 18,		•	400	3, 16,	•	•	380	Oecor	romic	:us.	
ii. 1, 4, . 1, 21,	•	•	255 256	iii. 1, 28,	•	•	334	ii. 9, .			261
2, 9, .	:	•	4, 405	2, 31,	•	•	306 353	23, .			13
2, 22,	•	•	83	3, 9, •	•	:	260	iii. 5, .			59
3, 13,			339	3, 19,	- 1		168	vii. 3, ,			71
4, 2, .			321	5, 10,			265	viii. 8, .			276
4, 7, .		•	147	iv. 1, 33,			207	ж. т, .			41
4, 23,			366	I, 4I,			58				
iii. 1, 15,			305	2, 3, .			373	Synn	rosiu1	m.	
I, 37,			378	4, 5, .			353	ii. 3, .			367
1, 41,			214	5, 5, -			303	iii. 5, .			297
2, 3, .	•	•	85	8, 4, ,			13	iv. 5, . 6, .			353
2, 37,	•		136 l	8, 16,	٠	•	256	6, .		277	405
	* M	ispri	nt, viii.	6.		† On	272, r	ead Ap. for A	n.		

BY THE SAME AUTHOR.

AN ELEMENTARY GREEK SYNTAX.

Crown 8vo, 2s.

HOMERIC GRAMMAR. For the use of Upper Forms in Schools. Crown 8vo, 2s. 6d.

LONGMANS, GREEN, AND CO. LONDON, NEW YORK, AND BOMBAY







